

123rd Anniversary Convention Oklahoma City, OK · 2025

POLICY BOOK

Policy of the National Farmers Union

Enacted by delegates to the 123rd anniversary convention

Oklahoma City, OK

March 9 - 11, 2025

National Farmers Union Mission and Vision Statements

Our Mission is to...

Advocate for family farmers, ranchers, fishers, and their communities through education, cooperation, and legislation.

Our Vision is of...

A world in which farm families and their communities are respected, valued, and enjoy economic prosperity and social justice.

We Value...

Integrity -

Maintaining the highest standards of honesty, accountability, and morality.

Influence -

Driving political, educational, and social change on behalf of family farmers, ranchers, fishers, and their communities.

Leadership -

Inspiring and empowering future leaders of agriculture and rural communities.

Stewardship -

Responsible and respectful use and care for all resources – human, natural, and financial.

Inclusivity -

Ensuring all people are welcome and valued in Farmers Union, and all members are represented fairly in policy, education, and society.

Transparency -

Being clear, honest, and forthright in our words, processes, and actions.

PREAM	PREAMBLE		
ARTICLE I – AGRICULTURAL PROGRAMS FOR THE FAMILY FARM			
A.	GENERAL PROGRAM PROVISIONS	14	
1.	. Measures of Economic Equity	14	
2.	. Directing Benefit Protection to Family Farms	15	
3	. Providing a Safety Net	16	
4	. Farm Viability Programs for Family Farms	17	
5	. Local Food & Food Systems	18	
6	. Beginning Farmers and Ranchers (also see Article V.A FSA Credit Programs)	20	
7.	. Urban Farming	23	
B.	DAIRY, LIVESTOCK, AQUACULTURE AND FISHING	23	
1.	. Dairy Policy	23	
2.	. Livestock Policy	28	
C.	LABELING OF COMMODITIES AND COMMODITY PRODUCTS	38	
1.	. Country-of-Origin Labeling	38	
2.	. Truth in Labeling of Food Products	39	
3.	. Nutrition Labeling	41	
D.	COMMODITIES	41	
1.	. Wheat, Coarse Grains, Small Grains, Oilseeds, Rice, and Cotton	41	
2	. Other Program Commodities (wool, mohair, honey, pulse crops, etc.)	43	
3.	. Industrial Hemp	44	
4	. Tobacco	45	
5.	. Peanuts	45	
6	. Sugar	46	
7.	Tree Farms and Forestry	46	
8.	. Specialty Crops	46	
9	Honey/Pollination	47	

	10	Value-Added and Minimally Processed Foods	48
	11.	Value-Added Uses of Farm Products	49
E.		AGRITOURISM	49
F.		RISK MANAGEMENT	49
	1.	Livestock Producer Assistance	49
	2.	Crop And Livestock Insurance	49
	3.	Food Liability Insurance	55
	4.	Risk Management Education	55
G.		FARM PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION	55
	1.	Farmer-Elected Committees	56
	2.	Natural Resources Conservation Service	56
	3.	Improved Marketing Mechanisms	57
Н.		Special Agricultural Policies and Services	58
	1.	Farm Labor	58
	2.	Safety of Farmers and Farm Workers	59
	3.	Storable Commodities Producer Protection	60
	4.	Agricultural Census	61
	5.	National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS)	62
	6.	USDA's Information Mandate	62
	7.	Commodity Research and Promotion Programs	62
	8.	Grain Standards	65
	9.	Grain Inspection	65
	10	National Organic Standards (also see Article I.C. – Labeling of Commodities and Commodity	
	Pro	oducts)	66
	11.	Plant Breeding	68
	12	Plant Genetic Resources	68
	13	Animal Genetic Resources	69
	14	Federal Agency Headauarters	69

ARTIC	CLE II - FAMILY FARMERS AND TECHNOLOGY	70
A.	BIOTECHNOLOGY	70
B.	AGRICULTURAL TECHNOLOGY	72
C.	Information Collection and Protection	73
D.	Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (Drones)	73
E.	Artificial Intelligence	74
ARTIC	CLE III - AGRICULTURE COMPETITION AND CONCENTRATION	75
A.	COMPETITION AND ANTITRUST	75
B.	LIVESTOCK MARKET REFORM	77
C.	POULTRY MARKET REFORM	78
D.	PRODUCTION CONTRACTING	79
ARTIC	CLE IV – INTERNATIONAL TRADE, COOPERATION, AND THE FAMILY FARM	81
A.	AGRICULTURAL TRADE NEGOTIATIONS	82
B.	TRADE PROMOTION AUTHORITY (FAST-TRACK)	85
C.	FAIR AND TRANSPARENT TRADE PRACTICES	85
D.	HEALTH AND INSPECTION STANDARDS FOR FOOD AND FIBER IMPORTS	86
E.	CHINA TRADE	87
F.	International Food Assistance	87
G.	World Farmers Organization (WFO)	88
Н.	FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION	88
I.	POLICIES TOWARD INDIGENOUS PEOPLES AND DEVELOPING NATIONS	89
J.	FARMERS AND FARM YOUTH EDUCATIONAL EXCHANGE PROGRAMS	89
K.	OWNERSHIP OF FARMLAND BY INSTITUTIONAL INVESTORS IN ALL COUNTRIES	89
ARTIC	CLE V - CREDIT AND THE FAMILY FARM	90
A.	FARM SERVICE AGENCY (FSA) CREDIT PROGRAMS	91
В.	FARM CREDIT SYSTEM	93

C.	COOPERATIVE FINANCING	94
ARTIC	LE VI – FARM COOPERATIVES AND THE FAMILY FARM	95
A.	COOPERATIVE LAW	95
B.	ROCHDALE PRINCIPLES	96
C.	Additional Principles	97
D.	TEAMWORK OF FARMERS UNION AND COOPERATIVES	99
E.	CHS	99
ARTIC	LE VII – WATER AND LAND POLICY, CONSERVATION AND THE FAMILY FARM	101
A.	OBJECTIVES OF A COMPREHENSIVE LAND POLICY	101
B.	PROHIBITION OF OWNERSHIP OF FARMLAND, COMMERCIAL FISHING PRIVILEGES AND DISCLOSURE BY FOREIGN	
Cou	NTRIES AND INVESTMENT BANKS	102
C.	LAND TITLE TRANSFER	102
D.	PUBLIC LANDS	103
E.	NATIVE PRODUCER LANDS AND WATER	107
F.	National Grazing Lands Coalition	107
G.	FOREST HEALTH	107
Н.	WATER	108
1	. Water Quantity Distribution	108
2	P. Water Quality Protection	110
3	B. Clean Water Act	113
4	l. Drought Monitoring	114
5	5. Western States River Basins	114
I.	Air Quality	115
J.	Animal Feeding Operations (AFOs)	115
K.	CONCENTRATED ANIMAL FEEDING OPERATIONS (CAFOS)	116
L.	PESTICIDE REGULATION	117
M.	Fertilizer Regulations	119

N.	Noxious and Invasive Species Control		
0.	Prescribed Burning		
P.	LANDOWNER RIGHTS		
Q.	EMINENT DOMAIN (ALSO SEE ARTICLE IX.F. – IRS TAX CODE 1031 EXCHANGES)	122	
R.	ZONING	124	
S.	CLIMATE CHANGE AND CARBON SEQUESTRATION	125	
T.	CONSERVATION	128	
1.	Land Retirement and Easement Programs	131	
2.	Conservation Reserve Program (CRP)	131	
3.	Buffer Strips	133	
4.	Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP)	134	
5.	Sodbuster and Swampbuster Provisions	134	
6.	Wetlands	134	
U.	SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE	136	
V.	REGENERATIVE AGRICULTURE	136	
W.	PREDATOR AND RODENT CONTROL	137	
X.	ENDANGERED SPECIES	138	
Y.	ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS	139	
Z.	NUCLEAR, RADIOACTIVE, AND TOXIC WASTE, AND OTHER HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES	139	
AA.	Surface Mining	139	
ARTIC	LE VIII – ENERGY AND THE FAMILY FARM	141	
A.	Energy Objectives	141	
B.	DISTRIBUTION	142	
1.	Petroleum Supply	142	
2.	Electricity and Deregulation	143	
3.	Economic Assistance	145	
4.	Public Ownership of Power Marketing Administration and Power Generators	145	

	5.	Hydroelectric Power	146
	6.	Pipelines	146
C	•	DEVELOPMENT OF RENEWABLE ENERGY AND FUELS FROM THE FARM	147
	1.	Priorities	147
	2.	Ethanol	151
	3.	Renewable Fuel Standard	152
	4.	Biodiesel, renewable diesel, and sustainable aviation fuel	153
	5.	Low-Carbon Fuels Tax Incentives	154
	6.	Compressed Natural Gas	154
	7.	Wind Energy	154
	8.	Solar Energy	154
	9.	Renewable Energy Storage	155
	10.	. Cooperative Demonstrations	155
D		Environmental Precaution in Energy Production and Use	156
	1.	Fuel Storage Tanks	156
	2.	Waste Products	156
	3.	Hydraulic Fracturing and Wastewater Injection	157
E		LANDOWNER RIGHTS IN NATURAL RESOURCES PROJECT DEVELOPMENT (WIND, SOLAR, FRACKING, OIL, ETC.)	158
F.		USDA Energy Initiatives	159
ART	'ICL	E IX - ECONOMIC REGULATION AND THE FAMILY FARM	161
A	•	Federal Budget Reform	161
В		MONEY AND CREDIT POLICY (ALSO SEE ARTICLE V. – CREDIT AND THE FAMILY FARM)	162
C		BANKING, FINANCIAL, AND INVESTMENT REGULATION	162
D		Credit Unions	162
E		ESTATE AND GIFT TAX POLICY	163
F.		IRS Tax Code 1031 Exchanges	163
G		Income Tax Reforms	164

Н.	TAXATION	165
I.	TAX CREDIT	166
J.	COMMODITY FUTURES	166
ARTIC	CLE X – RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND THE FAMILY FARM	169
A.	Rural Community Development	169
B.	TRANSPORTATION	170
1	1. U.S. Highway Trust Fund	171
2	2. Truck Transportation	172
3	3. Rail Transportation	173
C.	PORT DEVELOPMENT, SHIPPING POLICY	176
D.	AIR TRANSPORTATION	177
E.	Rural Utilities	177
1	1. Telephone Services	178
2	2. Electric Services	178
3	3. Telecommunications	178
4	4. Rural Access to Technology and Information	179
F.	Small Business Development	180
1	1. Industrial Development Bonds	180
2	2. Small Business Policy	180
3	3. Enterprise Facilitation	180
ARTIC	CLE XI - QUALITY OF LIFE IN RURAL AMERICA	182
A.	Health Care	182
1	1. Health Care Coverage, Access, and Care	182
2	2. Prescription Drugs	184
3	3. Medicare and Medicaid	184
4	4. Veterans' Rights	185
R	FDUCATION	186

1.	Public Libraries	188
2.	Public Research	189
3.	Land-Grant and Sea Grant Colleges and Universities and National Institute of Food and Agricul	ture
	190	
C.	SOCIAL SECURITY	191
D.	PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES	192
E.	EMPLOYMENT	192
F.	IMMIGRATION POLICY	193
G.	TEMPORARY GUEST WORKER PROGRAM	195
Н.	EXPANDING OPPORTUNITIES FOR SENIOR CITIZENS	195
I.	FOOD AND NUTRITION PROGRAMS	196
1.	Administration of Food and Nutrition Programs	196
2.	Food Assistance Programs	197
3.	Child Nutrition Programs	198
J.	NUTRITION MONITORING (ALSO SEE ARTICLE I.C. – LABELING OF COMMODITIES AND COMMODITY PRODUCTS)	199
K.	FOOD SAFETY (ALSO SEE ARTICLE IV.D. – HEALTH AND INSPECTION STANDARDS FOR FOOD AND FIBER IMPORTS A	1 <i>ND</i>
Arti	CLE I.C. – LABELING OF COMMODITIES AND COMMODITY PRODUCTS)	200
1.	Regulatory Authority	200
2.	Food Safety Standards	200
3.	Inspection of Perishable Commodities	202
4.	Agri-Terrorism	202
L.	FOOD WASTE	203
M.	World Food Day	203
N.	Housing	203
0.	Liability Insurance	203
P.	CONSUMER PROTECTION	204
Q.	CAMPAIGN FINANCE AND ELECTIONS	204
1	Campaian Finance	204

2.	Elections and Elected Officials	205
R.	U.S. Freedoms and Liberties	206
S.	POSTAL SERVICE	207
T.	NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION (NOAA)	208
U.	RURAL EMERGENCY SERVICES AND MANAGEMENT PLANNING	208
V.	Voting Districts	209
1.	. Redistricting	209
2.	State Legislative Districts	209
ARTICI	LE XII - FAMILY FARMERS AND THEIR ORGANIZATION	211
A.	EDUCATIONAL ACTIVITIES	212
1.	Seminars and Workshops	212
2.	Farmers Union Youth Programs	212
3.	Farmers Union Young Farmer Program	213
4.	All-States Summit	213
5.	Farmers Union Education Center	213
B.	COMMUNICATIONS	213
C.	FARMERS UNION LEGISLATIVE BUDGET FUND	213
D.	POLITICAL EFFECTIVENESS	213
E.	MEMBERSHIP EXPANSION	214
F.	MEMBERSHIP BUDGET INFORMATION	215
G.	FARMERS UNION RELATED SERVICES	215
Н.	COOPERATION WITH RELIGIOUS ORGANIZATIONS	215
I.	COOPERATION WITH OTHER ORGANIZATIONS	215
J.	COOPERATIVE DEVELOPMENT	216
K.	POLICY DEBATE	216
CI OCC	A D.V.	245

PREAMBLE

We, the members of the Farmers Educational and Cooperative Union of America (National Farmers Union), in the following policy statement, strive to articulate the fundamental principles of a food, fiber, and energy policy essential to our nation and our planet. These issue transcends every social, economic, environmental, and geographic boundary of our planet.

The aspiration of NFU is to protect and enhance the economic well-being and quality of life for family farmers, ranchers, fishers, and our communities. Our experiences as family farmers, ranchers, fishers, and those concerned with the survival of productive family-oriented agriculture provide us with a unique and qualified perspective to make meaningful contributions to this issue.

Our organization was established on the principles of agitation, organization, education, and cooperation. The Farmers Union symbol, a triangle constructed with education at its base and completed with cooperation and legislation on its sides, represents a structure that remains as relevant today, as it was a century ago. Education is not only for our youth, but also for adults, families, seniors, and our communities. It affords us the opportunity to share and learn from both young and old.

The educational process must extend beyond our farms and reach out to all consumers, as well as across borders and oceans. Sharing information is important, but sharing our values, concerns, and spirit is of greater significance.

The goals of Farmers Union have grown out of our understanding of God, nature, and the love of our country, with respect for the past and vision for the future. Our goals are nourished through the truth and rightness of the ideals expressed in the Declaration of Independence, the Constitution of the United States, and its Bill of Rights. We affirm our faith in a benevolent God, whose grace has bestowed upon us immensely fertile resources, and whose justice demands stewardship.

Woven throughout this document is a sense of moral and ethical values that family farmers embrace as we assume the responsibilities which are part of every acre of land, drop of water, animal, plant, or any of the multitude of resources entrusted to our care. We are also very cognizant of the need to extend beyond our fence rows and townships to ensure these gifts are shared and not exploited or wasted.

We recognize the invaluable contributions of stewardship and expertise offered by indigenous people, immigrants, and multigenerational farmers as well as all farmers who embrace and perpetuate historically rooted and traditional approaches to farming and fishing.

We acknowledge that family farmers employ a broad spectrum of philosophies and practices. Our responsibility is to be inclusive and serve all types of family farms in legislative, educational, and cooperative endeavors.

Parity is the cornerstone of our policy. Our definition of parity extends beyond the simple economic definition. We believe it should encompass a sense of balance which not only diminishes hunger and poverty, but also fosters peace and justice.

We remain wholly dedicated to the strengthening of the family farm system and farmer cooperatives. Cooperation also comes from knowledge as well as respect for other people and cultures. Our spirit of cooperation must continue to grow and not have limits. Our challenge is to take this knowledge and spirit and incorporate it into meaningful policy through legislation on local, state, and national levels.

This document is not a collection of words, but an anthology of the spirit of the hundreds of thousands of family farmers, ranchers, fishers, and their advocates that make up our organization. We dedicate this document, along with our energy and efforts, to bring about long-term meaningful policy that identifies our common thread and utilizes that energy for the common good.

ARTICLE I – AGRICULTURAL PROGRAMS FOR THE FAMILY FARM
A family farm or structured family farm is operated by a family, with the family
providing the base of the labor needed for the farming operation, assuming the
economic risk, and making the management decisions. NFU policy is written for the
family farmer and their farms and should be read as such.
The family farm is the keystone of a free, progressive, democratic national society,
as well as a strong America, and is the basis of a safe, secure, and stable food system. A
vertically integrated and/or multinational grain and food conglomerate is not a family
farm. We support legislation and regulations that recognize the differences between
family farms or community-based operations and multinational corporations.
The control and ownership of agriculture is a fundamental issue facing our nation
and the world. National farm policy must provide direction with an emphasis on
profitable farm commodity prices to ensure that control and responsibility of
agriculture is vested within the family farm. The decline in the number of family farms
must be reversed.
Sustainable agriculture integrates three main goals – environmental health,
economic profitability and viability, and social economic equity. We believe the family
farm system of agricultural production is the best way to achieve sustainability.
Farmers engaged in sustainable agriculture set out to protect the environment, improve
their quality of life, and enhance the communities in which they live. Programs that
encourage sustainable agriculture through diversified production, improved marketing
strategies, and enhanced value-added opportunities can be key to reversing this trend.
Another important aspect of reversing the decline of these farms is to encourage new
farmers to enter the agriculture industry. Farms and consumers need stability and
fairness in a farm program. Farmers, rural communities, and consumers are at the
mercy of a marketplace that is increasingly dominated by vertically integrated,
multinational grain and food conglomerates.
Family farms have the right to a fair and competitive environment in their pursuit to
be financially viable. We support farm policy that protects net farm income for family

farmers.

We commit ourselves to being good stewards of the land and resources by working toward innovative approaches that move beyond the parameters of past farm programs and seek to bridge philosophical differences within the agricultural community and our
 nation's political structures.

At the core of our willingness to embrace new agricultural policy directions is the fundamental need to direct the benefits of federal agricultural policies to the production levels of family farm and ranch operations.

Choice and diversity of agricultural practices have always been essential to the success and prosperity of agriculture in the United States. As advances continue to occur in all agricultural practices, NFU calls on all people affected by agriculture to be mindful of their neighbors and show mutual respect toward their farming practices and production choices. Six major goals to improve agricultural legislation for family farmers are:

- 1. Profitability: to enhance and protect net family farm income and provide a safety net;
- 2. Accountability: to reduce government costs and prevent activities that are counter-productive to the intent of the programs;
- 3. Directed benefits: to direct benefits toward family farming operations;
- 4. Simplicity: to require less bureaucracy and red tape, to lessen the burden of mandated data collection, and to ensure the availability of electronic signatures;
- 5. Conservation: to maintain and enhance our natural resources: and
- 6. Diversity: to maintain opportunities for family farmers, regardless of crop and management choice.

A. GENERAL PROGRAM PROVISIONS

1. Measures of Economic Equity

For generations, farming and ranching income has lagged behind the overall prosperity of the nation. The national farm policy based on "parity" was intended to create tools that would balance this inequity of agricultural income compared to the average income in other segments of society. Like other economic measures such as the Consumer Price Index and the Cost of Living Index, an economic yardstick for agriculture is essential. "Parity" prices provide a reasonable standard for measuring the relative economic health of agriculture, and we urge continued support of the calculation of an Agricultural "Parity Index." NFU continues to be unique in its unwavering support for this measurement of economic equity.

We support indexing farm program support levels to reflect changes in the cost of production. We strongly support national farm policy providing a return of the cost of production plus an opportunity for reasonable profit for farmers and ranchers.

2. DIRECTING BENEFIT PROTECTION TO FAMILY FARMS

Characteristically, a family farm is owned and/or operated by a farm family with the family providing the base of the labor needed for the farming operation, assuming the economic risk, making most of the management decisions, and depending substantially on agriculture for a livelihood.

Family farms are at economic risk as crop and livestock production and land ownership are being concentrated into the hands of non-family farms.

The primary objectives of national agricultural policy should be to enable farmers to significantly increase net farm income, improve the quality of rural life, and increase the number of family farmers, so farmers may continue to provide a reliable supply of food and fiber and continue to serve as good stewards of our nation's resources. Rural communities are richer and more viable with more farmers, even if there is the same amount of agricultural production.

We believe payment limits should be directed to persons actively engaged in production agriculture and/or personal management, and be realistic, meaningful, transparent, and enforceable.

We support directing farm program benefits to the production levels of family farm operators in such a way as to reduce government costs while furthering the sustainability and diversity of our family farms, our rural communities, and our natural resources.

To protect the viability and continued operation of small- and mid-size farms and to improve their competitiveness in an industry of increasingly large players, federal farm programs need tighter eligibility requirements and caps on benefits to farmers.

We support the reduction in payment limits to ensure farm programs are directed toward operations most in need.

Directed benefit provisions should include:

1 i. The family farm should be protected from the cuts scheduled under the 2 terms of any budget acts; 3 ii. Prohibition of artificial subdivision of farms to avoid limits should be 4 enforced: and 5 Prohibition of program payments to monopolies and food system iii. 6 dominating companies, and foreign corporations or entities. 7 We support farm policy which recognizes our geographical differences and 8 provides for flexibility regardless of the type of agriculture operation. 9 We encourage USDA to review current and future federal agricultural policies 10 and programs meant to serve all producers to ensure the particular needs of Native 11 American, Alaska Native, Native Hawaiian, Indigenous, and Tribal Nations producers 12 (hereafter known as 'native producers') – such as structural challenges in accessing 13 land, capital, agricultural programs, and services - are addressed, and equal access 14 is provided. 15 3. Providing a Safety Net 16 NFU urges Congress to give the secretary of agriculture the authority to 17 implement commodity loan programs with loan rates set at a level that at least 18 equals the regional cost of production as measured by the Economic Research 19 Service.

To provide a safety net, we must take action to:

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

- i. Continue to improve, develop, implement, and fully fund permanent disaster programs in lieu of using one-time, ad-hoc disaster payments;
- ii. Ensure that should ad-hoc disaster payments be needed, they be authorized by Congress and should account for the unique impacts on various forms of crops and livestock in different regions, such as local basis prices or animal health issues;
- iii. Streamline distribution of aid in disaster zones;
- iv. Support measures to prevent predatory real estate transactions in disaster zones;
- v. Improve commodity loan rates and terms;
- vi. Give the secretary of agriculture the authority to improve commodity loan rates in times of disaster;

1	vii.	Improve and expand risk management tools (see Article I.F.2 – Crop and
2		Livestock Insurance);
3	viii.	Adequately fund livestock compensation programs to prevent the sell-off
4		of base breeding herds of the United States in the event of a disaster
5		declaration;
6	ix.	Expand the coverage of the Livestock Indemnity Program (LIP) to
7		include animals that die from pulmonary failure as a direct result of
8		an eligible adverse weather event;
9	х.	Urge USDA to expand the reporting timeline for LIP;
10	xi.	Ensure that Livestock Forage Program (LFP) payments are increased
11		to the full estimated value of lost forage. We also support including all
12		calves in LFP payment calculations;
13	xii.	Establish a regionalized cost of production floor under dairy prices;
14	xiii.	Establish a farmer-owned Strategic National Food, Feed and Biofuels
15		Feedstock Reserve;
16	xiv.	Expand the Bill Emerson Humanitarian Trust;
17	XV.	Implement an effective inventory management program for all farm
18		commodities;
19	xvi.	Support the Farm Storage Facility Loan Program;
20	xvii.	Continue and support effective conservation programs, such as the
21		Conservation Reserve Program (CRP), and an ongoing Conservation
22		Stewardship Program (CSP);
23	xviii.	Limit the authority of the secretary of agriculture to take discretionary
24		actions that would result in lower farm income;
25	xix.	Protect beginning farmers and ranchers;
26	XX.	Support farm programs that reflect the current year's crops; and
27	xxi.	Support a farm safety net that is counter-cyclical; and
28	xxii.	Prevent the executive branch from restricting or delaying payments to
29		farmers and ranchers.
30	We op	ppose Project 2025, both for its cuts to the farm safety net and in its
31	entirety.	
32		4. FARM VIABILITY PROGRAMS FOR FAMILY FARMS

1	Congr	ess should continue to support programs to improve the profitability of
2	farms and	increase opportunities for family farmers and ranchers. We support
3	revitalizir	g local and regional food and energy systems, increasing wealth and asset
4	building i	n rural communities and encouraging entrepreneurship, innovation, and
5	diversifica	ation in farming and ranching by funding programs that address:
6	i.	Market development and promotion;
7	ii.	Product development/differentiation and promotion;
8	iii.	Diversified farming;
9	iv.	Diversified cultures;
10	v.	Consumer education;
11	vi.	Business planning and risk management;
12	vii.	Alternative ownership models and structures;
13	viii.	Local and regional infrastructure needs;
14	ix.	Local and regional food security needs;
15	х.	Local and regional food sovereignty needs;
16	xi.	Local and regional energy needs;
17	xii.	Attracting new and beginning farmers and ranchers;
18	xiii.	Attracting a reliable labor pool; and
19	xiv.	Ecosystem services.
20		5. Local Food & Food Systems
21	NFU s	upports all initiatives aimed at regionalizing our food system. This may
22	include er	acouraging the development of regional food hubs, incentivizing those
23	farms who	commit to growing non-commodity food crops and easing/simplifying
24	the restric	ctions on small meatpackers to process meat for retail sale, as well as the
25	farmers w	ho sell direct-to-consumer and institutions that purchase their products
26	for resale,	and educating consumers. We support the expansion of agricultural
27	operation	s in urban and peri-urban areas.
28	The lo	cal food movement has many benefits including:
29	i.	Enhanced markets for the products that many members' farms produce;
30	ii.	The ability for farmers to capture a larger share of the retail food dollar;

the pursuit of agriculture;

Opportunities to employ and engage more Americans, in more regions, in

31

32

iii.

1 iv. Keeping consumer dollars circulating in each respective region, and to 2 the family farms therein; 3 v. Reconnecting consumers and their families to the food they eat; 4 Reducing transportation costs: vi. 5 Providing fresher, healthier food products, with a reduced need for vii. 6 transportation, long-term storage, processing, or treatment; 7 viii. Food security: encouraging food production to be spread across the 8 country: 9 ix. Allowing for more profitable and sustainable methods of agriculture to 10 be employed; and 11 Interns and apprentices educated on locally oriented farms results in X. 12 improved farm viability, larger pool of skilled agricultural labor, and 13 more beginning farmers. 14 We support: 15 i. USDA's promotion of buying local and regional agricultural products, to 16 provide new and larger markets for smaller specialty crop farmers. Local 17 will be defined by the consumer to be the immediate area, state, or 18 region, and must be U.S.-produced and processed; 19 ii. Promotion of farm-to-school and farm-to-institution programs; 20 iii. Promotion of farmers markets and community-supported agriculture 21 (CSA); 22 Producers being able to sell cottage food products across state lines if iv. 23 they meet the requirements of the states of production and sale; 24 Promotion of family-farm based agritourism; v. 25 vi. Regional food hub distribution centers; 26 vii. Funding to construct food safety qualified infrastructure to include 27 washing, commercial-kitchens, packaging, and other value-added 28 facilities: 29 viii. The purchase of surplus fruits and vegetables for federal food aid 30 programs; 31 ix. Incentives and the removal of barriers, so that farmers markets and farm 32 stands can redeem federal, state, and local nutrition benefits;

1	х.	Geographically disadvantaged provisions for U.S. areas not included in
2		main farm programs;
3	xi.	Local seed producers who produce regionally adapted seed;
4	xii.	Improved access to reasonably priced liability, crop, and whole farm
5		insurance for small local-market and direct-marketing producers; and
6	xiii.	The requirement that organizations receiving funds for farmers' markets
7		provide farmers with the right to direct the policies of the farmers'
8		markets.
9	xiv.	Expanding local and regional meat processing development through:
10		a. Continuing support for meat processing training programs at
11		technical colleges and expanding educational opportunities for
12		meat processing;
13		b. Supporting incentives for employee retention;
14		c. Supporting generational transition of processing plants, including
15		through apprenticeships;
16		d. Development of an equitable and scale-appropriate regulatory
17		framework for meat inspection;
18		e. Working across government to establish financing options,
19		develop model business plans, provide technical assistance,
20		streamline regulations and permitting, and otherwise make it
21		easier to start a new processing business; and
22		f. Improving access to markets, including direct marketing, food
23		service, supermarkets, and grocery stores.
24	XV.	A state's right to regulate the sale of animal products.
25		6. BEGINNING FARMERS AND RANCHERS (ALSO SEE ARTICLE V.A FSA CREDIT
26		Programs)
27	We re	cognize that the average age of a U.S. farmer continues to increase, and a
28	majority o	of the nation's farmland is changing hands due to the aging farmer
29	populatio	n. The ability of the next generation of family farmers to continue to
30	produce f	ood and fiber is critical to the economy, health, and security of our nation
31	and local	communities. In order to address this critical concern, the necessary
32	programs	must be in place and funded in order to meet the unique needs and

1 barriers facing beginning farmers and ranchers, with special emphasis on returning 2 military veterans, and ensure that they can enter and sustain farming and/or 3 ranching as a viable livelihood. 4 We support: 5 i. The Beginning Farmer and Rancher Individual Development Accounts 6 program; 7 ii. The loan category within FSA that directs operating loans to provide 8 flexible capital through operating microloans for beginning farmers and 9 ranchers: 10 iii. FSA becoming a more nimble lender to better support beginning farmers 11 and ranchers: 12 iv. Reducing collateral requirements to secure FSA Microloans, including 13 Farm Operating Loans, Farm Storage Facility Loans, and Farm Ownership 14 Loans for beginning farmers and ranchers: 15 Maintaining the loan set-aside within the direct and guaranteed FSA loan v. 16 program for beginning farmers and ranchers: 17 vi. Increasing the Farm Ownership Down Payment loan program limit; 18 vii. Increasing the USDA direct Farm Ownership Loan program limit; 19 FSA Farm Ownership Loans that accommodate beginning farmers and viii. 20 ranchers who already own land; 21 ix. Incentives and/or tax credits to landowners to lease or sell to beginning 22 farmers or ranchers (also see Article VII.C. - Land Transfer); 23 Cost-share differentials and funding set-asides for beginning farmers and X. 24 ranchers in the Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP), 25 Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP), and other programs; 26 xi. Including a priority for projects benefiting beginning farmers and 27 ranchers within Value-Added Grant Program and fostering new 28 entrepreneurial opportunities for beginning farmers and ranchers within 29 the Rural Development grants and loans programs; 30 xii. Continuation and funding programs that provide education and training 31 to beginning farmers and ranchers, including for agricultural

rehabilitation and vocational training programs for military veterans;

32

1 xiii. The Risk Management Agency (RMA) ensuring fair access to crop and 2 revenue insurance by beginning farmers and ranchers including an 3 additional crop insurance subsidy; 4 xiv. The adoption of a uniform definition for a Beginning Farmer and Rancher 5 to be used by all agencies within the department. We suggest that any 6 definition used by the FSA adds these provisions directing the FSA to 7 allow a producer to exclude certain years from their beginning farmer 8 status if the producer meets one of the following criteria: 9 a. while they are under the age of 18 (including the crop year you 10 turn 18): 11 b. while they are on full time active duty in the U.S. military; and 12 c. while they are in a post-secondary education program (the post-13 secondary education exclusion cannot exceed five years): 14 NIFA supporting research, education, and extension on issues related to XV. 15 beginning farmers and ranchers, farm transition, and farm entry; 16 The reauthorization and full funding of programs previously xvi. 17 administered by the National Sustainable Agriculture Information 18 Service to serve as a resource for beginning farmers and ranchers; 19 xvii. The establishment of a funding priority for the federal Farm and Ranch 20 Land Protection Program for easements conserving farmland for which 21 there is a generational farm transfer plan, easements which include the 22 use of an Option to Purchase at Agricultural Value or easements which 23 occur in conjunction with the transfer of the conserved land to a 24 beginning farmer; 25 xviii. Funding for beginning farmers and ranchers training and education 26 access programs; 27 xix. Reauthorization of the Higher Education Act and the inclusion of farming 28 and ranching in the Public Service Loan Forgiveness Program; 29 Legislation that would forgive a portion of the student loans carried by XX. 30 new farmers and ranchers in their first five years of farming; 31 xxi. Funding for farmers and ranchers to educate interns and apprentices on 32 their farms:

1	xxii.	Increased funding and set asides for beginning farmers and ranchers in
2		disaster programs;
3	xxiii.	Funding and training for organizations with beginning farmer training
4		programs to be vetted and credentialed to accept GI Bill education funds;
5		and
6	xxiv.	The advancement of land partnerships, such as farm incubator programs,
7		that provide opportunities for non-profit and for-profit agricultural
8		entities, and that support educational commercial farms that teach
9		agricultural and business skills.
10		7. Urban Farming
11	Natio	nal Farmers Union recognizes urban agriculture as an important part of
12	meeting r	ising food demands, supplying easier access to highly nutritious vegetables
13	and fruits	, reconnecting the food consumer with the farmer, storing carbon out of
14	the earth'	s atmosphere, and as an important new market opportunity for emerging
15	farmers.	
16	We su	pport:
17	i.	Urban and peri-urban farmers having access to all farm programs and all
18		farm loans;
19	ii.	Programs that develop land for urban agriculture;
20	iii.	Empowering urban residents to create their own community food
21		systems;
22	iv.	Improving outreach and support to farmers in testing soils for heavy
23		metals and other contaminants from previous land use;
24	V.	The integration and expansion of for-profit and non-profit agriculture in
25		urban, peri-urban, and open spaces, and in park settings; and
26	vi.	Increased funding for the USDA Office of Urban Agriculture and
27		Innovative Production.
28	B. DA	AIRY, LIVESTOCK, AQUACULTURE AND FISHING
29		1. Dairy Policy
30	i. Dom e	estic Dairy Policy
31	W	e urge Congress to develop a comprehensive dairy program to allow dairy
32	produ	cers across the nation to receive a profitable return on their investment.

1	It show	ıld:
2	a.	Encourage and enable producers to use sustainable environmental
3		practices;
4	b.	Provide a high-quality, stable supply of dairy products to consumers;
5	c.	Assist new farmers entering dairying;
6	d.	Balance milk supply and demand through a long-term supply
7		management program;
8	e.	Encourage voluntary producer assessments for an industry-managed
9		program that must clearly demonstrate they will stabilize and
10		increase producer prices;
11	f.	Prohibit mandatory producer assessments unless it can clearly be
12		demonstrated that they substantially increase producer income;
13	g.	Provide full funding of the School Milk Program and Special Milk
14		Programs;
15	h.	Include 100 percent real dairy products, including whole milk, in the
16		National School Lunch Program as well as in school vending
17		machines;
18	i.	Utilize the Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) to mitigate low
19		prices;
20	j.	Provide transparency in milk price reporting;
21	k.	Require mandatory participation of processors in an audited National
22		Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) survey;
23	l.	Require mandatory participation of processors in an audited cold-
24		storage report;
25	m.	Include development of a transparent pricing mechanism to replace
26		the inadequate Chicago Mercantile Exchange (CME) pricing system;
27	n.	Require USDA and CME to standardize labeling and packaging of
28		surplus dairy products;
29	0.	Ensure research and promotion activities are directed toward
30		enhancing income to family farmers;
31	p.	Provide an incentive payment to domestic producers who agree to
32		voluntary limits. Funding should be provided by those producers who

1		increase production from the previous year and produce more than a
2		level targeted to family-sized farms;
3	q.	Prohibit volume premiums;
4	r.	Include market loss assistance during times of low prices, with
5		capped payments based on production. The cap levels should be
6		targeted to family farmers;
7	S.	Prohibit federal legislation allowing dairy forward contracts that give
8		processors and marketers the ability to pay below the minimum
9		market order price;
10	t.	Include a floor price policy for milk. The floor price should help
11		producers in all regions of the country and provide for supply
12		management. The floor price should be set at a level that allows
13		producers to earn a fair return on their milk from the marketplace,
14		but not so high as to encourage overproduction;
15	u.	Provide for minimum prices that are based on a formula that reflects
16		what consumers are paying for all dairy products;
17	v.	Require plants to pay the minimum prices established by USDA for
18		butter, nonfat powder, and cheddar cheese;
19	W.	Prohibit domestic dumping by dairy marketers in the United States;
20	х.	Expansion of Livestock Gross Margin Dairy Program;
21	y.	Prohibit the use of fluid milk as a loss leader at the retail level.
22		Any future dairy program should establish fair prices, manage milk
23		inventories, and restore profitability to dairy farmers across the
24		United States; and
25	Z.	Restrict all forms of direct and indirect ownership or control of
26		agricultural products by agribusinesses, including the prohibition of
27		ownership, control, and operation of milk production facilities by
28		conglomerate, investor-owned dairy processing companies.
29	ii. Market (Order Reform
30	A fede	eral order system should be maintained and expanded to include all
31	areas with	hin the continental United States. A national milk marketing order and
32	nricing re	form should emphasize maximum return to producers. Transportation

1	differentials, quality premiums, and usage result in price disparities throughout
2	the United States. A revised national milk marketing order should include:
3	a. A price discovery formula at the producer level allowing for variable
4	market conditions;
5	b. A floor price on all classes of milk;
6	c. Price incentives that reflect the value of all milk components;
7	d. Tests for component pricing that are checked for accuracy by USDA;
8	e. A national make allowance that is adjustable to cover processing and
9	fortification. This allowance should be generated from the market,
10	not deducted from the established price through end-product pricing
1	f. A base make allowance that is adjustable to reflect the difference
12	between milk prices and the producer's cost of production;
13	g. Elimination of bloc voting on market orders; and
L 4	h. The continuation of the current order provisions following the defeat
15	of a proposed change. Orders should only be eliminated through a
16	producer referendum with no bloc voting.
17	iii. Milk Protein Concentrate/Ultra-Filtered Milk Products (also see Article
18	I.C. – Labeling of Commodities and Commodity Products)
<u>1</u> 9	Imported casein, milk protein concentrate (MPC) and ultra-filtered (UF)
20	milk products, blends, and food preparations have benefited from a
21	significant loophole in U.S. dairy trade policy and have distorted the nation's
22	dairy market.
23	We support:
24	a. Maintaining Food and Drug Administration's (FDA) current definition
25	of milk to prevent casein and MPC from being used in standardized
26	cheese;
27	b. Actions that restrict the importation of casein, MPC, dairy blends, and
28	food preps;
29	c. Properly informing consumers about the use of casein, MPC/UF dairy
30	blends and food preps in food production, including labeling;
31	d. Immediate passage of legislation to subject casein, MPC, and other
32	dairy blends to a tariff rate quota;

1	e.	Imposing strong penalties for the dairy plants using casein and/or
2		MPC and dairy blends in standardized cheese production;
3	f.	Requiring an end-use certification on all imports of MPC, dairy blends
4		and food preps;
5	g.	Bringing a trade action against nations that are dumping subsidized
6		MPC, dairy blends and food preps;
7	h.	Disallowing MPC for human consumption until it meets the necessary
8		generally regarded as safe (GRAS) requirements; and
9	i.	Prohibiting government subsidization of the production of MPC.
10	iv. Consume	r Protection (also see Article I.C. – Labeling of Commodities and
11	Commodit	y Products)
12	We suj	pport the following initiatives to ensure consumers can make
13	informed	purchasing decisions:
14	a.	State and federal legislation to require all schools to use rBST-free
15		milk;
16	b.	Restricting the use of the Real Seal to domestically produced dairy
17		products and subsequent enforcement of its standards;
18	c.	Educating and promoting the Real Seal program to consumers;
19	d.	Increasing the federal standards for fat and solids in fluid milk to
20		meet the national average of the milk content produced on U.S. farms $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right$
21	e.	Requiring imports to meet the same high standards used for
22		domestically produced dairy products; and
23	f.	Prohibiting the addition of artificial sweeteners, such as aspartame,
24		to dairy products. If allowed, they must be labeled as such.
25	v. Raw Dair	y
26	We sup	pport:
27	a.	The production and sale of raw/unpasteurized milk as it provides a
28		market niche for dairies. Because of the possible risks of cross-
29		contamination, we recommend that raw/unpasteurized milk be
30		bottled as the product of a single source and wherever possible at the
31		physical location of that source. Single-source bottling will keep

1		intact the chain of responsibility and greatly aid in tracking possible
2		cases of contamination;
3	b.	Policies, practices and standards for responsible raw/unpasteurized
4		milk production for dairy producers that choose to produce
5		raw/unpasteurized milk (or raw/unpasteurized dairy products) for
6		human consumption;
7	c.	Access to raw/unpasteurized milk (and/or raw dairy products) for
8		human consumption for all consumers that choose to consume
9		raw/unpasteurized milk; and
10	d.	The production of raw butter and its sale over state lines and urge the
11		FDA to modify its regulations to allow this to legally occur.
12	2.	LIVESTOCK POLICY
13	i. Animal W	Velfare
14	We su	pport:
15	a.	Producers' rights to own and raise livestock and have livestock and
16		their products recognized as personal property;
17	b.	The promotion of animal welfare and the teaching of animal welfare
18		through educational programs and agricultural organizations as
19		opposed to animal rights initiatives imposing mandatory restrictions
20		on traditional methods of animal agricultural production;
21	c.	Responsible care and management of animals to provide for the
22		welfare of herds and flocks;
23	d.	Educating the public regarding the important use of animals for
24		agricultural and medical research. Any illegal actions taken by animal
25		rights organizations toward producers should be prosecuted to the
26		fullest extent of the law;
27	e.	The development of egg sexing technologies to eliminate the
28		euthanasia of male chicks hatched in egg laying operations; and
29	f.	Revising transportation regulations to allow transportation of horses
30		at the discretion of the owner in the most cost-effective manner for
31		their intended purposes.
32	We op	pose:

1	a. A ban on the slaughter of horses and the criminalization of
2	individuals processing, shipping, transporting, purchasing, selling,
3	delivering, or receiving any horse, horseflesh, or carcass for the
4	purpose of harvest;
5	b. The euthanasia of chicks because of their sex; and
6	c. The abandonment of animals on private or public lands.
7	We call for the reinstitution of facilities and funding for USDA inspection to
8	deal with the processing and humane disposal of horses.
9	ii. Livestock and Livestock Product Imports (also see Article IV. –
10	International Trade, Cooperation, and the Family Farm)
11	The importation of livestock and livestock products is causing serious
12	damage to our domestic industry. Additionally, a lack of sufficient meat
13	import inspection poses an increased potential of contaminated food
L 4	reaching tables in the United States. We recommend that Congress
15	incorporate the following steps to protect U.S. livestock producers from
16	unfair trading practices:
L 7	a. Investigate the impact of foreign pricing practices on the U.S. market;
18	b. Impose countervailing duties to offset subsidies paid to foreign
19	exporters;
20	c. Require the amount of imported meat and live animals intended for
21	slaughter be reported weekly;
22	d. Maintain Section 301, allowing the United States to impose trade
23	sanctions against foreign countries that the USTR determines
24	applicable;
25	e. Re-establish the Meat Import Act, which was replaced under the
26	Uruguay Round, by replacing existing tariff rate quota system with ar
27	import quota system; and
28	f. Require end-use certificates to monitor the flow of livestock being
29	imported.
30	iii. Federal Meat Grading and Inspection
31	We support the following to ensure safe, fair, and equitably enforced
32	rules for meat grading and inspection:

T	d.	inspection of all meats, either domestic, imported, non-amenable
2		(bison, deer, elk, or other exotic species) to ensure such products
3		meet all state and/or applicable USDA regulations and standards;
4	b.	Hiring additional USDA inspectors to conduct required
5		inspections. The USDA grading and inspection services necessary
6		for food quality and safety should be tailored to meet the needs of
7		individuals and cooperatives. There should be a scaled fee
8		schedule, with higher-volume facilities paying more;
9	c.	Continued federal funding of the meat-grading program;
10	d.	Marketing of state inspected meats from current state-licensed
11		and inspected plants across state lines to individuals, retailers, or
12		food service outlets, when state meat inspection meets or
L3		surpasses federal regulations through existing Talmadge-Aiken
L 4		laws, cooperative inspection, and/or removal of restrictions on
15		interstate shipments of state-inspected products;
16	e.	Simplified and minimal paperwork, testing, and record keeping
L 7		requirements for small USDA inspected meat packing plants; and
18	f.	Establishment of an independent ombudsman where small plants
19		can appeal "directive to correct" from the inspection and
20		compliance division of the USDA meat inspection service;
21	g.	Allowing both exempt and inspected poultry in USDA inspected
22		establishments; and
23	h.	Increasing USDA definitions of very small and small
24		establishments.
25	We oppose	Federal preemption over meat inspection.
26	iv. Consume	r Protection (also see Article XI.K. – Food Safety and Article I.C –
27	Labeling (of Commodities and Commodity Products)
28	We su	pport:
29	a.	Strengthening USDA and FDA safety standards to prevent bovine
30		spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) contaminated products from
31		being imported (also see Article I.B.2.v. – Livestock Health);

1 b. Prohibiting the importation of livestock or livestock products from 2 countries with endemic reportable diseases; 3 c. Requiring more vigorous inspection of imported meat processing facilities and imported meats to ensure that they meet U.S. 4 5 standards; 6 d. Banning meat and/or meat products from foreign countries that 7 allow use of medications or additives not approved for use in the 8 **United States:** 9 e. Prohibiting the importation of ground and shaved meat into the 10 **United States:** 11 f. Enforcing all existing quarantine requirements and health 12 standards as set forth by USDA/Animal and Plant Health 13 Inspection Service (APHIS) regarding imports of livestock and 14 other commodities; and 15 g. USDA ensuring a rigorous and enhanced meat inspection system, to maintain consumer confidence in the safety and 16 17 wholesomeness of meat and poultry products. 18 We oppose: 19 a. The shipping of U.S. beef, pork, poultry, or fish to be processed in 20 foreign countries that is then returned to the U.S. for U.S. 21 consumption; and 22 b. Any changes to slaughter inspection that moves inspection tasks 23 away from USDA and state inspectors. This includes any 24 expansion of the HACCP-Based Inspection Models Project or any 25 other project that would privatize inspection roles. 26 v. Livestock Health 27 Livestock health is critical to production agriculture and our nation's ability 28 to provide a safe food supply. Achieving the necessary means to ensure livestock 29 health is a priority for NFU. We support good animal husbandry practices as the 30 primary means of livestock health maintenance, as well as the following 31 initiatives to ensure livestock health:

1	a.	We encourage all livestock producers to develop professional
2		relationships with their veterinarians to understand all aspects of the
3		Veterinary Feed Directive as implemented;
4	b.	We support incentives for large animal veterinarians who agree to
5		serve underserved areas;
6	С.	Continue to allow FDA to permit the use of therapeutic antibiotics
7		approved for use in livestock unless valid scientific evidence proves
8		the product is unsafe. NFU believes that antibiotic treatment should
9		be reserved for clinical treatment of illness and for judicious use in
10		preventing illness during periods of stress, and supports producers'
11		right to treat his or her animals with antibiotics to address herd
12		health issues while opposing the constant subtherapeutic use of
13		antibiotics;
14	d.	We oppose moving acute-use veterinary antibiotics to prescription
15		use only;
16	e.	To protect the continued and effective use of antibiotics for human
17		health care, we oppose the off-label use of antibiotics and/or
18		arsenicals and fluoroquinolones in animal production;
19	f.	Fully fund disease eradication programs, including testing of wildlife,
20		non-traditional livestock species and imported livestock and
21		livestock byproducts;
22	g.	Ban livestock, animal protein products, and meat imports that would
23		jeopardize U.S. efforts to eradicate livestock diseases, including BSE
24		and Foot-and-Mouth Disease (FMD);
25	h.	Encourage congressional support for emergency economic assistance
26		for producers who have suffered economic and market losses
27		because of an incident of livestock disease, as covered by the Animal
28		Health Protection Act (PL 108-498);
29	i.	Establish funding for a voluntary Johne's Disease testing program;
30	j.	Increase research on transmission modes, vaccine regimens, and
31		protocol for vesicular stomatitis by federal officials and private
32		organizations;

1	k. Re-evaluate the quarantine restriction and reclassification of
2	vesicular stomatitis from Class A to a Class B disease;
3	l. Prevent Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy (BSE) and Foot-and-
4	Mouth Disease (FMD) through:
5	1. Increasing federal and international research to understand
6	and prevent BSE/FMD;
7	2. Continuing the site-specific ban on processing, blending, and
8	shipping of meat from a plant where BSE has been found, until
9	subsequent test results show that the plant is free of BSE;
10	3. Rescinding the USDA rules that allow the import of livestock
11	and meat products from countries with active disease
12	outbreaks;
13	4. Continuing the ban on livestock and meat imports from
14	countries with BSE/FMD outbreaks until the disease is
15	controlled and/or eradicated;
16	5. Banning all meat and feed imports from countries that have
17	not implemented and enforced a ruminant-to-ruminant feed
18	ban;
19	6. Prohibiting the importation of animal protein products from
20	countries that cannot certify BSE- and transmissible
21	spongiform encephalopathies (TSE)-free products;
22	7. Prohibiting TSE-positive materials in non-ruminant feeds; and
23	8. Cross-referencing all regulations for complete consistency of
24	standards;
25	m. Develop a comprehensive strategy and work with electric providers
26	to help producers detect and eliminate stray voltage;
27	n. Enforce Section 21 General Requirement Rule 215-B of the National
28	Electric Safety Code to prohibit using the ground as the sole
29	conductor or return to utilities substations;
30	o. Require user-fees for importers to implement inspections and
31	disease prevention;

- p. Encourage Congress to continue funding research and prevention methods for all harmful and life-threatening strains of influenza.
 USDA should develop a strategic plan to help producers detect, monitor, and eradicate infected animals. Vaccination compensation for mandatory culling should be directed toward producers with limited finances to prevent the driving out of small producers;
- q. We oppose completion of the National Bio- and Agro-Defense research facility in any location critical to food production in our nation. If completed, rigorous standards of containment must be developed, and the government should assume complete liability should containment not be successful. Funding must be full, adequate, and continuous to meet the rigorous standards of containment. To prevent any biosecurity risk, funding for this facility should be exempt from any budgetary cuts; and
- r. We support legislative efforts to amend the Minor Use and Minor Species Animal Health Act of 2004 to include language that would provide federal incentives, tax, and others, for the development and labeling of much needed pharmaceuticals for minor species and minor uses.

vi. State Animal Identification

We support the USDA's action to leave animal identification for disease management to the states. We urge state programs to establish voluntary individual animal identification systems that recognize that the collected information is the sole, proprietary property of the producer and those authorized to use it. Any livestock database shall be housed at the state government level – not privately held. The data shall only be shared in the event of a disease outbreak and to the extent necessary for its control.

vii. National Animal Identification

We oppose the expansion of electronic identification mandates to other classifications of cattle beyond those affected by the APHIS final rule on animal disease traceability in April 2024.

T	Electronic ID should only be voluntary, but it there are any enorts toward
2	instituting a mandatory national animal identification system, several issues
3	must be addressed:
4	a. The costs of implementing the program, including the tags and
5	database, must be borne by the Federal government and not passed
6	on to family farmers and ranchers;
7	b. Any mandatory national animal identification system must be
8	administered by the USDA;
9	c. Farmer and rancher liability for contaminated food products should
10	be mitigated;
11	d. Use of the information should be available only to relevant federal
12	and state agencies and only in times of animal disease outbreaks or
13	bioterrorism attacks and the access to the information should not be
L 4	subject to FOIA;
1 5	e. Tracking technology and database management should be uniform
16	and accessible to producers for their own herd;
L 7	f. Specifying the current RFID technology and not expanding to next
18	generation technologies that could be traced remotely; and
19	g. We ardently oppose a privately managed database for a national
20	animal identification system and control of the database must remain
21	under the control of USDA and remain confidential.
22	viii. Aquaculture, Fishing and Alternative Livestock
23	1. Land-based aquaculture and alternative livestock
24	The land-based aquaculture and alternative livestock industries
25	represent opportunities for family farmers to establish new and/or more
26	diversified farming enterprises.
27	To ensure consumer confidence in the health and safety of these
28	products, we support:
29	a. The extension of federal, or equivalent state, food inspection services
30	for these products and recommend that a uniform inspection fee
31	system be adopted by the agency of jurisdiction;

1	b. Recognizing farm-raised freshwater fish and shellfish production as a
2	domesticated livestock under USDA definition and moving its
3	inspection from FDA to USDA jurisdiction;
4	c. Recognizing game farms as livestock operations and subjecting them
5	to all livestock health regulations, as well as making them eligible for
6	any benefits provided traditional livestock operations;
7	d. Recognizing vermiculture and insect farming as agricultural
8	practices, and worms and insects as an alternative livestock;
9	e. USDA, utilizing state departments of agriculture where feasible, being
10	the agency that regulates all health issues for these farms and
11	ranches, as well as providing production, processing, and market
12	development assistance for these products; and
13	f. Assisting small producers to comply with regulations.
14	2. Marine Aquaculture
15	The development of industrial-scale offshore finfish aquaculture is
16	detrimental to the family fishers and local economies of historic fishing
17	communities. It should not be allowed to proceed unless and until there is
18	national legislation in place that ensures it can be conducted without
19	harming marine ecosystems and coastal fishing communities.
20	We oppose:
21	a. Promotion of offshore aquaculture operations that displace or
22	endanger traditional fishing practices and onshore infrastructure;
23	b. Inefficient use of marine resources as feed within such
24	operations;
25	c. Federal subsidies to promote, sustain or further develop such
26	operations; and
27	d. Marine fishing operations that are not community-based and do
28	not contribute to the promotion of locally and sustainably caught
29	wild seafood.
30	3. Sustainable Working Waterfronts and Fisheries
31	Small boat fishers face many of the same challenges as family farmers: an
32	aging population, consolidation of the fishing fleet, and high cost of

1 production in fuel and license costs make it hard to enter or sustain a fishing 2 business. Overfishing of forage fish habitat has led to a current economic 3 crisis for many small boat fishers and their coastal communities. Drastic 4 declines in commercial and ground fish off all U.S. coasts will necessitate 5 extreme cuts to fish harvest. The economic impact of these reductions will be 6 devastating for small boat fishers. 7 We support: 8 a. Protecting our working waterfronts so that viable family fishing 9 businesses can thrive and grow; 10 b. Disaster assistance for small boat fishers: 11 c. Full assistance of USDA Risk Management Agency for small boat 12 fishers: 13 d. Development and support of cooperative marketing, processing, 14 and branding models that provide a fair livelihood for fishing 15 families, healthy local food products, and sustainability of wild 16 harvest fisheries: 17 e. Extension of the full range of USDA Know Your Farmer, Know 18 Your Food (KYF) programs to support small boat fishers and 19 provide access to education and training for beginning fishers; 20 f. Programs that provide regionally appropriate research and 21 technical assistance to improve profitability of sustainable fishing 22 practices, enhanced marketing, and processing of sustainably 23 caught fish; 24 g. Programs that enhance the production and processing of local 25 meat and poultry should be extended to include fish; 26 h. USDA conservation programs that support the development and 27 adoption of sustainable fishing practices and protect coastal 28 ecosystems; 29 i. Nutrition program incentives should be extended to fresh fish; 30 Catch limits as essential means of rebuilding fish stocks; 31 k. Selective harvesting practices that allow healthy stocks to be 32 targeted, while at-risk and depleted stocks are avoided;

1		l.	Reviewing current practices as well as development of new
2			fishing gear or techniques that avoid depleted stocks;
3		m.	Forage fish populations being carefully managed to avoid
4			overharvest using science-based annual catch levels and a risk
5			adverse management strategy;
6		n.	Development of meaningful protections for sensitive sea floor
7			habitat essential for the needs of managed species based on best
8			available science;
9		0.	Prohibiting fishing gears and/or practices that are not conducive
10			to protecting these important and vulnerable areas; and
11		p.	We oppose opening closed areas of the ocean fisheries in
12			response to recent declines in ground fish stocks.
13	C. LAB	ELING O	F COMMODITIES AND COMMODITY PRODUCTS
14		1. Co	ountry-of-Origin Labeling
15	We sup	port re	authorization and full implementation of mandatory country-of-
16	origin labe	ling (CO	OOL) for agricultural, aquaculture and wild-caught seafood
L 7	products. M	landato	ory COOL is a valuable marketing tool for producers, and it allows
18	consumers	to kno	w where the meat products they consume are born, raised, and
19	harvested.	U.S. pro	oducers and consumers have the right to distinguish products
20	from those	of othe	er countries and U.S. products should not be categorized as a North
21	American p	roduct	(also see Article III. – Agriculture Competition and Concentration).
22	We strongl	y urge	that USDA and Congress reinstate mandatory COOL.
23	We sup	port th	e following principles in the continued implementation of
24	mandatory	COOL	to qualify and be labeled as U.Sproduced:
25	i.	Meat p	roducts must come from an animal born, raised, and harvested in
26		the Uni	ited States;
27	ii.	Fresh p	produce, honey, and nuts must be exclusively grown and processed
28		in the l	Jnited States;
29	iii.	Dairy p	products to be labeled to indicate country-of-origin;
30	iv.	Farm-r	raised fish and shellfish must be hatched, raised, and processed in
31		the Uni	ited States or wild fish and shellfish harvested and processed
32		solely i	n the United States or by a U.Sflagged vessel:

1 USDA should prohibit any third-party compliance reviews and third v. 2 parties dictating what types of records producers need to keep and the 3 way the records should be kept; 4 USDA should perform any/all producer audits to determine compliance vi. 5 with the law: 6 vii. Allow those who solely produce U.S. products to self-verify that fact; 7 viii. Producers, processors, and retailers should maintain records in a manner 8 of their choosing, as long as the information is available and can be 9 transferred to a standardized format in the event of an audit by USDA; 10 In the absence of mandatory COOL, the same labeling standards outlined ix. 11 in mandatory COOL should be maintained to benefit producers and 12 consumers in any voluntary program; and 13 X. If a national animal identification program is implemented, the 14 information should include country-of-origin distinction for consumers 15 of covered commodities at all retail levels. 16 2. Truth in Labeling of Food Products 17 Thorough and accurate food labels are an important tool that helps consumers 18 make informed decisions and allows producers to differentiate their products. We 19 support conspicuous, mandatory, uniform, and federal labeling for food products 20 throughout the processing chain to include all ingredients, additives, and processes 21 such as: 22 i. Artificial growth hormones; 23 ii. Products derived from cloned animals; 24 iii. The identity and country of ownership of the parent company; 25 iv. Carbon monoxide injected in meat and seafood or packaging for 26 appearance or shelf-life purposes; 27 Point of origin and producer-determined standards for geographic v. 28 indicators, including percentage coming from that origin; 29 Date of kill, for meat and seafood; vi. 30 vii. Whether the meat was frozen;

The date/dates the meat was subsequently refrozen;

31

viii.

1	ix.	Irradiated products, and we call for further research on its long-term
2		effects on human health;
3	Х.	Maintaining and protecting the integrity of organic labeling;
4	xi.	Labeling standards for the sale of organic products, while not limiting
5		opportunities to market other natural or sustainably produced food
6		products;
7	xii.	A designation for soil-less systems so as to maintain the integrity of the
8		USDA Organic label;
9	xiii.	Requiring labeling of milk from cows injected with recombinant bovine
10		somatotropin (rBST), a hormone to stimulate milk production. In the
11		absence of federal labeling requirements, we encourage farmer-certified
12		rBST-free labeled products;
13	xiv.	Labeling the use of casein, MPC/UF dairy blends, and food preps in food
14		production;
15	XV.	Requiring the labeling of artificial sweeteners;
16	xvi.	Requiring any laboratory or artificially produced products to be labeled
17		as such;
18	xvii.	Adding to the Food Standards and Labeling Policy Book the following
19		definitions and labeling requirements for meat and beef:
20		a. "Meat" is a product derived from the tissue or flesh of animals that
21		have been harvested in the traditional manner;
22		b. "Beef" is a product derived from the tissue of cattle born, raised,
23		and harvested in the traditional manner;
24	xviii.	The development of certifiable and verifiable "Grass-fed" labeling
25		standards by USDA that are regulated in a manner similar to "Organic";
26	xix.	The standardization of federal laws to determine moisture content
27		allowance of all meat products, and that any water injected during
28		processing must be identified on the label; and
29	XX.	All imported meat and other foods federally inspected under federal health
30		laws carrying an "imported and inspected" label.
31	We o	oppose:
32	i.	Labeling poultry chilled below 26 degrees Fahrenheit as fresh;

1	11.	USDA regulation that allows the addition of up to eight percent water-
2		weight to poultry products without mandating that these products are so
3		labeled;
4	iii.	Labeling alternative protein sources as meat;
5	iv.	Cellular technology being called agriculture;
6	v.	The use of the words milk, cheese, butter, yogurt, or other words used to
7		describe dairy products in labels on imitation or substitute dairy
8		products;
9	vi.	The use of the word milk to designate any product not derived from
10		mammals; and
11	vii.	Labeling meat products produced from animals born, bred, raised,
12		harvested, or processed outside the United States as "Product of U.S.A."
13		or equivalent.
14		3. NUTRITION LABELING
15	We su	pport providing consumers with information on nutrients in food
16	products	to help avoid misleading health claims.
17	D. C o	OMMODITIES
18		1. WHEAT, COARSE GRAINS, SMALL GRAINS, OILSEEDS, RICE, AND COTTON
19	A farn	n program should recognize the market realities of the fundamentally
20	unique bu	usiness of farming. Such a program should include these basic provisions:
21	i.	Price support and income support mechanism for wheat, coarse grains,
22		oilseeds, rice, and cotton that establishes a floor under market prices and
23		enables producers to obtain their income from the marketplace;
24	ii.	Price and income support should primarily be provided by CCC non-
25		recourse, commodity loans;
26	iii.	A dual enrollment option for ARC and PLC, which allows producers to
27		receive the higher of the two calculated payments;
28	iv.	Higher reference prices that more closely reflect the current costs of
29		production and commodity markets;
30	v.	Flexible loan maturity periods should be able to be extended at the
31		discretion of the producer for up to 18 months, with the producer able to
32		forfeit after 9 months;

1 vi. Price support and CCC loan rates should be set at levels to ensure 2 producers can receive a fair return on their investment and the CCC loan 3 rate should not be for less than the USDA national rolling average cost of 4 production. CCC loan rates should be adjusted annually to reflect 5 inflation and productivity; 6 vii. Price supports and CCC loan rates should be annually balanced in an 7 upward manner to prevent market and planting distortions; and 8 viii. Loan rates for organic commodities reflect the market price separate 9 from conventional rates: 10 NFU strongly urges the establishment of a voluntary, farmer-owned ix. 11 market-driven inventory system that reduces volatility in agricultural 12 commodity markets. For such a system to succeed, we recommend the 13 following: 14 1. USDA will offer per bushel storage rates for commodities when 15 prices fall below a designated loan rate, which is set near the 16 midpoint between the variable and full cost of production for 17 each commodity. Enrollment in the storage program will cease 18 when prices recover; 19 2. Farmers may enter any portion of their crop into storage, which 20 must remain enrolled in the program until the market price for 21 the commodity reaches the release price, or approximately 160 22 percent of the loan rate; 23 3. Farmers must maintain the crop in proper condition while in 24 storage; 25 4. Economically sound inventory caps should be set on each 26 commodity. Should the inventory cap be reached for a 27 commodity, a voluntary set-aside program may be made available 28 by USDA; 29 5. When the release price is reached, the farmer may sell the 30 commodity into the market or hold the commodity, but storage 31 rates will cease:

1	6.	Allowing the secretary of agriculture the authority to manage
2		price-depressing surpluses by providing producers incentives to
3		plant dedicated energy crops on acres which are now, or may be
4		produced, in surplus;
5	7.	Commodity support based on actual production history (APH),
6		where available. For cases without APH, the program yield cannot
7		be lower than FSA County Expected Yields (CEY).
8	8.	The establishment of APH floors to protect producers in the event
9		of successive crop failure brought on by natural disasters. This
10		floor cannot be lower than the FSA CEY;
11	9.	CEY should have different quantities for organic production
12		versus conventional production with county thresholds;
13	10	. Flexibility in choosing which crops to plant;
14	11	. Low-interest grain storage facility loans should be made available
15		to producers;
16	12	. Each farm operator and crop share landlord should be eligible for
17		maximum loan volume targeted to family farms;
18	13	. Farmers should have the right to periodically update acreage
19		bases and proven yields on all crops for each farm; and
20	14	. Farmers should have the option to store forfeited grain and
21		receive storage payments until final sale is consummated.
22	2. 0	THER PROGRAM COMMODITIES (WOOL, MOHAIR, HONEY, PULSE CROPS,
23	ET	cc.)
24	We support:	
25	i. The es	tablishment of an economic safety net program for other eligible
26	comm	odities based on price income supports provided through CCC non-
27	recour	rse, commodity loans in a manner comparable to more traditional
28	farm p	rogram crops. Other eligible commodities include, but are not
29	limited	d to wool, mohair, honey, pulse crops, and forage crops (if hayed or
30	grazed	1);
31	ii. Fundir	ng the Wool and Mohair Program from tariffs on sheep and wool
32	impor	ts;

1 iii. The Pulse Crop Health Initiative, a focused effort to increase and leverage 2 scientific research on the advantages of pulse crops; and 3 iv. Including hay, forage crops and managed pasture as program crops for 4 the purposes of commodity program payments. 5 3. INDUSTRIAL HEMP 6 The Hemp Farming Act of 2018 removed the regulations regarding low THC 7 containing hemp from the Controlled Substances Act and legalized growing 8 industrial hemp across the country. However, because interest in this new industry 9 is expanding rapidly, there are issues with the quality and authenticity of products 10 currently being sold to consumers and very little FDA oversight to protect 11 consumers from subpar products. To protect this burgeoning new industry, Farmers 12 Union supports: 13 i. Clear federal rules on CBD products, as well as truth in labeling what is in 14 said products for any kind of consumption or topical use: 15 ii. CBD oil processors engaging in best practices in a food grade facility, and 16 having a certification program to show that what is printed on the label is 17 accurate as well as having accurate dosing recommendations allowing 18 family farmers who are manufacturing hemp products with hemp grown 19 and processed on their own farm; 20 iii. The acknowledgement by FDIC and USDA/FSA that industrial hemp has 21 been declassified as a controlled substance and allowing farmers to 22 continue obtaining operating loans; 23 We support the National Credit Union Administration in recognizing and iv. 24 working with hemp producers to provide financial services; 25 Continuing to work to establish crop insurance options beyond Whole v. 26 Farm policies; 27 Funding continued research into the benefits of CBD oil, industrial hemp vi. 28 being used as supplemental livestock feed, and seed studies, as well as 29 studying ways to use the entire plant, for example, but not limited to 30 hempcrete bricks, animal feed, plastics, and human nutrition;

Establishing long term markets to carry this budding industry beyond

31

32

vii.

the current boom:

1 viii. Federal and state water rights will be permitted for the purpose of 2 irrigating industrial hemp crops; 3 ix. Programs that incentivize regional processing and distribution hubs; 4 Maintaining a level playing field for family farmers by taking steps to X. 5 police both monopoly and monopsony within all related markets; and 6 xi. Increasing the allowable THC content of industrial hemp to 3 percent. 7 4. Tobacco 8 We urge action by Congress and the administration to: 9 i. Provide for a plan and funding for economic development assistance to 10 tobacco-dependent communities: 11 ii. Establish country-of-origin labeling for tobacco: 12 iii. Ensure that all imported tobacco meets the same standards of domestic 13 leaf and is monitored and tracked in a similar fashion as domestic leaf; 14 and 15 Act to include provisions to continue the inspection of foreign tobacco for iv. 16 U.S.-banned chemicals and pesticides. 17 5. PEANUTS 18 Historically, U.S. farm policy has recognized that for peanuts, the cost of 19 requirements of the sector, such as specialty harvesting equipment and storage 20 facilities would attract a limited number of equipment dealers, processors, and 21 marketers. Therefore, family-sized farms would require particular attention to the 22 orderly and honest marketing of such a crop. 23 We support: 24 Grower marketing associations and federal marketing and warehouse 25 assistance to aid in the maintenance of fair and transparent market 26 competition; 27 ii. Increased research funding to develop alternative uses for peanuts; 28 iii. Prohibiting the importation of peanuts or any peanut products from 29 countries that produce no peanuts; and 30 Prohibiting the importation of peanuts or peanut products from iv. 31 countries that have plant disease problems, use unjust labor practices, or

have less stringent pesticide regulations than the United States.

6. SUGAR

We support the continuation of the no-cost U.S. sugar program and encourage Congress to work with U.S. sugar producers to adopt a strong sugar program to provide security for domestic producers of sugar. We oppose a federal price cap on sugar. We support provisions for a realistic import quota of foreign sugar and protection from the dumping of subsidized foreign sugar on the domestic market.

We support continuation of the suspension agreements governing sugar trade with Mexico.

We oppose ethanol produced from imported sugar receiving any taxpayer subsidies.

7. TREE FARMS AND FORESTRY

The economic well-being, as well as the independent existence of family tree farmers, is threatened by regulatory uncertainty, over-regulation of wetlands and restraining buffer zones. We support the family tree farmers.

NFU encourages private landowners to adopt cooperative sustainable forest management practices, including but not limited to completion and implementation of forest stewardship management plans as recognized by USDA or state forest services.

We support the USDA Tree Assistance Program (TAP).

8. Specialty Crops

Specialty crops include but are not limited to fruits, vegetables, tree nuts, dried fruits, nursery crops, pulse crops, floriculture and horticulture including turf grass, sod, *Camellia sinensis* teas, coffee, hemp, and herbal crops. Congress should provide mandatory funding for a safety net program, including risk management tools, to allow producers to earn the cost of production.

We support:

- Mandatory and expanded funding for the Specialty Crop Block Grant Program. Specialty crop block grants that are provided to states should be provided to producers and not supplant state budgets;
- ii. Collaboration with consumer, culinary and other food groups to promoteU.S. specialty crops;

- 1 iii. Protection for specialty crop producers from imports during the primary 2 harvest season for perishable crops (window of harvest); 3 iv. Incentives for all federal nutrition program beneficiaries to use their 4 benefits at farmers markets, CSAs and other direct marketing outlets. 5 Reauthorization and expansion of the SNAP-based Food Insecurity 6 Nutrition Incentive Grant Program and the Child Nutrition Act with 7 continued prioritization for the direct-to-consumer marketing and the 8 local and regional sourcing of fruits and vegetables: 9 v. Adopting policies and regulations that ensure the market for cannabis is 10 accessible to family farms and do not create unfair advantages to large 11 companies and monopolies. We oppose "pay to play" systems: 12 vi. A state's right to make their own choice on medical and recreational 13 marijuana by calling on Congress and U.S. DEA to reclassify marijuana as 14 a schedule 2 or lower drug; 15 vii. USDA designation of tree syrups as a specialty crop; 16 viii. Both seed exchanges and seed libraries for the open exchange of 17 heirloom and open-pollinated seed; 18 Increased transparency and education for consumers on seed stock that ix. 19 is repackaged for retail sale and labeled, to prevent misrepresentation as 20 sourced or grown local; 21 X. Flexibility to allow occasional fruit and vegetable production without 22 losing base acres for covered commodities; and 23 The development of other non-food uses for agricultural products (e.g., хi. 24 road maintenance). 25 9. Honey/Pollination 26 Beekeeping, pollination services, queen breeding, pollinator husbandry, and 27 honey production are agricultural operations. Pollinators are vital to agriculture and 28 to the production of fruits, vegetables and crops. Research indicates that multiple 29 factors contribute to the decline in pollinators including pesticides, habitat loss,
 - Therefore, we support:

pathogens, parasites, and climate change.

30

1	1.	Agricultural research and education to encourage innovative approaches
2		to protecting honeybee health and improve genetic stocks of honeybees;
3	ii.	Development and expanded research to enhance native pollinators;
4	iii.	Encouraging EPA to enforce its pesticide use labels to ensure proper
5		application of pesticides;
6	iv.	Encouraging collaboration between the pesticide manufacturing and
7		pollinator industries to educate applicators and producers about the
8		potentially harmful effects of pesticides on pollinator populations;
9	v.	Continued monitoring of pest populations and pest control methods;
10	vi.	Continued monitoring of pollinator imports, accidental importation of
11		pests and invasive species;
12	vii.	Ensuring that pollinator-beneficial habitat and best management
13		practices are eligible for cost-sharing assistance and incentives in USDA
14		conservation programs intended to assist producers;
15	viii.	Public research of effects of pesticides, such as neonicotinoids, on bee
16		colonies, especially related to Colony Collapse Disorder;
17	ix.	The FDA defining honey as a food product based on the Codex
18		Alimentarius standards so that U.S. beekeepers can utilize trade and legal
19		mechanisms when imported honey is adulterated. Until the FDA defines
20		honey as a food product, we support efforts at the state level to authorize
21		state departments of agriculture to define honey using the Codex
22		Alimentarius standards as a guideline;
23	Х.	Honeybees and hives for inclusion in livestock compensation programs;
24		and
25	xi.	Effective and aggressive enforcement of chemical restrictions and
26		management practices that harm pollinators.
27	We op	pose any attempt to classify sweet, white, or yellow clover as an invasive
28	species or	to prohibit the sale or planting of sweet clover seed because of its
29	important	role in honey production.
30		10. Value-Added and Minimally Processed Foods
31	We su	pport artisan producers for creating value-added revenue streams from
32	agricultur	al products.

1	We support policies and programs that encourage the further development of		
2	these types of farm-based enterprises.		
3	11. Value-Added Uses of Farm Products		
4	We support value-added uses of farm commodities that encourage the		
5	development of bio-degradable consumer packaging as the standard choice for		
6	storage containers, packaging, and bags.		
7	E. Agritourism		
8	We support:		
9	1. The inclusion of agritourism as part of the definition of agriculture;		
10	2. Encouraging USDA to recognize the value of agritourism and on-farm		
1	education programs as a critical value-added product; and		
12	3. USDA developing and implementing a program to educate producers about		
13	liabilities associated with agritourism operations.		
L 4	F. RISK MANAGEMENT		
15	We encourage:		
16	1. The expansion of risk management tools to cover all commodities;		
17	2. The FSA to always consider prevented planting acres in revenue		
18	calculations; and		
19	3. The Inventory Management Soil Enhancement Tool (IMSET) as a mechanism		
20	for farmers to voluntarily use annually to enhance individual farmer's		
21	pricing inventory while enhancing their land's marginal soil.		
22	1. LIVESTOCK PRODUCER ASSISTANCE		
23	We support:		
24	i. Full and permanent funding for the livestock compensation programs;		
25	and		
26	ii. Safeguards to assure that program benefits are targeted to family		
27	farmers and ranchers.		
28	2. Crop And Livestock Insurance		
29	Crop insurance and revenue coverage should not be considered a replacement		
30	for fair market prices and an adequate price support program.		
31	We support:		

1 i. The continuation and improvement of the federal crop insurance 2 program; 3 ii. Maintaining the current or higher subsidy level for crop insurance; 4 iii. A permanent disaster program, in addition to crop insurance that 5 addresses both catastrophic and shallow losses; 6 iv. Enhancing the affordability of coverage above 75 percent of actual 7 production history (APH); 8 A limitation on the cumulative value of all federal premium subsidies for v. 9 the purchase of "buy-up" crop insurance coverage. In the event budget 10 cuts result in decreased "buy-up" premium subsidies, those cuts should 11 be accomplished by caps on per-individual subsidies. Crop insurance 12 subsidies should be attributed to individuals based on their share of 13 ownership of insurable production and entities they own: 14 Development of federal crop insurance policies that provide a dollar-pervi. 15 acre, multi-peril coverage option similar to policies that exist for single-16 peril hail coverage: 17 vii. Development of federal crop insurance policies based on the regional 18 average cost of production for the insured commodity; 19 viii. Development of new products that allow producers to protect their 20 income in times of low prices and/or quality losses; 21 ix. Expanded production loss and revenue protection programs to cover 22 more crops and livestock in an equitable and comparable manner in all 23 states: 24 RMA continuing to develop risk management tools that will benefit X. 25 livestock producers and that are subsidized in a way similar to crop 26 insurance, like Livestock Risk Protection (LRP), Livestock Gross Margin 27 (LGM), Dairy Revenue Protection (DRP), Pasture, Rangeland, Forage 28 (PRF), and Weaned Calf Risk Protection (WCRP); 29 Legislation that requires insurance companies that provide income xi. 30 support products, such as LRP, to provide proof of sound insurance 31 business practices;

1	xii.	Directing RMA to further develop the Whole Farm Revenue Protection
2		Program to be more equally treated with other RMA programs ensuring
3		it is functionally available in Year 1 of a farming operation;
4	xiii.	Legislative action to provide RMA authority to allow nationwide crop and
5		revenue insurance pilot programs;
6	xiv.	The differentiation of spring wheat and winter wheat for enterprise
7		units;
8	XV.	Increasing the producer representation on the Federal Crop Insurance
9		Corporation (FCIC) board of directors and establishing a local appeals
10		process including conflict resolution;
11	xvi.	Providing family farm producers the opportunity to use all available
12		disaster programs without penalty, including for growing non-insured
13		specialty, forage, and cover crops, or using practices to innovate,
14		diversify, or conserve resources on their operation; farmers relying on
15		surface water for irrigation should not be penalized by being forced to
16		sign up crop as dry-land instead of irrigated land due to an "act of God"
17		resulting in lack of water because of drought;
18	xvii.	Reasonable funding for crop insurance agent and company
19		reimbursement;
20	xviii.	No reduction of APH for federal crop insurance purposes when
21		production is reduced by natural disasters;
22	xix.	Offering an adequate, individual catastrophic coverage program to
23		provide a safety net against crop disasters with a graduated premium
24		based on acres rather than crops;
25	XX.	Signup requirements that contain enough flexibility including changes to
26		established planting dates to allow producers to respond to weather
27		changes;
28	xxi.	The use of cover crops for stewardship purposes on prevented planting
29		acres when feasible;
30	xxii.	Prevented planting provisions in insurance policies that can provide
31		valuable coverage when extreme weather conditions prevent expected
32		plantings. To maintain the integrity of the program and avoid abuse,

1		producers should make planting decisions based on agronomically sound
2		and well-documented crop management practices. We encourage the
3		RMA to develop guidelines that are objective rather than subjective;
4	xxiii.	Maintaining eligibility to receive prevented planting indemnity payments
5		regardless of the producer's planting history;
6	xxiv.	The inclusion of local quality and basis adjustments in revenue
7		protection (RP) products;
8	XXV.	The risk management program recognizing and accommodating the
9		unique production and actuarial experiences of producers of certified
10		organic commodities;
11	xxvi.	The Supplemental Coverage Option (SCO) and Enhanced Coverage
12		Option (ECO), and efforts to encourage the affordability of these and
13		other supplemental insurance products;
14	xxvii.	Requiring the RMA and the FSA to coordinate all definition, reporting
15		requirements and information technologies;
16	xxviii.	The creation of regional advisory committees composed of producers,
17		insurance agents and insurance company officials to work with RMA
18		regional staff and offices to establish appropriate policies, procedures
19		and educational activities for the individual RMA regions;
20	xxix.	The development and expansion of products that allow producers of
21		non-program commodities, small and/or diversified farming operations
22		of specialty and minor crops to have equitable insurance coverage based
23		on the market for which it is produced.
24	XXX.	USDA making the regulatory or operational changes necessary to remove
25		barriers and ensure fair access to crop and revenue insurance for
26		beginning farmers and ranchers;
27	xxxi.	The concept of a risk management account that would be a private, self-
28		insured policy for farmers that is like the current health savings account.
29		This is not a replacement for crop insurance; rather, it is an alternative
30		tool for farmers;
31	xxxii.	Prevented planting losses including all weather contingencies, including
32		drought;

1	xxxiii.	A crop insurance premium due date of December 1 with no interest
2		charges to the premium if the claim is unsettled;
3	xxxiv.	Conservation compliance to be eligible for federal crop insurance
4		subsidies, but recognize the need for the following specialized carve-out
5		provisions:
6		a. Expedited land classification determinations by NRCS;
7		b. Expedited classification appeals;
8		c. Ability to waive penalties for "in good faith" or other minor
9		errors;
10		d. Conservation compliance documentation being the sole
11		responsibility of the lessee or operator on cash rented land;
12		e. Ability to mitigate before penalties are assessed;
13		f. Assessment of penalties on individuals' tracts only, not whole
14		farms;
15		g. Severability of penalties between landowners and tenants;
16		h. Penalties applying only going forward for "in good faith" errors;
17		and
18		i. Relaxed requirements for regions that have not received the
19		benefit of natural drainage in cooperation with NRCS.
20	XXXV.	The continued development of risk management tools for organic-
21		certified crops;
22	xxxvi.	Additional crop insurance subsidies for farmers using proven and
23		effective conservation practices;
24	xxxvii.	Crop and forage insurance reforms to allow for adequate coverage of
25		double-cropping systems;
26	xxxviii.	Crop insurance reforms that protect producers against quality losses,
27		including but not limited to protein discounts and yield reductions, and
28		making coverages available up to the cost of production. The effects of
29		quality losses and multi-year claims on production histories must be
30		minimized so that a producer's coverage is not diminished;
31	xxxix.	The grading of durum for claim purposes should be by durum standards,
32		not spring wheat standards;

1 xl. Reducing the rotational restrictions for broadleaf and pulse crops used 2 by RMA; 3 xli. Limiting risk management audits of farm records to seven years of 4 production history, regardless of crop rotation; 5 xlii. Revisions in crop insurance, possibly by a special provision statement, 6 which would allow farmers to exempt saline acres from crop insurance 7 coverage at acreage reporting time. This would permit a "conservation" 8 planting" of salt tolerant crops, such as barley, sunflower, oats, rve, or 9 others to be utilized as a remediation crop without requiring it to be 10 insured: 11 xliii. Recommending that the Federal Crop Insurance Corporation amend and 12 change their policy language to eliminate their definition of "fire 13 coverage." The definition should include "any non-arson" fire and not 14 "natural occurring," as is the present definition. Additionally, a yield loss 15 caused by fire should not impact a producer's yield history and the 16 producer should be allowed to use that farm's yield average for future 17 crop insurance and farm program calculations; 18 xliv. Crop insurance and alternatives being offered by federal agencies when 19 local crops are not supported by commercial RMA coverage, or when 20 climate change impacts growing seasons, temperatures, and 21 precipitation allowing expansion of previously unsupported but 22 commonly grown feed grain and oilseed crops; 23 xlv. RMA using weather data on a section-by-section basis, where available, 24 to assess moisture availability (rainfall and snowmelt) for crop 25 production, in determination of prevented planting or crop losses. Risk 26 management rules should not penalize producers for using locally 27 recommended conservation measures; 28 xlvi. Cross-state coordination by RMA for required reporting of planting dates 29 within the same watershed; and 30 xlvii. The use of intercropping and companion cropping. 31 We oppose:

- i. RMA allowing outside influence on crop insurance premium levels regarding specific crop inputs, practices, or technologies;
- ii. The sale of crop insurance by ag lending institutions and other ag industries that can coerce the producer, (i.e., lenders discounting interest or requiring purchase of the lender's own crop insurance product as condition of receiving a loan);
- iii. Reducing established crop insurance during that crop year;
- iv. The voiding of an entire crop insurance policy due to an error in a single line of the policy;
- v. Penalizing producers that use nontraditional crops and/or rotations by insuring crops with increased premiums and lower coverage; and
- vi. Penalizing the use of cover crops.

3. FOOD LIABILITY INSURANCE

We support food liability insurance products and programs to assure that unprocessed or less processed whole foods, fresh fruits, cheese and dairy products, meats and fresh vegetables continue to remain accessible in the marketplace. Current U.S. food liability culture places the farmer in an unfair position, and this must be addressed if we intend to have farm-fresh or less processed foods available to consumers.

4. RISK MANAGEMENT EDUCATION

We encourage the USDA to continue funding risk management education grants.

G. FARM PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION

We urge full implementation and funding of all provisions of the 2018 Farm Bill, consistent with the intent of Congress. Furthermore, all positions in all divisions within USDA should be fully staffed, and staff administering federal farm programs should be fully informed and trained before enrollment periods begin.

We urge streamlining the application, reporting, and certification processes for federal programs, and that those processes be consistent in all state offices. We support increasing and modernizing outreach by using multiple technologies to meet the needs of all farmers. We urge the speedy appointment and approval of FSA State Executive Directors (SEDs) following nomination. We support socially disadvantaged producers

1 and farm owners, especially those without deed or title, to receive all the loans, benefits, 2 and programs of USDA and federal government. 3 We oppose downsizing FSA and other USDA agencies because it is detrimental to 4 rural America and the ability of the agencies to fulfill their mission. We oppose county 5 office consolidation, and we demand each county have full funding, staffing, and training 6 to provide good service to the agriculture community. 7 1. FARMER-ELECTED COMMITTEES 8 We support: 9 i. The integrity and independence of farmer-elected committees in carrying 10 out farm programs; 11 ii. Sufficient funding so the committee members can be trained and can 12 function effectively; 13 iii. Appointees to state FSA committees, which administer farm programs, 14 being family farmers: 15 Farmer-elected, county- or area-farmer committees; iv. 16 Uniformity of interpretation of USDA programs to the maximum degree v. 17 possible, while still meeting local needs; 18 vi. Offering appeals at the local, state, and national levels; 19 vii. Continuing an independent appeals process and the producer's right to 20 mediation: 21 viii. Programs to educate producers and others about mediation processes; 22 and 23 Greater authority given to FSA county committees in determining the ix. 24 disaster designations and the appropriate program applications. 25 We oppose selection of the county or area farmer committees by political 26 appointment. 27 2. Natural Resources Conservation Service 28 The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) should be the service 29 agency to provide technical assistance working with local boards and local 30 conditions whenever possible. We support the following changes to NRCS: 31 Giving the soil conservation district boards the authority to hear farmer

appeals on conservation land-use issues, including good, fair variances;

2 conservation programs; 3 iii. Appointees to the NRCS state technical committees, which provide advice 4 and counsel to state conservationists, being actively engaged in a family 5 farm operation; 6 iv. NRCS state technical committees and local conservation districts having 7 voting power over the cost-share rates and ranking procedures; and 8 Funds designated to NRCS programs being used to hire local fishers and v. 9 farmers to implement conservation projects; 10 Use of federal funding to provide the most recent conservation mapping vi. 11 technology for use by NRCS and associated field staff to make their work 12 less time-consuming and more precise; 13 vii. Federal funding to create and sustain programs to train and incentivize 14 emerging and established conservation professionals to work in NRCS or 15 for local Soil and Water Conservation Districts (SWCDs) to help alleviate 16 employee shortages; and 17 viii. Require NRCS to train field staff on conservation practices tailored for 18 small-scale and urban agriculture, and to conduct outreach to small-scale 19 farmers and ranchers. 20 We oppose: 21 i. The privatization of the services of the NRCS and object to forcing 22 farmers to pay for the technical assistance, which should be provided by 23 NRCS staff: and 24 Any effort to eliminate NRCS or shift conservation services to some other ii. 25 agency or branch of the USDA. 26 3. IMPROVED MARKETING MECHANISMS 27 NFU reaffirms its support for enabling legislation to establish a National 28 Agricultural Relations Board or separate board for single commodities or groups of 29 closely related commodities. Once established, this board should: 30 Bring farmers and farm cooperatives together with handlers and 31 processors, for the purpose of bargaining over prices received by 32 agricultural producers. Farmers need and are entitled to a firm legal

Providing full funding for conservation technical assistance to implement

1

ii.

1 procedure which will enable them to manage the production and 2 marketing of their products; and 3 ii. Help preserve the long-standing rights of family farmers to participate in 4 bargaining associations and cooperatives without being subject to 5 antitrust action. 6 H. Special Agricultural Policies and Services 7 1. FARM LABOR 8 We encourage Congress to continue funding existing programs and establish 9 new grant initiatives that aim to improve the supply, stability, and training of the 10 agricultural labor force. 11 We urge Congress to enact comprehensive farm labor reforms such that 12 programs like H-2A visa programs contribute to a flexible, efficient, and 13 compassionate agricultural workforce. 14 We urge USDA to create a program like the Fair Food Program, assuring a 15 collaborative process between farmers and workers on farms to deliver certifiable, 16 market-rewarded, and market-enforced payment on products to benefit wages, 17 profits, and livelihoods of workers and of farmers. 18 The National Labor Relations Act should be extended to workers on corporate 19 and other farms that employ enough hired help to be subject to the federal 20 minimum wage provisions applicable to agricultural workers. 21 We support the modification of the Fair Labor Standards Act to ensure: 22 i. Worker protection standards regarding wage rates, health, safety, and 23 housing conditions for migrant, seasonal, minority and other farm 24 laborers and for education of their children; 25 ii. The rights of workers to bargain collectively for fair wages; and 26 iii. A livable minimum wage. 27 We recognize oligopolies, fixing prices, and cheap food policies harm farmers 28 and farm workers. We support efforts to assure fairness for all involved in the 29 production of food.

We support USDA offering grants or low interest loans to family farms for new

construction and/or remodeling of existing farm labor housing to provide a safe, clean,

and healthy living environment for domestic and/or guest workers.

30

31

1		2. SAFETY OF FARMERS AND FARM WORKERS
2	We re	commend:
3	i.	Farmers take advantage of training opportunities, including pesticide
4		applicator programs, as often as possible;
5	ii.	Farm equipment manufacturers be subject to rules requiring product
6		safety, and that manufacturers be liable for damages suffered due to
7		injuries caused by faulty equipment;
8	iii.	Developing incentives for the purchase of rollover protection for farm
9		equipment;
10	iv.	Standardized hazard and caution lights and distinct turn signals on all
11		farm equipment that uses public roadways, and increased education of
12		the public on the need to respect them;
13	V.	Discouraging the use of Slow Moving Vehicle emblems for purposes other
14		than their intended use;
15	vi.	Farmers and their employees have access to information regarding
16		hazardous materials used on the farm;
17	vii.	Farmers maintain adequate records on their transportation, use, storage,
18		and disposal of fertilizers and pesticides;
19	viii.	Stakeholders having a voice in assuring that safety rules established for
20		the protection of those employed by farmers are reasonable and
21		workable, financially viable, penalties are not excessive, and that
22		reasonable periods of time are allowed for compliance;
23	ix.	That any federal heat and cold stress standards are practicable;
24	Х.	That Congress provide for continued exemption of small farms and
25		businesses that have 10 or fewer employees from the inspection
26		provisions of the law;
27	xi.	Family farmers exercise reasonable care to promote the safety of
28		themselves and their families;
29	xii.	Developing International Labor Organization (ILO) standards that
30		adequately protect the health and safety of children;
31	xiii.	USDA create resources for employers to provide training and tools to
32		minimize the risk of injury at the workplace;

1	xiv. OSHA use its full authority to protect workers' rights in food processing
2	plants with over 100 employees from known safety hazards, harmful
3	work environments, and ensure whistleblower protections; and
4	xv. The development of disaster mitigation plans by federal, state, and local
5	governments for infectious disease outbreaks to protect workers and
6	ensure resiliency of supply channels.
7	3. Storable Commodities Producer Protection
8	i. Federal Warehouse Act
9	We support the right of individual states to regulate the grain merchandising
10	activities of warehouses licensed by the federal government. NFU will oppose
11	federal preemption of state regulatory authority over grain merchandising
12	unless:
13	a. Federal regulation includes appropriate and effective oversight of
14	federally licensed warehouses and merchandising activities;
15	b. Modifications to federal warehouse and merchandising activities that
16	may have an impact on producers are proposed and adopted through
17	public rulemaking procedures rather than the annual licensing
18	process;
19	c. Producers are provided a protection program funded by the
20	warehouse and merchandising industry against losses from
21	warehouse and merchandising company insolvencies and
22	bankruptcies at no less than:
23	1. 100 percent in the case of warehouse receipted stored grain
24	and grain sold for payment within 30 days of delivery; and
25	2. \$3 million per producer for each commodity stored, delivered,
26	or contracted within 31-365 days of delivery;
27	d. Limitations on the level of licensing flexibility provided to grain
28	warehouses and merchandisers are enacted to ensure it does not
29	result in a reduction in existing financial protections for producers;

and

e. States' abilities to fund operations and inventory transactions, liquidity, and maintain "weights-and-measures" regulations are protected.

We further urge that each state provide supplemental guarantees beyond any federal maximum. Congress should take whatever action is necessary to ensure that stored commodities remain the property of those persons who delivered them for storage. Warehouses should be required to issue negotiable warehouse receipts upon request, at a cost not to exceed the dump charge.

ii. Ag Merchandiser or Supplier Bankruptcies and Receiverships

If an ag merchandiser or supplier enters bankruptcy or receivership, farmers should be given first position priority for commodities that were sold under deferred and/or delayed payment agreements. They should also be given first position priority for any account credits or for prepayments made on inputs such as seed, fertilizer, crop protection products, feed ingredients, etc.

iii. Commodity Basis

Basis should reflect the cost of transportation and storage from the point of local delivery to the point of terminal delivery and it is not to be used as a risk mitigation tool for the grain merchandiser. We call for oversight by the Surface Transportation Board and/or the appropriate federal agency to investigate abuses of the basis levels.

4. AGRICULTURAL CENSUS

Census data are useful in designing farm programs and defending and promoting the interests of family farm operations. The census of agriculture provides data that show the trends in the agricultural economy of each county and state and for the nation. NFU encourages the following relative to the census of agriculture:

- i. Continue to be conducted every five years;
- ii. Opposition to efforts to increase the minimum financial criteria for classifying agricultural operations;
- iii. Continued collection of needed data relating to corporate involvement in agriculture and coordination with information collected on foreign involvement in the purchase of farmland and agricultural enterprises to

1 reflect the pattern of ownership and management of U.S. agriculture 2 more clearly; and 3 iv. That USDA does not use information obtained in the census in a manner 4 detrimental to family farmers and ranchers. 5

5. NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL STATISTICS SERVICE (NASS)

We support adequate funding levels for NASS and recognize the importance of surveys. As USDA uses NASS information for multiple programs, NASS should work with the farmers to record the needed information instead of using another data source.

We encourage producers to recognize the importance of filling out NASS data, which is used by USDA to determine price and yield information for multiple USDA programs and grant opportunities within our states and county governments that call for such metrics.

6. USDA'S INFORMATION MANDATE

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

USDA should provide accurate income statistics for farmers and ranchers. Separation of income levels for producers, landlords and integrators would permit more accurate net farm incomes in USDA's farm projections.

USDA should produce and provide science- and research-based reports projecting the potential impact of our changing climate on states and various growing regions.

We oppose charging user fees for formerly free USDA reports and information or supplying them only on a paid basis by computer.

We support public access to USDA data resources and information, consistent with protecting the privacy of individual producers.

7. COMMODITY RESEARCH AND PROMOTION PROGRAMS

We support a voluntary checkoff, with producer participation determined at the point of sale. Our support for producer-financed commodity research and promotion programs is determined by the extent to which producers who are actively involved in production agriculture control the programs.

NFU will support programs financed from the proceeds of sales by producers of agricultural commodities, only if the following criteria are met:

1 i. Research and promotion programs are for the sole financial benefit of 2 domestic family farmers; 3 ii. Disbursement of funds collected is controlled solely by boards of non-4 processing domestic producers elected by the domestic producers 5 assessed, and the operations of the program are solely controlled by 6 those domestic producer boards; 7 Members of national producer-funded boards shall be nominated and iii. 8 elected by producers, with the election process supervised by FSA; 9 iv. It shall be mandatory that all eligible producers be provided with a ballot 10 for all elections and referendums: 11 Each producer of an agricultural product to be covered under any multiv. 12 commodity checkoff shall have one vote in any referendum to determine 13 whether that checkoff program should be created, and the board so 14 created should adequately represent family farm producers: 15 vi. Congress completes an investigation of federally sanctioned commodity 16 checkoff programs, with public hearings held across the country, and 17 issues a public report on checkoff programs that includes a complete and 18 transparent accounting of all funds collected and disbursed, to ensure it 19 is creating a positive impact for family farms: 20 vii. Approval is by 60 percent of producers voting in a referendum prior to 21 implementation of the order, with spouses allowed to vote individually, 22 and no bloc voting allowed; 23 viii. The outcome of producer referendums should be determined solely 24 based on one vote per person; 25 ix. Changes in levies and administrative and operational procedures should 26 be submitted to producers affected and subject to approval by a simple 27 majority vote; 28 X. Periodic review referendums should be financed and conducted by the 29 federal government every fifth year, with no producer funds used to 30 influence the voters. A simple majority of producers voting in a 31 referendum shall be able to recall a commodity checkoff program. USDA 32 shall make available the total number of producers;

1 xi. When an assessment is collected from U.S. producers of a commodity, an 2 equal, non-refundable fee should continue to be assessed on imports of 3 that commodity, in either raw or manufactured form. Any special 4 provisions extended to U.S. regions, remote states or territories should 5 not be extended to imported products; 6 xii. The assessment should also be collected from those integrators who are 7 currently exempted by virtue of being in a vertically integrated 8 operation: 9 xiii. Periodic independent, outside evaluations and audits of all financial 10 records should be conducted to ensure that the benefits of the program 11 outweigh the costs to producers, with copies of the audits available to all 12 who pay the assessments; 13 xiv. Procedures should be provided to enable producers to immediately 14 obtain the refunds of the research and promotion funds they were 15 assessed; 16 Research funds generated through producer assessments should not be XV. 17 used as a substitute for publicly generated research funding; 18 xvi. Farmers and ranchers have the right to designate the use of the checkoff 19 dollars he or she contributes for research, promotion, expanded 20 cooperative development, or nutrition programs and food banks; 21 xvii. Prohibiting the use of dairy producers' checkoff money to conduct 22 research into the use of casein and/or MPC's in the making of cheese and 23 other dairy products, or to promote anything other than U.S.-produced 24 natural dairy products; 25 xviii. Mandatory producer assessments should not go to organizations that 26 engage in lobbying. No funds should be donated, or contracts provided to 27 organizations that carry out political or lobbying activities or to their 28 shared staff, even if records are kept which separate their activity. No 29 checkoff programs/events shall be held in concert or conjunction with 30 any policy organization's programs/events. An audit should be 31 conducted, and severe criminal penalties should be assessed for using 32 funds for personal, political, or lobbying activities;

1	xix.	The payment of a mandatory commodity checkoff must not constitute
2		membership in a producer organization;
3	XX.	Producer-funded research should remain the property of the producers.
4		Patents granted because of the research should also belong to the
5		producers. Royalties collected should be returned to the producers'
6		research fund; and
7	xxi.	No CEO or executive director may hold the same office in both the
8		research and lobbying organizations for two different commodities.
9		8. Grain Standards
10	Our n	ation's grain standards must reward producers who strive to distinguish
11	the desire	ed quality related to the end users of the commodity.
12	We su	pport:
13	i.	Producers receiving a premium for higher quality grain;
L 4	ii.	Regulation or legislation that provides a consistent grading and moisture
15		discount scale that is monitored and enforced at the local elevator or
16		mill; and
L7	iii.	A periodic review of our nation's grain standards so our producers can
18		more effectively compete in world markets based on the quality of their
19		production.
20	Revised standards should:	
21	i.	Reward positive actions taken by producers, such as genetic
22		improvement and sound grain-handling practices;
23	ii.	Establish grade and non-grade factors that can be commonly understood
24		and mutually determined by producers and end-users;
25	iii.	Adopt dry-matter grading by the grain trade as a better way of
26		compensating the producer for the grain delivered to the elevator;
27	iv.	Ensure testing standards that reflect actual grain quality; and
28	v.	Establish standardized tests that are accurate and reproducible.
29		9. Grain Inspection
30	We re	affirm our position for the high standards in grain inspection and support
31	the weigh	ing system as authorized under the original Federal Grain Inspection Act.

1	To pro	protect and improve our reputation as exporters of U.S. commodities, we		
2	support le	egislation that would:		
3	i.	Prohibit and penalize exporters adding foreign material or moisture to		
4		any commodity for overseas shipment;		
5	ii.	Require export customers to pay for shipments on a clean-grain basis,		
6		just as farmers are paid on a clean-grain basis;		
7	iii.	Provide grain inspection personnel to spot check U.S. grain at foreign		
8		ports to determine whether it is of the same kind, class, quantity, and		
9		condition that was certified upon shipment;		
10	iv.	Prohibit the imposition of user fees for the inspection and grading of		
11		agricultural commodities. Federal inspection and grading of such		
12		commodities are in the public interest and should not be charged to the		
13		producer;		
L 4	v.	Continue to investigate grain companies as to the total pricing system		
15		and any quality discounts such as those for protein schedules, test		
16		schedules, DON (vomitoxin) levels, falling numbers and scab; and		
17	vi.	Prohibit privatization of grain export inspections.		
18		10. National Organic Standards (Also see Article I.C. – Labeling of		
19		Commodities and Commodity Products)		
20	NFU r	ecognizes the importance of protecting the integrity and strength of the		
21	USDA National Organic Program (NOP) and its value to organic family farming.			
22	We su	pport:		
23	i.	The enforcement and monitoring of the national organic standards		
24		promulgated by USDA and the prosecution of individuals or entities who		
25		knowingly sell or import nonorganic products as certified organic;		
26	ii.	Strict uniform enforcement of the USDA National Organic Program (NOP)		
27		"120-day pasture rule" for all certified organic dairy producers;		
28	iii.	Greater enforcement of the USDA NOP pasture rule by requiring		
29		certifiers to inspect all organic dairy farms twice during their 120-day		
30		designated pasture season with one visit being on an "unannounced		
31		basis" to assure that all milk cows are on pasture and 30% DMI is being		
32		achieved:		

1 iv. All certifiers and their inspectors being required to complete additional 2 online training on USDA NOP pasture standards, documentation, and 3 expectations. This training would reinforce USDA dry matter intake 4 (DMI) and pasture rule compliance: 5 Each dairy herd feeding group DMI being calculated separately from each v. 6 other, with no combining of milk cows with dry cows, or any other 7 groups; 8 The use of testing of milk samples to verify compliance with the pasture vi. 9 rule when testing technologies become available and validated; 10 vii. Ensuring accreditation and certification costs do not discriminate against 11 small producers, including support and full funding for the National 12 Organic Certification Cost Share Program (OCCSP); 13 viii. Ensuring the funding level of National OCCSP is provided at the 14 beginning of the fiscal year: 15 Requiring USDA to maintain the role of the National Organic Standards ix. 16 Board (NOSB) as the official source of developing policies and 17 procedures to interpret and implement the federal organic standards. 18 Adequate staffing must be provided to enable the NOSB to fulfill its 19 obligation to organic producers: 20 Implementing and enforcing the organic livestock and poultry X. 21 production standards, that are uniform and account for feeding and 22 animal health care and welfare practices for continuous or transitional 23 organic management; 24 хi. Prohibiting genetically modified organisms, irradiation, and the use of 25 biosolids and other industrial waste products that contains heavy metals 26 and other contaminants as part of an organic production system; 27 xii. Protecting organic producers from chemical and/or genetic pollution and 28 providing reasonable redress for any damage caused by drift; 29 xiii. USDA negotiating trade arrangements to eliminate the need for NOP-30 certified U.S. farmers to certify through multiple international agencies;

1	xiv.	The continued development of risk management tools for organic-		
2		certified crops (also see Article I.F.2. – Crop and Livestock Insurance and		
3		Article XI.B.1. – Public Research);		
4	XV.	Requiring increased monitoring and testing of organic products		
5		originating outside the United States to ensure those products comply		
6		with USDA organic standards;		
7	xvi.	An emergency pest treatment, by removing out of certification the area		
8		to be treated while not losing certification in the process;		
9	xvii.	Continue requiring that all replacement animals on certified organic		
10		farms be organic in origin, without exception. Replacement animals		
11		organic in origin should be defined as animals that have been raised as		
12		organic at least from the last third of gestation; and		
13	xviii.	Strictly prohibiting transitioning certified animals in and out of organic		
14		production.		
15	We op	We oppose the use of food products and additives derived from genetically		
16	modified	modified or altered organisms in certified organic products.		
17		11. PLANT BREEDING		
18	We su	We support the modification of the Plant Variety Protection Act of 1994		
19	regarding	regarding royalty fees, taking into consideration a reasonable period of time for		
20	specific co	specific commodities, and based on scientific methods.		
21	We su	We support immunity from legal action for grain handlers from consequences of		
22	the Plant	the Plant Variety Protection Act when handling grain without a fee.		
23	We su	We support precision breeding innovations that do not move genetic material		
24	from one	from one species to another.		
25		12. PLANT GENETIC RESOURCES		
26	We su	We support:		
27	i.	Enhancing and diversifying the genome and plant genetic resources		
28		pools;		
29	ii.	Recognizing farmers' contributions to the development and conservation		
30		of plant genetic resources by protecting farmers' rights, including the		
31		right to save seed;		

1	iii.	Continued research and funding for increased access to ancestral seeds
2		in Native producer's communities including but not limited to continued
3		protection, preservation, and conservation of wild foods, fruits, plants
4		and other Native used medicinal plants, as an act of Native food
5		sovereignty, cultural knowledge, and recovery of traditional foodways;
6	iv.	Keeping public research and research results in the public domain and
7		protected from acquisition by corporations or other private entities
8		attempting to develop their own products derived from public research
9		genetic pools;
10	v.	Legislation exempting farmers and ranchers from payment of royalties
11		on offspring of patented plants and plant genetic resources;
12	vi.	The rights of farmers and breeders to save seeds from all plant varieties
13		and cultivars developed with public funds; and
14	vii.	Establishing and maintaining foundation seed banks.
15		13. Animal Genetic Resources
16	We su	pport:
17	i.	The right of farmers to breed animals on their own farm without
18		restriction;
19	ii.	Legislation exempting farmers and ranchers from payment of
20		royalties on offspring of patented animals and animal genetic
21		resources; and
22	iii.	The rights of farmers, ranchers, and breeders to share and improve
23		animal breeds and genetic resources developed with public funds.
24		14. Federal Agency Headquarters
25	We op	pose the moving of any federal agency headquarters out of Washington,
26	DC, without the consent of Congress.	

1 ARTICLE II – FAMILY FARMERS AND TECHNOLOGY

With the continued development of technology, we as an organization are invested in agriculture and excited about these opportunities. Technology will offer farmers, ranchers, and fishers many advantages but there are possible risks that need to be considered.

A. BIOTECHNOLOGY

The rights of both genetically modified organism (GMO) producers and non-GMO producers should be respected as appropriate regulatory agencies continue to research and evaluate GMO concerns. All producers should have the right to accurately advertise, label and promote products.

We respect all nations' sovereignty and food policies and thus urge open dialogue, cooperation and understanding in trade negotiations relating to biotechnology.

We support:

- The release of new GMO traits after issues of cross-pollination, liability, commodity and seed stock segregation and market acceptance are objectively addressed and fairly resolved for the protection of all producers and consumers. While biotech traits are under patent, the patent holder should be prepared to indemnify its trait users against financial burdens caused by claims;
- Research conducted in an environmentally secure facility being exempt from
 the above requirements. Research conducted in open field production should
 be subject to mandatory public disclosure of persons or entities initiating the
 research, location of test sites, specific species and traits involved, and the
 characteristics of the intended resultant genetically modified plant to be
 created;
- 3. Legislation to prohibit the patenting of heritage seed and animal and biological genetics;
- 4. Legislation to prohibit the release of terminator seed technology;
- 5. The right of farmers to plant seed derived from proprietary organisms on their own land;
- 6. New products involving GMOs being certified as safe by the FDA in testing done independently of the patent holder, before being allowed on the

market. Such testing is to be done at the expense of the specific patent
 holders seeking to market such products;

- 7. Legislation requiring that patent holders or owners of GMO technology be held strictly liable for damages cause by genetic trespass including safety, health, economic, and environmental effects. Farmers are not to be held liable for food safety, human health or environmental problems, including cross-pollination, related to the use of GMOs as long as generally accepted crop production practices are followed;
- 8. Congressional action to regulate the biotech industry's technology agreements. Farmers should not have to sign away their fundamental rights, including but not limited to a jury of their peers in court, in exchange for the so-called "privilege" of growing biotech crops. Grievances should be settled in the home state of the farmer, not the state of the biotech corporation;
- Any damages caused to farmers through lower prices, lost markets, or contamination shall be fully reimbursed to farmers, including legal fees, by the company producing the genetically modified product;
- 10. All data used in the analysis of the health and environmental effects of GMOs being public record, and that criminal penalties be established for the willful withholding or altering of such data;
- 11. Prohibiting government regulatory agencies from licensing genetically modified products that are not acceptable for both human consumption and animal feed:
- 12. USDA and FDA improving oversight and regulation of pharma crops. NFU does not endorse or support pharma farming based on economic, environmental, food safety, and liability risks to producers and consumers;
- 13. Requiring governmental regulatory agencies and input suppliers to ensure farmers are informed of all potential market risks and segregation requirements associated with planting any licensed genetically modified crop;
- 14. Requiring USDA to further investigate and research the effects of GMO feeds on livestock;

1 15. Government regulatory agencies considering domestic and foreign consumer 2 acceptance of the product when licensing; 3 16. Requiring all GMO seed to be clearly labeled with the following information: 4 a. Markets (foreign or domestic) where the product is not accepted; 5 and 6 b. All planting restrictions. 7 17. Development of a verification system and a storage, transportation and 8 marketing plan to aid farmers with non-GMO grains; 9 18. Identity-preserved systems and insist they receive protection from cross-10 contamination; 11 19. The development and implementation of patent rules, legislation (i.e., the 12 Hatch-Waxman Act for pharmaceuticals) or regulations, which promote and 13 maintain free market competition for generics production; 14 20. Prohibiting the sale of seed for pesticide resistant crops if the pesticides have 15 not received regulatory approval; and 16 21. Efforts to increase USDA funding devoted to public plant and animal 17 breeding using classical/conventional breeding techniques, to provide 18 farmers with seeds and breeds that are locally and regionally adapted to 19 their soils and farming systems, and to address changing climates and 20 consumer demand. 21 B. AGRICULTURAL TECHNOLOGY 22 We support: 23 1. The Nebraska Tractor Test Laboratory, the only U.S.-approved Organization 24 for Economic Cooperation Development laboratory and the unbiased, third-25 party testing information that it provides at little or no cost to U.S. farmers so 26 they can make informed buying decisions; 27 2. The first-sale doctrine, whereby an individual who knowingly purchases a 28 copyrighted work from the copyright holder receives the right to sell, 29 display, or otherwise dispose of that particular copy and protections not 30 otherwise available for licensees:

2		independent mechanics access to diagnostic software, information, and				
3		other tools to repair modern equipment; and				
4	4.	The development of a universal diagnostic system for agricultural equipment				
5		similar to OBD2.				
6	C.	Information Collection and Protection				
7	Agricu	lltural data collected by organizations, companies, educational institutions,				
8	and other	other entities should not be used, monetized, or distributed without the permission				
9	of producers from whom the data is gathered, to:					
10	1.	Ensure data collected remains the property of the producer;				
11	2.	Protect privacy;				
12	3.	Avoid consolidation of market power;				
13	4.	Maintain competition; and				
14	5.	Prevent manipulating markets.				
15	We support:					
16	1.	Policies that create clear terms within data license agreements and				
17		standardized data protection practices between agriculture technology				
18		providers and agricultural producers;				
19	2.	Strong interoperability and portability rights and owner access on a real-				
20		time basis; and				
21	3.	Federal auditing procedures to ensure ethical and responsible access and				
22		use of agricultural producers' data.				
23	We	e oppose being required by companies and other entities that sell data-				
24	generating products or tools, to agree to authorize others to capture, use, share, and/or					
25	sell the data generated on our farms and ranches with those products or tools, in					
26	exchange	for complete access to or use of those products or tools.				
27	We urge federal regulatory agencies to develop and enforce non-compliance					
28	fines for a	griculture technology providers and other penalties.				
29	D.	Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (Drones)				
30	We su	pport:				
31	1.	The use of unmanned aerial vehicles (drones) for agricultural purposes, only				
32		after landowner or land operator approval; and				

3. Fair Repair and Right to Repair legislation that would allow farmers and

Opening the National Airspace System to allow drones for agricultural purposes.
 We oppose the use of drones for covert surveillance of agricultural operations.

E. ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

We support the responsible design, development, and deployment of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies that take into consideration the ethical, environmental, and societal impacts of these tools, based on the fundamental principles of transparency, sustainability, and equity. Any individual who uses AI should retain ownership and control of their data.

ARTICLE III - AGRICULTURE COMPETITION AND CONCENTRATION

Inadequate market competition is one of the most pressing issues facing producers across the country. As evidenced by the sharp decline in the number of family farms in the past decade and the increasing trend toward horizontal and vertical concentration in the agriculture and food sector, independent producers cannot succeed in the absence of protection from unfair, anti-competitive practices. Competitive provisions should be established that ensure fairness, transparency, protection, and bargaining rights for producers, and restore and enhance competition for agricultural markets.

Farmers are experiencing the effects of consolidation at every stage of the process. Concentration in the input, transportation, and grain export sectors has occurred at a rapid pace in recent years. For example, in 2015, the four largest firms controlled 85 percent of the corn seed market, and 76 percent of the soybean seed market. In 2017, the four largest global pesticide firms controlled 84 percent of the market. Additionally, there are only seven Class 1 railroads remaining in the United States.

Packers have always had the ability in most states to own animals for their company's personal use when the spot/open market for live animals from independent producers was higher than they wanted to pay. By slaughtering their own animals for days and even up to weeks, the supply of livestock from independent producers would escalate, causing the price on the spot/open market to drop. Additionally, the meatpacking industry is heavily concentrated; the top four packers in 2019 controlled approximately 85 percent of cattle, 67 percent of hogs, 53 percent of broilers, and 55 percent of turkeys. Economists state any concentrated market power over 40 to 60 percent would demonstrate a lack of competition in commerce within the respective industry.

Farmers are often incorrectly blamed for rising food and fiber prices. Retail prices are more often determined by forces outside the control of farmers, ranchers, and fishers. We support efforts to increase the farmer's share of the consumer's dollar.

A. COMPETITION AND ANTITRUST

Consolidation and anti-competitive action throughout every industry sets precedent that opens the door for continuing consolidation in agriculture. We support stricter anti-trust enforcement and merger standards across all industries. Specifically,

we support the following initiatives to achieve true competition for family farmers in
 the marketplace:

- Implementation of a temporary moratorium on large agricultural mergers to provide Congress with time to review and strengthen current laws as appropriate;
- 2. Stringent reviews for any proposed merger or acquisition of large agribusiness, food and beverage manufacturing, distribution, and grocery retail companies;
- 3. Requiring USDA to collect and publish concentration information;
- 4. Clarification of the Packers and Stockyards Act to allow individual producers to seek recourse for abuse of market power without having to prove competitive injury to the entire marketplace;
- 5. Requiring the Justice Department (DOJ), Federal Trade Commission (FTC), and the Surface Transportation Board (STB) where applicable to require firms to submit information on joint ventures and alliances between firms above a certain size. In many cases, firms that are participating in joint venture arrangements behave just like firms that have merged and should be subject to the same level of antitrust scrutiny as mergers. The disclosure requirement should be set at a threshold sufficient to include firms that account for a significant percentage of market share at a regional level;
- 6. Requiring the DOJ, FTC, or STB to publicly disclose why a merger subject to antitrust review is approved;
- 7. Expanding the role of USDA to initiate and/or participate in the review of proposed mergers in the agricultural sector;
- 8. Requiring economic and environmental impact statements detailing the impact of a proposed merger on farmers, ranchers, and consumers, including in rural areas, prior to approval;
- Establishing an Office of Special Counsel on Competition within USDA to streamline and increase the effectiveness of USDA investigation and enforcement of competition laws;

1	10. Establishing a level of concentration that triggers a presumption of a
2	violation of antitrust law to make it easier for the DOJ, FTC, or STB to prevent
3	high levels of concentration;
4	11. The right of producers to hold retailers, distributors, and manufacturers
5	responsible for price gouging;
6	12. Prohibiting slotting fees that provide windfall profits to retailers and create a
7	barrier for new firms and products;
8	13. A target price program on a limited volume of production as automatic
9	compensation for livestock producers when a lack of antitrust enforcement
10	or unfair imports damage their markets;
11	14. Congress repealing statutory provisions that exempt railroads from the
12	antitrust injunctive actions, as well as the judicially developed Keogh
13	doctrine that limits antitrust damage remedies;
14	15. Supporting state anti-corporate and contract producer protection legislation
15	16. Providing strong protection to employees that report non-competitive
16	practices;
17	17. The reinstatement of the Grain Inspection, Packers, and Stockyards
18	Administration (GIPSA) as an independent agency;
19	18. The Department of Justice (DOJ) blocking any merger, sale, or acquisition
20	that will undermine U.S. food security, safety, and family farming interests;
21	19. Anti-trust legislation that is enforced to control monopolistic concentration
22	in energy production and distribution, and investigation of large corporate
23	interests that control our current energy sources;
24	20. Agency recognition of the upstream effects of mergers and anti-competitive
25	behavior on farmers and farm labor; and
26	21. Enforcement of antitrust laws in the case of grocery retail mergers.
27	B. LIVESTOCK MARKET REFORM
28	We support the following initiatives to reduce livestock market concentration and
29	enhance competition:
30	1. Restriction of all forms of direct and indirect ownership or control of
31	agricultural products by agribusinesses, including the prohibition of
32	ownership, control and feeding of livestock by packing companies:

1 2. Encouraging development of farmer-owned cooperatives for marketing and 2 processing; 3 3. Placing restrictions on the percentage of captive supply and that firm-bid 4 pricing be established in forward contracts; 5 4. Making permanent the mandatory price reporting law; 6 5. Efforts to increase transparency of mandatory price reporting information, 7 including but not limited to: 8 i. Eliminating or lowering the kill capacity reporting exemption: 9 ii. Regular reporting after the fact, even when a proprietary exemption 10 precludes price and volume reporting on a daily basis; and 11 iii. Enhancing the reported date to be user friendly. 12 6. Protecting livestock producers from unfair competition and monopolistic 13 practices by strengthening the definition of the Packers and Stockyards Act. 14 All livestock producers should have equal access to markets that do not 15 discriminate against family-farm livestock producers; 16 7. Enacting legislation that clearly defines and prohibits volume-based price 17 discrimination in livestock markets; and 18 8. Legislation to require packers to purchase fifty percent of cattle from the 19 cash market. To be considered a 'cash sale' the packer must slaughter the 20 animal within 14 days of the purchase. 21 C. POULTRY MARKET REFORM 22 We support enacting legislation to reinstate USDA's Grain Inspection, Packers, and 23 Stockyards Administration (GIPSA) and task it with enforcement over poultry. We also 24 recommend for the protection of poultry growers: 25 1. Extension of the protection of the Packers and Stockyards Act to producers 26 who grow and care for any type of poultry and poultry products, as defined 27 by USDA; 28 2. Modifications to regulations under the Packers and Stockyards Act that 29 govern integrator fair-trade practices and strengthen the enforcement 30 mechanisms therein, including, but not limited to, regulations to: 31 Prohibit companies from retaliating against producers for speaking

out about problems in the industry or about their contracts, or for

1			attempting to organize other producers to negotiate as a group for		
2			better contract terms;		
3		ii.	Prohibit companies from requiring producers to make unnecessary		
4			upgrades to their facilities unless the company pays for the costs of		
5			those upgrades;		
6		iii.	Reform the system used to pay producer, i.e., the ranking system, to		
7			assure that producers are not penalized for inputs controlled by the		
8			company, and that there is full transparency in the factors used to		
9			calculate the producers' payment;		
10		iv.	Prohibit companies from cancelling a producer's contract or reducing		
11			the number of livestock units placed on their farm based solely on the		
12			failure of the producer to make equipment changes, so long as		
13			existing equipment is in good working order; and		
14		v.	Require the production contracts be long enough in term to allow		
15			producers to recoup their investments.		
16	3.	Enacti	ment of state legislation which better defines contract production for		
17		growi	growing arrangements; and		
18	4.	Requi	ring integrators to provide an accurate cash-flow analysis to new		
19		poultr	poultry contract operations.		
20	D.	PRODUCTION CONTRACTING			
21	Current law falls short of ensuring fairness and protection for producers under				
22	contract. We support the following initiatives/legislation to enhance contract producer				
23	protection	1:			
24	1.	Streng	thening the Agricultural Fair Practices Act to provide improved		
25		protec	ction for contract producers;		
26	2.	Imple	mentation of all GIPSA provisions including disclosure of contract		
27		clause	s for farmers who contract, the right to discuss the contract with their		
28		lawye	r, financial advisor, or family member;		
29	3.	Requi	ring contracts and contract rights to be written in plain language and		
30		disclo	se risks to producers;		
31	4.	Provid	ling contract producers three days to review and cancel production		
32		contra	acts;		

1 5. Providing producers with a first-priority lien for payments due under 2 contracts; 3 6. Protecting producers from contract termination or price reduction because 4 of: 5 i. Retaliation purposes, 6 ii. Inadequate or faulty inputs/services provided by contractor, and 7 iii. Denying opportunity to remediate problems related to production 8 specifications: 9 Making it an unfair practice for processors to retaliate or discriminate 10 against producers who exercise rights under the proposed legislation; 11 8. Authorizing producer bargaining to encourage contract producers to form 12 collective bargaining units to negotiate with integrators; 13 9. Prohibiting the use of mandatory arbitration clauses in livestock and poultry 14 contracts to assure that farmers have adequate access to justice in the event 15 of fraud, misrepresentation, breach of contract or other contract disputes 16 with a processor or integrator. Arbitration should be a voluntary mechanism 17 for dispute resolution agreed to by both parties after a dispute arises; 18 10. Prohibiting contracts involving ag producers from containing language that 19 prohibits a trial by jury; and 20 11. Publicizing and widely distributing educational materials regarding the

rights of contract producers.

ARTICLE IV - INTERNATIONAL TRADE, COOPERATION, AND THE FAMILY FARM

International trade agreements, when properly constructed, are useful vehicles that lessen world trade tensions and increase development opportunities and economic growth. Further, the international trading framework under the World Trade Organization (WTO) provides a forum for working with allies to address disputes, such as those with China. Unilateral actions, however, run the risk of inflicting long-term damage to our relationships with trading partners, making it harder to re-establish markets and expand into new ones.

NFU urges the federal government to build coalitions of our trading partners to create free and fair agreements to properly address conflicts with countries that trade unfairly, to resolve trade issues that affect family farmers, and to ensure the WTO can act as a neutral arbiter in trade.

NFU further urges the federal government to create a supply-based program for agricultural products to provide economic stability for domestic producers when unfair trade actions occur.

Future trade agreements must be designed to ensure fair market returns for producers and production of safe, quality food for consumers. Thus, future trade agreements must not be limited to regulating domestic support levels, export subsidies, and market access. Rather, every future trade agreement must address differences in labor standards, environmental standards, health standards, and the trade-distorting effect of currency manipulation and cartelization of agriculture markets.

The measure of the success of a trade agreement must be its benefit to U.S. agriculture and specifically of its producers' net income. Vague promises of "market access" to foreign markets do not offset opening our borders for even larger amounts of foreign-produced goods to enter our markets. Market access does not equal market share. NFU supports the federal government conducting a formal and thorough analysis of current agricultural trade agreements to determine their success at meeting their promised goals before any new trade agreements are negotiated or proposed.

Companies who repeatedly send banned products to countries with specified requirements and standards for imports should be held liable for market losses by producers resulting from the shipment.

Our trade negotiators need to recognize that food security is non-negotiable for many trading partners, and that they will never agree to give us full market access.

A. AGRICULTURAL TRADE NEGOTIATIONS

Fair trade, not free trade, holds the potential to increase family farm profitability and U.S. food security, but trade by itself is only one tool. In creating a fair agricultural trade environment, we support the inclusion of the following goals, objectives, and provisions in U.S. trade policy and in international trade negotiations and agreements that:

- Require all countries to meet health, environmental, food sovereignty, working conditions, and labor rights standards equal to those of producers in the United States;
- 2. Allow U.S. producers the right to distinguish their products from those of other countries. U.S. products should not be categorized just as North American products;
- Call for a formal and thorough analysis of current agricultural trade
 agreements to determine their success at meeting their stated goals before
 any new bilateral or regional trade agreements are negotiated or approved;
- 4. Address domestic food safety, security, and inadequate economic returns to producers resulting from market failure, lack of market competition, and an imbalance in supply and demand;
- 5. Ensure global food security and safety, including the elimination of unilateral sanctions on agricultural and pharmaceutical products;
- 6. Enhance producer returns, economic development, and individual standards of living;
- 7. Foster the economic and resource sustainability and efficiency of food production and distribution systems;
- 8. Achieve an equitable distribution and balance of the costs/benefits of agricultural trade among all participants, including producers and consumers;
- Acknowledge and accommodate the multi-functionality of agriculture, including non-economic considerations of value to producers and consumers;

1 10. Diminish poverty and hunger: 2 11. Do not undermine U.S. laws, jurisdiction or sovereignty of a country and its 3 political subdivisions; 4 12. Are negotiated and enacted through a transparent democratic process; 5 13. Provide consumers with an adequate, high-quality, safe, and affordable 6 supply; 7 14. Coordinate efforts to reduce dumping, balance supply and demand, share 8 responsibility to provide nutrition assistance and maintain an optimal level 9 of buffer stocks for food security; 10 15. Allow the United States to impose trade remedies against nations using 11 currency manipulation to gain an unfair trade advantage; 12 16. Have a speedy and fair method of resolving disputes among trading 13 partners; 14 17. Advocate for the reform of the WTO adjudication process so that cases are 15 decided by an independent and unbiased judiciary; 16 18. Allow flexibility for individual nations to provide economic safety net 17 programs and address unforeseen production, market, and trade 18 circumstances; 19 19. Encourage a balance of increased and transparent market competition, limits 20 on the concentration of market power and coordinated public competition 21 policy to ensure the efficient and appropriate allocation of resources within 22 all agricultural sectors; 23 20. Maximize the opportunity for individual and cooperative participation in all 24 segments of agriculture; 25 21. Create an effective, efficient, timely and transparent implementation, 26 compliance, and dispute resolution process; 27 22. Prevent further conversion of rainforests to production agriculture; 28 23. Publish meaningful, current, and standardized reports on imports of all 29 agricultural products, quantities and types, and a USDA report on the impact 30 of the WTO on agricultural producers; 31 24. Establish tariffs on foreign imports of all dairy ingredients that displace 32 domestically produced milk usage including animal feed ingredients;

1	25. Use GSM-102 export credit guarantees;
2	26. Further utilize the Market Access Program (MAP) and Foreign Market
3	Development (FMD) program;
4	27. Compensation payments to farmers in an amount that covers farmers'
5	market losses and their lost income resulting from embargoes and tariffs on
6	farm commodities, specialty crops, livestock, and food crops;
7	28. Eliminate export subsidies in a uniform, worldwide manner;
8	29. Increase transparency and market disciplines of state trading enterprises;
9	30. Increase equity and balance in agricultural tariff and tariff rate quota
10	regimes;
11	31. Develop an improved and more inclusive methodology for measuring the
12	level and impact of domestic support programs, including green box
13	supports and effective subsidies conveyed through monetary policy and
14	labor and environmental regulation;
15	32. Allow countries to address the circumvention of tariffs and tariff rate quotas
16	by trading partners;
17	33. Provide consumer information (labeling) on agricultural products to address
18	food safety concerns and enhance market access;
19	34. Allow for national flexibility in the design and implementation of domestic
20	support programs within reasonable negotiated limits such as the Trade
21	Adjustment Assistance program;
22	35. Cooperatively develop, implement and enforce competition policies;
23	36. Utilize end-use certificates to monitor the flow of all agricultural imports;
24	37. Allow countries to restrict the import of agricultural commodities that are
25	contaminated or infected with disease or other toxic or noxious organisms
26	that threaten domestic production and/or food safety; and
27	38. Recognize the United Nations' International Treaty for Plant Genetic
28	Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA).
29	We oppose:
30	1. Elimination of tariffs, tariff rate quotas and domestic trade remedies utilized
31	to counter the effects of dumping and other unfair trade practices, including

1 the use of monetary, labor, and environmental regulations that create 2 competitive trade advantages; 3 2. Elimination of "credit" for supply management programs (WTO blue box); 4 3. Requirements that domestic support programs be de-coupled; 5 4. Importation of agricultural products from countries that do not grow or 6 produce such products; 7 5. The use of embargoes and tariffs on farm commodities to further 8 international trade or international relations objectives that are not directly 9 related to the success of family farmers in the United States; 10 6. The Investor State Dispute Settlement (ISDS); and 11 7. Trade policies that increase market concentration or that directly benefit 12 dominant firms. 13 B. TRADE PROMOTION AUTHORITY (FAST-TRACK) 14 We support the immediate repeal of Trade Promotion Authority (TPA). 15 We oppose: 16 1. Fast-track negotiating authority for the president; and 17 2. The fast-track system of ratification of trade agreements in which the entire 18 trade package must be approved without amendment or rejected in total by 19 Congress. 20 Congress should have full opportunity to review and amend provisions of a trade 21 agreement, consistent with the authority and power endowed by the U.S. Constitution. 22 Because agriculture is only one area considered in the trade agreement negotiation, 23 fast-track could easily sweep agricultural concerns aside. 24 C. FAIR AND TRANSPARENT TRADE PRACTICES 25 U.S. products entering international trade are subject to various potential 26 exclusionary mechanisms, impediments, and manipulations. These barriers to fair trade 27 include tariffs, unnecessary phytosanitary requirements, arbitrarily adjusted exchange 28 rates, prejudicially applied border and value-added taxes, as well as selectively applied 29 local taxes and regulations specifically designed to prevent fair and equitable treatment 30 of our products. These unfair trade practices are a significant economic burden on 31 domestic producers. Therefore, we support:

1. Anti-dumping petitions on behalf of all U.S. producers;

- 2. Creation and implementation of a "green tariff" to be imposed on all imported goods and services produced or created under less restricting environmental constraints than those originating from U.S. sources;
 - 3. Conducting adequate supply chain audits to identify sources of, and seek solutions to, uncompetitive practices that influence price to the final consumer;
 - 4. Re-establishment of the Byrd Amendment;
 - 5. Removing "digital trade" provisions that might undermine the Right to Repair from existing and proposed international trade agreements;
 - 6. The U.S. Trade Representative investigating countries for revocation of Generalized System of Preferences (GSP) benefits from countries that may no longer qualify for programming and supporting the U.S. Congress looking into the way that other countries subsidize agriculture and its detrimental effects on U.S. farmers; and
 - 7. That the power to levy tariffs should rest with the U.S. Congress, except in times of emergency. When emergency tariffs are put in place by an Administration, within 60 days of their enactment Congress should review and vote on whether the tariffs should stay in place.

We oppose the imposition of wholesale non-targeted tariffs because they are likely to elicit detrimental, reciprocal tariffs from our trading partners.

D. HEALTH AND INSPECTION STANDARDS FOR FOOD AND FIBER IMPORTS

We believe that food imports pose a much greater food safety threat to American consumers than domestic food. Only a minimal amount of food imports are physically inspected, and of those which are inspected, many are rejected for reasons ranging from mislabeling of residues to pesticides banned for use in this country.

We support:

- Increased funding and number of inspectors for the Agriculture Quarantine
 Inspections Program and transfer inspectors back to USDA from Department
 of Homeland Security (DHS);
- 2. Prohibiting the export of chemicals not registered for food and fiber uses in the United States for food and fiber uses in other countries;

1 3. Strict monitoring of imports to prevent importation of residues of chemicals 2 banned in the United States for food and fiber; 3 4. All imported foods that do not meet USDA or FDA standards should not be 4 allowed into the United States: 5 5. Nuclear magnetic resonance testing on all imported products that are 6 labeled as honey to ensure the purity of the honey; 7 6. Processing facilities for such imported products should be inspected at least 8 annually. Food products from that facility should be labeled as such, even if 9 the product originated in the U.S.; 10 7. Requiring inspection be continuous and thorough, not just an occasional, 11 minor sampling. Products that fail inspection should be condemned and not 12 allowed a second opportunity to enter our country; 13 8. Expenses for all inspections coming from fees on the imported products paid 14 by the exporter at the point of origin; 15 9. Implementing increased USDA, FDA, and customs inspection and regulation 16 of casein, milk derivatives and milk adhesives imported for food use; and 17 10. Increased funding, monitoring, and inspections to better protect the 18 domestic organic market from fraudulent imported agricultural products. 19 E. CHINA TRADE 20 We support annual reviews of the impact of the Permanent Normal Trade Relations 21 (PNTR) for China to document its effect on U.S. farmers. Such reviews should also 22 address whether China: 23 1. Ratifies and enforces all pending United Nations covenants on human rights; 24 2. Develops a history of complying with international trade agreements; 25 3. Enacts and enforces rules that protect individual rights, establishes 26 appropriate environmental standards, and fosters fair trade; and 27 4. Enacts and enforces food and product safety standards equal to those 28 required of producers in the United States. 29 We oppose trade provisions that pit the agricultural sector against the industrial or

F. International Food Assistance

30

31

manufacturing sectors (also see Article IV.A. – Agricultural Trade Negotiations).

1	Everyone should have the right to have access to safe and nutritional food. We		
2	support th	ne following provisions to enhance international food assistance:	
3	1.	Funding for food aid programs be used to purchase U.Sproduced food when	
4		in-country local food is unavailable;	
5	2.	Food and developmental aid for those here and abroad who are poor, and	
6		certainly for those endangered by famine, to assure their survival and well-	
7		being;	
8	3.	Providing available means and agencies to supply the necessary food;	
9	4.	Emphasizing distribution of food once it reaches recipients' country;	
10	5.	Providing donations of high-quality commodities instead of using poverty-	
11		stricken nations as a dumping ground for poor-quality grains;	
12	6.	Adequate funding of the McGovern-Dole International Food for Education	
13		and Child Nutrition program;	
14	7.	Continued work with CARE and other hunger and agricultural organizations	
15		to support economic development opportunities for people in less-	
16		developed countries;	
17	8.	Forfeited grain from Non-recourse Marketing Assistance Loans be reverted	
18		to the USDA to be used for overseas relief packages to third world countries;	
19	9.	Development of a world food/grain reserve that is structured as to not	
20		depress prices or discourage food production in developing countries; and	
21	10	. Continued support of P.L. 480 as long as food aid is not used for political	
22		objectives.	
23	We op	pose the monetization of U.S. food aid to purchase food commodities for	
24	developin	g countries when adequate supplies of U.Sproduced foods are available.	
25	G.	WORLD FARMERS ORGANIZATION (WFO)	
26	As a fo	unding member of the World Farmers Organization (WFO), we believe that	
27	WFO play	s a vital role in providing the world's farmers with a forum in which to	
28	exchange ideas and information, not only about farming techniques, but policies that		
29	affect farn	ners' economic well-being and daily lives.	
30	We ur	ge WFO to be an active advocate for the world's farmers.	
31	H.	FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION	
32	We su	pport the Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations.	

1 I. POLICIES TOWARD INDIGENOUS PEOPLES AND DEVELOPING NATIONS 2 We support: 3 1. The use of the United States' economic strength, in cooperation with our 4 private sector and other nations, to promote the economic, social, and 5 human development of less-developed nations; 6 2. Ending the embargo and establishing fair trade relations with Cuba; 7 3. Respecting the food sovereignty of developing countries by not undercutting 8 the price of local staples: 9 4. The adequate compensation of indigenous peoples for the consumption of 10 their resources; and 11 5. The protection of other countries, nations, and indigenous peoples' domestic 12 food security policies, indigenous seed stock, foods, natural resources, and 13 cultural practices. 14 We oppose: 15 1. The dumping of agricultural products in developing countries which puts 16 local farmers out of business and destabilizes local economies: 17 2. The forced removal of indigenous peoples from their traditional homelands; 18 and 19 3. The exploitation of developing countries through forcing them to abandon 20 their own domestic food security policies, indigenous seed stocks, foods, and 21 cultural practices. 22 J. FARMERS AND FARM YOUTH EDUCATIONAL EXCHANGE PROGRAMS 23 Since a better understanding of agriculture and trade can help promote a more 24 peaceful and prosperous world, we believe that educational exchange programs 25 including farmers, farm leaders, and farm youth should be encouraged. 26 K. OWNERSHIP OF FARMLAND BY INSTITUTIONAL INVESTORS IN ALL COUNTRIES 27 National Farmers Union opposes the investment in and ownership of forest lands, 28 range, and arable lands in all countries by institutional investors (corporations, 29 commercial and investment banks, pension funds, life insurance companies, 30 endowments, high net-worth individuals, sovereign wealth funds, and similar types of 31 entities). These lands should primarily be owned, managed, and farmed by domestic 32 family farmers in each country.

1 ARTICLE V - CREDIT AND THE FAMILY FARM 2 We recognize that agricultural debt will continue to be a problem until there is a 3 genuine and lasting improvement in farm income opportunities. We support: 4 1. The efforts of the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau to monitor and act 5 on availability of loans and transparency of loan servicing activities; 2. Policies that ensure equal access to credit, regardless of gender, race, marital 6 7 status, or age; 8 3. A farm credit policy that is adequately financed and that includes the use of 9 third-party lending agencies to help re-establish America's family farms and 10 provide special assistance to beginning and socially disadvantaged farmers; 11 4. The Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation (Farmer Mac) to continue as 12 a viable source of long-term, fixed-rate credit for family farmers. Farmer Mac 13 should be monitored to ensure that it follows the intent of Congress and 14 helps family farmers, rather than transferring the ownership of land to 15 corporate investors. Farmer Mac should not be used to weaken state 16 borrower protection laws or penalize borrowers who make prepayments. 17 Congress should amend the statute to streamline the operating structure and 18 eliminate provisions that reduce Farmer Mac's efficiency in providing loan 19 products with competitive interest rates to family farmers and ranchers; 20 5. The Federal Financing Bank, to expedite insured and guaranteed loan 21 programs and oppose any attempts to reduce the volume of the bank, which 22 would push borrowers into private sector credit; 23 6. Updating Small Business Administration farm and ranch eligibility criteria to 24 make it commensurate with what is available to other business sectors; 25 7. Cooperative credit unions, initiatives to allow farmer investments into credit 26 unions and allowing farmers to obtain agricultural credit from credit unions; 27 8. Farmers Union organizations aggressively forming and furthering credit 28 unions and promoting legislation on their behalf; 29 9. "Aggie Bond" programs allowed under federal law being enacted by state 30 and local governments. These programs should not be used for loans for

contract production unless the contract is approved as a USDA model

31

32

contract:

- 10. Mandatory funding and expansion of the Certified Agriculture Mediation Program (CAMP), and extension of the program authorizing matching grants to states with qualified mediation programs; 11. Maintaining Chapter 12 as part of permanent bankruptcy law, reforming the filing process and encouraging educational efforts to farmers about their rights under Chapter 12; 12. Tax amnesty for taxes imposed on family-sized farms and ranch operators who face tax liabilities after restructuring or forced sales; protecting the
 - 12. Tax amnesty for taxes imposed on family-sized farms and ranch operators who face tax liabilities after restructuring or forced sales; protecting the interests and rights of borrowers, lenders, and taxpayers through the proper and consistent implementation of existing legislation; prohibiting a debtor to reorganize into a larger-than-family-farm-size unit; and encouraging all options be made available for Farm Service Agency (FSA) borrowers with shared appreciation agreements, due to artificially inflated land values;
 - 13. Special attention given to the credit needs of surviving spouses of farmers who want to continue farming; and
 - 14. Requiring banks, credit unions, and other financial institutions to publish and identify, independently of interest rate, any additional discounts, whether additional points or credit rate decrease or increase based on other business with the institution, including crop insurance.

A. FARM SERVICE AGENCY (FSA) CREDIT PROGRAMS

We support:

- 1. Guaranteed loans and direct lending;
- 2. Emphasizing adequate funding for direct-lending programs for farm ownership and operating expenses to beginning and socially disadvantaged and/or historically underserved family farmers (also see Article I.A.6. Beginning Farmers and Ranchers);
- 3. Increasing the maximum loan amounts to reflect land values and provide opportunities for additional family farmers;
- 4. A consistent, sufficient funding mechanism ensuring loan funding allocations are available to all approved FSA loans in a timely fashion;
- 5. Increasing emergency funding so that it is available on a timely basis;

1 6. Encouraging the secretary of agriculture to seek the necessary authority to 2 increase the maximum level of federal emergency farm operating loans that 3 may be made available to producers who have suffered multiple years of 4 weather-related disasters: 5 7. Educating borrowers on sound farm management principles to reduce 6 foreclosure rates. Borrower training should be provided by existing 7 programs, including Farm Business Management and Cooperative Extension; 8 8. Permitting the guarantee of loans for stock purchases in the farmer-owned, 9 value-added cooperative, as long as the cooperative can demonstrate its 10 feasibility: 11 9. Allowing producers who have used debt restructuring to be eligible for all 12 federal loans, including FSA and emergency loans; 13 10. Processing applications for credit and appeals in a timely manner to meet 14 production demands: 15 11. Not garnishing federal farm program payments to pay delinquent farm credit 16 payments except in cases of delinquency exceeding 3 years without loan 17 restructuring; 18 12. Streamlining and digitizing loan programs and the appeals process; 19 13. Implementing debt restructuring, including debt forgiveness, as equitably as 20 possible and allowing additional restructuring to be considered; 21 14. Continuing to enable the USDA National Appeals Division (NAD) to be an 22 independent and fair forum for agricultural producers; 23 15. Increasing consistency and education on the county and state appeals 24 process and FSA servicing responsibilities to the borrower; 25 16. Prohibiting the use of private collection agencies and offsets of income tax 26 refunds to recover outstanding debt from borrowers who voluntarily 27 liquidate their assets; 28 17. Elimination of term limits for non-delinquent borrowers; 29 18. Prohibiting the imposition of long-term or permanent wildlife or 30 conservation easements on land acquired by FSA foreclosures;

created after the land was acquired by FSA foreclosures;

19. The nullification of government-enacted conservation easements that were

31

1 20. Establishing a revolving loan pool for all FSA loans to ensure loan repayment 2 is credited to the FSA budget; 3 21. Providing FSA loans only to family farm operations who cannot obtain 4 financing elsewhere; and 5 22. All FSA county offices excluding retirement savings smaller than \$500,000 6 from review and calculation of loan approval, especially for beginning and 7 underserved farmers and ranchers. 8 B. FARM CREDIT SYSTEM 9 The Farm Credit System (FCS) should follow its original purpose – keeping the 10 family farmer on the land – by actively providing credit to all family farms within their 11 district, regardless of size, and maintaining farmer-elected control of FCS boards. 12 We support: 13 1. Prohibiting differential interest rates for FCS member-borrowers because 14 they are contrary to cooperative principles: 15 2. An investigation of the discriminatory effects of differential interest rates; 16 3. Local control and participation of all FCS associations and banks while 17 remaining on the forefront of good governance practices to keep the system 18 viable for producers in the future; 19 4. All FCS directors, officers, and bondholders to take the lead in advocating 20 improved farm income as the basic means of repaying farm debt and 21 securing the FCS; 22 5. FCS enforcement of regulations governing the borrowers' rights sections of 23 the Agricultural Credit Act of 1987, including the use of cease-and-desist 24 powers when necessary; 25 6. Congress giving past and present FCS borrowers the right to pursue 26 litigation against FCS institutions they have done business with; 27 7. FCS continuing to be the primary source for financial services for farmer 28 cooperatives and their associated businesses. We call upon member 29 cooperatives to ensure that these institutions remain farmer-controlled;

8. Preventing FCS institutions from being sold to outside entities, thereby

exiting the system. The ability to exit the system negates the benefits of

30

- farmer control and makes it difficult to replace the services mandated by the Agricultural Credit Act;
 - 9. Expanding FCS lending authority only to the extent it directly benefits family farmers, ranchers, and rural communities. Expanded lending authority must support domestic investments and operations;
 - 10. FCS maximizing the patronage and dividend distribution to its borrowermembers so they have access to the FCS capital they helped to create;
 - 11. Full access to rural credit for farmers, ranchers, fishers, and the communities in which they live. We are particularly concerned about the lack of available credit in rural areas with high unemployment, including, but not limited to native producers on their homelands;
 - 12. Competition in lending to allow credit options for our members;
 - 13. Jurisdiction of the FCS remaining under the authority of the U.S. House and Senate Agriculture Committees; and
 - 14. Farmers and ranchers given first choice to purchase any foreclosed or financially distressed farmland under FCS jurisdiction.

C. COOPERATIVE FINANCING

Cooperatives are special business entities, which are unique in nature and have unique needs. Cooperative financing institutions need to provide financial services and investment financing necessary to assist established cooperatives in re-tooling to meet changing times in the markets, and to participate in new opportunities to service rural communities and their family farmer and rancher owners.

These cooperative financing institutions need to establish a program to assist farmers and ranchers and their rural communities by providing risk capital to start new cooperative ventures. The program should also provide financial grants to new cooperatives for in-depth training of their respective board of directors.

The National Cooperative Bank is an important financial resource for rural and urban consumer cooperatives and we encourage the bank to place greater emphasis on rural lending.

ARTICLE VI - FARM COOPERATIVES AND THE FAMILY FARM

Farmer-owned cooperatives reduce costs of production, maintain a reliable source of inputs, effectively market and process farm products, improve member livelihoods, and continually recreate rural communities. NFU encourages its members and organizations to provide leadership in the patronage, direction, operation, and development of cooperative enterprises, and in the education of members and the public as to cooperative philosophy and principles and working in collaboration with other cooperative sectors including consumer, food, worker, insurance, dependent care, and financial co-ops and credit unions.

A. COOPERATIVE LAW

Federal law provides a fundamental economic right to individual farmers to join in cooperative associations for the purposes of pricing, processing, marketing, transporting, and selling their products, and bargaining with processors and handlers for prices and other terms of sale, without being subject to prosecution under antitrust laws. This is a right for farmers as individuals and not the cooperatives that they establish.

The basic cooperative authorities are set forth in the Clayton Act of 1914, the Capper-Volstead Act of 1922 (allowing agricultural producer associations), the Cooperative Marketing Agreement Act of 1926, the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1929, the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1937, and other statutes, including those that deal with the status of cooperatives under the federal tax system. These laws are vital.

Government policies and programs should help to better develop, protect, advance, and promote farmer cooperatives' role in assembling, processing, selling, marketing, and distributing farm commodities and services. We support the expansion of USDA's efforts on behalf of cooperatives and call for full funding of these initiatives. We oppose any attempt to revise cooperative laws, administratively or legislatively, that would diminish or jeopardize the democratic nature of cooperatives, their unique governance structure as member- owned and -controlled enterprises, and ability to maintain financial and ethical integrity.

We oppose new state laws that allow supposed "cooperatives" to structure ventures with non-members in ways that give the outside investor voting control of the combined entity, including the Uniform Limited Cooperative Association Act statutes

1 that undermine the democratic nature of the cooperative enterprises and the interests 2 of producer, worker, and consumer members. Such legislation contradicts the basic 3 purpose of a cooperative established by decades of legal practice and precedent as a 4 user-owned, user-controlled entity that distributed benefit based on use rather than 5 capital invested. 6 **B.** ROCHDALE PRINCIPLES 7 We reaffirm our belief in the basic Rochdale Principles of cooperation as last 8 updated in 1996 that were designed to ensure democratic control of the business by its 9 members and that the members receive the primary benefits of their cooperative 10 enterprise, including: 11 1. Voluntary and Open Membership 12 a. Open membership to all who share the common bond and objective of the 13 co-op; 14 2. Democratic Member Control 15 a. One vote per member, regardless of the volume of business done by the 16 member, with no proxy voting: 17 b. Elimination of bloc voting; 18 c. Directors elected by active members; and 19 d. Open records access by members: 20 3. Member Economic Participation 21 a. Savings/earnings of the cooperative distributed back to the member-22 users in proportion to the members' patronage volume; 23 b. Limited interest/dividends on invested capital; and 24 c. Sales at competitive prices, and trading normally conducted on a cash 25 basis: 26 4. Autonomy and Independence; 27 5. Education, Training, and Information; 28 a. A continuous cooperative education program to teach cooperative 29 philosophy, principles, and operation, funded by five percent of a 30 cooperative's net margin; 31 6. Cooperation among Cooperatives; and 32 7. Concern for Community;

1 a. Cooperatives working for the sustainable development of their 2 communities through policies accepted by their members. 3 C. ADDITIONAL PRINCIPLES 4 To further the cooperative movement, we support: 5 1. Cooperatives returning to the original intention of Capper-Volstead, which 6 allows cooperatives to collectively process, prepare for the market, handle, 7 and market in interstate commerce: 8 2. The rights of cooperative members to organize and operate regional and 9 interregional cooperatives (marketing agencies in common) or to merge 10 with other cooperative associations and not be restricted by law or 11 government regulation; 12 3. Exhausting all options of maintaining local control, including merger or joint 13 ventures with a nearby cooperative, before a local co-op is absorbed by a 14 regional cooperative; 15 4. Encouraging local members or nearby cooperative associations to 16 repurchase a local facility that has been absorbed by a regional cooperative; 17 5. Encouraging regional boards to work with local cooperatives to help them 18 operate for the benefit of members; 19 6. Requiring business entities to provide members with "due diligence" 20 information that is timely and adequate before voting on a merger between 21 two or more cooperatives; 22 7. Cooperative members giving serious consideration to the long-term 23 consequences of selling a cooperative to private entities for short-term 24 gains; 25 8. Individual Farmers Union members taking responsibility to be full 26 participants and patrons in the cooperative movement and to build closer 27 relationships between their farm organization and their cooperatives; 28

29

30

parties;

9. Encouraging cooperatives benefitting from nontraditional income in events,

such as litigation, to expeditiously distribute the proceeds to all relevant

10. Traditional farmer-owned cooperatives lending their experience and cooperation in building new value-added cooperatives that will enhance their local communities and increase the profitability of their farmer-owners;
11. Cooperatives working to return the cost of production and reasonable profitability.

- 11. Cooperatives working to return the cost of production and reasonable profit.

 In addition, they should support federal farm policy that enables producers to receive profitable farm prices;
- 12. Cooperatives being of sufficient size and strength to be effective in representing their farmer-members without competing with family farmers;
- 13. Increased funding for Rural Business Cooperative Services (RBCS) to focus its primary efforts on working directly with farmers in the organization and development of cooperatives, including providing on-the-ground services to producers such as feasibility studies and organizational assistance to farmers, as well as start-up and development grants;
- 14. Funding for the Rural Cooperative Development Grant (RCDG) Program; RCDG grants of up to three years should be given to centers that have previously received funding and have demonstrated success in starting businesses. Remaining funding should be made available for new centers to apply for annual grants;
- 15. Revisions in rules and regulations to allow loans to producers who choose to purchase stock in established agricultural processing cooperatives and new cooperatives formed for the purpose of adding value to agricultural commodities:
- 16. Deferral of capital gains taxes when a refining or processing facility is sold to a farmer-owned cooperative if the benefit is passed onto family farm cooperative members;
- 17. Strengthening the ability of rural citizens to establish new member-owned enterprises that enhance farm income and quality of life in rural America, by continuing our work with the network of cooperative development centers;
- 18. Focusing on a national school/training program that both identifies opportunities and trains cooperators in the formation and operation of

2 project; 3 19. Membership in state Farmers Union organizations being required of all 4 Farmers Union cooperative board and company members; and 5 20. Either spouse should be able to represent the family farm in voting at 6 cooperative meetings. We encourage both spouses to become voting 7 members of cooperatives. 8 We oppose: 9 1. Cooperatives (except cooperative farms) engaging in domestic and foreign 10 agricultural production activities, including land ownership in direct 11 competition with agricultural producers; 12 2. Mergers or joint ventures between regional cooperatives and multinational 13 corporations unless such a merger or joint venture would benefit local 14 cooperative members: 15 3. The practice of allowing individuals, other than "at risk" producers, to serve 16 as a director in a producer cooperative. Status of such "other individuals" 17 should be limited to non-voting and advisory roles; 18 4. Government efforts to dictate the time and manner for returning cooperative 19 patronage earnings; 20 5. The use of net savings of a cooperative to be used to invest in production 21 agricultural operations by the cooperative; and 22 6. Cooperatives allowing a single member or entity to fill more than one board 23 seat. 24 D. TEAMWORK OF FARMERS UNION AND COOPERATIVES 25 The link between NFU, Farmers Union cooperatives and all other cooperatives 26 strengthens all entities. The farm-income improvement measures and strategies 27 advocated by Farmers Union deserve the interest and support of farm cooperatives 28 genuinely concerned about the well-being of their member families. 29 E. CHS 30 We urge CHS not to lose sight of the fundamental cooperative principles upon which 31 it was founded.

value-added cooperatives. NFU could serve as the coordinator of such a

- We oppose CHS owning farmland in the United States and other countries and producing crops that will be in direct competition with farmers in the United States.
- We also oppose:

4

5

6

7

8

- 1. Giving the CHS board of directors the flexibility to approve non-member patronage arrangements;
 - 2. Modifying the CHS membership definition to allow non-producers the rights granted to members currently; and
 - 3. Allowing CHS to get into the farm operating loan business, as this is not their primary function.

1 ARTICLE VII - WATER AND LAND POLICY, CONSERVATION AND THE FAMILY FARM

A.	OBJECTIVES OF A	COMPREHENSIVE	LAND POLICY
----	------------------------	---------------	-------------

Family farmers and ranchers have historically been our best soil and water conservationists when given the economic incentives and flexibility necessary to do so. Our policy encourages a well-balanced, sensible environmental policy that protects the public and the environment without unduly burdening family farmers through excessive regulation or economic hardship and, when applicable, provide farmers and ranchers with opportunities for increased income. We also recognize that the most dangerous of all monopolies is the monopolization of both land and water.

We call on all federal and state regulatory agencies to act in accordance with the above principles and use the least intrusive, economically practical, and science-based methods to accomplish sound environmental quality goals, with consideration given to all these factors and not any one exclusively. We support a national land policy that:

- Recognizes multiple use values of public lands while striving for sustainable
 use in all areas, including the ecological impact created by recreational
 activities;
- 2. Emphasizes agriculture as the most productive use of existing high-quality farmland;
- 3. Recognizes the right of private landowners to be compensated for government land-use restrictions that amount to takings of property;
- 4. Recognizes the value of independently owned and operated family farms to stewardship of natural resources;
- 5. Develops education and outreach materials for the public to achieve land use goals for the benefit of future generations;
- 6. Encourages entry into the business of farming or ownership of agricultural lands by farm families, as opposed to non-farm interests or non-family farm corporations;
- 7. Allows states to prohibit corporate interests from circumventing corporate farm laws by contracting with individual producers;
- 8. Strengthens and enforces state laws to protect family-owned and –operated farms;

1 9. Prohibits concentration of farmland ownership by off-farm corporations, 2 associations, and interests. These laws must be closely monitored and 3 strengthened so they may continue to preserve production agriculture for 4 family farmers: 5 10. Discourages the concentration of land ownership and off-farm ownership via 6 state programs of graduated land taxes and similar disincentives or 7 limitations: 8 11. Recognizes the importance of wetlands, prairies, forests, savannas, and other 9 critical ecosystems (also see Article VII.S.6 - Wetlands); and 10 12. Respects existing farming operations and practices, especially under the 11 pressures of urban encroachment. 12 B. PROHIBITION OF OWNERSHIP OF FARMLAND, COMMERCIAL FISHING PRIVILEGES AND 13 DISCLOSURE BY FOREIGN COUNTRIES AND INVESTMENT BANKS 14 Foreign interests, including those acting through U.S.-registered entities (except 15 families or individuals seeking U.S. citizenship), should be prohibited from acquiring 16 U.S. agricultural lands, holding federal grazing allotments or commercial fishing 17 privileges. We respect the right of other nations to put similar limitations on 18 agricultural lands in their nations. 19 Foreign individuals, corporations or governments should be required to disclose to 20 appropriate agencies their ownership of any U.S. business, financial, energy or real 21 estate assets, in a manner comparable to procedure in the Agricultural Foreign 22 Investment Disclosure Act of 1978. 23 We support the establishment of a private right of action for farmers to sue foreign 24 individuals, corporations, or governments who fail to disclose their ownership of any 25 U.S. business, financial, energy or real estate assets. 26 Citizens of the United States have a right to know the extent of such ownership or 27 control so that remedial steps may be taken. 28 C. LAND TITLE TRANSFER 29 We support: 30 1. Establishing a joint federal-state cooperative effort to assist beginning and 31 socially disadvantaged or veteran farmers to acquire an economically viable 32 family farm enterprise;

- 2. Establishing income tax incentives for landowners and retiring farmers who sell or lease farmland to beginning or socially disadvantaged farmers and veterans, which provides for the orderly transfer of land, prior to the seller's death, as part of an established estate plan;
- 3. Encouraging religious, educational, charitable and similar nonprofit institutions that obtain farmland to ensure that such lands are operated or sold in a manner which preserves and promotes family farm units and does not disrupt land values with reasonable time limits being placed on holdings in order to return the land to local tax rolls as quickly as possible;
- 4. Maintaining existing effective conservation practices when land is transferred; and
- 5. Holding the party responsible for contamination of private land liable, after environmental audits reveal conclusive scientific evidence of contamination, whether they are the current or former owners. Current or new individual family agricultural operators should not be held liable for contamination that did not occur during their ownership.

D. PUBLIC LANDS

We recommend that Congress maintain its commitment to the responsible multiple use of public lands. The federal land stewardship agencies should improve their land management techniques on all public lands.

Livestock production in the 17 western public lands states is highly dependent upon public land grazing and local government services. The health of wildlife habitat is dependent on well-managed livestock grazing. Government actions that drastically change the way livestock grazing is conducted on public lands could greatly damage the economy in rural America.

We support:

1. The current Public Rangeland Improvement Act (PRIA) formula as a fair and equitable method for determining appropriate grazing fees. Grazing fees represent the dividends of stewardship of the federal land, not the value of the forage on the rangeland;

- The management of grazing on federal lands to be based on scientific data
 and monitoring of individual allotments and encourage increased funding for
 this research and monitoring;
 Utilization of the local Resource Advisory Council (RAC) to set standards and
 - 4. Reinstatement of local grazing committees;

guidelines for grazing tailored to the local area;

- 5. Public-land managers assisting public-land permittees to develop their own goals and grazing plans that would be utilized in managing their public-land grazing allotments;
- 6. Flexibility in grazing allotment regulations in response to drought, floods, fires, and other climatic challenges;
- 7. Linking the holding of grazing permits with actual ownership of grazing animals by resident family farmers and ranchers and their entities;
- 8. A certified mediation service for all disputes regarding grazing with the United States Forest Service (USFS) and Bureau of Land Management (BLM);
- 9. Public-land grazing legislation to require that in disputes between public-land managers and permittees, the permittees be advised of their rights under Section 8 of the PRIA, which authorizes land-grant university staff to provide assistance and advocacy services to permittees;
- 10. Efforts to establish grass banks on public lands;
- 11. Efforts to establish and preserve plantings of heirloom seeds and native plants on public lands for the purpose of ensuring biodiversity and the protection of native flora;
- 12. Allowing ranchers to travel by motorized vehicles, control predatory animal populations, continue range improvements and develop water resources;
- 13. Requiring the federal and state governments to pay the full amount of the "in lieu of property tax" (PILT) payments on property that it owns or acquires. If full payment is not made, there should be a moratorium on any further land acquisition by the agency that fails to meet its responsibility;
- 14. Requiring the BLM to follow through with:

1 a. Annual surveys and management plans to control wild horse and 2 burro populations to minimize damage to private and public lands; 3 and 4 b. A limit of five years of captivity for captured horses in holding 5 facilities; 6 15. The USDA-inspected horse and burro adoption program and oppose the 7 release of non-adopted or domestic horses or burros on public lands; 8 16. The harvest of excess feral horses and burros for consumption; 9 17. Reimbursing family farmers and ranchers by the appropriate government 10 agency, with an equitable lease payment annually adjusted to reflect current 11 land values, including for expenses incurred in the acquisition, development, 12 and improvement of public grazing lands in the event permits are revoked; 13 18. Public access to federal and state lands being only on designated routes; 14 19. Public access to public lands being specifically addressed in leases with 15 lessee input; 16 20. Encouraging public-land managers to support and facilitate the formation of 17 locally owned cooperatives and businesses to harvest and process forest 18 products; 19 21. All federal agencies charged with wildlife management taking steps to 20 eradicate diseases from all wildlife under their control on federal lands; 21 22. Involving permittees in the process of revising, updating, creating, and 22 otherwise modifying plans that govern use of public lands in advance of 23 public hearings, including draft plans; 24 23. The use of public lands for the development of energy production and 25 transmission with priority given to renewable energy except where existing 26 livestock grazing permits are negatively impacted; 27 24. Decisions made by the administration that support input from livestock 28 producers on best management practices and range conditions while 29 achieving a strong balance between resources on public lands that include 30 grazing;

1 25. The transfer of vacant, unused, or abandoned grazing allotments to qualified 2 family ranchers rather than permanently retiring or abandoning the 3 allotment: 4 26. Policies that enable payments to flow to public land permittees for 5 ecosystem services; and 6 27. Incentivizing collaboration with conservation groups to improve grazing 7 allotments and to restore ecosystems beyond base stewardship 8 requirements. 9 We oppose: 10 1. Grazing regulations and legislation that require permittees to remove their 11 livestock while they appeal a decision of a public-land manager; 12 2. Permitting non-grazers to acquire so-called "conservation allotments" that 13 result in the bidding up of the price of an allotment, thus denying access of 14 grazing permits to low- and moderate-income families: 15 3. Any swaps of public lands with private developers that would adversely 16 impact the agricultural community. Hearings regarding such swaps must be 17 held within 50 miles of the proposed land to be swapped. Cultural and 18 economic impact studies must be done to assess the impact of such proposed 19 swaps; 20 4. Taking private water rights and private structures on public lands; 21 5. Reintroduction of species detrimental to livestock production and 22 agriculture; 23 6. The expansion of military reservations; 24 7. Legislation that would allow the buyout of grazing permits on federal lands; 25 8. Grazing of cattle not born and raised in the United States on public lands; 26 9. The transfer of federal public lands to state control; 27 10. Separating water from existing leases; 28 11. The renewed efforts of the USFS and BLM to reduce or eliminate domestic 29 sheep grazing from federal lands; 30 12. BLM leasing subsurface mineral rights on private land; and 31 13. Energy development on public lands with sites of historical, cultural, and 32 ecological value and significance.

1 E. NATIVE PRODUCER LANDS AND WATER 2 We support: 3 1. The U.S. Department of the Interior (DOI) clarifying existing and developing 4 improved agricultural land use policy for the protection of native producer 5 water rights and farmlands; 6 2. The negotiation and settlement of water compacts between federal, state, 7 and tribal authorities for water rights distribution and usage by each of the 8 parties: 9 3. Tribes having appropriate water rights based on their treaty; 10 4. Tribes' ability to develop their water rights; and 11 5. The recognition of Indigenous and traditional agricultural practices and 12 crops, such as taro cultivation, agroforestry, and aquaculture, as critical to 13 food sovereignty and cultural resilience. 14 F. NATIONAL GRAZING LANDS COALITION 15 The National Grazing Lands Coalition (NatGLC), which grew out of the Grazing 16 Lands Conservation Initiative (GLCI), seeks to provide high-quality technical assistance 17 on privately owned grazing lands on a voluntary basis, and to increase awareness of the 18 importance of grazing land resources through a coalition of individuals and 19 organizations functioning at the local, state, regional and national levels. 20 We support working cooperatively with NatGLC to promote the benefits of 21 sustainable grazing practices and their continued line-item funding. 22 G. FOREST HEALTH 23 We support: 24 1. Maintaining Categorical Exclusion capability in federal forest management 25 plans to address forest health issues, so the USFS can accelerate the harvest 26 of small timber stands in western U.S. forests: 27 2. Federal and state forests being accessible to the renewable energy industry 28 to allow the use of devastated wood product in environmentally beneficial 29 value-added products; 30 3. Implementation and full funding of efforts to minimize the effects of the 31

32

insect epidemics on the national and state forests throughout the United

States. Federal, state, and private programs must be approved immediately

- 1 to protect open space, wildlife habitat, watersheds, clean air, and other 2 natural resources as well as infrastructure such as roads and utility lines for 3 public safety; 4 4. Funding for programs that provide meaningful education for the public 5 concerning overall forest health; and 6 5. Livestock grazing as a management tool in maintaining forest and range 7 health and for fire prevention. 8 H. WATER 9 1. WATER QUANTITY DISTRIBUTION 10 Laws impacting water distribution should not favor industrial, wildlife, and 11 recreational uses over those of agricultural producers. Furthermore, laws impacting 12 water distribution should ensure sufficient and indefinite access to adequate water 13 supplies and support healthy water cycles to ensure family farms and ranches can 14 continue providing enough food to maintain national food sovereignty. 15 We support: 16 i. States recognizing domestic, irrigation, and livestock water usage in 17 neighboring states when issuing irrigation permits on shared tributaries 18 and aquifers; 19 ii. The use of interstate water compacts between federal, state, and tribal 20 governments for regulating water sharing between neighboring states; 21
 - iii. The use of water storage through impoundment structures, conservation measures, USDA Small Watershed Program, USDA Water-Saving Commodities Program and implementation of soil health principles as primary means for water development throughout the country;

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

- iv. Adoption of legislation to protect agricultural water rights through state water rights to prevent future power and energy plants from consuming water to the detriment of agriculture;
- v. Requiring new energy plants to return water to a level of quality capable of use by agriculture;
- vi. Requiring industrial and tech infrastructure and manufacturing to adopt and use water recycling technology;

1 vii. Subjecting new, large enterprises that will use a significant quantity of 2 water to a permitting process to assess the environmental and 3 community impacts of the proposed use; 4 viii. Enforcement of the limitations on the size of farm operations eligible for 5 federally subsidized irrigation water; 6 ix. Deferred implementation of the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation regulation on 7 the use of federally developed water supplies and facilities and the 8 practice known as "water spreading." The economic impact of any policy 9 changes on family farmers and rural communities should be completed 10 before implementation: 11 a. Water leasing instead of buy and dry policies; and 12 b. The United Nations adding a 31st article to the 1948 Universal 13 Declaration of Human Rights, establishing access to clean and 14 potable water as a fundamental human right; 15 Appropriate water development or just compensation to meet the water X. 16 appropriations of tribal nations' treaty water rights to protect current 17 agricultural water users; 18 xi. The economic benefit to agriculture be considered before permitting any 19 new federally funded water projects: 20 xii. Federal rules and legislation that advocate for the sharing of burden 21 across all water sectors and water users in the arid West, so that 22 agricultural water supply and agriculture itself are not injured; 23 A voluntary, temporary, and compensated water demand management xiii. 24 program to sustain agricultural communities and agricultural economies; 25 and 26 xiv. Federal legislation creating a water pool in Lake Powell and other 27 headwater reservoirs for Upper Basin water storage. 28 We oppose: 29 The movement of any water for the purpose of a coal slurry pipeline or i. 30 similar venture unless a method can be developed to return water of 31 equal quantity and quality to the original area from which it was taken. 32 Prior to the exportation of any water, an environmental and economic

1		impact statement should be completed to determine its effect on
2		agriculture;
3	ii.	Any efforts by the federal government through the usage of a national
4		water policy to usurp the rights and prerogatives of the individual states
5	iii.	An outright ban on "water spreading," as currently defined which would
6		have devastating impacts on agricultural producers in the Columbia
7		Basin and other Bureau of Reclamation irrigation projects throughout
8		the West;
9	iv.	Removal of dams on the Snake and Columbia River systems;
10	v.	Producers bearing the cost of taking inventory of irrigated lands and any
11		mandated renegotiation of bureau contracts through their irrigation
12		district. Agricultural producers should be credited, and not penalized, for
13		increased efficiencies and for their aquifer recharge efforts;
14	vi.	The condemnation of agricultural water rights;
15	vii.	The change of use or removal of water from the basin of origin or non-
16		tributary groundwater; and
17	viii.	Speculation on water and water rights when a water right is purchased
18		without legitimately using the water for a beneficial use within a
19		reasonable timeframe.
20		2. WATER QUALITY PROTECTION
21	The p	rotection of our surface water and groundwater resources is critical not
22	only to co	ontinuing farm operations, but as a source of drinking water for many rura
23	residents	
24	We su	apport:
25	i.	The creation of a national database on the extent of water quality
26		problems, including nationwide voluntary well-testing programs that
27		include cost sharing of landowners;
28	ii.	Legislation or regulations limiting groundwater contamination by
29		incentivizing regenerative land management practices in keeping with
30		soil health principles, while enacting effective groundwater cleanup of
31		already contaminated water sources;
32	iii.	Continued implementation of the Safe Drinking Water Act;

1 iv. The uniform administration of Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) 2 policies throughout the nation. Adding to the list of contaminants that 3 municipalities and rural water districts are required to test for, as 4 mandated by EPA, must be backed by science. We encourage EPA to stop 5 its practice of targeting specific regions with stricter standards than 6 applied in non-targeted regions; 7 Requiring inspectors be public employees, rather than employees of the v. 8 companies who are being regulated: 9 vi. Information and education concerning the present condition of our 10 underground water supply and what we can and should do to prevent 11 any further contamination; 12 vii. Efforts in research that clarify the issue of point source pollution and 13 non-point source pollution; 14 Programs to protect and improve the quality of surface waters, such as viii. 15 the Western Lake Erie Basin Partnership, Chesapeake Bay Program, and 16 the Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement (GLWQA), and urge that all 17 who use the resource, such as boaters and fishers, be included in the 18 effort; 19 Producers participating in state watershed assessment programs: ix. 20 Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs) being required to post X. 21 the appropriate bonds to cover the cost of cleaning up any contamination 22 of land and water resources. When posting these bonds, CAFOs should 23 also be required to develop and submit waste storage closure plans; 24 xi. National minimum guidelines, or standards, that give primacy for 25 implementation and flexibility in regional planning to the states; 26 xii. Encouraging states and localities to establish standards beyond the 27 federal minimums; 28 xiii. Cost-share provisions targeted to small and medium-sized farmers. 29 Responsibility for submitting a waste management plan and complying 30 with the waste management provisions should be shared by the owner of

the livestock and the operator of the facility;

1 xiv. The application of best management practices (BMP) established by 2 agencies of the USDA for disposal of poultry waste and animal manure, 3 and recommend such manure be classified as soil supplements and plant 4 food, and not toxic or hazardous waste. If BMP is subject to regulation, 5 we urge the appropriate state department to be assigned as the 6 regulator; 7 Research to determine the environmental impact of animal-feed content XV. 8 on animal waste: 9 xvi. Family farmers being appointed to serve as advisers to any federal 10 agency when a national waste standard is developed; 11 xvii. Targeting water subsidies to family-sized farms: 12 xviii. EPA encouraging communities and municipalities to partner with 13 landowners in upstream watersheds on voluntary incentive-based 14 programs to implement best management practices to address water 15 quality; 16 Water produced in Coal-Bed Methane (CBM) development be treated to xix. 17 meet existing state water quality standards for surface water discharge 18 before disposal in a manner that would endanger freshwater sources. 19 Laws requiring mitigation of impacts associated with CBM water must be 20 established to protect adjacent landowners; 21 XX. Water quality laws that account for discharges from wildlife, as well as 22 industrial, residential, commercial, and agricultural sources; and 23 Continued collection and maintenance of publicly available current and xxi. 24 historical data for analysis and reporting to support farmers and 25 communities. 26 We oppose: 27 i. The method of deep-well injection of hazardous waste; 28 ii. Legislation that restricts livestock grazing in pastures where running 29 streams or ponds are located and mandatory fencing of rivers, streams, 30 and ponds; 31 iii. In situ leach mining;

1 Any infrastructure or resource development that jeopardizes the health, iv. 2 safety, and quality of freshwater aguifer resources; and 3 v. Any EPA policy directive that would mandate the testing and/or 4 certification of water or farmland unless a federal fund is established to 5 pay necessary costs of meeting certification requirements. 6 3. CLEAN WATER ACT 7 Clean Water Act rulemaking requires Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) be 8 established for 303(d) listed watersheds, i.e., streams that are impacted by 9 pollution. Compliance with mandatory state and federal watershed TMDL 10 regulations can create a hardship on family agricultural producers for compliance 11 with state and federal water quality standards. 12 We support: 13 Voluntary compliance and incentive-based, cost-sharing programs 14 currently working to minimize production agriculture's impact on our 15 nation's water quality; 16 Protecting the quality of our water and assuring its suitability for ii. 17 beneficial uses: 18 iii. Regulations for the process to identify waters protected under the Clean 19 Water Act (CWA) being made clearer, simpler, and faster: 20 Exemptions for normal agricultural activities being reaffirmed; iv. 21 v. Agricultural producers and representatives of local government entities 22 from all agricultural areas of the U.S. being included in discussions to 23 address the issues concerning the proposed changes to jurisdiction of the 24 CWA; 25 vi. Extensive monitoring of water quality to accurately assess progress 26 toward clear goals; 27 vii. Proper appropriation of funding to fully implement clean water efforts; 28 viii. Ongoing evaluation and recalibration of nutrient reduction and 29 conservation efforts: and 30 Employment of agronomic practices, conservation efforts and funds, with ix. 31 a focus on those that have the largest positive impact toward reaching 32 clean water goals.

1 We oppose: 2 Broadening the definition of what waters are considered jurisdictional 3 under the CWA: 4 ii. The deletion of the word "navigable" waters from the federal Clean 5 Water Act which would expand the taking of private property rights and 6 provide additional intrusiveness; 7 iii. Current rulemaking by EPA that would establish regulatory-based 8 TMDLs for family agricultural producers; and 9 iv. The broadening of the federal government's jurisdiction over a group of 10 waters such as the entire prairie pothole region simply because the 11 bodies of water are near each other. 12 4. DROUGHT MONITORING 13 We support continued federal support of the National Drought Mitigation 14 Center. 15 5. WESTERN STATES RIVER BASINS 16 NFU recognizes that the states west of the 100th meridian are experiencing an 17 ongoing drought crisis. This drought necessitates continued collaborative efforts among 18 state and federal agencies and sovereign nations. We support the rights of states to 19 negotiate and enter into interstate compacts without federal intervention. Farmers in 20 western states have adapted to recent drought and low runoff conditions by reducing 21 historical diversions due to lack of water availability and comparative lack of storage 22 capacity. 23 We strongly encourage: 24 The U.S. Department of the Interior (DOI) expediting preparation of new 25 sources and new measures based on current and projected hydrologic 26 conditions to protect long-term sustainability of western river basins for 27

- maintaining agriculture, urban use, and ecosystems;
- ii. Revising the current guidelines for operation of dams and other storage structures that are necessary to address the likelihood of continued lowrunoff or flood conditions;
- iii. The Bureau of Reclamation accurately accounting for evaporation and transit losses:

28

29

30

31

- iv. DOI equitably apportioning water across basins according to the spirit of existing interstate stream compacts;
- v. All relevant government agencies developing protocols and best practices for shepherding water through entire watersheds; and
- vi. All relevant government agencies prioritizing addressing factors impacting the supply of water and prioritizing the health of watersheds, improving water cycles, riparian areas, soil organic matter, vegetative ground cover, and the health of forest ecosystems and other headwater areas, while recognizing the role of agriculture in these efforts.

I. AIR QUALITY

Production agriculture should be exempt from the regulating of particulate matter. Agriculture should be a stakeholder in research and education about the role of agricultural production in particulate matter emissions. Agricultural producers should follow best management practices when practical to help reduce particulate matter and improve air quality.

J. Animal Feeding Operations (AFOs)

NFU recognizes that family farm agriculture and good, sound environmental practices need to work together. Our policy encourages a well-balanced, sensible environmental policy that protects the public and the environment without unduly burdening family farmers through excessive regulation or economic hardship.

When properly managed, livestock waste is a valuable resource that provides essential nutrients to cropland and lessens the need for commercial fertilizer. We oppose the defining of animal manure, waste or nutrients as being considered hazardous and regulated under the federal "Superfund" law, the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) and the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA).

Animal feeding operations should be regulated beginning at the local level. Cost sharing for regulatory compliance should be made available and targeted to family-sized producers.

We support standards that are on a graduated system of at least three tiers: small, medium, and large. They should be implemented with a sliding scale of standards that address each size of operation.

K. CONCENTRATED ANIMAL FEEDING OPERATIONS (CAFOS)

We support requiring permits for large-scale feeding operations, i.e., confined feeding operations that require National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits as defined under the February 2003 EPA rule change. Under the rule change, all large CAFOs require permits and all other CAFOs that discharge pollutants into surface water require a permit. The issue of scale is critical in determining the level of risk associated with waste management. Therefore, we support the following standards:

- 1. Holding animal owners and/or contractors responsible for spills and manure disposal in contract feeding operations;
- 2. Using best available technology to reduce the environmental impact of waste and setting higher standards for waste storage, including open-air lagoons;
- 3. Requiring a CAFO to have a reasonable setback distance from an existing residence, business, church, school, public use area or riparian area;
- 4. Permit applications must prepare and submit a nutrient management plan containing detailed information regarding proposed methods of distribution. Application of animal waste should be monitored by the states' departments of health and should adhere to the agronomically sound, best management practices adopted by the state in which the facility exists. No waste should be applied to ice, to highly erodible slopes, or where the soil is frozen;
- 5. Requiring written manure spreading agreements for all acres included in a CAFO's nutrient management plan not owned by a CAFO owner;
- Including sound, science-based guidelines for using phosphorus and nitrogen as limiting factors on the gallons of liquid livestock waste and tons of solid waste to be applied per acre as a part of the nutrient management plan;
- 7. Permit applications for a large-scale facility must serve notice in a timely fashion for public comment describing the type of facility to be constructed, including information on the type of waste to be generated;
- 8. Permit holders must disclose the number of animal units within a facility upon request by the appropriate regulatory agency;

1 9. A livestock owner whose permit has been revoked in one jurisdiction not 2 being allowed a permit in another jurisdiction; 3 10. Facilities that close being required to use proper cleanup, including re-4 vegetation, within three years of closure: 5 11. Existing operations being required to comply with permit requirements at 6 the time of permit approval; 7 12. Permits requiring financial assurance including proof of liability insurance, 8 equity, or adequate bonding: 9 13. Appropriate penalties for those who fail to comply with permitting 10 requirements: 11 14. Implementing a temporary moratorium on the establishment of CAFOs 12 based on issues of health until local control is re-established; and 13 15. CAFOs processing animal waste nutrients into renewable energy resources. 14 We oppose efforts to restrict the property rights of family farmers and rural 15 residents by limiting their ability to regain compensation in court against a neighboring 16 CAFO nuisance caused by negligence or poor management. 17 L. PESTICIDE REGULATION 18 We support: 19 1. Protection for producers of non-targeted crops that incur damage due to 20 drift from pesticides onto their property; 21 2. Liability insurance requirements for commercial licensed pesticide 22 applicators; 23 3. An exemption from liability through EPA for groundwater cleanup for 24 farmers who applied pesticides according to label directions; 25 4. Provisions emphasizing protection of uncontaminated groundwater and 26 providing means to keep residue levels from increasing; 27 5. Access to health and safety information for farmers, farm laborers and 28 emergency medical personnel in multiple languages; 29 6. Greater cooperation between EPA and USDA to help ensure that pesticide 30 regulations and disposal do not unnecessarily interfere with normal farming 31 practices and land transfers;

7. Legislation designed to eliminate U.S. production of pesticides not registered for use in the United States, or for which a pesticide residue tolerance has not been set;

8. Restrictions on the import of food products produced with such pesticides, with more frequent inspections at borders to protect consumers from unsafe pesticide use;

- The agriculture secretary developing regulations implementing authorized programs for less chemically intensive farming practices. Full funding for these farm programs and for pest-control research initiatives should be included;
- 10. Cooperation among farmers, chemical companies, and governmental agencies to reduce pollution hazards by using integrated pest management, biological controls or other effective alternatives to pesticides when available;
- 11. Funding additional avenues for applicators to implement the use of smart or precision spraying systems to reduce pesticide use, drift, and resistance;
- 12. The creation and implementation of safety standards protecting people from exposure to pesticides;
- 13. Continued prudent use of approved chemicals for crops, including expedited registrations for minor use pesticides and requiring EPA to use peer-reviewed, third-party science, such as land-grant universities, in their registration review process;
- 14. Chemical manufacturers increasing the use of reusable, pre-measured, water-soluble, and bulk containers;
- 15. Federal and state assistance for recycling containers and in establishing central locations for disposal of chemical containers;
- 16. Standardization of farm chemical prices and regulations between the United States and other countries;
- 17. Fair pricing of chemicals and pesticides not tied to commodity prices;
- 18. Full funding for the IR-4 minor crop pesticide registration program;
- 19. The Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) as the primary regulatory act for applications of pesticides by farmers and ranchers. Any

1 additional permitting required by EPA under court mandate should mirror 2 existing recordkeeping, education and training requirements and any 3 increases in training requirements should carry provisions for the expenses 4 associated with such training: 5 20. Extensive research on new technologies and their applications regarding 6 their impact on non-targeted organisms; 7 21. Prohibition on the export of pesticides not registered for food and fiber uses 8 in the U.S. for food and fiber uses in other countries; 9 22. Application of the precautionary principle, guided by publicly available, 10 peer-reviewed science in regulating pesticides and herbicides; 11 23. The creation of a user-friendly electronic pesticide drift reporting system; 12 and 13 24. Protections of the rights for farmers to use the civil justice system to defend 14 their health and property. Liability shields for manufacturers of pesticides. 15 fungicides, and rodenticides should not be allowed. 16 M. FERTILIZER REGULATIONS 17 The future of agricultural producers worldwide depends to a great extent on 18 developing and implementing the best use of fertilizers. 19 We support: 20 1. No hazardous waste being included in fertilizers; 21 2. Manufacturers labeling all ingredients in fertilizers and providing 22 notification to buyers; 23 3. Anhydrous ammonia continuing to be classified as non-hazardous for the 24 purposes of transporting nurse tanks on public roadways; 25 4. Privately owned anhydrous ammonia facilities and equipment to meet the 26 same safety requirements and standards as that of licensed dealers. We 27 encourage private owners to attend anhydrous ammonia safety training 28 classes: 29 5. The federal government stepping up enforcement of the illegal production of 30 methamphetamines and fully funding measures to prevent the theft of

agricultural materials and cleanup of confiscated methamphetamine labs;

- 6. Biosolids generated from human waste being inoculated, composted, or spread in a timely fashion with a nutrient and pathogen management plan. Farmers must be provided with a complete nutrient and contaminant analysis of the biosolids;
- 7. Updated and thorough testing and certification of biosolids for heavy metals, industrial chemicals, flame retardants, PFAS, steroids, and pharmaceuticals;
- 8. Programs and policies that indemnify producers against losses and damages from the approved use of biosolids and providing for the remediation of contaminated land;
- Educational or informational resources being provided to family farmers regarding the risks associated with the application of biosolids to agricultural lands; and
- 10. Sufficient consideration being given before OSHA implements changes to the enforcement of Process Safety Management of Highly Hazardous Chemicals Standards for Retail Facilities.

N. Noxious and Invasive Species Control

Much of the current infestation problem is attributed to insect or other invasive species population growth on public lands. Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) needs increased funding so it can properly inspect all imported and interstate movements of agricultural products, monitor insect hatch and damage, and coordinate measures in states affected by infestations. Federal grants or cost sharing on both public and private lands should be made available for dealing with widespread infestations of invasive insects, plants, and animals.

Increased monitoring and inspection of domestic and foreign imported products are needed to prevent introduction of invasive species. Vigorous control and eradication measures are needed to ensure that invasive species be eliminated.

Where needed and requested, the Agricultural Research Service (ARS) must engage systems-based insect ecology research on the interaction between soil health implementation and pest infestation occurrence and should provide adequate standing funding for practical, applied research and Integrated Pest Management (IPM) programs to help farmers implement rapid, highly organized and cooperative IPM responses to infestations. It is especially important that the additional cost of such

1 programs be wholly or partially underwritten for small-scale farms to enable them to 2 participate effectively in them. 3 Coordinated efforts and adequate funding are urgently needed for improved control 4 of noxious weeds on public lands and all road rights of way. We are concerned that 5 farmers are expected to control weeds on their land while nearby public land goes 6 without weed control. Whenever this responsibility is not being met, there should be a 7 moratorium on any further land acquisition by the appropriate agency. 8 We support: 9 1. Alternatives to chemical control measures to control noxious weeds on 10 public lands: 11 2. USDA APHIS control of near plague-level pests; and 12 3. Research, education, and control of known and emerging invasive 13 species to preserve natural resources. 14 O. Prescribed Burning 15 Prescribed burning is a viable farming practice. 16 We support: 17 1. The right to safe burning when necessary; 18 2. The use of prescribed fire as a tool to reduce wildfire risk and improve range 19 and forest health; and 20 3. Ongoing research to discover economically viable alternatives to burning. 21 P. LANDOWNER RIGHTS 22 We support: 23 1. Private property rights, including ownership of land and all its attributes 24 above, on, and below the surface, including pore space, unless otherwise 25 marketed: 26 2. Land lessees' rights; 27 3. Just compensation to farmers and ranchers who suffer losses because of any 28 state or federal agency ruling: 29 4. Local control; 30 5. The expiration (null and void) of any pipeline or utility right-of-way grant 31 five years after abandonment or period of non-use for the original purpose 32 or use of the grant;

- Trespassers being held liable for any damages done to private property, including along public accesses;
 The use of transferable development rights, conservation easements, and other tools that will keep agricultural lands in production; and
 - 8. The property right to harvest the power from the sun to produce energy in all its forms: feed, fiber, fuel, and electricity.

We oppose:

- 1. The "big open" concept, and the resulting confiscation of private property;
- 2. Any agency or individual entering into a mineral lease or granting a permit for the extraction of minerals without the consent of the land surface owner, including just compensation to the agricultural operation;
- 3. Landowners being held liable for injuries obtained by a trespasser;
- 4. The ability of landowners to sever air rights or any other property rights above the surface; and
- 5. Any attempts by the government to take away a landowner's right to compensation for use of pore space and a landowner's rights to bring claims for trespass, nuisance, or other torts for unauthorized use of pore space.
- **Q. EMINENT DOMAIN** (ALSO SEE ARTICLE IX.F. IRS TAX CODE 1031 EXCHANGES)

Abuses of eminent domain occurring across the country raise serious concern to the rights of private property owners. While government entities are permitted to seize private property for public use via eminent domain, it is contrary to American values to seize property from one private owner and give to another for purposes of increasing tax revenue.

The rights of private property owners need to be protected against parties with condemnation rights and due process should be applied to all proceedings involving eminent domain. Every effort should be made to preserve farmer ownership.

In all public and private projects where eminent domain proceedings may eventually be used, we support:

- 1. Individual notifications and public hearings being held prior to project implementation;
- 2. Public hearings held in the county in which the project is slated;

- 3. Negotiations between the property owner and utility to include yearly royalty fees to be paid to the owner for each occurrence of current or future use;
 - Severance damages including payment for the diminution of remaining land values, any increased expenses, changes in farming practices, and inconvenience suffered by affected landowners and operators;
 - 5. All initial court expenses, including attorney and appraiser fees, being borne by the constructing agency in condemnation proceedings;
 - 6. Values being determined by appraisers at the highest and best use value;
 - 7. Easements for utilities being for the life of the utility only. If the new equipment is installed on an existing easement, the landowner should be compensated at fair market value of the land, any related opportunity costs, and for surface damage, at the time of installation. The landowner should receive an ongoing cash payment from the project. If the entity is for-profit, it should not fall under the eminent domain law;
 - 8. Owners being compensated with appropriate annual payments or a lump sum of no less than three times the appraised value;
 - 9. Federal and/or state legislation that would strengthen private property rights against the use of eminent domain to transfer property to any private person, non-governmental entity, or other public-private business entity;
 - 10. Offering seized property back to the original property owner if property is not used in a timely manner or if property is not used for its original public use intent; and
 - 11. The right of a landowner to receive a tax shelter, and/or 1031 like-kind exchange against capital gains from the sale of properties taken by eminent domain.

We oppose:

1. The use of eminent domain by state and local governments for the purpose of "economic development" when it involves taking private property, especially farmland, from one owner and giving it to another private entity;

- 1 2. The use of eminent domain without the developer putting into place 2 environmental safeguards, maintaining conservation and drainage practices, 3 and assuming liability for damages; 4 3. The acquisition of productive farmland through use of the eminent domain 5 process to extend wildlife habitat; and 6 4. Granting eminent domain authority to any private, corporate, or foreign 7 entity. 8 R. Zoning 9 We support: 10 1. The preservation of farm units in any rezoning efforts. Any state and federal 11 legislation should recognize that agricultural land must be preserved for the 12 future. Family farmers should be represented in all relevant zoning 13 deliberations: 14 2. Farmland near areas already converted to urban or other uses, which may be 15 appreciating in speculative value, being taxed as agricultural land as long as 16 the land continues to be farmed by a family farmer; 17 3. Requiring economic and environmental studies to determine the effects on 18
 - future water supplies of changing land-use designations from agriculture to some other use:

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

- 4. Federal and state funds for flood control projects to protect communities and agricultural land. We favor zoning laws prohibiting development of areas that flood frequently;
- 5. Legislation to strengthen the rights of local government units to protect the health, safety, and welfare interests of their residents, including improved governance provisions for regulating concentrated feeding operations and future land use requirements;
- 6. Zoning regulations describing borders, setbacks, and bonding for reclamation:
- 7. Zoning regulations within urban and incorporated areas that contain clear definitions of agriculture and promote agricultural uses within nontraditional areas:

- 8. Zoning regulations that allow farm stands to operate on all principal use sites. Retail sale of goods from farm stands must include items grown or produced on the principal use site where the farm stand is located; and
- 9. The further defining of agritourism to allow for regional specifications and for the purposes of zoning regulations.

S. CLIMATE CHANGE AND CARBON SEQUESTRATION

NFU is concerned about the effects of climate change and believes further research and analysis is necessary to determine its impacts, current and future. We acknowledge and accept the scientific evidence that clearly indicates that human activities are a contributing factor to climate change. We believe that human activity also has the potential to help mitigate climate change.

We support:

- 1. Farmers and ranchers being consulted as the United States moves forward to reduce its emission of greenhouse gases;
- 2. Soil health practices that aim to increase organic matter and humus development;
- 3. Carbon sequestration as an innovative way to enhance income for producers and protect the environment. Therefore, the trading of carbon or tax credits with the inclusion of carbon sequestration as an agricultural conservation practice for fair and equitable carbon offset payments should be encouraged;
- 4. Consumer education regarding the value of family farmers in mitigating climate impacts;
- 5. Carbon sequestration research and carbon payments not being biased toward a single practice, such as no-till, and instead integrating soil health principles into all agricultural practices, including grazing lands, energy feedstock production, organic cropping, wood lots, the Conservation Reserve Program, cover cropping, industrial hemp, improvements in livestock feed efficiency, and other proven conservation methods;
- 6. Uniformly applied definitions and methodologies of soil carbon sequestration created by the agricultural community, with USDA as the final authority for those definitions;

1 7. Policies that prioritize accessibility for family farmers and ranchers and 2 equitable access for all scales of production, ensuring all farmers' meaningful 3 participation, in voluntary and compliance carbon markets; 4 8. A carbon trading exchange to compensate farmers and ranchers for 5 sequestering carbon; 6 9. A national mandatory carbon emission tax or fee and dividend system to 7 reduce non-farm greenhouse gas emissions that: 8 i. Grants USDA control, verification and administration of the 9 agriculture offset program, rather than EPA; 10 ii. Does not place an artificial cap on domestic offset allowance; 11 iii. Bases carbon sequestration rates upon science: 12 iv. Recognizes early actors; and 13 v. Allows producers to stack credits. 14 10. Agriculture being uncapped in any climate change legislation; 15 11. The inclusion of provisions that are advantageous to agriculture while 16 minimizing potential negative effects to agriculture and rural communities 17 such as increased input costs, elevated electricity costs and decreased global 18 competitiveness; 19 12. All nations participating to reduce carbon emissions, as climate change is a 20 global responsibility; 21 13. Research and development of carbon capture and storage (CCS) facilities, 22 with the understanding that landowners will not share in the risks and 23 liabilities associated with CCS with the understanding that affected 24 landowners and farmers will be the beneficiaries of tax credits associated 25 with CCS: 26 14. Research and promotion of resilient farming practices, such as the NRCS Soil 27 Health Initiative, that mitigate and adapt to the potential effects of climate 28 change; 29 15. Practices that reduce agricultural methane emissions; 30 16. Investment in the development and deployment of small-scale technologies

that work for family farms to capture methane emissions from agricultural

1	production and that can produce renewable energy or biological soil
2	amendments;
3	17. Efforts to preserve rainforest land and convert cleared rainforest land back
4	into diversified agroforestry;
5	18. Increasing USDA research funding for public plant breeding programs to
6	provide farmers with seeds that are regionally adapted to changing climates;
7	and
8	19. The goals articulated through the Paris Climate Accords;
9	20. USDA's Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities and efforts to promote
10	price transparency in markets for climate-smart commodities;
11	21. Creating an incentive program for cost share on practices that help
12	producers voluntarily reduce greenhouse gases through reduction in
13	emissions or capture of greenhouse gas;
14	22. The swift implementation of the Growing Climate Solutions Act and other
15	carbon and ecosystem service market regulations to protect the interests of
16	family farmers;
17	23. USDA using planning and producer outreach to better inform producers of
18	the risks associated from extreme weather events that may be exacerbated
19	by the changing climate;
20	24. Increased federal funding for research on the use of biochar to improve soil
21	health and sequester soil carbon, and incentives for its use by farmers and
22	ranchers;
23	25. Funding and utilization of USDA Climate Hubs to coordinate resource
24	sharing of climate information, agronomic and risk management support;
25	and
26	26. Programs that enhance opportunities for family farmers and ranchers to
27	participate in the ecosystem service market; and
28	27. The inclusion of Arctic renewable policies in the farm bill and other
29	legislation.
30	We oppose:
31	1. Any plan that does not cover carbon emissions from all sectors of the
32	economy:

- Considering international indirect land use changes when determining U.S.
 carbon and energy policy;
 - 3. Exempting small domestic refiners (producing 150,000 barrels per day or less) from an emission cap; and
 - 4. Policies and consumer education regarding agricultural emissions that disproportionately harm family farmers and ranchers.

T. CONSERVATION

Strong conservation efforts promote healthy soils. Conservation programs should be fully funded to benefit the environment, reward stewardship of land and water resources and marine habitat, discourage speculative development of fragile land resources, strengthen family farming, and enhance rural communities. Conservation assistance should be at a level designed to meet the needs as shown in the federal land conservation inventory, the appraisals under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, and other federal studies.

We support:

- The development of a one-stop conservation planning system for agriculture through the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) with conservation program decisions being made by locally elected conservation entities, where appropriate;
- 2. A single conservation plan jointly developed by the farm operator and approved by NRCS being established to fulfill the requirements as recommended. This conservation planning system should replace the existing sodbuster, swampbuster, Corps of Engineers flood plain and other regulations which affect agricultural lands. The plan should be supervised and approved by the USDA committee process, with the technical assistance of the NRCS;
- 3. The objectives of the conservation plan being used to reduce and control wind and water erosion, prevent non-point source pollution, and enhance the soil and water capacities of the land;
- 4. Designation of which highly erodible soils should not be tilled, and which may be tilled, with approved conservation practices;
- 5. Programs that promote soil health and sustainable farming practices;

6. Accurate mapping and documentation of both existing and drained wetlands, as well as any drains and channels. The plan should outline the conservation of wetlands, as well as the maintenance of drains and channels;

- 7. Restoring NRCS annual appropriations for conservation technical assistance at the field office level to adequately meet the needs of America's farmers, ranchers, and landowners;
- 8. A payment system that moves toward an outcome-based approach where real changes and environmental benefits are tracked and rewarded, but does not disadvantage smaller-scale operations;
- 9. Programs being based on voluntary continuous signup and precluding the use of a bidding system;
- 10. That when a conservation plan is implemented, a producer being considered compliant with all federal agencies. Producers should be allowed to remedy inadvertent or unavoidable failures to carry out conservation plan practices without automatically being penalized. Loss of full federal farm program benefits should be imposed only in cases of purposeful destruction of conservation practices;
- 11. Federal financing to meet clean water and air standards of the EPA being available to farmers from funds appropriated by Congress for this purpose, and that such funds be administered through the farmer-elected committees;
- 12. Adequate funding to enable the small watershed programs to provide for the maintenance and rehabilitation of existing structures built under federal water control and flood protection programs, as well as to build additional structures to provide flood control, livestock water, irrigation water, and recreational benefits to rural America;
- 13. State efforts to create cost-share programs for soil and water conservation practices;
- 14. The continuation of NRCS snow surveys and Snow Telemetry (SNOTEL) measuring stations;
- 15. Limiting programs that increase the role of private companies and nongovernmental organizations in conservation planning, technical assistance, or implementation;

1	16. Conservation programs such as the Conservation Stewardship Program
2	(CSP) and the Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP) supporting
3	early adopters of climate-smart, soil health, and regenerative agriculture
4	practices;
5	17. The concept of an expanded safety net for U.S. agriculture that includes a
6	direct support program for producers who undertake climate-smart, soil
7	health, and regenerative agriculture practices; and
8	18. Flexibilities to allow for livestock grazing or haying on land enrolled in
9	federal conservation programs, including in drought years and under
10	emergency circumstances;
11	19. Flexibility when administering conservation programs for tribal
12	communities;
13	20. The continuation and expansion of the Environmental Quality Incentives
14	Program (EQIP), which provides federal cost-share and technical assistance
15	to enable farmers to comply with environmental requirements, and we urge
16	full appropriation of existing authorized funding and an increase in future
17	funding. Additionally, we support:
18	i. Appropriate caps on funding levels to assure funds are directed to
19	family farmers and ranchers;
20	ii. Preference and priority going to family farmers below a 500-
21	animal waste unit threshold;
22	iii. The agriculture secretary prioritizing the use of EQIP funds for
23	family farmers and ranchers, accounting for the geographical
24	differences in farming and ranching operations;
25	iv. EQIP program eligibility and cost-share levels being consistent
26	with commodity program eligibility and payment limits;
27	v. USDA funding public and private research and development of
28	composting and that composting be eligible for cost sharing
29	under EQIP as an enhancement of pollution control;
30	vi. The use of EQIP Conservation Incentive Contracts; and

1 vii. The establishment of a new tiered EOIP funding mechanism 2 specifically designed for small and beginning farm and ranch 3 operations. 4 We oppose conservation program provisions which exclude or penalize producers 5 who are early adopters of stewardship practices and those that penalize producers for 6 non-compliance with program requirements resulting from "Acts of God" such as 7 drought, fire, and floods. 8 1. LAND RETIREMENT AND EASEMENT PROGRAMS 9 We urge the full funding of the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) and the 10 Agricultural Conservation Easement Program (ACEP). 11 We support native prairie grasslands and groundwater as eligible classes for 12 ACEP or similar programs. 13 We support flexibility in the Agricultural Conservation Easement Program 14 (ACEP) that allows producers to amend a conservation easement to keep that land 15 in agricultural production. 16 Greater emphasis should be placed on improved farm management techniques. 17 We believe that assisting farmers in becoming the best possible stewards of natural 18 resources is a better long-term approach to sustainability than simple land 19 retirement. 20 We support a flexible short-term land idling program that compensates farmers 21 for reduction in acreage for crop production. 22 2. Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) 23 We support: 24 CRP contracts and contract extensions which maintain CRP lands in 25 private ownership of resident family farm and ranch operators; 26 ii. CRP payment rates that are competitive but do not exceed average local 27 land values and/or rental rates so as not to discourage participation; 28 iii. A 25-percent-per-county acreage limit for CRP, unless it is determined 29 that lifting the cap would address local endangered species concerns and

not have a negative economic impact on rural communities;

1 iv. Adequate funding and staffing to ensure close monitoring by the NRCS 2 and the Farm Service Agency (FSA) to enforce contract requirements for 3 adequate weed, erosion, insect and fire control; 4 Enrollees being allowed to manage permanent, vegetative cover to v. 5 enhance wildlife habitat and ecosystem health; 6 vi. Landowners' rights to implement agroforestry practices on CRP land 7 when ecologically appropriate: 8 vii. Landowners' rights to collect hunting or recreational use fees on CRP 9 land: 10 viii. The program being better focused to serve the needs of family farmers 11 and ranchers and to protect highly erodible land and other 12 environmentally sensitive lands; 13 ix. Land enrolled in CRP having an end-of-contract plan in place for use of 14 the land following the contract expiration date, accounting for erodibility, 15 soil type, and conservation practices; 16 Voluntary participation to transfer CRP lands that qualify for ACEP to X. 17 that program; 18 Evaluation of all CRP lands currently or previously enrolled in the хi. 19 program for contract re-enrollment. The most environmentally sensitive 20 land should be given first opportunity for contract. Land enrolled in CRP 21 that is critical habitat for endangered species should be given an 22 extension of up to 15 years. CRP lands diverted into long-term timber 23 and forestry conservation projects should be given a high priority for 24 contract re-enrollment; 25 xii. Financial and technical assistance being provided to producers in 26 preparing CRP acreage for sustainable agricultural systems that will 27 meet established conservation standards; 28 xiii. Land managed with appropriate organic standards while enrolled in CRP 29 being eligible for organic certification upon leaving the program; 30 xiv. Land that was farmed prior to being enrolled in CRP being eligible for 31 crop insurance upon contract expiration;

1	XV.	In times of extended drought conditions or weather disasters,
2		authorization of haying or grazing on CRP acres to livestock producers
3		based on need, with CRP acres being used to replenish feed supplies.
4		Haying and grazing of CRP by a producer in a disaster declared county
5		should not be restricted to land in the disaster-declared county or state.
6		The FSA farmer-elected county committees should be given the authority
7		to set the date of harvest to maximize the feed value of hay and forage.
8		These regulations should be established so the procedures are known in
9		advance. The maximum landowner income from the haying and grazing
10		should not exceed the annual CRP contract amount from that farm;
1	xvi.	No further reductions in total acres enrolled in CRP;
12	xvii.	The CRP Transition Incentives Program (TIP) that allows CRP payments
13		to continue to landowners that transfer the land to beginning, veteran
L4		and/or socially disadvantaged farmers;
15	xviii.	TIP requiring that base acres are reattached to the tract they were
16		removed from;
17	xix.	Planting property to shelterbelts or other conservation measures being
18		encouraged through reduced property taxes on those acres. Producers
19		who destroy shelterbelts or wooded areas should establish the same
20		number of acres of new trees for a minimum of 10 years;
21	XX.	An expedited process to adopt rules and regulations to re-enroll or to
22		extend CRP contracts;
23	xxi.	Allowing approved CRP conservation cover crops to be used for biomass
24		production in areas where needed until the biomass industry evolves to a
25		more economically viable level. CRP payments would be paid on these
26		acres in years where biomass is harvested; and
27	xxii.	Expanded access and increased acres to Grassland CRP.
28		3. Buffer Strips
29	We su	ipport:
30	i.	Incentives to install and maintain permanent buffer strips along
31		waterways:

1	ii.	Development of new markets for products of perennial systems, such as
2		biofuels and feedstock crops; and
3	iii.	Enterprise research to evaluate and develop new plant material
4		selections along with associated production, harvesting and processing
5		technologies to discover and develop new uses for products of perennial
6		systems.
7		4. Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP)
8	We su	pport:
9	i.	Full funding, implementation, and expansion of the Conservation
10		Stewardship Program (CSP);
11	ii.	Implementation of CSP in a manner which recognizes conservation
12		practices appropriate to different climatic regions and provides for such
13		agricultural production practices to be adequately scored by the
14		Conservation Management Tool (CMT);
15	iii.	Allowing new conservation enhancements made to land in an existing
16		CSP contract to count as credit on subsequent CSP contracts as a way of
17		rewarding early adopters; and
18	iv.	Consistent interpretation of the rules and guidance documents among all
19		NRCS offices.
20		5. SODBUSTER AND SWAMPBUSTER PROVISIONS
21	We su	pport:
22	i.	Provisions that give the secretary of agriculture greater discretion in
23		handling sodbuster and swampbuster violations. FSA should be the
24		single regulatory agency;
25	ii.	The goal of soil conservation practices being to reduce soil losses to
26		tolerable levels, or "T-levels";
27	iii.	Alternative conservation systems being used only in cases of financial
28		hardship, after recommendation of local conservation officials; and
29	iv.	A federal sodsaver provision, which disallows the payment of farm and
30		crop insurance subsidies for crops planted on land without any previous
31		cropping history.
32		6. WETLANDS

1	We su	pport:
2	i.	Producers being provided full opportunity to participate in the
3		development and review of a single, coordinated approach to wetlands
4		protection. Requiring recertification of wetlands at 5-year intervals
5		creates a moving target for producers in compliance efforts;
6	ii.	Making NRCS and FSA the lead agencies in wetlands delineation on
7		agricultural land, but oppose the use of special interest groups making
8		wetland determinations;
9	iii.	All federal agencies using a single, universal framework for making
10		wetland determinations;
11	iv.	Any and all wetlands determinations throughout the United States
12		relying on the presence of all three of the following mandatory wetland
13		criteria simultaneously appearing on the same site year-round:
14		a. Hydrology;
15		b. A predominance of hydric soil; and
16		c. A prevalence of hydrophytic vegetation.
17	v.	The federal government consulting with the state and local governments
18		to develop a unified, mutually agreeable management program to protect
19		our nation's wetlands;
20	vi.	Wetlands management programs balancing wetland values and the
21		needs of the various states and their political subdivisions and individual
22		property rights;
23	vii.	Any leaseholder, renter or owner being compensated equitably for the
24		taking of lands through the classification of wetlands;
25	viii.	The final interagency manual being revised with greater consideration
26		for the food and fiber producers of the United States;
27	ix.	Wetlands of less than one acre not falling under any jurisdiction of state
28		or federal agencies;
29	х.	When dealing with farmland, primary consideration being given to the
30		economic and environmental impact on agriculture;

- xi. In cases where adjacent landowners are not affected, landowners being able to move water within the contiguous boundaries of their own property without regulation, interference, or easements;
 - xii. Water outside the boundary of a wetland being considered sheetwater and not subject to jurisdiction by the state or federal agencies;
- xiii. NRCS and FSA cooperating with state and local agencies on wetland mitigation;
 - xiv. The right to remove excess water from wetlands under federal easements, and make physical adjustments pursuant to such removal, in amounts that correspond to excess moisture removal from productive farmland into the same wetland under easement; and
- xv. Restoration of wetlands that have been damaged by excessive
 precipitation.

We oppose U.S. Fish and Wildlife wetland easements that are perpetual.

U. SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE

We support programs that promote soil health and sustainable farming practices as defined by the USDA definition of sustainable agriculture. Sustainable agricultural practices enhance environmental quality; make the most efficient use of nonrenewable and on-farm resources; sustain the economic viability of agriculture operations; enhance the quality of life for farmers, ranchers, and society; and where appropriate, integrate natural biological cycles and controls to protect the resource base on which agriculture depends.

V. REGENERATIVE AGRICULTURE

Regenerative agriculture is a system of farming and ranching principles and practices that increase biodiversity, enriches the soil, purifies watersheds, and all while increasing in-farm fertility, which may help producers save money by reducing input expenses. Regenerative practices include no tillage to minimal tillage, diverse cover crops, multiple crop rotations, agroforestry and silvopasture, all of which should be augmented by holistic grazing guidelines and native producers' wisdom.

We support:

- 1. Incentives for regenerative farming and ranching practices that sequester more carbon, improve soil health and productivity, slow erosion and build up topsoil, and increase water infiltration;
 - Development of new markets for farmers and ranchers who are currently practicing regenerative farming and ranching or who are transitioning to regenerative practices;
 - 3. Applied research on soil health in regenerative food production systems;
 - 4. The making and use of compost to invigorate beneficial microbial soil life while recycling nutrients back onto the farm;
 - 5. Educational, legislative, policy, and advocacy efforts aimed at furthering the practice of regenerative;
 - 6. Efforts that incentivize regenerative agriculture practices, whether administered through the government, private entities, or the marketplace, so that the financial burden of implementing them is not borne solely by family farmers, but by all that benefit;
 - 7. Public and private funding to pay farmers to develop healthier soil, as determined by such metrics as depth of soil aggregate formation. Soil aggregates are foundational to the function of watersheds and the longevity of infrastructure, and their tending requires adaptive management by the farmer; and
 - 8. We encourage USDA, private industry, other relevant agencies, and collaborative efforts among farmers to explore economic opportunities for family farmers who utilize ecosystem services which improve resiliency, increase soil health, and promote healthier watersheds.

W. PREDATOR AND RODENT CONTROL

We support:

1. Restoring the original intent of the 1931 Animal Damage Control Act (ADC), which mandates the federal government protect the livestock industry from predatory loss. To the extent that an adequate ADC program is not available to farmers, we recommend that a federally financed indemnity program be instituted to pay for crop and livestock losses;

1 2. Judicious use of control practices being continued on federal and state lands 2 to control coyotes, feral hogs and other damaging species. Control practices 3 on private lands must be done with landowners' permission; 4 3. Farmers and ranchers being allowed to defend against predatory animals, 5 including those on the endangered species list, on their land by using the 6 most effective, safe, economical, and humane means; and 7 4. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service assuming full responsibility for any livestock 8 losses resulting from the reintroduction of non-domesticated animals. 9 We oppose the reintroduction of predatory animals. 10 X. ENDANGERED SPECIES 11 We support: 12 1. All impacted industries and individuals being compensated for actual annual 13 losses due to critical habitat designation; 14 2. Federal policy establishing meaningful incentives for landowners in the 15 business of farming and ranching who provide habitat for endangered 16 species; 17 3. Requiring federal agencies to continue cooperative public/private efforts 18 designed to increase the population of candidate species since such efforts 19 have proven successful: 20 4. Candidate conservation agreements as a tool to lessen impacts to agriculture 21 producers from candidate species that are warranted-but-precluded or 22 listed: and 23 5. Amending the law by specifying that no species may be listed as endangered 24 or threatened and no critical habitat may be so designated unless the 25 following conditions exist: 26 Site-specific studies have been done that find the species occupies or 27 has occupied within the last 15 years, habitat that is declared critical; 28 ii. Cultural and economic impact studies have been done which 29 determine what impact the declaring habitat or listing of species will 30 have on rural economies and rural cultures:

1		iii. Treaty law has been observed by the development of mutual
2		agreements between federal agencies and tribes and other
3		indigenous peoples protected by treaties;
4		iv. Hearings are held within a 50-mile radius of the proposed critical
5		habitat, with all public land permittees provided written notice; and
6		v. Managing any species that are threatened or listed under the
7		Endangered Species Act (ESA) to prevent detrimental effects on
8		working agricultural crops, lands, and livestock;
9	6. F	ederal, state, and private entities collaboratively managing the Greater Sage
10	G	rouse, Lesser Prairie Chicken and certain prairie dog populations rather
11	tl	nan listing of these species under the Endangered Species Act.
12	Y. A	RMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
13	We urge	that the authority of the Army Corps of Engineers be limited to its historic
14	role of main	taining navigable waterways, dams, and facilities on public lands. We urge
15	the corps to	designate flood control and rebuilding levees destroyed by floods a priority.
16	Z. N	UCLEAR, RADIOACTIVE, AND TOXIC WASTE, AND OTHER HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES
17	We supp	ort:
18	1. E	nactment and vigorous enforcement of legislation, both federal and state, to
19	p	rohibit dumping of nuclear, radioactive, toxic, and other hazardous wastes
20	W	vithout detoxification;
21	2. D	evelopment of hazardous waste encapsulation and disposal with robust
22	p	ublic input related to citing and construction methods with stricter
23	e	nforcement of laws on transporting, handling, and disposal;
24	3. B	anning the use of per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) and their
25	d	isposal as land applied waste until research has determined safe levels; and
26	4. L	egislation that requires companies that historically or currently produce
27	P	FAS to contribute to an indemnity fund to compensate farmers and
28	h	omeowners impacted by PFAS contamination.
29	AA.S	urface M ining
30	With pro	per enforcement of the law, energy and other resources can be provided
31	without peri	manently damaging our nation's most important natural resources, namely

5

6

- 2 1. Strong enforcement of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act 3 including hard rock;
- 2. Ensuring that land stripped to recover underground resources is returned to 4 its original or better condition;
- 3. Public involvement in the monitoring and enforcement of the surface mining 7 law;
 - 4. Mandatory public disclosure of chemicals used in the mining process; and
- 9 5. Adequate bonding for post-mining reclamation.

1	ARTICLE VIII - ENERGY AND THE FAMILY FARM
2	A. Energy Objectives
3	We support a balanced energy policy that seeks energy independence for the United
4	States and, at the same time, protects our nation's environment and recognizes the
5	special energy needs of America's agricultural sector and its potential contributions.
6	We urge Congress and the Administration to address additional elements of a
7	national energy policy including:
8	1. Making the development of renewable sources of energy our number one
9	priority in reducing our dependence on fossil fuels;
10	2. Ambitious mandates for renewable energy production;
11	3. Equitable distribution and efficient development of energy while assuring
12	appropriate production of food and fiber;
13	4. Incentives for environmentally safe domestic exploration, drilling and
14	development to assure a reasonable degree of self-sufficiency and to mitigate
15	all negative economic consequences incurred by farmers, fishers, and fishing
16	businesses by such exploration and subsequent drilling resulting from said
17	exploration;
18	5. A balance of energy needs with a sustainable environment;
19	6. Concern for the survival of independent oil producers through the elimination
20	of the oil depletion allowance on all but domestic production;
21	7. Opposition to federal deregulation of the electric utilities industry;
22	8. A stand-alone Energy Efficiency Resource Standard that will achieve greater
23	energy efficiencies, including savings of electricity and natural gas from new
24	building codes, and equipment efficiency standards;
25	9. The right of farmers and property owners to feed back into the commercial
26	energy grid at equitable rates. Farmers should be encouraged to utilize both
27	agricultural crops and agricultural byproducts in the production of energy.
28	However, we oppose efforts to mandate net metering policies that would
29	require cooperatives to compensate customers who own distributed energy
30	resources at the cooperative's retail rates;
31	10. Country-of-origin labeling for fuels, requiring the secretary of energy to
32	implement country-of-origin disclosure requirements with respect to fuels;

Ţ	11. Ir	ie impacts on our rural economy of eliminating existing power plants
2	be	fore they achieve their maximum intended lifecycle while transitioning to
3	a	clean power economy;
4	12. Acceleration of development of fusion energy technology;	
5	13. Ab	oility of states using mass-based and rate-based emissions reduction
6	sta	andards to trade carbon emissions;
7	14. A	national renewable energy standard that would include the United States
8	pr	oducing 30 percent of its own energy from renewable sources by 2030;
9	and	
10	15. Le	gislation that restricts price gouging resulting from disasters.
11	B. Di	STRIBUTION
12		1. Petroleum Supply
13	To en:	sure an uninterrupted flow of petroleum products for priority uses, we call
14	for:	
15	i.	The U.S. government to ensure a necessary level of refining capacity to
16		process the crude oil that would be available in a petroleum-supply
17		emergency;
18	ii.	Crude oil at competitive prices to be made available during an emergency
19		to refineries so the resulting petroleum products will be provided to the
20		agricultural sector;
21	iii.	Strategic Petroleum Reserve supplies being allocated to cooperative and
22		other independent domestic refineries at equitable prices at the early
23		stages of any supply disruption;
24	iv.	The reserve being used only in shortfall of stock, not price, to avoid price
25		manipulation;
26	v.	The creation of reserves in other fuel sources, including ethanol; and
27	vi.	The support of the Corporate Average Fuel Efficiency (CAFE) standards.
28	We oppose:	
29	i.	Efforts to force conservation through excise taxes, and support a
30		continuation of the farm-use exemption from such taxes;
31	ii.	Excise taxes on gasoline for deficit reduction purposes; and
32	iii	Efforts to curtail or eliminate the Strategic Petroleum Reserve

1 2. ELECTRICITY AND DEREGULATION 2 We support: 3 i. Local regulation of power to ensure all U.S. residents have access to 4 affordable, high-quality electric service; 5 a. We urge the EPA to place more emphasis on preliminary planning 6 assessment with the states and tribes expanding the Visibility 7 Protection Program through the addition of the regional haze 8 concept and to propose a rule that addresses a more reasonable 9 and realistic approach to the issues of regional haze. 10 b. We encourage the EPA to use actual air quality monitoring data 11 and improved computer modeling to determine if a state is in 12 compliance with EPA to air quality requirements for Class 1 areas 13 such as national parks and other designated natural treasures. 14 Federal policy that would provide nonprofit power groups with the ii. 15 necessary credit and financial support to set up needed generating and 16 transmitting facilities; 17 Electric utilities providing rate structures that offer an incentive to iii. 18 consumers to use off-peak power; 19 The development of wind, solar, and other alternative sources of energy iv. 20 in cooperative/community-based and individually owned systems where 21 viable; 22 The development of a national electrical grid designed with the capacity 23 to carry renewable energy from the production source to the areas 24 where it is needed; 25 vi. Federal and state electric distribution studies on rural electric 26 cooperative (REC) and municipal utility lines and substations to identify 27 necessary improvements and available capacity for renewable energy 28 production; 29 A national Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) of at least 30 percent by vii. 30 2030 that includes a strong local ownership component; When siting lines "cross country," citizen input should be a first priority 31 viii. 32 in the siting process;

1 ix. Alternatives to siting lines "cross country," such as aggressive energy 2 efficiency, demand-side management, distributed generation incentive 3 programs, and scheduled low-voltage improvements; 4 When new transmission lines and substations are placed, a yearly rental X. 5 payment to the landowner should be established; 6 xi. Fair compensation for farmers and landowners whose adjacent property 7 and operations are devalued by the siting of new transmission lines; 8 Farmers with proposed renewable energy projects on their farms being xii. 9 provided with adequate compensation and protections from onerous 10 interconnection requirements: 11 xiii. The use of the best available clean air technology when using fossil fuels 12 to even out the production of electricity from renewable sources. The use of fossil fuels, nuclear energy, and energy storage in conjunction with 13 14 renewable energy sources is necessary given today's level of technology 15 to produce reliable and affordable electricity; 16 Consideration and mitigation of the negative impacts on our economy of xiv. 17 eliminating coal-fired power plants before they achieve their maximum 18 intended lifecycle; 19 Rural consumers being the top priority before allowing hyper-scale data XV. 20 centers to be sited in rural areas and use local electricity. 21 We oppose: 22 i. Infringement upon the existing service areas of rural electric 23 cooperatives (RECs) by investor-owned companies; 24 ii. Energy provider overreach where ownership safeguards have not been 25 set in place for the use of alternative energy sources on privately owned 26 land: 27 iii. Federally mandated restructuring of the electric utilities industry; 28 iv. Development of local transmission lines, which do not ensure financing 29 for low-voltage upgrades, which will be needed for many community 30 energy projects to reach these larger grids;

1	V.	NEPA studies on public lands for energy transmission corridors without
2		local notification of landowners and local governments at the scoping
3		process;
4	vi.	Granting eminent domain authority to or on behalf of foreign
5		corporations, state or federal governments for merchant transmission or
6		non-public utility projects, and any new nuclear plants in the United
7		States until safety issues are reviewed and confirmed;
8	vii.	Siting transmission lines cross-country when a viable alternative exists;
9		and
10	viii.	Utility companies passing on to customers the costs incurred from fires
11		caused by the utilities.
12		3. ECONOMIC ASSISTANCE
13	We su	pport:
14	i.	Utilization and adequate funding and staffing of the USDA Rural Utilities
15		Service (RUS) to provide direct low-interest loans to rural electric
16		cooperatives and their members to carry out renewable energy projects,
17		energy conservation measures, and for rural electric cooperative
18		distribution system improvements to withstand extreme weather events
19	ii.	Expanding RUS's role to provide funding for financing renewable energy
20		transmission and substations to cooperative/community-based projects;
21	iii.	Assistance to landowner associations or groups to conduct renewable
22		energy resource assessments and environmental impacts studies;
23	iv.	Full disclosure of all classifications of subsidies received by private
24		utilities; and
25	v.	Authorizing RUS to restructure existing debt for coal infrastructure
26		projects owed by Rural Electric Cooperatives to finance deployment of
27		wind and solar generation.
28		4. Public Ownership of Power Marketing Administration and Power
29		Generators
30	Public	c ownership has been an essential element in providing reasonably priced
31	hydroeled	ctric power to rural areas in the United States. Access to federally produced
32	and subsi	dized power must be guaranteed to new and existing public or cooperative

electric utilities. We oppose the sale of Power Marketing Administrations (PMA), the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA), state or tribally owned dams or other publicly owned power generators.

5. Hydroelectric Power

NFU recognizes that hydroelectric power is an important renewable energy source.

We support:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

- i. Continued development of hydroelectric power sites, where they are cost-effective and ecologically and environmentally compatible, and upgrading of current sites for better efficiency;
- ii. Amending the Federal Power Act to provide preference to rural electric cooperatives in the licensing and re-licensing of waterpower projects;
- iii. Inclusion of micro, small, and existing hydro in national renewable energy legislation; and
- iv. Funds received from sale of public water that displaces hydro generation being returned to the generating authority.

6. PIPELINES

We support:

- i. An understandable process that clarifies when and how eminent domain can be used, who has what liability where there are damages from pipeline failure, siting standards and routing criteria, environmental considerations, and decommissioning expectations and costs. The process should provide for transparency in the planning and routing process including public input, fair compensation to landowners and a process to deal with landowner and public complaints and conflicts;
- ii. Pipeline developers being barred from using non-disclosure agreements;
- iii. Privately owned pipeline companies building and operating a pipeline maintaining insurance/bonds to cover liability for pipeline failure costs;
- Regulations mandating that soil disturbed during pipeline construction be returned to its previous condition and farmland returned to its previous level of production; and

v. Adequate compensation for landowners for loss in value of a farm crossed by a pipeline, for any future loss to land value associated with the pipeline or its construction.

We oppose:

- i. The classification of a private, foreign-owned pipeline as a public utility;
- ii. The classification of a foreign-owned pipeline as a common carrier unless it can be demonstrated that the majority of the transported material is from domestic sources and that majority of the transported material is for domestic consumption;
- iii. Pipeline construction across farmland without permission of all affected landowners; and
- iv. Forcing additional rights of way or leases upon landowners where a lease or right of way currently exists, as this would further devalue the land for agricultural use.

C. DEVELOPMENT OF RENEWABLE ENERGY AND FUELS FROM THE FARM

1. PRIORITIES

NFU should promote, expand, and ensure localized farmer ownership to the fullest degree possible in renewable/alternative energy development including wind, solar, biofuels, woody biomass, methane, and other technologies. Policies that can achieve this goal are feed-in tariffs, incentives, and mandates for locally owned facilities. We support the efforts of "host" communities and municipalities to accurately calculate the income-generating capacity of potential renewable energy projects, regardless of ownership type, and to establish fees, property tax provisions, or impact assessments to ensure that a reasonable amount of the economic benefits are shared by all of the local communities and residents without deterring smaller-scale, distributed, community-based and individually owned projects.

NFU should lead efforts to educate family farmers, ranchers, and rural communities about how to adapt to the effects of climate change on their own operations, as well as the enormous economic benefits that renewable energy brings to our rural areas.

We support:

1 i. Expanded research and development dollars and full funding for 2 renewable energy programs and technology; 3 ii. The development and promotion of renewable energy sources 4 appropriate to their location and directed toward developing self-5 sufficient units suitable for farm, home, small industry, and business use; 6 iii. Farmer-owned cooperatives pursuing the development and marketing of 7 renewable energy: 8 Adequate funding levels for feasibility studies under the Rural Energy for iv. 9 America Program (REAP) should be reinstated and cover all pre-10 development activities involved, including thorough wildlife studies by 11 public agencies; 12 v. Incentives for local development and ownership of renewable energy 13 production: 14 Development of new markets for cellulosic products from perennial vi. 15 systems; 16 Enterprise research to evaluate and develop new plant material vii. 17 selections along with associated production, harvesting and processing 18 technologies to discover and develop new uses for products of perennial 19 systems: 20 viii. Research and development on utilizing biomass for energy of all forms; 21 ix. Federal support for production of bioenergy and biochar from biomass to 22 create new markets and income opportunities for farmers while building 23 soil health and addressing climate change; 24 The Biomass Crop Assistance Program and other such programs; X. 25 xi. Economic assistance for family farmers to make agriculture more self-26 sufficient through increased application of alternative forms of energy 27 and energy efficiency; 28 xii. Reversing the trend toward concentration of the ownership or control of 29 sources, production, and distribution of energy; 30 xiii. Targeting funds to encourage diversified, community-based, and 31 individually owned energy systems that create jobs and new wealth in 32 rural areas of our country;

1 Eliminating the importation of foreign sources of biofuels; xiv. 2 An import fee on non-renewable foreign-produced energy, to fund the XV. 3 development of domestic renewable energy; 4 Creation of a Strategic Biofuels Feedstock Reserve: xvi. 5 A program to tackle the lack of infrastructure in the expansion of xvii. 6 renewable energy. We support many increases in research and 7 development, particularly in the areas of: 8 a. Pipelines designated or altered for the transport of biofuels: 9 b. Solidifying the railroads in this country to move renewable fuels 10 to each coast: 11 c. Expanded use of flex/blender pumps; 12 d. Expanding availability of higher blend filling stations/pumps; and 13 e. Expanding and creating a network of transmission lines 14 throughout the country to aid in the movement of renewable 15 energy from sources such as wind and solar; 16 xviii. Extension and expansion of renewable energy tax credits, including 17 adding high efficiency biomass thermal technologies to the list of 18 renewable energy technologies that currently benefit from investment 19 tax credits under the residential and commercial/industrial sections of 20 the tax code, incentives and loan guarantee programs including a 21 permanent renewable energy production tax credit (PTC) that includes 22 nonprofit organizations as qualifying entities; 23 The use of production tax credits to provide long-term financial xix. 24 incentives for wind and solar energy development; Encourage local 25 ownership of wind turbine manufacturing, development and operations; 26 Biodiesel, renewable diesel, sustainable aviation fuel, and ethanol XX. 27 blenders' tax credits, and the cellulosic production tax credits and loan 28 guarantees; 29 Policies to create greater domestic production of biofuel facilities and xxi. 30 other renewable energy components; 31 xxii. Fully funding the BioPreferred Program, as well as ensuring the federal 32 government is a leader in procuring these products;

1	xxiii.	Expanded energy conservation research and development as well as
2		implementation of programs that encourage the conservation of energy
3		inputs by the agricultural and food sectors;
4	xxiv.	Working with landowners and other groups to develop a landowner's bill
5		of rights for renewable energy;
6	XXV.	Research and development on the production of fuels and fertilizers from
7		renewable electricity;
8	xxvi.	Expanding the utilization of anaerobic digesters;
9	xxvii.	Funding for research and technical advancement of energy storage
10		systems allowing for reliable and consistent availability of renewable
11		energy;
12	xxviii.	Renewable fuels being used in all government vehicles;
13	xxix.	A national net metering standard for systems up to 100kW to help
14		expand the use of smaller wind and solar energy systems;
15	XXX.	The Community Based Energy Development (CBED ownership model
16		created in Minnesota and Nebraska;
17	xxxi.	Renewable energy projects tied to the creation of supply chain
18		businesses in the surrounding rural communities;
19	xxxii.	Rural energy cooperatives being able to recoup reasonable fixed costs
20		when members choose to use distributed generation but remain
21		connected to the grid;
22	xxxiii.	Use of the Greenhouse Gases, Regulated Emissions, and Energy Use in
23		Transportation (GREET) model as a standard assessment tool for
24		measuring energy and emissions impacts;
25	xxxiv.	Net metering from utilities so farmers receive a fair price for their
26		farmer-generated electricity; and
27	XXXV.	Virtual net metering from utilities so farmers can aggregate multiple
28		electric meters usage onto one account so net metering can offset all
29		electrical usage from one farm.
30	We op	pose:
31	i.	Efforts by power utilities to discourage distributed renewable power
32		generation;

1	ii.	Fuels t	that are imported or derived from imported commodities being
2		eligibl	e for any tax incentives or exemptions; and
3	iii.	Incent	ivizing land use conversion for renewable energy development
4		that ca	uses a net increase in greenhouse gas emissions.
5		2. ET	HANOL
6	We su	ipport:	
7	i.	An eth	anol program to include:
8		a.	Renewable resources that should be established through low-
9			interest federal loans to farmer-owned cooperatives;
10		b.	Further federal legislation to provide for conversion of farm
11			products and byproducts into ethanol emphasizing on-farm and
12			local cooperative site development;
13		c.	State legislation granting tax credits on each gallon of ethanol-
14			enhanced fuel sold within each state;
15		d.	Promotion of research on usage and marketing of dry distillers'
16			grain for feed and other value-added uses;
17		e.	An aggressive and ambitious cellulosic program, geared to rapidly
18			developing necessary technology, to compliment traditional
19			ethanol supplies of motor fuel;
20		f.	Expansion of available gasoline blends to E30 or higher, as
21			supported by scientific data, and the EPA and all government
22			regulators immediately reversing statements and regulations
23			prohibiting, restricting, or implying it is illegal, unsafe, or harmful
24			for non-flexible fuel vehicles to use and operate on gasoline-
25			ethanol blends up to and including E30, or higher blends as
26			supported by research and data, which are delivered through
27			blender pumps;
28		g.	Flexibility for new fuel systems to accommodate advances in
29			ethanol blend utilization technology; and
30		h.	Research and production of engines designed to run solely on
31			ethanol.

1	ii.	The extension of the ethanol fuel tax incentive to include the ethanol
2		portion of ethyl tertiary butyl ether (ETBE);
3	iii.	Allowing ETBE refiners the ability to claim the ethanol excise tax
4		exemption at the blend point;
5	iv.	The use of ethanol as a fuel additive for gasoline formulations to enhance
6		octane levels, with an expanded role of replacing aromatics, in
7		accordance with the Clean Air Act;
8	v.	Urging all vehicle manufacturers to actively produce and market flex-fuel
9		options in all market classes; and
10	vi.	A strict interpretation on eligibility for small refinery exemptions.
11	We op	ppose:
12	i.	Any future efforts to eliminate ethanol tax incentives;
13	ii.	Liability protection for MTBE producers; and
14	iii.	Any further use of methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE) in gasoline.
15		3. RENEWABLE FUEL STANDARD
16	We su	pport:
17	i.	Expanding the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) to set an ambitious
18		mandate for production of biofuels to make up one-third of the nation's
19		fuel supply as soon as possible, consistent with grain availability for
20		livestock production;
21	ii.	Separate mandates of production for each form of biofuel, including
22		cellulosic ethanol and biodiesel;
23	iii.	Full implementation of RFS legislation that will:
24		a. Supply clean-burning renewable fuels to reduce air pollution;
25		b. Provide strict anti-backsliding requirements in the RFS;
26		c. Ensure that air quality gains from the reformulated gasoline
27		programs are preserved;
28		d. Provide incentives to expand use of other biofuels, and encourage
29		the use of eligible feedstocks to meet the requirements for other
30		advanced biofuels under the RFS; and
31		e. Establish a separate requirement for a national RFS for biodiesel
32		use in transportation fuels;

1	iv.	Legislation to ensure that American farmers, not ethanol importers,
2		would benefit from the RFS; and
3	v.	Eliminating the small refinery waivers that are circumventing the RFS
4		standards that are now in law and reallocating the four billion plus
5		gallons lost due to the small refinery waivers given by the EPA.
6	We op	ppose:
7	i.	Ethanol import schemes that would thwart ethanol import tariffs;
8	ii.	The importation of renewable fuels; and
9	iii.	Changes to the RFS mandate that are not based on the best available
10		science and subject to public review.
11		4. BIODIESEL, RENEWABLE DIESEL, AND SUSTAINABLE AVIATION FUEL
12	We su	pport:
13	i.	A nationwide minimum B5 blending requirement;
14	ii.	Retailers providing higher blends of biodiesel fuels to their customers
15		and urge marinas to offer biodegradable biodiesel fuels to their
16		customers;
L 7	iii.	Increased research funding to demonstrate the effectiveness of biodiesel
18		and renewable diesel;
19	iv.	Research and development of oilseed crops and animal byproducts for
20		use as biodiesel, renewable diesel, and other alternative fuels;
21	v.	DOE developing a rapid commercialization program for biodiesel,
22		renewable diesel, and sustainable aviation fuel;
23	vi.	Legislation to provide for the recycling of used cooking oils and waste
24		greases generated at government facilities to be processed into biodiesel
25		for use in government vehicle fleets, wherever feasible;
26	vii.	ASTM D6751 as the biodiesel industry's quality standards to meet the
27		requirements of the railroads, military, and other heavy industry;
28	viii.	The development of renewable diesel and sustainable aviation fuel
29		processing facilities and infrastructure;
30	ix.	CHS Inc. promoting the use of renewable fuels and providing consistent
31		and competitively priced supplies to our local cooperatives:

1	Х.	Canola and other minor oilseeds as an RFS approved feedstock for
2		renewable diesel; and
3	xi.	Expanding the RFS approved feedstock for sustainable aviation fuel to
4		include canola and other minor oilseeds while still giving preference to
5		the current RFS approved feedstocks.
6		5. Low-Carbon Fuels Tax Incentives
7	We su	pport the extension of the 45Z tax credit and legislation or rulemaking
8	that:	
9	i.	Mandates the use of domestic feedstocks in order to quality for 45Z tax
10		credits;
11	ii.	Rewards farmers for producing feedstocks with a lower carbon footprint;
12		and
13	iii.	Gives producers flexibility in practices in order to qualify for farm-level
14		incentives.
15		6. Compressed Natural Gas
16	We su	pport the development of infrastructure to permit use of compressed
17	natural ga	as as a transportation fuel.
18		7. WIND ENERGY
19	We su	pport:
20	i.	Development and distribution of electric generation from wind, including
21		a reasonable timeline for approval for interconnection to the electric
22		grid;
23	ii.	Efforts to educate our farmers and ranchers about their wind rights and
24		other related issues;
25	iii.	Federal legislation to require all utilities to allow community-based wind
26		projects access to the electric grid by actively pursuing power purchase
27		agreements;
28	iv.	Account balancing of small wind generators on an annual basis; and
29	v.	Creating a grant program for local RECs who will upgrade their system to
30		monitor the flow of energy both ways within their system to accept net-
31		metered energy produced by a local REC customer.
32		8. Solar Energy

1	We su	ipport:
2	i.	The development of solar energy, including solar thermal and
3		agrivoltaics without excessive restrictions;
4	ii.	The prioritization of agrivoltaics over conventional solar on farmland
5		soils;
6	iii.	Requiring solar developers to treat farmers, landowners, neighbors of
7		solar installations, and communities fairly, including by requiring
8		reasonable project transparency and fair revenue sharing;
9	iv.	For all large-scale and utility-scale solar energy development, requiring
10		an agricultural impact statement that assesses impacts on all agricultural
11		production and related businesses and support services, and strong and
12		transparent Community Benefits Agreements or related agreements that
13		ensure strong community investments and benefits;
14	v.	Community solar garden models to allow for greater participation in
15		solar projects;
16	vi.	Educating our landowners about solar rights and other related issues;
17	vii.	Research into concentrated solar and other developing solar
18		technologies;
19	viii.	Replacing fossil fuel-powered heating and drying applications with solar
20		powered systems; and
21	ix.	The development of community and commercial solar farms in areas
22		with no current value-added use.
23		9. RENEWABLE ENERGY STORAGE
24	We su	pport development of storage technology and infrastructure for electricity
25	produced	by intermittent renewable sources.
26		10. Cooperative Demonstrations
27	We su	pport:
28	i.	Consumer-owned utilities uniting to develop and demonstrate the
29		economic feasibility of renewable and other alternate energy systems.
30		The economic viability of those cooperative utilities must be taken into
31		consideration;

1	ii.	Cooperatives providing consumers price incentives for using ethanol- or	
2		biodiesel enhanced fuels;	
3	iii.	Continued federal funding for the Great Plains Synfuels Plant; and	
4	iv.	Placing alternative marketing devices for alternative fuels such as	
5		E85/biodiesel and flex/blender pumps at every cooperative retailer in	
6		the nation.	
7	D. En	VIRONMENTAL PRECAUTION IN ENERGY PRODUCTION AND USE	
8	We suppo	ort:	
9	1. Th	e Clean Air Act;	
10	2. Re	gulations that emphasize achieving the greatest amount of pollution	
11	со	ntrol through the most cost-effective measures available; and	
12	3. Di	esel emissions being reduced in the most cost-effective manner possible,	
13	со	nsistent with good fuel economy.	
14	We oppose:		
15	1. Ga	s flaring;	
16	2. Re	lease of natural gas into the air; and	
17	3. En	nission standards for emergency response vehicles.	
18		1. FUEL STORAGE TANKS	
19	We su	pport:	
20	i.	Rules for underground fuel storage tanks that provide cost sharing to	
21		mitigate the financial impact of compliance on farmer-owned, locally-	
22		owned and cooperatively-owned outlets;	
23	ii.	An exemption for farm and ranch use in the EPA rule pertaining to	
24		existing above-ground fuel storage tanks; and	
25	iii.	Above-ground fuel storage tanks being monitored and replaced on an as-	
26		needed basis as the condition of the tanks change and leaking becomes	
27		detectable.	
28		2. WASTE PRODUCTS	
29	We su	pport:	
30	i.	Recycling as a socially responsible activity;	
31	ii.	Programs that facilitate the recycling of plastics used on the farm;	

1 iii. Federal assistance to help establish an effective recycling system 2 throughout the country for agricultural, post-consumer and industrial 3 waste: 4 The creation and use of reusable, recyclable, compostable, and iv. 5 biodegradable materials for packaging of products used on the farm; 6 v. Only the environmentally safe use of solid wastes in the production of 7 energy; 8 Composting of food and organic fiber waste, and the opening of markets vi. 9 for the sale and distribution of the composted products, especially for 10 schools and other public institutions; and 11 vii. Comprehensive baseline and subsequent water testing be completed to 12 ensure our water quality is protected. 13 3. Hydraulic Fracturing and Wastewater Injection 14 We support: 15 i. Holding energy companies that use the hydraulic fracturing process and 16 wastewater injection well owners liable for all expenses resulting from 17 environmental damage, earthquakes and health impacts incurred as a 18 result of the activity and handling of wastewater and other byproducts 19 associated with the extraction process: 20 A complete Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), with assessments of ii. 21 health and economic impacts, baseline water quality and quantity and 22 the effect of a diversion of water that may currently be assigned to 23 agricultural, private, and municipal use or under contracted obligations; 24 iii. Local oversight and regulation of the fracking process and wastewater 25 disposal; 26 iv. Mandatory disclosure of the chemicals, including percentages, used in 27 fracking drilling fluids and wastewater injection. Such chemicals must be 28 identified as environmentally safe before use or injection; 29 Mandatory monitoring of possible groundwater contamination and v. 30 oversight of wastewater injection wells; 31 vi. Compliance with the Federal Right to Know Act (Emergency Planning 32 and Community Right to Know Act). Oil and gas well operators must

1		report all hazardous chemicals in use or stored at drilling sites to the
2		State Emergency Response Commission (SERC). The SERC shall maintain
3		a current database with this information that is easily accessible to first
4		responders and the public; and
5	vii	Requiring oil and gas facilities using as much produced water as possible
6		prior to accessing surface or subsurface water.
7	E.	LANDOWNER RIGHTS IN NATURAL RESOURCES PROJECT DEVELOPMENT (WIND, SOLAR,
8		FRACKING, OIL, ETC.)
9	We sup	port a comprehensive policy that protects landowners from speculation and
10	unfair cont	racts in the development of natural resources. We support the following
11	landowner	s' rights:
12	1.	Prohibition of non-disclosure or secrecy clauses in leases. Landowners
13		should be allowed to review leases with attorneys, lenders, and other
14		holders of leases to ascertain the relative value of a lease offer;
15	2.	Establishment of a registry of current standard natural resources leases that
16		is accessible to the public;
17	3.	Prohibiting mandatory arbitration clauses;
18	4.	Limiting length of lease options to encourage the use of lease options for
19		actual development instead of speculation; wind and solar power leases to
20		terminate after five years if the project is not developed;
21	5.	Private property rights, including ownership of land and all its attributes
22		above, on, and below the surface, unless otherwise marketed;
23		a. We support a regulatory process that ensures the ending spot and
24		direction of directional drilling; and
25		b. We urge legislation to apportion the present real estate tax between
26		the surface owner and the mineral owner;
27	6.	Authorizing collective bargaining of leases to encourage fairness in the
28		application of lease terms among multiple landowners;
29	7.	Bonding and reclamation protections to encourage responsible energy
30		development and transmission at outset of the lease by providing funds up
31		front for reclamation of land after equipment, tower or project life has
32		expired

1 8. Prohibiting prior investment as a condition of lease or option of fulfillment; 9. Prohibiting farmland ownership by energy development or generation 2 3 companies to ensure that agricultural land remains in the hands of 4 producers and retains the agricultural value of the land used in energy 5 development; 6 10. Prohibiting right of first refusal by developers, which allows a developer to 7 tie up land, and/or reduce marketability of landowner's land without 8 purchasing an option; 9 11. Disclosure of actual lease payments in contracts; 10 12. Five-day cooling-off period after a lease agreement is signed to allow a 11 landowner to have a window to reconsider; 12 13. Ownership of wind, solar, and mineral rights should not be severed from 13 surface rights and ownership of land; 14 14. Ownership of wind rights should extend up to a minimum of 100 feet above 15 the height of the wind turbine; 16 15. Enacting a moratorium on industrial wind siting in federal waters until an 17 open public process is developed for siting industrial wind power 18 generation; 19 16. Landowners sharing in percentage of energy revenues transmitted through 20 transmission lines sited on their property; 21 17. Landowner models for developing transmission associations that will create 22 transmission corridors and receive compensation on an annual basis 23 through royalties rather than one-time payments; 24 18. An annual tax credit for landowners with renewable energy transmission 25 based on value of land impacted by development; 26 19. Landowners should retain the right to determine when they can lease their 27 land for renewable energy projects; and 28 20. Equivalent or better wind and solar lease terms for private landowners 29 relative to terms offered to states. 30 F. USDA ENERGY INITIATIVES 31 We support initiatives at USDA designed to assist agricultural producers, rural 32 communities, and rural electric cooperatives to improve energy efficiency and increase

- 1 the use of renewable energy sources including the EQIP On-Farm Energy Initiative and
- 2 the Rural Energy for America Program (REAP).

1		ARTICLE IX - ECONOMIC REGULATION AND THE FAMILY FARM
2	A.	FEDERAL BUDGET REFORM
3	The fe	deral budget process is not working effectively. We urge Congress to revise
4	the entire	budget process to prevent understating the true deficit problem and to
5	provide re	ealistic reduction goals.
6	To cui	re the structural failings, we support:
7	1.	Congress imposing the same Generally Accepted Accounting Principles
8		(GAAP) on the federal government as it has imposed on private financial
9		institutions;
10	2.	Static scoring by the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) and Joint Committee
11		on Taxation;
12	3.	A transparent scoring process by CBO and Joint Committee on Taxation;
13	4.	All long-term credit programs, permanent and long-term improvements,
14		multipurpose conservation, dam and watershed projects, public buildings,
15		highways, and inland waterways, be placed in a "capital budget," distinct
16		from the annual operation budget;
17	5.	Farmers' assessments or trust fund revenues not being used for deficit
18		reductions;
19	6.	Congress demonstrating fiscal responsibility;
20	7.	Reviewing all programs. Programs that fail to meet their intended objectives
21		should be restructured or terminated; and
22	8.	The requirement that new legislation contain a mandatory sunset clause to
23		ensure timely review.
24	We op	ppose:
25	1.	Using budget sequestration in an attempt to reduce the federal deficit;
26	2.	Any proposed agricultural cuts to relieve the federal deficit;
27	3.	Attempts to reduce funding for farm bill programs through budget
28		reconciliation;
29	4.	Dynamic scoring;
30	5.	Reducing the farm bill baseline budget for savings generated through the
31		safety net; and
32	6.	The use or threat of use of a government shutdown.

1	В.	Money and Credit Policy (also see Article V. – Credit and the Family Farm)	
2	We su	pport:	
3	1.	Consumer, farmer, and small business representation on the Federal Reserve	
4		Board (Fed);	
5	2.	Requiring the Fed to comply with provisions of the Humphrey-Hawkins Full	
6		Employment and Balanced Growth Act of 1978;	
7	3.	Congress immediately authorizing a nonpolitical outside entity to conduct a	
8		thorough audit and investigation of the Fed and its policies; and	
9	4.	Allowing cannabis and cannabis-related businesses that are state regulated	
10		to have access to the normal banking system.	
11	C.	BANKING, FINANCIAL, AND INVESTMENT REGULATION	
12	From t	the beginnings of this country, public policy has favored a decentralized	
13	banking sy	ystem, avoiding the abuses that would come from a highly concentrated	
14	financial s	tructure.	
15	We are concerned about recent trends in bank regulation that have accelerated the		
16	loss of independent community banks and have decreased the banks' desire to service		
17	agricultural credit needs. It has also reduced community reinvestment.		
18	We su	pport:	
19	1.	Re-regulation of the financial services industry and reinstating provisions of	
20		the Glass-Steagall Act of 1933, which would prohibit commercial banks from	
21		engaging in speculative investments using bank depositor funds;	
22	2.	The consumer protection provisions and easing the regulatory requirements	
23		on small banks within Dodd-Frank;	
24	3.	Increasing the individual Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC)	
25		coverage to at least \$500,000;	
26	4.	Vigorous investigation and prosecution of criminal activity in our financial	
27		institutions; and	
28	5.	Reestablishment of small transaction fees to reduce the abuses of high	
29		frequency trading.	
30	D.	CREDIT UNIONS	
31	We su	pport:	

- 1 1. Maintaining the National Credit Union Share Insurance Fund as a separate 2 and independent agency from other federal deposit insurance systems; 3 2. The credit union movement in its efforts to combat the anti-competitive 4 regulatory tactics undertaken by other segments of the financial services 5 industry; and 6 3. The right of all Americans to choose how and where they deposit their 7 earnings and transact their personal financial business. 8 We oppose any proposal that seeks to curtail services by credit unions to their 9 members under the false guise of regulatory reform or financial soundness. Such 10 proposals are especially discriminatory against rural credit unions that provide 11 agricultural credit services. 12 We oppose mergers between credit unions, unless such a merger or joint venture 13 would benefit local credit union members. 14 E. ESTATE AND GIFT TAX POLICY 15 We support: 16 1. Estate tax relief for family-owned farms, ranches, and small businesses to 17 facilitate the transfer of those enterprises to the next generation; 18 2. Creating policy that taxes agriculture estates based on production value and 19 not on potential development value so long as the estate and its property 20 remain in agriculture production; 21 3. Permanently maintaining the federal estate tax exemption per individual at 22 \$11 million, \$22 million per couple, indexed for inflation, with an additional 23 \$5 million exemption if the estate continues to be operated by a family 24 member or transfers to a beginning farmer; 25 4. Simplifying the exemption qualification rules and requirements; 26 5. Implementing graduated rates with a base rate of 35 percent; and 27 6. Allow a one-time per person rollover of the capital gains from the sale of a 28 farm or small business into an approved retirement plan. 29 We oppose the elimination of the "step-up" in basis provision. 30 F. IRS TAX CODE 1031 EXCHANGES
 - 163

31

We support:

1 1. A study on the impact of IRS Tax Code 1031 Exchanges (Starker Exchange) 2 on farmland values; 3 2. The return to a stricter interpretation of like kind property exchanges, i.e., 4 agricultural land for agricultural land; 3. Allowing livestock and equipment to be included in like kind property 5 6 exchanges; and 7 4. Allowing property owners a minimum of 18 months to identify and complete 8 a 1031 exchange if the property was lost through eminent domain (also see 9 Article VII.P. - Eminent Domain). 10 G. INCOME TAX REFORMS 11 We support: 12 1. A more progressive tax structure and oppose a flat tax; 13 2. A simplified tax code; 14 3. The full deductibility for the individual payment of premiums for health, 15 disability, and long-term care. Premiums on life insurance benefits as 16 required to be carried by creditors should also be deductible: 17 4. A limited refundable federal income tax credit equal to all or a percentage of 18 the state and local real estate taxes paid by farmers and ranchers on 19 farmland utilized for commercial agriculture production; 20 5. Income from a farm sale being put into a tax-deferred individual retirement 21 account (IRA); 22 6. Annual gift tax limits of \$25,000 per individual; 23 7. The concept of family savings accounts, the saver's credit for low-income 24 families with net incomes of \$40,000 or less, and other state and federal 25 programs known as an Individual Deposit Account (IDA) that are targeted at 26 low-income savers: 27 8. Permanent tax legislation for deductions of expenses accrued on the farm 28 and ranch under section 179 of the tax code of no less than \$1,000,000; 29 9. Tax expensing tools that allow family farmers to elect to deduct part or all 30 the cost of qualifying farm assets in the year they are placed in service. Such

deduction and bonus depreciation deductions;

tools include adequately high limits of the accelerated depreciation

31

1	10. Deferring the tax consequences of a forced liquidation of livestock if it is due
2	to severe weather conditions or other causes and if the animals are replaced
3	within a 5-year time frame; and
4	11. Equitable deductions for farmers who sell to co-ops.
5	H. TAXATION
6	We support:
7	1. Legislation that would hold multinational and offshore corporations
8	responsible for their full tax burden, including user fees to cover the cost of
9	import inspections;
10	2. Closing tax loopholes for corporations and individuals to balance the tax
1	burden for funding the federal government;
12	3. The right of state governments to tax production of nonrenewable resources
13	such as coal, oil, natural gas, and minerals;
L 4	4. Reforming U.S. capital gains tax laws to allow tax-free conversion of farm
15	assets in exchange for investments in all categories available to the general
16	public and other business entities;
17	5. A \$2,000,000 capital gains exemption tax on farm real estate sales;
18	6. Studying the inclusion of a federal mechanism to equalize the effects of
<u>1</u> 9	foreign consumption taxes on trade;
20	7. An expansion to Internal Revenue Code Section 280E that would allow
21	businesses compliant with state laws to claim deductions and credits
22	associated with the production and sale of medical and industrial cannabis;
23	8. Extending the Section 199A(g) deduction and making the deduction
24	permanent; and
25	9. Increasing the minimum income tax filing threshold for hiring farm and
26	forestry labor (contracting or employee).
27	We oppose:
28	1. Multinational corporations moving to tax havens to avoid tax liabilities;
29	2. Legislation and court action that would prohibit states from taxing
30	multinational corporations based on the volume of business done in the
31	state;
32	3. Any taxation for the use of the internet;

1 4. Enactment of a national sales tax: 2 5. Unfunded federal mandates being imposed on state and local jurisdictions; 3 6. Efforts to prohibit the cash method of income and expense accounting; 7. A Border Adjustment Tax (BAT); and 4 5 8. Classifying the sale or trade of depreciable machinery and equipment that 6 was used in the normal course of operations of a farm or ranch as nonfarm 7 income. 8 I. TAX CREDIT 9 A properly designed tax credit can encourage new enterprises in rural communities, 10 be a stimulus for encouraging new family farms and family fishing businesses and be an 11 opportunity for retiring farmers to sell their operations to beginning family farmers. 12 We support: 13 1. Tax credits, including a federal tax credit, designed to incentivize the sale or 14 lease of farmland, agricultural equipment, and livestock, to beginning or 15 young farmers; 16 2. Credit being extended to a sale of land or a small business from one 17 generation to the next; 18 3. Continuation of the investment tax credit; 19 4. A federal tax credit for approved conservation practices for non-deductible 20 expenses; 21 5. An investment in tax credit allowance to farmer-owned cooperatives that 22 build facilities in rural America. The investment tax credit should be 23 required to be allocated back to the patron-members of the co-op; 24 6. A tax credit for renewable energy; and 25 7. Tax credits generated through the creation of a conservation easement be 26 marketable to those who have a tax liability with appropriate safeguards. 27 We oppose the forced sale of assets under receivership of bankruptcy resulting in 28 tax liabilities that exceed the capacity of asset liquidation funds to meet those tax 29 obligations. 30 **I.** COMMODITY FUTURES

To ensure that the objectives of the commodity futures regulatory statutes are carried out, we support:

31

- Oversight and authorization jurisdiction for the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) being maintained by the Senate and House Agriculture Committees; efforts to merge the CFTC with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) should be defeated;
 - 2. Increased farm owner-operator representation on exchange boards, specifically on those committees responsible for rulemaking relating to new agricultural commodity contracts;
 - Establishing appropriate contract and aggregate position limits for all commodities in all price discovery markets with input from agricultural producers and commercial market users;
 - 4. The collection and publishing of data on the total value of index funds and other passively held long-only positions in all markets;
 - 5. Requiring over-the-counter (OTC) trades be cleared by a CFTC-regulated clearing organization and reported publicly;
 - 6. Increased transparency between domestic and foreign boards of trade;
 - 7. Clearly defining hedgers and the hedger exemption to only include those with a legitimate commercial interest in the physical commodity; and
 - 8. Studying and developing new research revenue streams such as an assessment on agricultural commodity futures contracts.

We oppose the adoption of policies that shift agricultural risk to individual producers and force producers to depend on the commodity exchanges for risk management protection.

Accordingly, CFTC should:

- 1. Guard against insider trading by individuals or firms;
- 2. Examine and investigate the role of increasing market power of funds, the connections between funds and large commercial interests, the ability of these organizations to exchange information and the effects on the commodities market:
- 3. Ensure there are an adequate number of delivery points for hedging participants;

- 4. Work in cooperation with other federal and state securities enforcement agencies to investigate and prosecute violators of the Commodities Exchange Act;
 - 5. Monitor with special vigilance any market movements that indicate a deliberate accumulation of excessive speculative positions that exceeds the limited number of positions an individual speculator may hold and to exercise, when necessary, the emergency powers granted by the Act;
 - 6. Monitor and guard against proposals by the commodity futures exchanges impacting trading rules and trading limits that would increase market volatility to the detriment of agricultural producers;
 - 7. Continue to monitor off-exchange-traded agricultural options and ensure they are operated in a manner that benefits family farmers;
 - 8. Monitor activity on so-called "dark markets;" and

9. Have a minimum of three of the five commissioners with agricultural backgrounds.

1		ARTICLE X - RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND THE FAMILY FARM
2	A.	RURAL COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
3	We su	pport a comprehensive rural development policy that includes:
4	1.	Coordination and cooperation of the various government agencies involved
5		to better utilize existing programs and funds;
6	2.	Consideration of social needs, such as a living wage, health care, childcare,
7		education, access to healthy and nutritious foods, and human resource
8		development, as well as venture capital, transportation and
9		telecommunications;
10	3.	Continuation and expansion of federal and state funding for rural healthcare
11		facilities, hospitals, clinics, mental health facilities, and nursing homes to
12		provide for the health needs of rural communities;
13	4.	Incentivizing and expanding childcare and early childhood care and
14		education resources and opportunities for rural America;
15	5.	Research and development of additional and alternative uses for existing
16		agricultural and seafood commodities and new alternative crops and fish
17		stocks, with a commitment to marketing and processing these products;
18	6.	Development of local expertise, to make the best use of available programs
19		and local talent;
20	7.	Low-interest loan and grant programs that foster the development of value-
21		added products;
22	8.	Low-interest loan and grant programs that foster energy efficiency and
23		renewable energy production;
24	9.	Creation of agricultural opportunities for a new generation of beginning
25		farmers as a central component of rural development;
26	10	. The organization of cooperative enterprises that retain equity, control, and
27		ownership within rural communities as a proven self-help, home-grown
28		rural development mechanism which builds needed economic infrastructure
29		for rural people;
30	11	. Access to credit, technical expertise and markets as essential ingredients in
31		securing opportunities for rural and agricultural enterprises:

1 12. Competitive grants and producer opportunity payments to stimulate 2 research, education, market development and farm innovation that increase 3 the farm and ranch share of food system profit; 4 13. Revitalization of agricultural communities through entrepreneurship. 5 enhanced food security by offering consumers greater choice and access to a 6 diversity of agricultural products; 7 14. The approval, funding and construction of water projects and waste systems 8 for rural communities and native producers' homelands, and increased 9 funding for water and wastewater programs through RUS; 10 15. The development of farmer-owned cooperative facilities; 11 16. Increased funding of loans and grants to rural communities for advanced 12 telecommunications, including high-speed broadband to improve schools, 13 medical facilities, judicial systems, and other essential services; 14 17. Increased funding for loans and grants for rural essential services 15 infrastructure; 16 18. RUS, Rural Business-Cooperative Service (RBS), and Rural Housing Service 17 (RHS) using local investment revolving funds, grants, and technical assistance; 18 19 19. The Resource Conservation and Development (RC&D) program to encourage 20 and improve the capability of volunteers, locally elected officials, and civic 21 leaders: 22 20. Incentives for rural business opportunities for veterans; and 23 21. Incentives for development of enterprises connected to community food 24 systems that lead to farmers retaining a higher percentage of consumer food 25 spending. 26 We oppose rural development grants that encourage the establishment or 27 expansion of larger non-family farms or open ocean aquaculture. 28 B. Transportation 29 An integrated intermodal transportation system of waterways, railways, and roads 30 is of crucial importance to America's farmers. Maintenance of a viable, competitive

transportation network within the United States ensures the free flow of farm products

31

32

to the market.

1	We su	pport federal transportation policy that:
2	1.	Fosters a balanced competition between all modes of transportation and
3		maintains protections for transportation users in those areas where such
4		competition does not exist;
5	2.	Addresses deregulation of the nation's transportation system that has
6		reduced the quality of, or eliminated altogether, public transportation
7		services for small cities and rural communities. This trend has been evident
8		in airline services for several years and is now being felt in reduced or
9		eliminated bus service;
10	3.	Extends the hazardous materials exemption for transportation of
11		agricultural production materials to family farmers and/or end-users, as it
12		relates to the Department of Transportation's HM-200 rule;
13	4.	Exempts farm machinery operators from Commercial Driver's License
L 4		(CDL) requirements;
L 5	5.	Exempts farm operations that are delivering their own product from CDL
16		requirements;
17	6.	Encourages development of alternative transportation systems including
18		electric, CNG, and other alternative fuels;
19	7.	Economically incentivizes individuals to get their CDLs in rural areas;
20	8.	Allows for reasonable excess load permits for commodity transportation;
21		and
22	9.	Encourage off-peak charging for electric vehicles.
23	We op	pose:
24	1.	Federal budget cuts that could further damage the transportation services
25		remaining in our rural communities;
26	2.	Proposals to terminate funding or privatize Amtrak rail service; and
27	3.	The use of gas tax funds for deficit reduction. Federal, state, and local
28		support must be supplied to provide an integrated transportation system to
29		serve America's farmers and other rural residents.
30		1. U.S. HIGHWAY TRUST FUND
31	Мо	oney raised by highway excise taxes and interest earned on such revenues
32	accum	ulates in the Highway Trust Fund and can only be used for highway nurnoses

with the exception that Congress has allowed part of such funds to be diverted to mass transit construction and subsidies. We support using a portion of the trust fund to repair county and rural bridges that are structurally deficient.

2. TRUCK TRANSPORTATION

NFU believes that regulation of the trucking industry should focus on high quality, energy-efficient and reliable service for rural areas. Honest competition should be encouraged and rates should be regulated to prevent unfair practices by trucking companies.

We support:

- i. Trucking industry regulations that permit cooperative-owned trucks to haul up to 30 percent of non-member, general merchandise;
- ii. Review of backhaul restrictions on trucking, while maintaining an emphasis on food safety, to save energy and lower shipping costs;
- The Federal Highway Administration consider standardizing the maximum width, length, and weight for longer combination vehicles
 (LCV) to help equalize state to state commerce. This should include input from agricultural representation from each state;
- iv. Exclusion of farm vehicles, used exclusively to transport products of the farmer owner-operator, from federal highway-use taxes collected by the IRS, and exemption from any unnecessary federal regulation regarding the transportation of hazardous substances being used by farmers in the course of their own farming operations. Farm machinery and farm vehicles used for off-road purposes should be exempt from using taxable highway fuel;
- v. Increased law enforcement to ensure commerce shipping is subject to traffic law so that community residents enjoy adequate protection of their safety; and
- vi. An hours of service waiver for livestock and insect haulers.

We oppose:

Any regulations that would provide for the ELD mandate until further research on the unintended consequences is conducted and resolved.

The above-listed regulations, as well as U.S. safety standards, must apply to all members of USMCA.

We believe family-farm operations hauling their own commodities should be exempt from mileage limitations, commercial driver's licenses, and commercial truck licensing requirements, including International Fuel Tax Association (IFTA) tax requirements and issues relative to the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Act.

3. RAIL TRANSPORTATION

i. Rail Service

Federal rail transportation policy should recognize that deregulation of railroads cannot be treated in the same manner as deregulation of other industries. Continuing rail mergers result in elimination of rail service to many communities and the establishment of a single railroad service to entire portions of the country. Federal policy must provide for government regulation or antitrust enforcement in instances where there is no rail competition.

We support:

- a. The enforcement of the nation's antitrust laws to break up any monopolistic railroads and prevent any new mergers;
- b. The Surface Transportation Board (STB) addressing the problems of captive shippers, including:
 - 1. Ensuring that common carrier obligations are adhered to:
 - 2. Providing oversight of branch-line abandonment, in addition to transferring jurisdiction of branch-line abandonment to state regulatory agencies from the federal government;
 - 3. Ensuring that reasonable notice of rate changes is continued;
 - 4. Establishing trackage rights to encourage rail-to-rail competition;
 - Establishing reciprocal switching within, and for an appropriate distance outside of terminals to encourage railto-rail competition;
 - 6. Authorizing a maximum rate for a movement to a captive shipper;

1		7. Authorizing, when petitioned, the removal of agreement
2		provisions that prevent short-line railroads from delivering
3		traffic to any railroad;
4		8. Enacting a policy that would hold railroads responsible for
5		losses due to delayed delivery of rail cars; and
6		9. Reasonable rates for less than unit train pricing;
7	C.	Taking action to avoid a rail car shortage for transporting grain and
8		other perishable commodities;
9	d.	Expansion of regional railroads where local residents deem
10		appropriate, using a route that spares productive farmland;
11	e.	Strict enforcement of the Railroad Regulatory Reform Act of 1980
12		(Staggers Act);
13	f.	Legislation that would provide efficient, affordable, and adequate
14		bulk commodity and intermodal shipping facilities;
15	g.	Funds to finance a survey to determine the most desirable location of
16		sub-terminals as well as adequate financing of sub-terminals and
17		equipment, including rolling stock;
18	h.	Provisions to assure continued local control over the movement and
19		storage of farm commodities;
20	i.	Federal legislation that would create rural transportation
21		cooperatives and finance programs, patterned after the rural electric
22		cooperative program, for railroad cooperatives seeking to preserve
23		rail service in rural areas;
24	j.	Careful consideration of proposals to create utility corridors or
25		federalize the railroad beds, thereby improving railroad efficiency
26		and promoting more competition among conventional and
27		alternative railroads;
28	k.	"Unit train" loading that provides for pooling of grain shipments and
29		is not limited to one-stop terminal loading, in addition to stricter
30		regulations and better enforcement of laws to require companies to
31		provide proportionately equal service to elevators in the allocation of
32		rail cars;

1	1.	Rate regulations that incorporate provisions to protect smaller
2		shippers from rate discrimination;
3	m.	Continued regulation of freight rates and commodities shipped by
4		rail;
5	n.	Statutory provisions to govern mergers or reorganizations of railroad
6		lines facing financial difficulty to assure that such mergers do not
7		destroy competition or necessary service;
8	0.	Allowing adjacent landowners or existing businesses leasing the
9		property to be given first option to purchase abandoned railway
10		rights-of-way, including mineral acres, at fair appraisal value;
11	p.	Legislation to prevent companies or railroad property owners from
12		charging unreasonable prices for railroad property and lease sites;
13	q.	A moratorium on all rail-line abandonments;
14	r.	Prohibition of railroad companies from forming holding companies
15		or subsidiaries for the purpose of hiding assets originally received
16		from land grants;
17	S.	The expansion of high-speed rail, including in rural areas;
18	t.	Economically incentivizing rail providers to reduce the emissions
19		directly associated with the transportation of commodities to create a
20		more efficient rail system for farmers and ranchers; and
21	u.	Permanent access or rights of way for agricultural land or
22		infrastructure impacted by transportation corridors.
23	We op	pose any merger between major railroad carriers.
24		ii. Certificates of Transportation (COT)
25	The Ce	ertificate of Transportation (COT) system is discriminatory, anti-
26	competitiv	ve, and violates the intent of Congress in its adoption of the Staggers
27	Act. NFU u	rges the STB to review the use of COTs and the impact on producers
28	and their l	ocal elevators.
29		iii. Safety
30	We suj	pport:
31	a.	Continued improvements to the safety mechanisms on railcars and
32		railways to better protect our rural citizens, including:

1		1. Requiring reflective stripes to be placed and maintained on all
2		railroad cars;
3		2. Enforcing lower speed limits going through communities;
4		3. Requiring railroads to erect warning light and arm signals on
5		all crossings near schools;
6		4. On-time implementation of safety mandates; and
7		5. Requiring any train or light engine used in connection with
8		the movement of freight being operated with a crew
9		consisting of at least two individuals; and
10		6. Mandatory modern safety sensors on railcar axles.
11		b. The STB establishing and enforcing rules that minimize the time a
12		train can block a road;
13		c. The STB enacting a policy that will force railroads to upgrade the
14		railway infrastructure as a first step in protecting the public and
15		ensuring the safe transport of commodities; and
16		d. The rail authority being held liable for expenses incurred by local fire
17		and emergency response departments for updating equipment and
18		training to deal with potential rail accidents.
19	C.	PORT DEVELOPMENT, SHIPPING POLICY
20	We su	pport:
21	1.	Adequate funding to improve our inland waterway transportation system,
22		including funding to repair our system of locks. We are particularly
23		concerned with needed lock renovation on the Mississippi River;
24	2.	The lowest possible user fee for the use of locks on inland rivers;
25	3.	Keeping the Great Lakes shipping channels, including the St. Lawrence
26		Seaway and the twin ports of Duluth and Superior, open while such lanes are
27		navigable, to maximize this nation's export capabilities;
28	4.	Restricting the management of our nation's port system to U.Sowned and –
29		based companies;
30	5.	The Jones Act, with reforms to promote fair competition, prevent
31		monopolistic pricing, and reduce the cost burden in noncontiguous and
32		remote regions:

1	6.	Efforts to deepen and maintain ports in the U.S., especially those that load	
2		agricultural commodities; and	
3	7.	Increasing the labor efficiency and load capacity of international ports to	
4		ensure there is no disruption to the supply chain.	
5	We op	pose:	
6	1.	Legislation that requires agricultural interests to pay a disproportionate fee	
7		for operation, maintenance and construction of deep-draft channels and	
8		ocean and Great Lakes ports; and	
9	2.	Any excessive increases in the inland Waterways Fuel Tax.	
10	D.	AIR TRANSPORTATION	
11	We support:		
12	1.	Maintaining feeder and commuter airline services to farm/rural	
13		communities to ensure mail and passenger service;	
14	2.	The continuation of federal regulation of all airline services to protect public	
15		safety;	
16	3.	Regulations that would assure that rural areas are not penalized in airline	
17		rate structures;	
18	4.	The funding and development of lighter-than-air aircraft for the purpose of	
19		transportation of commodities;	
20	5.	Full funding of the Essential Air Service (EAS); and	
21	6.	Building a robust industry to support the production of homegrown,	
22		renewable bio-jet fuels for commercial and military aviation.	
23	We op	pose airline fees imposed at airports, after ticket purchase, such as a	
24	surcharge for checked luggage.		
25	E.	Rural Utilities	
26	We support:		
27	1.	The RUS loans, loan guarantees, and economic development programs for	
28		rural electric and rural telephone cooperatives;	
29	2.	Policies that allow for distributed generation that would enable family	
30		farmers to provide electricity for themselves and their communities; and	
31	3.	Facilitating the creation of high-speed broadband networks operated by	
32		rural utility cooperatives for unserved and underserved rural communities.	

1	We oppos	e termination or privatization of RUS.
2		1. Telephone Services
3	We su	pport:
4	i.	The Universal Service Fund;
5	ii.	Continued access, maintenance, and improvement to landline (including
6		metal wire) telephone service;
7	iii.	Expansion and improvement of cellular service in rural areas, including
8		mobile data;
9	iv.	Enforcement of antitrust laws to address anticompetitive practices
10		among cellular telephone providers;
11	v.	Reduced regulatory burdens for rural telephone providers; and
12	vi.	Enhanced 911 telephone services in rural areas.
13		2. ELECTRIC SERVICES
14	We suppo	rt:
15	i. The co	ontinuation of a low-interest-loan pool program for rural electric
16	coope	ratives; and
17	ii. The co	ontinuation and expansion of RUS grant and loan programs for electric
18	coope	ratives created by the Inflation Reduction Act.
19	We op	pose encroachment on rural electric cooperative service areas by private
20	and munic	cipal power companies.
21		3. Telecommunications
22	Access	to information, education and entertainment programming in an
23	information age is increasingly important to the quality of life in rural communities	
24	We su	pport:
25	i.	A ban on cross-ownership of media that results in higher telephone and
26		subscription television rates;
27	ii.	The continuation of the e-rate program;
28	iii.	The development of cooperative cable television systems to serve both
29		rural and urban sectors;
30	iv.	Adoption of FCC policies that encourage sound technical standards for
31		rural radio including AM radio and television service:

1	v.	Legislation to allow citizens to participate in boards and committees via
2		the use of telecommunications;
3	vi.	Greater transparency in billing for telecommunications services; and
4	vii.	Congress reinstating the Fairness Doctrine;
5	viii.	Ensuring AM radio continues to be a free, standard feature in all vehicles;
6		and
7	ix.	Requiring manufacturers to install AM radios in all new vehicles and
8		farm equipment.
9	We op	pose:
10	i.	Federal, state, or local governments imposing taxes and fees on services
11		such as subscription television or internet; and
12	ii.	Proposals that would weaken, reduce access to, or eliminate radio and
13		television farm news, public service broadcast time and other services.
14		4. RURAL ACCESS TO TECHNOLOGY AND INFORMATION
15	We su	pport:
16	i.	Efforts to ensure competitively priced, high-speed broadband and
17		wireless access to the internet for rural America, at download speeds of
18		25 megabytes per second (mbps) or higher;
19	ii.	Collaborative efforts that leverage internet-based technology and use the
20		internet to improve communications;
21	iii.	Net neutrality;
22	iv.	The further advancement of the cell tower and fiber optic networks to
23		cover all rural communities to support:
24		a. New technology in agriculture;
25		b. Faster, more improved emergency services;
26		c. Access to emerging technologies; and
27		d. Access to telehealth; and
28	v.	Waiving, for six years, pole attachment fees in areas of underserved
29		broadband access to ensure coverage in these areas. These fees will
30		return to a negotiated rate after the waiver period. This will allow access
31		to broadband companies while not permanently burdening electric

1 cooperatives with covering all the costs of pole replacement and 2 maintenance. 3 F. SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT 4 1. INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT BONDS 5 We support: 6 i. Each state being allowed a minimum base allocation in addition to the 7 per-capita allocation allowed industrial development bonds, to provide 8 equity among states: 9 ii. Judicious use of these bonds to ensure priority is given to long-term, 10 locally based economic development projects within the community; and 11 iii. States using industrial development bonds to finance beginning or 12 socially disadvantaged farmer programs. 13 2. SMALL BUSINESS POLICY 14 We support: 15 i. Federal policy that protects small businesses from predatory 16 encroachment by monopolistic big business; 17 ii. Small businesses being given a fair opportunity to bid on government 18 contracts; 19 iii. Continuation of the Small Business Administration (SBA); 20 Expansion of SBA guaranteed loan programs; iv. 21 v. Increasing loan guarantees to 85 percent on all SBA small loans; 22 Small-business loan funds being available through the SBA to meet vi. 23 credit-worthy applications; and 24 vii. Regulations and paperwork to be administered in ways that do not place 25 an undue burden on small businesses. 26 3. ENTERPRISE FACILITATION 27 We encourage family farmers, ranchers and small boat fishers and their local 28 communities to utilize various USDA Rural Development technical assistance and 29 funding programs through the National Sustainable Agriculture Information Service, 30 Rural Cooperative Development Grants (RCDG), Value-Added Producer Grants 31 (VAPG), the Agricultural Marketing Resource Center (AgMRC), Agricultural 32 Innovation Centers (AIC), and regional food hubs, as well as others.

We support continued funding and staffing levels for USDA Rural Development
 and its programs.

1	ARTICLE XI – QUALITY OF LIFE IN RURAL AMERICA		
2	NFU urge	s the adoption of national policies that address the difficulties and greater	
3	costs of provi	ding necessary health, education, consumer protection, public and	
4	emergency se	ervices for our children, sick, needy, individuals with disabilities and	
5	elderly.		
6	A. Hi	EALTH CARE	
7	NFU stror	ngly affirms the right of all Americans to have access to affordable, quality	
8	health care, w	vith emphasis on disease prevention and access to nutritional food.	
9		1. HEALTH CARE COVERAGE, ACCESS, AND CARE	
10	We su	pport:	
11	i.	A continued effort toward an improved national comprehensive health	
12		plan, which includes a public option that allows citizens to choose their	
13		own healthcare providers, that provides universal, affordable, and	
14		accessible coverage and elder care for all Americans;	
15	ii.	Adoption of a single-payer national health insurance program with no	
16		deductible and minimal co-pays that provides comprehensive health care	
17		services. Government funds to operate such a system, similar to	
18		Medicare, should be raised in a manner based on ability to pay;	
19	iii.	Maintaining the mandated coverage for preventive care and retention of	
20		choice of healthcare providers;	
21	iv.	Federal funding for rural health clinics;	
22	V.	Incentives for medical professionals who serve in underserved areas;	
23	vi.	Including health promotion and education in long-term policy and	
24		planning;	
25	vii.	Consumer education with special emphasis on childhood education on:	
26		a. The benefits of nutritionally sound foods;	
27		b. Health dangers of harmful products; and	
28		c. The critical role vaccines play in both individual and community	
29		health;	
30	viii.	The effort to educate our communities on identifying the signs associated	
31		with depression, and how to help those with depression and suicidal	
32		thoughts;	

1 Increased funding for mental health and addiction treatment services: ix. 2 Research and education, including increased publicly funded research, to X. 3 prevent the spread of, and to find a cure for, life-threatening diseases; 4 The development, research and use of licensed alternative medicines and xi. 5 practices. Such remedies should be eligible for reimbursement; 6 xii. Expedited access to promising treatments for terminal illnesses, 7 including those in trial phase: 8 xiii. Increased access to, and use of, palliative care for patients in end-of-life 9 situations: 10 Third-party reimbursement for advanced health care professionals to xiv. 11 allow nurses, resident caregivers, nurse practitioners, and physician's 12 assistants to set up clinics and to allow improved access to home health 13 care, health promotion, and health maintenance in rural America; 14 Long-term care, end-of-life care, and expanded in-home care coverage XV. 15 being included in any universal coverage reform. In addition, asset 16 spend-down limits should be increased: 17 Continuation of the National Health Service Corps. Funds should also be xvi. 18 allocated to communities to provide training and equipment for 19 emergency health care: 20 xvii. Self-employed farmers having the same access to dependent care 21 services as those in other industries: 22 xviii. Assistance to families struggling to provide care to their dependents, 23 including children, individuals with disabilities and the elderly; 24 xix. Adoption of a comprehensive program of federal aid for dependent care, 25 including the use of tax credits; 26 Congress opposing tort reform that precludes consumers' ability to XX. 27 receive adequate compensation for wrongful actions; 28 xxi. Continued implementation and full funding of the Farm and Ranch Stress 29 Assistance Network; 30 xxii. The elimination of any payment or benefit caps including but not 31 exclusive to preexisting conditions;

1	xxiii.	Decisions regarding patient care residing with the physician and patient,
2		not with the insurance company or government;
3	xxiv.	Implementation of Health Information Technology (HIT) systems in rural
4		areas and funding for Rural Health Clinics (RHCs) and Community-
5		Funded Safety Net Clinic (CSNCs);
6	XXV.	A uniform health insurance exchange system;
7	xxvi.	States expanding Medicaid coverage to additional low-income citizens;
8	xxvii.	The reauthorization and expansion of the State Children's Health
9		Insurance Program (SCHIP);
10	xxviii.	The establishment of cooperative-based health insurance companies; and
11	xxix.	Federal and state regulation of health sharing ministries and other forms
12		of unregulated health plan coverage.
13		2. Prescription Drugs
14	We su	apport:
15	i.	Immediately addressing discriminatory pricing policies, the ability of
16		providers to negotiate the price of drugs, access to generic drugs and an
17		adequate prescription drug benefit for all Americans;
18	ii.	Providing rural access to prescription drugs and pharmacy services.
19		Retail pharmacies must have equal access to pharmaceutical
20		manufacturers' discounts, and state and federal legislative efforts are
21		encouraged to ensure equal access;
22	iii.	The clear labeling of all prescription drugs' purposes;
23	iv.	The general re-importation of prescription drugs from other countries
24		and repealing the federal law that prohibits it;
25	v.	Consumer access to safe and proven generic prescription drugs and an
26		end to extended delays by drug companies or the FDA;
27	vi.	Education, prevention, and treatment for drug misuse and addiction; and
28	vii.	Requiring that most life-saving drugs protecting our public health being
29		manufactured within federal guidelines, and that no other country
30		produces more than 50 percent of one drug for the United States.
31		3. Medicare and Medicaid
32	We su	apport:

1	i.	The ability to negotiate the price of drugs for a prescription drug
2		program;
3	ii.	Expediting Medicare reimbursement to health care providers. All health
4		care providers should be reimbursed at a rate no lower than the
5		provider's actual cost as determined by independent audit;
6	iii.	Extension of the Medicare program to include the treatment of long-term
7		illness as a covered benefit regardless of age;
8	iv.	Reducing the Medicare entry age to 55;
9	V.	Elimination of the "donut hole" (coverage gap) in Medicare;
10	vi.	The reform of Medicare and Medicaid to enable and encourage doctors
11		and dentists to serve all Medicare and Medicaid patients, especially in
12		rural areas;
13	vii.	Payment reform efforts and continued cost-based reimbursement for
14		rural hospitals and Critical Access Hospitals (CAH) for Medicare services;
15	viii.	States expanding public health care programs through State Innovation
16		Waivers, including allowing farmers and others to 'buy-in' to public
17		programs; and
18	ix.	Medicaid 1115 Waivers for 'Food Is Medicine' programs for local food
19		purchasing.
20	We op	ppose:
21	i.	Any cuts to Medicare and Medicaid;
22	ii.	Privatizing Medicare;
23	iii.	Increasing the Medicare eligibility age;
24	iv.	Proposals to block-grant Medicaid and strip its status as an entitlement;
25	v.	Increased use by hospitals of keeping people overnight for observation
26		care status instead of admitting patients to hospitals; and
27	vi.	Medicare Advantage plans that disadvantage rural healthcare facilities
28		and defraud consumers.
29		4. Veterans' Rights
30	We su	pport:
31	i.	Veterans qualifying for USDA programs under veteran farmer or rancher
32		status without expiration of that status;

1	ii.	Increases in the VA's medical care operating budget and allowing
2		veterans to use that budget for private providers;
3	iii.	Redoubling efforts to ensure all veterans receive localized timely and
4		quality care;
5	iv.	Funding to ensure the preservation of veterans' rights and benefits;
6	v.	Rejecting any proposal that would close or privatize VA hospitals;
7	vi.	Continuation of federal and state funding for existing rural hospitals and
8		nursing homes to provide for the health needs of veterans;
9	vii.	Programs that aid individuals suffering from post-traumatic stress
10		disorder (PTSD) and all mental health issues, including through
11		agriculture and access to land; and
12	viii.	Agricultural rehabilitation and vocational training programs for military
13		veterans, such as the Farmer Veteran Coalition.
14	B. Ed	UCATION
15	We suppo	rt:
16	1. Ad	equate funding for public education;
17	2. Ma	nintaining the U.S. Department of Education and establishing an assistant
18	sec	cretary for rural education;
19	3. Co	ntinuation and full funding of the Perkins Act at the secondary and post-
20	sec	condary level and drivers' education programs;
21	4. Ma	nintaining career and technical education under the umbrella of the
22	De	partment of Education;
23	5. A	strong national educational set of standards designed to maintain
24	COI	nsistency when students transfer schools;
25	6. Co	ngress to fully fund the federally mandated Individuals with Disabilities
26	Ed	ucation Act to assist all districts (especially rural districts) in meeting the
27	ne	eds of all students;
28	7. En	suring that all youth and young adults are aware of the relevance of
29	agı	riculture, cooperatives and family farming in their society through
30	ado	equate funding for coursework and/or other activities required by
31	ed	ucation institutions;
32	8. Vo	luntary Bible-reading and prayer in our public schools:

1 9. States finding more equitable ways other than property tax to fund public 2 education; 3 10. Continued involvement with, and active support of, Organizations Concerned 4 with Rural Education (OCRE); 5 11. Expansion of grants and lower "low interest" student loans to assist students 6 of all ages with the cost of higher education; 7 12. The interest rate level on student loans should be equal to, or less than the 8 10-year Treasury rate that is enjoyed by the large banking institutions: 9 13. Revising financial aid forms to ensure a more equitable system for both 10 urban and rural farm students: 11 14. Establishment of a federal student loan forgiveness program for students 12 who attend college and subsequently return to rural communities, based on 13 vears of service to those communities: 14 15. The inclusion of agricultural producers in a federal and/or state student loan 15 forgiveness program based on years of service to rural communities; 16 16. Rural schools being assured their fair share of both federal and state 17 resources and support; 18 17. Ensuring all adults have the opportunity to participate throughout life in 19 meaningful educational and vocational training programs; 20 18. Reinstating the federal Qualified Zone Academy Bonds (QZAB) program; 21 19. Farmers Union members monitoring the implementation of the Vocational 22 Education Act in their local schools and state vocational technical programs 23 to be sure that the interests of agriculture are met; 24 20. Involvement in local, state, and national 4-H, FFA, and other vocational 25 education organizations, and we encourage these organizations to link with 26 family farmers; 27 21. Unemployed workers having the opportunity for retraining and upgrading 28 their skills as part of their unemployment benefits; 29 22. The continuation of federal or state-funded retraining programs for 30 displaced farmers and ranchers and their spouses; 31 23. Teaching animal welfare and husbandry, as opposed to animal rights;

1	24. Schools that have developed courses in entrepreneurship as a means of
2	encouraging young people to remain, engage, and flourish in their rural
3	communities;
4	25. Encouraging rural schools to explore all educational possibilities to enhance
5	the curriculum, such as distance-learning courses, which serve as an
6	alternative to school consolidation or closure;
7	26. The development and retention of remote learning centers to provide a
8	broader range of educational opportunities;
9	27. Consumer and regulator education on the benefits of healthy soils and water
10	in relation to our physical health;
11	28. Funding of the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) while providing more
12	flexibility to state and local education agencies in implementation; and
13	29. The development and expansion of school garden programs;
L 4	30. The extension of broadband capacity for high-speed internet to all schools
15	equivalent to the services available in urban areas; and
16	31. Incentives to recruit, hire, and retain teachers, especially in rural areas.
17	We oppose:
18	 Mandatory consolidation of rural schools;
19	2. Excessive testing requirements incumbent to such programs as "Common
20	Core";
21	3. Unfunded mandates from state or federal governments on schools;
22	4. The use of vouchers;
23	5. Requirement of using credit ratings in determining loan eligibility;
24	6. The privatization of state and federal student loan programs;
25	7. Cuts in student aid; and
26	8. The use of federal financial aid for students at for-profit colleges and
27	universities.
28	1. Public Libraries
29	We recognize libraries as a commonwealth to every community. The multi-
30	faceted functions of libraries serve the greater good of all citizens and their
31	communities. Librarians are a core asset of every community and librarians should not
32	be threatened with incarceration or retribution for faithfully performing their duties

1 We support free speech. 2 We oppose: 3 1. The banning of books; and 4 2. Privatization of public libraries. 5 2. Public Research 6 NFU supports increased funding for public agricultural research. We are 7 concerned by the reductions in state and federal funds for crop and livestock 8 research. The resulting increase in private research has reduced the sharing of 9 information and increased costs of production inputs. Land-grant and sea grant 10 colleges and universities and other public research colleges and universities helped 11 create the technological revolution in agriculture. 12 We support: 13 i. Expanded funding for the research title of the farm bill for land-grant 14 colleges and universities and other public research colleges and 15 universities; 16 Expanding funding for farmer-led research initiatives such as the ii. 17 Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education (SARE) program; 18 iii. Land-grant colleges and universities and other public research colleges 19 and universities focusing on research to increase family farm net income, 20 specialty crops and commodity prices; 21 iv. Farmers Union state organizations scrutinizing relationships between 22 USDA grants and the land-grant colleges and universities and large 23 agribusiness corporations to ensure that research by those colleges and 24 universities is in the best interest of family farmers; 25 USDA and our land grant universities researching serious domesticated v. 26 animal diseases such as bird flu, foot and mouth, and PERRSV, developing 27 a databank for tracking these diseases worldwide, and evaluating the 28 transmission animal-to-animal and to humans to eradicate these 29 diseases: 30 Full, public financial disclosure of funding sources for agricultural vi.

research projects;

1	vii.	The continuation and additional funding for all federal formula
2		allocations, such as Hatch Act of 1887 and Smith-Lever Act funds;
3	viii.	Increased funding supporting land-grant colleges for research into
4		alternative agricultural technologies which would benefit small and
5		specialized family farmers by reducing input costs and by developing a
6		system of sustainable agriculture;
7	ix.	Targeted research specifically designed to explore innovative production,
8		processing and marketing topics that enhance small or family-sized farm
9		operations;
10	х.	Amending the Internal Revenue Code to create a new type of 501(c)(3)
11		organization, as an agriculture research organization (ARO), to conduct
12		agricultural research and increase funding to advance agriculture;
13	xi.	Publicly funded research, findings and by-products of the research
14		remaining in the public domain and benefiting family-sized farms;
15	xii.	Consideration to authorize the distribution of federal agriculture
16		research funds to both land-grant universities and other post-secondary
17		agricultural educational institutions;
18	xiii.	Long-term research and development focused on soil health, including
19		but not limited to cover crops and reduced tillage in the various
20		production practices;
21	xiv.	Funding for organic food and agricultural research commensurate with
22		the total level of organic food and fiber sales;
23	XV.	Research and development of non-GMO and GMO alternatives crops that
24		are less water intensive and drought, pest, and disease resistant;
25	xvi.	Research and development of perennial crops, including cereal grains
26		such as Kernza;
27	xvii.	The establishment of multi-state templates for less formal and faster field
28		trials; and
29	xviii.	Research into the health and environmental impacts of PFAS.
30		3. LAND-GRANT AND SEA GRANT COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES AND
31		NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF FOOD AND AGRICULTURE

1 Land-grant colleges and universities and the National Institute of Food and 2 Agriculture (NIFA) were established with the mandate to help the public learn about 3 and apply to everyday activities the latest technology and management knowledge. 4 This valuable rural information delivery system's role must be reassessed and 5 strengthened to meet the demands of a rapidly changing, highly sophisticated 6 technology delivery system now available in this country. 7 We support: 8 i. Land-grant universities re-envisioning agricultural extension training so 9 that it respects and utilizes the experience of farmers and ranchers and 10 the significant role this experience plays in the science of agriculture; 11 ii. Farmers and ranchers being part of the research team: 12 iii. Extension training respecting and utilizing the agricultural practices of 13 traditional native peoples and acknowledge the significant role these 14 practices play in sustainable agriculture: 15 Education, research, and support of small, diverse farms as well as larger iv. 16 farms: 17 The ability to earn college credit through continuing adult and extension v. 18 education programs; 19 Agricultural extension educators mentoring low- and moderate-income vi. 20 families to improve agricultural economies by adding value to 21 agricultural products; 22 vii. No funds utilized by NIFA being used to carry out political or lobbying 23 activities: and 24 viii. The governing board of land-grant universities be made up of at least 50 25 percent individuals engaging in agriculture. 26 We oppose the consolidation of extension services into larger than single-county 27 units. 28 C. SOCIAL SECURITY 29 We support: 30 1. Active participation in developing a plan to help ensure the solvency for 31 Social Security for future years;

2. Preserving a major portion of any budget surplus for Social Security;

2 3 4. Social Security being a mandatory, universal system to assure benefits in the 4 future: 5 5. Continued strengthening and protecting of the Social Security program; 6 6. Congress changing laws so that spouses who are equal business partners in a 7 farming operation can collect equally on the Social Security tax that was paid 8 because of that business; and 9 7. Congress changing the eligibility requirements for individuals who haven't 10 worked off the farm long enough to qualify for benefits. 11 We oppose: 12 1. Using Social Security funds for anything other than their intended use; 13 2. A freeze on the Social Security cost-of-living allowance for all recipients; 14 3. Any part of Social Security being invested in non-government-insured 15 investments; and 16 4. Proposals that would privatize the system. 17 D. PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES 18 We support: 19 1. Public and private programs aimed at providing development, therapy, and 20 rehabilitation of Americans with developmental, physical and mental 21 challenges; 22 2. Equal and gainful employment for individuals with disabilities and the 23 development of special supports for farmers who are disabled and who want 24 to continue to farm; and 25 3. The National AgrAbility program. 26 E. EMPLOYMENT 27 We recognize the need to prepare a skilled workforce that will be required for a 28 healthy, competitive, full employment economy. 29 We support: 30 1. Expanding present policy to further training and employment opportunities 31 for all people who want to work;

3. Social Security tax being applicable to all earnings by removal of the income

- Assistance being targeted to retraining dislocated workers and displaced farmers;
 A preference for training with agriculture or agricultural related industries;
 Directing special emphasis to stimulating economic growth and increasing research and development of technology that will generate productive jobs with fair wages and benefits;
 - 5. The elimination of the Multiemployer Pension Reform Act of 2014 (MPRA); and
 - 6. An increase of the federal minimum wage.

F. IMMIGRATION POLICY

We believe the growing consequences of a broken immigration system must be addressed in a bipartisan effort that considers the following principles:

- 1. Our immigration system must be flexible enough to address the needs of businesses while protecting the interests of workers;
- Immigration is a federal issue that should be addressed at the federal level.
 We therefore oppose programs such as E-Verify at the state level only;
- 3. We support adoption of a mandatory E-Verify program only in conjunction with a coherent and viably effective agricultural worker program. The E-Verify system must allow communication among federal agencies for determining status to protect the integrity of the worker and of the employer;
- 4. As strong families are critical to developing successful individuals and cohesive communities, our immigration policies should prioritize keeping families together to most enable supportive home environments for all children and to not support separation of children from families as a border security policy and to reunite all separated children to their families; unless indication to do so would endanger the child;
- 5. Our immigration enforcement strategy should focus on public safety and consider consequences to businesses, workers, and consumers.
 Furthermore, our broader immigration reform effort should include a path to determine reliably and affordably who is permitted to work, ensuring an adequate labor force for a growing economy;

1 6. Immigrants are part of both our rural and urban communities. We recognize 2 the critical role immigration has played in our nation's history and economy; 3 7. Immigration policies must provide a sensible path for those who are here 4 without legal status, are of good character, pay taxes and are committed to 5 becoming fully participating members of our society. The legalization of 6 undocumented workers may occur after paying appropriate fines and 7 incurring penalties for illegal entry: 8 8. Encouraging any people seeking permanent residence in the United States to 9 apply for citizenship with all the rights and responsibilities that accompany 10 it: 11 9. Recognition of the basic human rights of all immigrants and asylum seekers 12 and their safe and humane treatment; and 13 10. Immigration and asylum processes must occur in a timely and judicious 14 manner. 15 We also support: 16 1. The DREAM Act: 17 2. A fast track toward U.S. citizenship for those immigrants of upstanding 18 character who seek citizenship and serve with honor in a branch of the U.S. 19 military service; 20 3. A pathway to citizenship or legal residency for undocumented agricultural 21 workers that does not restrict their employment to any specific farm or 22 group of farms; 23 4. Programs that encourage career path progression for documented 24 immigrant farm laborers and non-immigrant process of adjustment of status; 25 5. Expediting and streamlining the process to allow approved immigrant 26 workers to travel back and forth from their home country; 27 6. A pathway to citizenship for asylum seekers; 28 7. A pathway to citizenship for children of undocumented immigrants; and 29 8. Driver's licensing for guestworkers and immigrants regardless of 30 immigration status.

We oppose:

32

1. The indentured servitude of a captive workforce; and

1 2. Mass deportation, incarceration, and dehumanization of peoples by the 2 United States. 3 G. TEMPORARY GUEST WORKER PROGRAM 4 Farmers require a secure, affordable, and fully capacitated agricultural labor 5 program to ensure farms and ranches can get their work done on time with skilled 6 workers. 7 We support: 8 1. Development and improvements to non-immigrant visa programs, including 9 H-2A, to help the agricultural community by allowing a more flexible, cost-10 effective, and reliable program; 11 2. Program changes which would allow producer-owned cooperatives to 12 participate in the H-2A program; 13 3. An effective visa system responsive to geographic proximity and economic 14 and cultural factors, which acknowledges the beneficial contributions foreign 15 laborers make as workers, taxpayers, and consumers; 16 4. Controlling the growth of the Adverse Effect Wage Rate (AEWR), which has 17 generated an unsustainable increase in farmers' labor costs; 18 5. A guest worker program that reflects the reality of a farming calendar, 19 including accommodations for year-round labor needs and staggered start 20 dates; and 21 6. Guest workers in programs such as H-2A having the freedom to find their 22 own housing with financial support from the employer. 23 H. EXPANDING OPPORTUNITIES FOR SENIOR CITIZENS 24 In keeping with the traditional American concept of individual dignity in our 25 democratic society, all older Americans are entitled to enjoy an active involvement in 26 our society. The number of older Americans continues to increase, and efforts must be 27 expanded to continue to make use of their experience, skills, and energy to fill the needs 28 of our society through citizen involvement, employment and volunteer activities.

We support:

29

30

31

- 1. The energies and talents of retired Farmers Union members and employees being used to strengthen and expand our organization;
- 2. The Older Americans Act (OAA);

1 3. Experience Works, formerly Green Thumb, a nonprofit organization 2 conceived by NFU because older Americans who had the ability and desire to 3 work were not given the opportunity to do so; 4 4. The Senior Community Service Employment Program (SCSEP), providing full 5 funding at authorized levels and opposing block-granting; and 6 5. The development of policies to allow our seniors to age in place. 7 I. FOOD AND NUTRITION PROGRAMS 8 It is imperative that our national nutrition policy addresses both the quantity and 9 quality of food available to needy Americans. Nutrition programs should place an 10 emphasis on fresh and local foods to ensure that Americans of all income levels have 11 access to healthy, nutritious foods. 12 1. ADMINISTRATION OF FOOD AND NUTRITION PROGRAMS 13 We support: 14 i. Reauthorization and full funding of federal nutrition programs under 15 USDA; 16 ii. Expansion of nutrition programs to include farm-to-school, WIC and 17 Senior Farmers Market Nutrition Programs, programs that allow SNAP 18 and other federal nutrition program beneficiaries to double their benefits 19 at farmers markets and others: 20 iii. Including local grains and animal proteins as approved purchases 21 through the Gus Schumacher Nutrition Incentive Program (GusNIP) and 22 similar federal nutrition incentive programs; 23 SNAP and federal nutrition program benefits used for grocery delivery iv. 24 and pick-up services for the purchase of approved food items; 25 Congress continuing federal responsibility for nutrition programs; v. 26 vi. Maintaining federal standards as well as the USDA's authority for 27 commodity donations to nutrition programs; 28 vii. Requiring comprehensive and unbiased research precede any official 29 dietary advice regarding the relationship between diet and health; 30 viii. The United States Dietary Guidelines to utilize the abundant and 31 thorough evidence suggesting that Americans should consume a

balanced diet rich in nutrients, which includes meats and dairy products;

1	ix.	All federally funded nutrition programs following the U.S. Dietary
2		Guidelines;
3	Х.	Periodic reviews of federally funded nutrition programs to assess their
4		effectiveness;
5	xi.	Expansion of nutrition feeding programs for the elderly, including how to
6		use Electronic Benefits Transfer (EBT) and the distribution of excess
7		commodities when available;
8	xii.	The ability of consumers to purchase whole, less processed and
9		unprocessed foods as a nutritional tool to encourage prevention of
10		disease and strengthen immunity through gut microbiome diversity;
11	xiii.	Purchasing decisions being left to nutrition program beneficiaries within
12		program guidelines; and
13	xiv.	'Food Is Medicine' initiatives.
14	We o ₁	ppose:
15	i.	The privatization of the administration of federally funded nutrition
16		programs;
17	ii.	The shifting of nutrition programs to state block grants;
18	iii.	Separating nutrition title programs from the farm bill; and
19	iv.	Increased work requirements for eligibility in food and nutrition
20		programs.
21		2. FOOD ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS
22	We su	ipport:
23	i.	The Food Stamp Act of 1964;
24	ii.	Fully funding and maintaining the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance
25		Program (SNAP);
26	iii.	Outreach efforts to extend services to the increasing number of hungry
27		people who should be served, particularly in rural areas where access is
28		a problem;
29	iv.	Exclusion of farm loans as income in determining eligibility for SNAP;
30	v.	Continued development and full funding of the EBT Program and
31		education on how to use EBT;
32	vi.	Prohibiting efforts to substitute cash payment for SNAP:

1	vii.	Programs which allow SNAP users to purchase food directly from
2		farmers markets, community supported agriculture (CSA) programs, and
3		local producers;
4	viii.	Streamlining farmer participation in food assistance programs as
5		approved vendors;
6	ix.	Commodity distribution programs such as The Emergency Food
7		Assistance Program (TEFAP), the Commodity Supplemental Food
8		Program (CSFP) and child feeding programs;
9	х.	The Food Distribution Program on Indian Reservations (FDPIR), as well
10		as including indigenous foods and foods grown on Tribal homelands in
11		the program;
12	xi.	The expansion of federal initiatives to facilitate the ability of tribal food
13		producers and processors to supply federal food distribution programs
14		in tribal nations;
15	xii.	USDA making healthy surplus foods readily available to food banks and
16		emergency kitchens, bearing the cost of transportation and storage;
17	xiii.	Federal law that requires commodities distributed for nutrition
18		programs be domestically produced;
19	xiv.	Transparent supply chains and local and regional food procurement for
20		domestic hunger relief;
21	XV.	Congress creating and funding a permanent national food pantry
22		assistance program that funds technical assistance and the purchase of
23		local foods direct and/or through existing local food supply chains from
24		producers for use by food banks and pantries, including permanent
25		funding for the Local Food Purchase Assistance Cooperative Agreement
26		(LFPA) program; and
27	xvi.	The allocation of national resources to alleviate "food deserts," as defined
28		by USDA, by increasing accessibility to a comprehensive range of
29		nutritious foods.
30		3. CHILD NUTRITION PROGRAMS
31	We su	pport:

- 1 i. Full funding and expansion of child nutrition programs such as the 2 School Lunch Program, School Breakfast Program, Child and Adult Care 3 Food Program (CACFP), Summer Food Service Program, the farm-to-4 school program, WIC, Community Eligibility Program (CEP), and other 5 USDA nutrition assistance programs; 6 ii. Congress redirecting USDA to support a school meal program at the 7 federal level that provides flexibility and reduces federal regulations 8 while encouraging a healthy diet, the use of local foods and local food 9 preparation; 10 iii. Free breakfast and lunch under the School Breakfast and Lunch 11 Programs for all public school students: 12 iv. The Special Milk Program for children, prioritizing whole milk; 13 v. Congress strengthening and expanding farm-to-school programs by 14 supporting an increase in annual mandatory funding for the USDA Farm 15 to School Grant Program. We support expansion of the USDA Farm to 16 School Grant Program to include preschools, summer food service 17 program sites, and before and after school programs. We encourage farm 18 to school partnerships to increase consumption of nutritious and/or 19 traditional foods while also supporting farmers and ranchers: 20 vi. Increased emphasis on the use of locally produced foods in all 21 government nutrition programs; 22 vii. The removal of soda sales in public schools during lunch hours; and 23 viii. The Geographic Preference rules for school meal programs to financially 24 encourage the purchase and use of local farm products, and to allow 25 "locally produced" as a specification in the procurement bidding process. 26 **I. NUTRITION MONITORING** (ALSO SEE ARTICLE I.C. – LABELING OF COMMODITIES AND 27 COMMODITY PRODUCTS)
 - The National Nutrition Monitoring and Related Research Act creates a national system for monitoring the nutritional status of the U.S. population and, for this reason, is of great importance to those concerned with hunger, malnutrition and the broad planning for adequate food and farm policy. We urge continued effort to establish such mandated information collection as a basis for sound national policy, and continued

28

29

30

31

1	collection and	d maintenance of publicly available, current and historical data, for
2	analysis and i	reporting to support farmers and communities.
3	K. Fo	OD SAFETY (ALSO SEE ARTICLE IV.D. – HEALTH AND INSPECTION STANDARDS FOR FOOD
4	AN	D FIBER IMPORTS AND ARTICLE I.C. – LABELING OF COMMODITIES AND COMMODITY
5	PR	ODUCTS)
6		1. REGULATORY AUTHORITY
7	Curre	nt U.S. laws are not sufficient to address the complexities of our modern
8	food supp	ly. The creation of a single food safety agency to regulate the whole U.S
9	food supp	ly, including imported and domestic food, could help rectify this situation.
10	Therefore	e, we support:
11	i.	Greater collaboration between the USDA and FDA;
12	ii.	Congress providing increased funding for outreach, education, training,
13		and compliance for food safety; and
14	iii.	The authority of the regulatory agency to require a recall in the event of
15		an outbreak of unsafe food.
16		2. FOOD SAFETY STANDARDS
17	It is in	nperative that we maintain the high quality of our food supply. This means
18	ensuring l	high standards for production, processing, and transportation.
19	We su	pport:
20	i.	Vigorous action by U.S. regulatory agencies to prevent the introduction of
21		bovine spongiform encephalopathy, tuberculosis, foot-and-mouth
22		disease, and other communicable diseases into the food system;
23	ii.	A moratorium on mechanical de-boning until the process can be
24		improved to ensure that no undesired portions of the carcass are present
25		in the final product;
26	iii.	Opposition to the transportation of food in containers that have carried
27		incompatible substances;
28	iv.	Protecting our nation's food supply and the rigorous inspection of all
29		imported food, fiber, milk protein concentrate (MPC), animal products
30		and by-products to ensure they meet our nation's sanitary and
31		phytosanitary standards including safe pesticide levels. USDA inspection
32		stamps/seals should be placed only on the individual items inspected;

v. The development of fairly administered Good Agricultural Practices (GAPs) for field-grown vegetable crops which support the biodiversity of farming operations and which do not discriminate against smaller operations. These GAPs should be administered by the USDA, in cooperation with state departments of agriculture. Further, it should be recognized that the most effective method of preventing foodborne illnesses is for rigorous measures instituted at the time that field-grown crops enter processing, packaging, and subsequent transportation and storage;

- vi. National food safety policies that protect consumers without limiting farmers, ranchers or small food processors who sell into local and regional markets. Regulations should be size- and risk-appropriate;
- vii. FDA ensuring Food Safety Modernization Act (FSMA) rules are science-based, have size-appropriate regulatory flexibility, and do not conflict with the National Organic Program;
- viii. A continued evaluation of the regulations for FSMA to rationally address the practice of organic, natural and diversified farm operations vital to the local food movement, to scale requirements and fees with an understanding of the differences between corporate and family agriculture, and remove from the regulations the needless elements that serve the purposes of corporate agriculture at the expense of family farms, sovereign nations, growers of local foods, and consumers; and request that Congress provide appropriate funding to support the implementation of FSMA;
 - ix. Allowing interstate shipment of state-inspected meat that complies with federal standards, and assisting processors who wish to participate in such a program;
 - x. Permitting cross-utilization of meat inspectors and meat graders in all federally and state-inspected meat processing plants which meet federal inspection standards; and
 - xi. When tracking foodborne illnesses, the utilization of the epidemiological model as pioneered by the University of Minnesota.

1 3. Inspection of Perishable Commodities 2 NFU recognizes the need for integrity and accountability in the federal 3 inspection services. Federal agencies must maintain food inspection credibility 4 without adding to grower or packer costs by implementing the following: 5 i. Checks and balances to discover and address infractions that interfere 6 with transaction fairness: 7 ii. Stiff penalties on violators: 8 Improved supervision and auditing; and iii. 9 iv. Identification and prosecution of violators. 10 4. AGRI-TERRORISM 11 With increased attention and focus on potential agri-terrorism attacks on our 12 nation's food chain, rural America must be educated, prepared and vigilant of all 13 potential circumstances. 14 We support: 15 i. The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) and USDA immediately 16 developing mechanisms to combat agri-terrorism with full funding 17 provided by DHS. Such mechanisms should ensure the safety of the 18 consumer and agricultural industry; 19 ii. Increased cooperation between USDA, DHS, Department of Health and 20 Human Services (HHS) and the Federal Emergency Management Agency 21 (FEMA) to establish, expand and continue to determine vulnerabilities 22 within the agricultural and food industries; 23 Establishing a USDA public awareness and education campaign for iii. 24 producers; 25 iv. Providing federal guidance and funding to states and localities to develop 26 and implement plans for agricultural disease prevention, recovery, and 27 response, based upon already established state animal response 28 activities; and 29 A requirement of representatives of federal, state and county agencies to v. 30 notify landowners prior to non-emergency access of their private 31 property. Representatives and vehicles used for access should also 32 display appropriate agency signage and identification.

2	We su	pport:
3	1.	The EPA's food recovery hierarchy to prioritize actions to prevent and diver
4		food waste;
5	2.	USDA's efforts to continue to measure and document food waste;
6	3.	USDA's efforts to study techniques for reducing and/or recovering food
7		waste;
8	4.	Policies that are designed to economically reduce, recover, and recycle food
9		waste;
10	5.	The establishment of municipal or regional agricultural composting facilities
11		to provide a final opportunity to utilize food waste according to the EPA's
12		food recovery hierarchy, and their eligibility for support under USDA's
13		conservation programs; and
14	6.	The Good Samaritan Food Donation Act and gleaning bills that enable
15		farmers to donate produce to qualified organizations and to receive a tax
16		credit for such donations.
17	M.	WORLD FOOD DAY
18	NFU u	rges participation in World Food Day as proclaimed by the United Nations'
19	Food and	Agriculture Organization.
20	N.	Housing
21	We su	pport:
22	1.	Increased support for affordable housing, with allocation of units to rural
23		areas in proportion to need;
24	2.	Development of housing options for senior citizens and disabled veterans to
25		allow them to continue living in or near their communities and families;
26	3.	Acceleration of rural, cooperative, farm-labor housing programs, self-help,
27		and building-site programs; and
28	4.	Expansion, continuation, and full federal commitments to Title V housing
29		programs administered through the Rural Housing Service (RHS) of USDA.
30	0.	LIABILITY INSURANCE

1 L. FOOD WASTE

We urge a study into the rapid escalation of officers' and directors' liability insurance costs, especially as they affect our farm cooperatives and nonprofit businesses.

Because of the high costs to taxpayers and the reduced availability of liability insurance, we urge that liability against all local units of government be limited to cases of gross negligence.

P. Consumer Protection

As one of the largest consumers of goods and services, farm producers are critically affected by legislation to protect consumers.

We support:

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

- 1. Vigorous enforcement of consumer protection laws, including Truth-in-Labeling, Truth-in-Lending, and Truth-in-Advertising, and oppose exempting agricultural lending from Truth-in-Lending;
- 2. Loan institutions carrying insurance to protect the borrower against failures of the lending institutions; and
- 3. Continued cooperation with other consumers and organizations of consumers to protect our common interests.

Q. CAMPAIGN FINANCE AND ELECTIONS

1. CAMPAIGN FINANCE

We support the passage of an amendment to the U.S. Constitution to clarify that the rights protected by the Constitution of the United States are the rights of natural persons only; the spending of money to influence elections is not speech under the First Amendment, therefore contributions and expenditures to political issues and campaigns, including a candidate's own, shall be regulated by local, state, and federal governments, and must be fully disclosed.

We support comprehensive campaign finance reform, including:

- i. Public financing;
- ii. Caps on total spending;
- iii. Caps on total contributions;
- iv. Prohibition of unreported soft money;
- v. Full reporting of all types of contributions;
- 32 vi. Eventual elimination of all political action committees;

1	vii.	Elimination of "527" organizations;
2	viii.	Legislation overturning the Supreme Court's decision in the Citizens
3		United v. Federal Election Commission case that allows corporations to
4		make unlimited campaign contributions; and
5	ix.	Full disclosure of contributors to political issues and candidate
6		campaigns.
7	Until t	the time this is achieved, we support participation in the NFU Political
8	Action Co	mmittee (NFUPAC) as a means for our voice to be heard.
9		2. ELECTIONS AND ELECTED OFFICIALS
10	We su	pport:
11	i.	The federal government's assistance to local government units to offset
12		the cost of election voting machines that are mandated by the federal
13		government;
14	ii.	States adopting standards to avoid uncertainty in voting and counting
15		ballots to provide a paper trail that makes reviews and recounts possible
16		for election security;
17	iii.	Barring TV and radio news reports of national elections and exit polls
18		until all voting stations are closed in the 48 contiguous states;
19	iv.	Programs that encourage youth involvement in the voting process;
20	v.	Legislation that states Congress shall pass no law that applies to a citizen
21		of the United States that it does not apply to itself as an institution or to
22		individual senators or representatives, or vice versa, except as it relates
23		to national security issues and/or their personal security;
24	vi.	Voting, vote counting, and post-election auditing conducted with
25		electronic tabulation equipment must ensure reported results reflect
26		votes cast, and not be reliant on proprietary software inaccessible to
27		bipartisan election oversight;
28	vii.	Legislation requiring political campaigns and issue-based interest groups
29		to adhere to the "do not call" list. Furthermore, this provision should roll
30		over into a "do not text" list;
31	viii.	Broad enforcement of the equal-time rule;

1	ix.	Full disclosure of all financial tax records of all candidates participating	
2		in federal elections;	
3	Х.	The designation of a General Election Day as a national holiday to	
4		increase access to voting for all citizens;	
5	xi.	The use of ranked choice voting systems for elections;	
6	xii.	A campaign length limit of six months for all political candidates;	
7	xiii.	A requirement that any candidate receiving federal funds must be invited	
8		to participate in major debates;	
9	xiv.	Secure, efficient processes that promote ease of access to voting by all	
10		citizens, including mail-in ballots, ballot drop boxes, early voting,	
11		convenient access to polling places, and same day registration;	
12	XV.	Mail-in ballots being accessible to voters for a minimum of two weeks in	
13		advance of the due date;	
14	xvi.	The principles of the Voting Rights Act; and	
15	xvii.	Mandating candidates who choose to use negative campaigning appear in	
16		the commercials they authorize.	
17	We op	ppose:	
18	i.	Term limitations;	
19	ii.	Practices that lower voter participation; and	
20	iii.	A single, nationwide primary day.	
21	R. U.	S. Freedoms and Liberties	
22	Although	much has been accomplished to ensure freedom and equal opportunity for	
23	all citizens, regardless of race, gender, ethnicity, age, sexual orientation, or religion,		
24	much remains to be done.		
25	We suppo	ort:	
26	1. Ef	forts to provide equality of rights for all in every aspect of life. These rights	
27	sh	all not be denied or abridged by the United States or any state within;	
28	2. Di	versity in our communities and stand against inequalities based on	
29	pr	ofiling and discrimination including age, race, color, religion, national	
30	or	rigin, ethnicity, citizenship, immigration status, sexual orientation, gender	
31	ex	pression, marital status, source of income, military status or disability,	

1 criminal record, genetic information, or any other status protected by 2 applicable federal, state, or local law; 3 3. Efforts to remedy historical inequities in access to farm programs and other 4 systemic barriers to succeeding in agriculture faced by socially 5 disadvantaged groups, especially farmers of color; 6 4. Vigorously defending the right of privacy; 7 5. Elimination of the abuse of federal agency powers and surveillance of law-8 abiding citizens: 9 6. The right of reporters to keep their news sources confidential as inherent in 10 the "citizens' right to know"; 11 7. Proper display and respect of the U.S. flag: 12 8. The usage of "God" on government buildings, legal documents and legal 13 tender and we oppose the removal of existing references; 14 9. The men and women of the U.S. armed services for their contributions 15 around the world: 16 10. Stronger enforcement of our human trafficking laws, and we urge local 17 communities to become educated about this growing epidemic; and 18 11. Implementation and enforcement of U.S. Supreme Court ethics rules. 19 S. Postal Service 20 It is the specific intent of Congress that effective postal service be assured to 21 residents of both urban and rural communities. Rural America has the right to expect 22 the U.S. Postal Service to adhere to the policy of the Postal Reorganization Act of 1971. 23 We support: 24 1. Raising First Class postage rates consistently and concurrently with bulk 25 mailing or Second Class rates; 26 2. Congress correcting the difficult situation it has imposed on the U.S. Postal 27 Service by requiring excessive advance funding of pension funds; 28 3. Re-establishment of the Postal Savings Bank; 29 4. Appointing a blue-ribbon panel to investigate the expansion and creation of 30 new services offered by the USPS to facilitate economic growth and 31 development in rural and inner-city communities; and

1 5. A Postmaster General that advocates for a public U.S. Postal Service that 2 meets the needs of the American people, especially those in rural 3 communities. 4 We oppose: 5 1. Closing small post offices solely because they are operating at a deficit; 6 2. Changes in postal policy that will result in reduced, less frequent or 7 insufficient mail services for rural areas including the elimination or 8 reduction in parcel post delivery for rural areas or increased rural postal 9 rates: and 10 3. Privatization of the U.S. postal system, including the establishment of 11 contracted rural routes. 12 T. NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION (NOAA) 13 Farmers and ranchers rely on the invaluable data generated by NOAA in their day-14 to-day operations and for long-term planning. NOAA provides timely and critical 15 information on weather events that impact our planting, harvesting, irrigation, and 16 livestock management decisions. We strongly oppose any effort to privatize or diminish 17 this valuable service. We support the continued collection and maintenance of publicly 18 available, current and historical weather data for analysis and reporting to support

U. RURAL EMERGENCY SERVICES AND MANAGEMENT PLANNING

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

farmers and communities.

Agricultural communities face potential threats and emergencies (i.e., medical, biological, natural, and environmental disasters); therefore, we support:

- 1. The implementation of federal, state, and local emergency management plans;
- 2. Opportunities for citizens to become informed about and implement preventative steps;
- 3. The dedicated volunteers who serve as emergency medical technicians, firefighters, and law enforcement reserves;
- 4. Training schedules that recognize the time commitments of the volunteers;
- 5. Current laws that allow pre-hospital providers to perform services under a doctor's written or verbal protocol;

1	6. Development, preservation, and expansion of the rural 911 emergency
2	response systems; and
3	7. Prohibiting cuts and the elimination of any useful programs that benefit first
4	responders in rural areas;
5	8. Increased funding for rural emergency services, training, and equipment;
6	9. Increased funding for mental health support for rural and volunteer
7	emergency services personnel;
8	10. The establishment of regional and county-level emergency food plans that
9	integrate local producers, food hubs, and food banks into disaster
10	preparedness frameworks; and
11	11. Promoting collaboration between emergency management agencies,
12	emergency feeding organizations and local producers and food hubs to
13	improve food distribution systems during disasters.
14	V. Voting Districts
15	1. Redistricting
16	We support:
17	i. A nonpartisan redistricting process when voting districts are redrawn;
18	ii. The establishment of a nonpartisan redistricting process by states that
19	uses computer software to generate a redistricting map that does not
20	take into account any political information;
21	iii. The removal of politics from any redistricting process; and
22	iv. Voting districts being drawn according to the following criteria:
23	a. Population equality;
24	b. Contiguity;
25	c. Unity of counties and municipalities; and
26	d. Compactness.
27	We oppose:
28	i. Current legislators drawing district lines; and
29	ii. Gerrymandering of voting districts to dilute rural representation or give
30	advantage to any political party.
31	2. State Legislative Districts

We support efforts to allow for the apportionment of one of the two legislative bodies of bicameral state legislatures based upon scientific geographical areas and the other body apportioned based upon population.

The U.S. Congress follows this approach to determining the composition of the Senate and the House of Representatives and the same method should be allowed for state legislatures. This method would duplicate the system defined in the U.S. Constitution for the Congress.

Since the Supreme Court ruling in Reynolds v. Sims in 1964, state legislatures have been forced to apportion both bodies in a bicameral legislature based solely upon population under the "one person, one vote" theory. This has led to a decline in the ability of citizens in rural areas to be effectively represented in the state legislatures. Declining rural populations have significantly changed the composition of most state legislatures to the detriment of rural citizens, with the interests of citizens in rural areas being underrepresented in the legislative process.

1 ARTICLE XII - FAMILY FARMERS AND THEIR ORGANIZATION 2 National Farmers Union should strive to bring a broad understanding of the 3 humanities and sense of place to the public to perpetuate the spirit of cooperation, 4 education, and community upon which our organization was founded. Realization of 5 democratic aims and ideals requires citizen participation in the processes of 6 government as individuals and as members of people-oriented movements and 7 organizations. 8 NFU serves its members by providing an organizational structure in which they can 9 participate more effectively in the processes of our society. The objectives of the 10 Farmers Union challenge us to make the organization strong and effective. To 11 accomplish these goals, we must: 12 1. Require dedicated efforts of officers, leaders, and grassroots members; 13 2. Encourage participation of family members in this effort; 14 3. Encourage gender balance on all committees, boards and in all offices, from 15 the local to the national level, within our organization; 16 4. Encourage qualified individuals, regardless of age, race, color, religion, 17 national origin, ethnicity, citizenship, immigration status, sexual orientation, 18 gender, gender expression, marital status, source of income, military status 19 or disability, criminal record, genetic information, or any other status 20 protected by applicable federal, state, or local law, to serve on all 21 committees, boards, and in all offices; 22 5. Urge members to become more active in their own organization and local 23 cooperatives, and in state, regional, and national public life. Active 24 participation can best be inspired when attention is paid to: 25 i. The study of issues; 26 ii. Decision-making within the organization; 27 iii. Carrying out group efforts to implement policy; 28 iv. Attracting more members into the organization; and 29 v. Promoting the use of the business services associated with Farmers 30 Union. 31 NFU must take the lead on educating policymakers and the public on the real cost of 32 corporate welfare.

NFU should initiate and work with other organizations to educate the public on the important issues of our NFU policy. NFU should allow for each state to continue teaching the history of NFU, their state Farmers Union and agriculture in their respective state.

A. EDUCATIONAL ACTIVITIES

1. SEMINARS AND WORKSHOPS

Special sessions should be held at all Farmers Union levels on issues that are of special concern at a particular time. Seminars should also be directed to special groups interested in farm cooperatives or particular farm commodities.

State organizations are encouraged to include among their educational activities: camps for youth and adults, legislative workshops, leadership training institutes, and other events, especially on timely issues.

2. FARMERS UNION YOUTH PROGRAMS

Its strong national and state youth programs make the Farmers Union unique among farm organizations. The highest priority and support should be given by national and state Farmers Union leadership to the Farmers Union youth programs. NFU is the leader in cooperative development and cooperative education of youth should be an integral part of all Farmers Union youth programs to teach our youth about the value of the cooperative model for their future problem solving and leadership roles. Farmers Union youth must be prepared to assume eventual leadership of our organization through the following methods:

- i. Greater encouragement for Farmers Union youth to attain positions of responsibility within the organization;
- ii. Special emphasis given to ongoing study programs, camps, All-StatesCamps and seminars;
- iii. Increased involvement of youth in the organization's decision-making process, group action and conventions;
- iv. Frequently updated Farmers Union educational materials and texts for youth leaders;
- v. Recognition of state and national youth leaders who have given of themselves in building the organization's youth programs as well as the youth that are involved in the organization's youth programs;

- vi. Action from state organizations to encourage young people to remain active in Farmers Union as they become adults; and
 - vii. Formation of a collegiate Farmers Union chapter in each member state and holding a national collegiate conference no less than once annually.

We support more activities for young adults to inform them on involvement in Farmers Union.

3. FARMERS UNION YOUNG FARMER PROGRAM

Through its education program, the national organization, in conjunction with state Farmers Unions, should continue to develop its beginning farmer leadership programs upon the principles of cooperation, education and legislation to assist those interested in family agriculture and to develop community.

4. ALL-STATES SUMMIT

It is imperative that we maintain a robust youth camp program. All-States must build on the important work of individual state camps, while also serving as an opportunity for states without formal camp programs. All-States Summit is not only a highlight of our youth program, but also represents the time that young adults have become true participants in NFU. We urge that every effort be made to continue this opportunity.

5. FARMERS UNION EDUCATION CENTER

Any monies from the sale of this facility shall be invested with proceeds used to continue education programs at NFU and state associations.

B. Communications

Communications within the organization, and with the media and public, are vital to promote and support Farmers Union activities and to call attention to the organization's goals. We encourage members and others to utilize all NFU communications tools.

C. FARMERS UNION LEGISLATIVE BUDGET FUND

The NFU Legislative Budget Fund is an important source of support for the overall legislative staff effort. Individual Farmers Union members, local and county units, affiliated cooperatives and other interested groups should give increasing support to this cause.

D. POLITICAL EFFECTIVENESS

Political education should be continuous.

Discussions of relevant political issues should be carried on throughout the organization with maximum opportunities for members to participate in efforts to influence political decisions.

The financial aspects of political action should not be ignored. Members should consider being more supportive of NFUPAC. Additionally, as a collective effort, we encourage state organizations to help collect a dollar per member per year. Candidates should be given support if, in the judgment of members, they regard Farmers Union recommendations favorable.

Members have a right to know the voting records of members of state legislatures and Congress on issues which Farmers Union has clearly indicated a position, and, therefore, the national organization and state Farmers Unions should continue to supply such information to their members.

In view of the steady decline in rural populations and of those directly involved in agriculture, it has become even more important for our national and state organizations to build alliances with consumer groups, other agricultural organizations, urban legislators, non-farmer rural residents and leaders to leverage our political effectiveness.

E. MEMBERSHIP EXPANSION

Growing our organization should be our number one priority. The best hope for family agriculture is for producers to join together to build the Farmers Union.

We encourage the expansion of our organization and affiliate memberships for likeminded organizations and ventures. (Additional information can be found at www.nfu.org)

We encourage individual state organizations to make membership growth a priority. Each state should establish a specific membership plan of action. Farmers Union members should be encouraged to sign up new members. A special emphasis should be made to ensure that all cooperative patrons and board members are members of Farmers Union.

We are encouraged by the efforts of national and state Farmers Union organizations in development of new programs and services. Special emphasis should be made to ensure that participants in these programs and services are members in their respective state Farmers Union organization.

F. Membership Budget Information

For information on the Farmers Union budget, members are encouraged to contact their state president. A complete comparative written financial report shall be given to delegates at the start of the NFU Convention.

G. FARMERS UNION RELATED SERVICES

Farmers Union business services, whether in marketing or providing inputs and services, are important to the members and the organization. Cooperatives which work with the Farmers Union in its program for agriculture deserve the loyalty of the members in the areas served.

Expansion and improvement of the NFU insurances and business services will be important to agriculture in the time ahead. Therefore, close working relationships are essential.

The affiliation of Farmers Union cooperatives and insurances to the Farmers Educational and Cooperative Union of America is paramount to any other affiliations because such activities have been built by Farmers Union members as components of an organization of, by, and for rural families.

H. COOPERATION WITH RELIGIOUS ORGANIZATIONS

NFU has a long history of cooperation with religious organizations on many issues of common concern.

We believe our nation is strong because its many diverse religious bodies bring their moral viewpoints to bear on public policy. We pledge our continuing cooperation to this common purpose.

I. COOPERATION WITH OTHER ORGANIZATIONS

NFU should continue to seek and explore opportunities to work with other organizations at the local, state, and national levels to advance the economic situation of family-scale operators.

We have worked aggressively to further build alliances and coalitions with diverse interests including commodity, rural interest, consumer, labor, minority, environmental, conservation, sustainable agriculture, health and nutrition, energy and

other groups not typically involved in the nation's farm policy debate.

The information shared in those coalitions and alliances has shown that we often have much more in common with those primarily concerned with consumer, environmental and social matters than we have differences.

NFU should designate representatives, either by appointment or volunteer, to form a standing farmer-labor solidarity commission. The commission should discuss policy, campaigns, and the common interests of farmers and labor unions.

It is in the best interest of family farmers that NFU continues this practice of seeking allies among those who may not be directly associated with agriculture.

We support the development of both state and national contract grower associations to improve the welfare of contract growers. Benefits will be realized as a result of this mutually supportive relationship, including the establishment of a farreaching precedent likely to impact future contract production of poultry, hogs, cattle and other commodities.

I. COOPERATIVE DEVELOPMENT

NFU shall make efforts to assist in the development of cooperatives to benefit its members and the rural American economy. Efforts shall be made both legislatively and through active support of state organizations and other groups seeking knowledge of cooperative principles and law.

K. POLICY DEBATE

During NFU convention policy debate, delegates are encouraged to voice their opinions with passion, vigor, and respect for all the farmers in our organization in ways that value the diversity of their farms, including their location, their size of operation, and their production methods.

Farmers Union policy debate is best when it is pragmatic, jovial, and respectful. Our work is practical, serious, and full of comradery. Policy debate is intended to build our organization by working together. Our cooperative spirit is real and at full strength during policy debate.

Glossary

1031 exchange – also known as a Starker exchange or a tax-deferred exchange; permits investment property owners to sell a property and defer tax payments by reinvesting the proceeds into a "like-kind" investment property or properties. A 1031 exchange is enabled by Section 1031 in the Internal Revenue Code.

527 organization – tax-exempt organizations primarily utilized to influence political elections. The structure of a 527 allows unlimited financial contributions and are typically not regulated by the Federal Election Commission or state elections commissions.

AFO – animal feeding operation; agricultural enterprise where animals are kept and raised in a confined situation. An AFO congregates animals, feed, manure and urine, dead animals, and production operations on a small land area.

Aggie Bonds – state agriculture loan program based on the use of tax-exempt bonds to assist beginning farmers and first-time farmers.

AgJOBS – legislation aimed at reforming labor and immigration laws specifically for agriculture.

AGR/AGR-Lite – whole-farm crop insurance that provides producers with protection against low revenue from natural causes and market fluctuations; covers income from agricultural commodities, as well as income from animals, animal products and aquaculture species reared in a controlled environment.

AgrAbility – a program to enhance quality of life for farmers, ranchers, and other agricultural workers with disabilities. See: http://www.agrability.org/about/program/ **Agricultural Conservation Easement Program (ACEP)** – a federal program, administered by the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), that helps landowners, land trusts, and other entities protect, restore, and enhance wetlands or protect working farms and ranches through conservation easements.

Agricultural Credit Act of 1987 – enacted in response to the 1980s farm crisis, the Act authorized a \$4 billion financial assistance package for Farm Credit System (FCS) institutions, mandated other FCS changes, and created the Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation (Farmer Mac).

Agricultural Foreign Investment Disclosure Act of 1978 – requires disclosure of transactions and holdings of any foreign person who acquires, disposes of, or holds an interest in U.S. agricultural land.

Agricultural Risk Coverage (ARC) – an income support program, first authorized by the 2014 farm bill, that provides payments when actual crop revenue declines below a guarantee level that is based on an Olympic average of market prices.

Agritourism – A form of commercial enterprise that links agricultural production and/or processing with tourism to attract visitors onto a farm, ranch, or other agricultural business for the purposes of entertaining or educating the visitors while generating income for the farm, ranch, or business owner.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) – an international standards organization that develops and publishes voluntary consensus technical standards for a wide range of materials, products, systems, and services.

AMS – Agricultural Marketing Service, an agency of the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA); administers programs that facilitate the efficient, fair marketing of agricultural products, including food, fiber, and specialty crops.

APHIS – Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, an agency of the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA); a multi-faceted agency that includes protecting and promoting U.S. agricultural health, regulating genetically engineered organisms, administering the Animal Welfare Act, and carrying out wildlife damage management activities.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) – Refers to the simulation of human intelligence in machines that are programmed to think and mimic human actions. It encompasses a wide range of techniques and approaches, including machine learning, deep learning, natural language processing, computer vision, robotics, and more. AI systems are designed to perform tasks that would typically require human intelligence, such as problem-solving, decision-making, understanding natural language, recognizing patterns, and learning from experience. The ultimate goal of AI is to create machines that can perform tasks autonomously and adapt to new situations without human intervention.

ASTM D6751 – a directive from the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) that dictates specifications for biodiesels blended with middle distillate fuels and how those properties are tested.

base – labor that provides significant support, including material day-to-day operational support, for a family farm, business, etc.

basis – in commodities, the difference between a local cash price and the relevant futures contract price for a specific period.

BFP - basic formula price.

Big Open – also referred to as the "buffalo commons," and another term for the Great Plains. It is sometimes used in reference to proposed schemes, originally floated in the 1980s, in which ranches would be phased out of the Great Plains in favor of wildlife and tourism.

Bill Emerson Humanitarian Trust – a special authority in the 2014 Farm Bill that allows USAID's Office of Food for Peace to respond to unanticipated food crises abroad when other Title II resources are not available.

Biobased Markets Program – authorized in the 2002 Farm Bill and expanded in the 2008 Farm Bill, it establishes a process for determining eligibility criteria for federal purchase of biobased products.

biodiesel (ASTM D6751) – The American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM)-approved standard for biodiesel, which covers blends between 5 and 20 percent biodiesel by volume blended with petroleum diesel fuel; also known as B100.

blue Box – a subsidy based on production quantity but is constrained to minimize trade distortions for purposes of the World Trade Organization (WTO).

brucellosis – livestock disease, which causes cows to abort calves.

BSE – bovine spongiform encephalopathy; a progressive neurological disorder of cattle that results from infection by an unusual transmissible agent called a prion.

Bureau of Land Management (BLM) – an agency within the U.S. Department of the Interior (DOI) responsible for administering public lands.

"buy up" crop insurance coverage – higher levels of crop insurance coverage that a farmer may purchase in addition to a base policy.

Byrd Amendment – legislation that provides for the annual distribution of antidumping (AD) and countervailing duties (CVD). The distribution is available to "affected domestic producers for qualifying expenditures." An "affected domestic producer" is defined as a manufacturer, producer, farmer, rancher, or worker representative (including associations of such persons) that 1) was a petitioner or

interested party in support of a petition with respect to which an AD or CVD order was in effect and 2) remains in operation. It was repealed in 2005.

CAFO – concentrated animal feeding operation; an AFO that meets the EPA regulatory definition of CAFO, which is based primarily on a minimum threshold of animal units confined at a given site for more than 45 days during the year. Any AFO that discharges manure or wastewater into a natural or man-made ditch, stream, or other waterway is defined as a CAFO, regardless of size. CAFOs are regulated by EPA under the Clean Water Act.

cap and trade – a regulatory program that sets a cap level of permissible emissions and allows firms to buy credits if they exceed the limit and sell credits if they are under the limit.

Capper-Volstead Act – a 1922 law that allows producers to organize into cooperatives without violating antitrust laws.

carbon sequestration – the process of capturing and storing atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO2), a method of reducing the amount of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere with the goal of reducing global climate change. Geologic carbon sequestration stores CO2 in underground geologic formations; biologic carbon sequestration stores CO2 in vegetation, soils, woody products, and aquatic environments.

CARE International – a humanitarian agency, founded in 1945, that provides emergency relief and long-term international development projects.

casein/caseinates – milk protein that is manufactured from skim milk and used in processed foods and in industrial products.

cannabidiol (CBD) – a chemical derived from Cannabis species (such as marijuana and hemp), or that can be produced synthetically in a laboratory, that is nonintoxicating, and known to have analgesic, anti-inflammatory, antineoplastic, and chemoprotective activities.

CBO – Congressional Budget Office; produces independent analyses of budgetary and economic issues to support the congressional budget process.

CBOT - Chicago Board of Trade.

CCC – Commodity Credit Corporation of the U.S. Department of Agriculture; government-owned and operated entity that was created to stabilize, support, and

protect farm income and prices; also helps maintain balanced and adequate supplies of agricultural commodities and aids in their orderly distribution.

Certificate of Transportation (COT) – a futures contract issued by railroads to grain customers as a guarantee to present empty covered hoppers for loading at a specific location with an option to lock in price.

CFTC – Commodity Futures Trading Commission; has regulatory oversight of U.S. futures trading.

CHS – the forerunner of CHS Inc. Cenex Harvest States was formed in 1998 by a merger between two regional cooperatives, Cenex and Harvest States Cooperatives. Cenex Harvest States changed its legal name to CHS Inc. effective August 5, 2003.

climate change – refers to significant changes in average conditions (such as temperature, precipitation, wind patterns, and other aspects of climate) that occur over the long-term; these changes can be caused by natural variability in climate over time, but since the 1800s, changes have been primarily driven by human activity; not synonymous with global warming, which is a narrower term and just one way in which climate is affected by rising concentrations of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere.

Codex Alimentarius – a commission created in 1963 by FAO and WHO to develop food standards, guidelines, and related texts such as codes of practice under the Joint FAO/WHO Food Standards Programme.

Colony Collapse Disorder – the phenomenon in which a majority of worker bees in a colony disappear and abandon a queen, plenty of food and a few nurse bees to care for the remaining immature bees and the queen.

Community Supported Agriculture (CSA) – traditionally consists of a community of individuals who pledge support to a farm operation with the growers and consumers providing mutual support and sharing the risks and benefits of food production; CSA models are diverse and has been adapted to fit a variety of direct marketing opportunities.

conservation allotment – a BLM allotment or service permit where the base property is purchased or leased by an entity that has no intention of grazing animals on said allotment or permit.

contract grower – a person who will grow or raise a commodity owned by a processor or meatpacker. The grower provides the labor and facility; other production inputs

including feed, medicine and the animals are provided by the integrator, processor, or meatpacker.

cooperative development center – entities that focus on providing technical assistance to groups that wish to organize cooperative, or to existing cooperatives. **cottage good** – non-potentially hazardous food products that are unlikely to grow harmful bacteria or other toxic microorganisms at room temperature.

countervailing duties (CVD) – specific duties imposed on imports to offset the benefits of subsidies to producers or exporters in the exporting country. The executive branch of the U.S. government has been legally empowered since the 1890s to impose countervailing duties in amounts equal to any "bounties" or "grants" reflected in products imported into the United States.

CRP – Conservation Reserve Program, administered by USDA FSA; in exchange for a yearly rental payment, landowners enrolled in CRP remove environmentally sensitive land from agricultural production and plant species that improve environmental health and quality; the long-term goal of the program is to re-establish valuable land cover to help improve water quality, prevent soil erosion, and reduce loss of wildlife habitat. **CSP** –Conservation Stewardship Program, administered by USDA NRCS; helps agricultural producers maintain and improve their existing conservation systems and adopt additional conservation activities to address priority resource concerns.

dark market – secretive, unregulated (though often technically legal) trading in commodity futures.

decoupling – the removal of the link between the receipt of a direct payment and the production of a specific product.

dry matter intake (DMI) – the amount of feed a cow consumes per day on a moisture-free basis.

E15 – gasoline blend consisting of 15 percent ethanol, approved for use in 2001 model year and newer vehicles.

E85 filling station – a station capable of handling up to an 85 percent ethanol blend. **ecosystem services** – the environmental benefits that ecosystems provide, including clean water and air, flood prevention, healthy soils, and wildlife habitat. When ecosystem services can be measured and quantified – such as the amount of carbon

stored in soil, improvements in water quality, or habitat creation – they can be bought and sold through markets known as ecosystem services markets.

Electronic Benefits Transfer (EBT) – Electronic Benefits Transfer (EBT) is an electronic system that allows a Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) participant to pay for food using SNAP benefits. When a participant shops at a SNAP authorized retail store, their SNAP EBT account is debited to reimburse the store for food that was purchased. EBT is in use in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam. EBT has been the sole method of SNAP issuance in all states since June of 2004

Endangered Species Act (ESA) – administered by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Commerce Department's National Marine Fisheries Service, with the purpose of protecting and recovering imperiled species and the ecosystems upon which they depend.

EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

EQIP – Environmental Quality Incentive Program, a program administered by USDA NRCS; a voluntary program that provides financial and technical assistance to agricultural producers and non-industrial forest managers to address natural resource concerns.

Essential Air Service (EAS) – a program intended to guarantee that small communities that were served by certificated air carriers before airline deregulation maintain a minimal level of scheduled air service.

ETBE – ethyl tertiary butyl ether, a renewable gasoline oxygenate, which uses ethanol as a feedstock.

Fair Food Program – the "Fair Food Program" describes itself as "a unique partnership among farmers, farmworkers, and retail food companies that ensures humane wages and working conditions for the people who feed our families." It is a program of the Fair Food Standards Council and the Coalition of Immokalee Workers. More at http://fairfoodprogram.org.

fairness doctrine – a tenet of licensed broadcasting that ensures a reasonable opportunity for the airing of conflicting viewpoints on controversial issues.

family farm (size definitions) –USDA's Economic Research Service (ERS) provides a definition based on measured by annual gross cash farm income (GCFI), a measure of

the farm's revenue (before deducting expenses) that includes sales of crops and livestock, payments made under agricultural federal programs, and other farm-related cash income including fees from production contracts; small family farms (GFCI less than \$350,000), midsize family farms (GCFI between \$350,000 and \$999,999), and large-scale family farms (GCFI of \$1,000,000 or more), including large farms (GCFI between \$1,000,000 and \$4,999,999) and very large farms (farms with GCFI of \$5,000,000 or more).

Farmer Mac – Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation; government-sponsored enterprise with the mission of providing a secondary market for agricultural real estate mortgage loans, rural housing mortgage loans, and rural utility cooperative loans. **farmers market** – a public, recurring assembly of farmers or their representatives selling local agricultural products that they have grown, raised, or produced, directly to the consumer. Such markets may include other products, which reasonably serve the public or enhance the market's diversity.

FAS – Foreign Agricultural Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture; links U.S. agriculture to the world to enhance export opportunities and global food security.

FCIC – Federal Crop Insurance Corporation; a wholly owned government corporation that administers the Federal crop insurance program and housed at the Risk Management Agency (RMA) of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

FDIC – Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation; independent agency created by congress to maintain stability and public confidence in the nation's financial system.

Fed – Federal Reserve Board.

FIFRA – Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act, which provides for federal regulation of pesticide distribution, sale, and use.

finfish – a true fish, distinguished from shellfish.

fishers – a person who catches fish for a living or for sport.

flex pump – a filling station fuel pump that allows consumers to select the desired blend of gasoline and ethanol (also known as a blender pump).

Flex-fuel vehicles – Flexible fuel vehicles (FFVs) are vehicles which contain engines that can run on either gasoline or fuel blends containing mostly ethanol, like the E85 blend.

fluoroquinolones – a class of antimicrobials that kill bacteria or prevent their growth.

food hub – a centrally located facility with a business management structure facilitating the aggregation, storage, processing, distribution, and/or marketing of locally or regionally produced foods.

food loss – the decrease in the quantity or quality of food resulting from decision and actions by food suppliers in the chain, excluding retailers, food service provider, and consumers.

food waste – the decrease in the quantity or quality of food resulting from decisions and actions by retailers, food service providers and consumers.

FSA – Farm Service Agency of the U.S. Department of Agriculture

GAO – The U.S. Government Accountability Office; originally established as the General Accounting Office; an independent, non-partisan legislative branch agency that provides auditing, evaluation, and investigative services for the United States Congress.

gender – The socially constructed roles, behaviors, activities, and attributes that a given society considers appropriate for men and women.

Generalized System of Preferences (GSP) – a program designed to promote economic growth in the developing world by providing preferential duty-free entry for about 4,800 products from 131 designated beneficiary countries and territories.

genetically modified organism (GMO) – an organism whose genetic material has been altered using genetic engineering techniques.

ghost crop – a second or late season planting that does not interfere with an insurance claim.

GIPSA – Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration, a former agency of USDA; established in 1994 to facilitate the marketing of livestock, poultry, meat, cereals, oilseeds, and related agricultural products, and to promote fair and competitive trading practices for the overall benefit of consumers and American agriculture; a final rule published in November 2018 eliminated GIPSA as a stand-alone agency, and delegated authority to the USDA AMS Administrator to administer the United States Grain Standards Act, the Packers and Stockyards Act, 1921, and the United States Warehouse Act.

Glass-Steagall Act – a law passed by Congress in 1933, which prohibited commercial banks from engaging in the investment business. The law was repealed by the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999.

grass bank – a section of rangeland that is set aside for the use of grazing services by a permitted user. A grass bank can act as an exchange between public or private entities and a family farmer/rancher for the commitment of the institution of conservation practices on the permittees private land, the creation of land access for beginning and under-served farmer/ranchers, or as an additional community forage source during times of drought.

Green Thumb – a training and employment program established in 1965 by NFU for the purpose of providing jobs for low-income people who were age 55 or older and wanted to work; the program is authorized in the Older Americans Act and funded through the Department of Labor. Now known as Experience Works.

GREET) model – a tool that examines the life-cycle impacts of vehicle technologies, fuels, products, and energy systems by simulating the energy use and emissions output of various vehicle and fuel combinations (see greet.es.anl.gov)

GSM-102 – short-term export credit guarantees. This commercial loan program, administered through the USDA's Commodity Credit Corporation, leverages financing from the private sector to support and encourage U.S. agricultural exports to foreign markets. On average, CCC's credit guarantee covers 95 percent of the combined principal and interest in GSM-102 transactions.

GSM-103 – intermediate-term (3-10 year) export credit guarantees.

Gus Schumacher Nutrition Incentive Program (GusNIP) – a federal program administered by USDA that provides grants to projects that offer incentives – such as matching funds or discounts – to Supplemental Nutrition Incentive Program (SNAP) participants to purchase fruits and vegetables. The aim of the program is to improve access to fresh fruits and vegetables for low-income individuals and families while supporting local and regional food systems and farmers by increasing demand for their products.

Hatch Act – limits certain political activities of most executive branch employees. **Hatch-Waxman Act** – a 1984 federal law which encourages the manufacture of generic drugs by the pharmaceutical industry and established the modern system of government generic drug regulation in the U.S.

Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (HACCP) - A management system in which food safety is addressed through the analysis and control of biological, chemical, and physical hazards from raw material production, procurement, and handling, to manufacturing, distribution, and consumption of the finished product.

Higher Education Act – governs the administration of federal higher education programs. Signed into law in 1965.

HM-200 rule – a rule issued by the Department of Transportation in 1997 that expanded the scope of the Hazardous Materials Regulations to provide a uniform system of safety standards to all intrastate transportation of hazardous materials. **Humphrey-Hawkins Full Employment Act** – an act of legislation that explicitly instructs the nation to strive toward four ultimate goals: full employment, growth in production, price stability, and balance of trade and budget, by setting requirements and goals for the federal government to attain.

hydraulic fracturing – the process by which a specially blended liquid is pumped down a well into a formation under pressure high enough to cause the formation to crack open, forming passages through which oil can flow into the well bore.

in situ leach mining – also known as in situ recovery (ISR) or solution mining, is a mining method used to extract minerals from underground ore bodies without the need for conventional mining techniques like digging and blasting. It is commonly used for extracting minerals such as uranium, copper, and other metals.

integrator – a processor or meatpacker that provides animals, feed, medicine, and other production inputs to an individual as outlined in a production contract.

International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA) – The ITPGRFA, also known as the International Seed Treaty or Plant Treaty, is an international agreement regarding the conservation and sustainable use of plant genetic resources for food and agriculture and the fair and equitable sharing of the benefits arising out of their use. Learn more: https://www.fao.org/plant-treaty/en/
Investment Tax Credit (ITC) – a federal tax incentive for business investment, not to be confused with the USITC, the U.S. International Trade Commission.

Investor State Dispute Settlement (ISDS) – a system, included in many trade agreements and treaties, through which investors can sue nation states for alleged discriminatory practices.

IRA – individual retirement account; n account set up at a financial institution that allows an individual to save for retirement with tax-free growth or on a tax-deferred basis.

Johne's Disease – chronic disease affecting domestic animals, especially cattle and sheep, caused by bacterium.

Jones Act – legislation that regulates maritime commerce between U.S. cities; requires that goods and passengers transported by water between U.S. ports be done in U.S.-made ships, owned by U.S. citizens and crewed by U.S. citizens.

Keogh Doctrine – also known as the Filed Rate Doctrine; precludes an award of damages under the antitrust laws when a plaintiff seeks a recovery measured by payments made according to rates approved by a regulatory agency.

land operator – person who runs the farm, making day-to-day management decisions. The operator could be an owner, hired manager, cash tenant, share tenant and/or a partner.

leach mining – the recovery, by chemical leaching, of the valuable components of an orebody without physical extraction of the ore from the ground.

livestock – in this policy document, the term includes cattle, swine, sheep, poultry, goats, horses, buffalo and farmed Cervidae (deer family) that are produced for food, fiber, or feed.

Livestock Compensation Programs – A suite of USDA programs that include: the Livestock Indemnity Program (LIP), the Livestock Forage Disaster Program (LFP), the Emergency Assistance for Livestock, Honeybees, and Farm-Raised Fish Program (ELAP) and the Tree Assistance Program (TAP).

longer combination vehicles (LCV) – any combination of a truck tractor and two or more trailers or semi-trailers that has a gross vehicle weight greater than 80,000 pounds and is operated on the Interstate Highway System.

make allowance – a factor used in a formula for determining the price of milk. In the formula, an allowance is given for what it costs to turn raw milk into cheese and nonfat dry milk.

Margin Protection Program – an insurance program run by the U.S. Department of Agriculture to protect dairy producers during times of low margins.

McGovern-Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition Program – a global school feeding program that promotes education, child development, and food security for some of the world's poorest children, through donations of agricultural commodities and financial and technical assistance for school feeding and maternal and child nutrition projects in low-income countries.

MPC – milk protein concentrate.

Multiemployer Pension Reform Act of 2014 (MPRA) – allows trustees of multiemployer pensions to apply to the Treasury Department to reduce pension benefits to prevent a plan from running out of money.

NAFTA – North American Free Trade Agreement, an international trade agreement linking Mexico, the United States, and Canada; enacted in November of 1993; it was replaced by USMCA, effective 1 July 2020.

NASS – National Agricultural Statistics Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

NFUPAC – the National Farmers Union Political Action Committee.

National Airspace System (NAS) – the airspace, navigation facilities, and airports of the United States, including associated information, services, rules, regulations, policies, procedures, personnel, and equipment, including components shared with the military. **National Credit Union Share Insurance Fund** – provides deposit insurance to protect the accounts of credit union members at federally insured institutions in the U.S.

Created by Congress in 1970 and is run by the National Credit Union Administration (NCUA).

National Electric Safety Code – standards for the safe installation, operation, and maintenance of electric power and communication utility systems.

National Grazing Lands Coalition (NatGLC) –founded to provide technical assistance on privately owned grazing lands on a voluntary basis and to increase the awareness of the importance of grazing land resources.

National Rural Utilities Cooperative Finance Corporation – a member-owned, nonprofit cooperative and the premier lender for electric cooperatives, including Rural Utilities Service (RUS) borrowers and non-RUS borrowers.

National Sustainable Agriculture Information Service – the National Sustainable Agriculture Information Service is another name used to refer to the ATTRA Sustainable Agriculture program. ATTRA stands for Appropriate Technology Transfer to Rural

Areas, a program codified at 7 U.S.C. 1932(i)(4). The ATTRA Sustainable Agriculture Program is managed by the National Center for Appropriate Technology (NCAT). The program provides sustainable agriculture information to those engaged in or serving commercial agriculture.

neonicotinoids – a class of insecticides with a common mode of action that affects the central nervous system of insects, causing paralysis and death.

NEPA – National Environmental Policy Act, which requires federal agencies to integrate environmental values into their decision-making processes by considering the environmental impacts of their proposed actions and reasonable alternatives to those actions.

net neutrality – a principle that states internet service providers may not discriminate between different kinds of content and applications online. It guarantees a level playing field for all websites and internet technologies.

NIFA – National Institute of Food and Agriculture, formerly the Cooperative State Research, Education and Extension Service (CSREES); provides leadership and funding for programs that advance agriculture-related sciences.

nonrecourse loan – A commodity loan that gives the borrower the options of repaying the loan in cash or forfeiting the commodity (collateral) to the lender who accepts said collateral to satisfy payment of the loan, without recourse against the borrower.

NPDES – National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit program authorized by the Clean Water Act to control water pollution by regulating point sources that discharge pollutants into waters of the United States.

NRCS – Natural Resources Conservation Service of USDA; provides America's farmers and ranchers with financial and technical assistance to voluntarily make conservation improvements.

OBD2 – Also written as "OBD-II," this refers to a version of an automobile's self-diagnostic and reporting capability system. This system gives vehicle owners and technicians access to the status of vehicle sub-systems and to a series of standardized diagnostic trouble codes (or DTCs). OBD2 was an improvement over OBD1 in capability and level of standardization.

OMB – Office of Management and Budget; oversees the performance of federal agencies and administers the federal budget.

organic – the use of organic claims shall be defined by USDA under the Organic Foods Production Act and the National Organic Program (NOP).

Packer Consent Decree of 1921 – an agreement struck between packers and the attorney general's office that allowed the packers to avoid antitrust prosecution in exchange for agreeing to 1) sell holdings in stockyards, railroads, market newspapers and public warehouse, 2) abandon all retail meat business, 3) abandon control of transportation facilities, and 4) dissolve any conspiracies with other packers.

parity index – originally, the price per bushel, pound or bale that would be necessary

for a bushel today to buy the same quantity of goods (from a standard list) that a bushel would have bought in the 1910-14 base period at the price then prevailing. In 1948, the parity price formula was revised to make parity prices dependent on the relationship of farm and nonfarm prices during the most recent 10-year period for non-basic commodities. Basic commodities, including wheat, corn, rice, peanuts, and cotton, use the higher of the historical formula or the new formula (referred to by USDA as the "prices paid index"). See:

https://www.nass.usda.gov/Surveys/Guide_to_NASS_Surveys/Prices/Chapter%20Four%20Parity%20and%20Feed%20Price%20Ratios%20v10.pdf

particulate matter – solid or liquid particles less than 10 microns in diameter suspended in the air.

Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) – Also known as PFAS, these substances are manufactured chemicals that have been used in industry and consumer products since the 1940s. There are thousands of different PFAS, with varying levels of use and study. PFAS are commonly referred to as "forever chemicals" because they often break down slowly and can bioaccumulate, or build up in people, animals, and in the environment. As of 2023, these chemicals have been found on only a few farms with heavy groundwater contamination, but there is a concern that contamination is more widespread. The full health impacts of these chemicals are still being investigated. **Peri-urban** – refers to areas that are neither entirely rural, nor fully urban; associated with sprawl and suburban development; they are dynamic transition zones between the city and countryside that display diverse land uses and uneven development.

pesticide – a chemical that is used to kill or control animals, insects, plants, or other organisms. The purpose of a pesticide is to reduce or eliminate damage to crops or livestock. Includes insecticides, herbicides, miticides, rodenticides, fungicides, etc. **pharma crops** – crops grown specifically for use in pharmaceuticals such as vaccines and medicine.

PL-480 – the commonly used term for the "Food for Peace Act of 1954" which provides statutory authority for most international food assistance programs; these programs include Food for Peace (FFP) Title II, the Bill Emerson Humanitarian Trust, Farmer-to-Farmer (also known as FFP Title V), Food for Progress, and the McGovern-Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition Program; Congress has reauthorized these programs in periodic farm bills; these programs provide primarily in-kind aid or, in the case of Farmer-to-Farmer, technical assistance.

Plant Variety Protection Act of 1994 – provides legal intellectual property rights protection to breeders of new varieties of plants which are sexually reproduced (by seed) or tuber-propagated.

Plum Island Animal Disease Center – a high-security federal research facility located off the coast of Long Island, New York, dedicated to the study of infectious animal disease.

poultry – any domesticated bird being processed for human consumption, according to the Poultry Products Inspection Act of 1957.

Price Loss Coverage (PLC) – an income support program, first authorized by the 2014 farm bill, that provides payments when the price of a covered commodity falls below an effective reference price.

Public Service Loan Forgiveness – the remaining balance on federal student direct loans is forgiven after 120 qualifying monthly payments are made under a qualifying repayment plan while an individual works full-time for a qualifying public service employer.

Pugh clause – a clause added to an oil lease to limit holding non-producing lands or depths beyond the primary term of the lease.

pulse crop – legumes that are harvested exclusively for dry grain such as peas, lentils, beans, and chickpeas.

Qualified Zone Academy Bonds (QZABs) – a types of tax credit bond authorized under IRC Section 54A, that allows a credit to investors who hold such a bond on one or more of the quarterly credit allowance dates.

raw - any food in its natural state.

RBS – Rural Business Cooperative Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

rBST – recombinant bovine somatotropin, a synthetic hormone injected in dairy cows to increase milk production.

REAL® Seal – the Seal is a federal registered trademark, depicted as a white drop of milk with a red border and the word "Real" inside. Originally developed in 1976 by the California dairy industry, with the goal of combatting the use of imitation cheese on pizzas. A product cannot carry the Seal if it fails to meet required standards or if it contains dairy products imported from other countries. In March of 2012, the Seal was licensed to the National Milk Producers Federal (NMPF).

REC – rural electric cooperative.

recourse loan – a commodity loan that must be repaid in cash, plus interest. **renewable diesel** – a biofuel that is chemically the same as petroleum diesel fuel.

Renewable diesel meets the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) specification ASTM D975 for petroleum diesel and may be used in existing petroleum pipelines, storage tanks, and diesel engines.

Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) –a policy that requires retail energy suppliers to provide energy from renewable sources as part of their electricity portfolio.

retail wheeling – allowing utilities to abandon current service territories and sell power in an open market to the highest bidder.

RMA – Risk Management Agency of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Rochdale Principles – a set of guidelines for cooperatives, established by a group of artisans in Rochdale, England, who formed the first modern cooperative business in 1844. These principles are known today as: 1) voluntary and open membership; 2) democratic member control; 3) member economic participation; 4) autonomy and independence; 5) education, training, and information; 6) cooperation among cooperatives; and 7) concern for community.

Rural Energy for America Program (REAP) – provides financial assistance to agricultural producers and rural small business to purchase, install, and construct

renewable energy systems, make energy efficiency improvements to non-residential buildings and facilities, reduce energy consumption, and participate in energy audits.

Rural Utilities Service (RUS) – an agency at USDA Rural Development; administers programs that provide much-needed infrastructure or infrastructure improvements to rural communities.

Small Business Administration (SBA) – a United States government agency that delivers loans, loan guarantees, contracts, counseling sessions and other forms of assistance to small businesses.

Section 22 – a section of the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1933 (P.L. 73-10) that authorizes the president to restrict imports by imposing quotas or fees on imports that interfere with federal price support programs or substantially reduce U.S. production of agricultural products.

Section 199A deduction –also known as the Qualified Business Income (QBI) deduction, it is a tax deduction available to eligible pass-through entities and sole proprietors in the United States. It was introduced as part of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TCJA) passed in December 2017.

sexual orientation – a person's sexual identity in relation to the gender to which they are attracted.

slotting fee – a fee charged to companies or manufacturers by retailers in order to have their products placed on shelves.

small wind – a residential wind turbine system, installed on top of a tall tower with 100kW capacity or less, which collects kinetic energy from the wind and converts it to electricity that is compatible with a home's electrical system. Extra power generated is typically sold to local utility.

Smith-Lever Act – established a national Cooperative Extension Service that extended outreach programs through land-grant universities to educate rural Americans about advances in agricultural practices and technology.

Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children (WIC) – a federally funded health and nutrition program that helps families by providing checks for buying healthy supplemental foods from WIC-authorized vendors, nutrition education, and help finding health care and other community services.

Staggers Act – law that significantly deregulated the rail industry, passed in 1980.

Star School/Medical Link – telecommunications used to link research hospitals to community hospitals.

"step-up" in basis – the readjustment of the value of an appreciated asset for tax purposes upon inheritance.

Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) – formerly known as food stamps; a federal aid program administered by the USDA that provides financial assistance for purchasing food to low- and no-income people living in the United States. **Surface Transportation Board** – a bipartisan, decisionally independent adjudicatory body organizationally housed within the U.S. Department of Transportation. The Board provides a forum for the resolution of surface-transportation disputes and other matters within its jurisdiction. It has the authority to limit or remove regulatory requirements where appropriate.

Sustainable – an integrated system of plant and animal production practices having a site-specific application that will, over the long term:

- Satisfy human food and fiber needs;
- Enhance environmental quality and the natural resource base upon which the agricultural economy depends;
- Make the most efficient use of nonrenewable resources and on-farm resources and integrate, where appropriate, natural biological cycles and controls;
- Sustain the economic viability of farm operations; and
- Enhance the quality of life for farmers and society as a whole.

T-level or "T" – refers to the "soil loss tolerance rate (T)" – the maximum rate of annual soil loss that will permit crop productivity to be sustained economically and indefinitely on a given soil; erosion is considered greater than T if either the water (sheet & rill) erosion or the wind erosion rate exceeds the soil loss tolerance rate.

telehealth – the provision of healthcare remotely by means of telecommunications technology.

tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) – the principal psychoactive constituent of cannabis. **thorium** – a naturally occurring, slightly radioactive metal found in most rocks and soils.

TMDL – a TMDL is the calculation of the maximum amount of a pollutant allowed to enter a waterbody so that the waterbody will meet and continue to meet water quality

standards for that particular pollutant; a TMDL determines a pollutant reduction target and allocates load reductions necessary to the source(s) of the pollutant; according to the Clean Water Act, each state must develop TMDLs for all the waters identified on their Section 303(d) list of impaired waters, according to their priority ranking on that list.

transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSE) – a family of rare progressive neurodegenerative disorders that affect both humans and animals

Tree Assistance Program (TAP) – provides financial assistance to qualifying orchardists and nursery tree growers to replant or rehabilitate eligible trees, bushes, and vines damaged by natural disasters.

U.S. Warehouse Act – authorizes the secretary of agriculture to license warehouse operators who store agricultural products and meet specific standards.

UF – ultra-filtered (milk products).

unmanned aerial vehicle – an aircraft without a human pilot aboard, commonly known as a drone.

USMCA – a free trade agreement between the United States, Mexico, and Canada, commonly known as the United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement (USMCA) in the United States; it replaced the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), and it is sometimes characterized as NAFTA 2.0 or "New NAFTA."

USTR – U.S. Trade Representative; responsible for developing and coordinating U.S. international trade, commodity, and direct investment policy, and overseeing negotiations with other countries.

VAT – value-added tax.

vermiculture – the raising and production of earthworms and worm castings. **vesicular stomatitis** – a viral disease that primarily affects horses and cattle and occasionally swine, sheep, goats, llamas, and alpacas.

vomitoxin – also known as deoxynivalenol (DON), this mycotoxin occurs predominantly in grains such as wheat, barley, oats, rye, and corn, and less often in rice, sorghum, and triticale.

water spreading – the practice of using allocated water on undesignated acres.

WFO – World Farmers Organization, of which NFU is a member.

World Food Summit – U.N. meeting where heads of state committed to reducing hunger.

WTO – World Trade Organization; an international organization dealing with the rules of trade between nations; established in 1995, it is the successor to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT); it provides a legal and institutional framework for the implementation and monitoring of trade agreements, as well as for settling disputes arising from their interpretation and application.

WTO boxes – used to categorize programs based on their impact on trade; amber box programs are the most trade distorting; blue box programs are less trade distorting; green box programs have no significant impact on trade



20 F Street NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20001

> P: (202) 554-1600 F: (202) 554-1654

> > www.NFU.org