

I20TH ANNIVERSARY CONVENTION

Denver, CO • 2022

POLICY BOOK

Policy of the National Farmers Union

Enacted by delegates to the 120th anniversary convention

Denver, CO

February 27 - March 1, 2022

National Farmers Union Mission and Vision Statements

Our Mission is to...

Advocate for family farmers, ranchers, fishers, and their communities through education, cooperation, and legislation.

Our Vision is of...

A world in which farm families and their communities are respected, valued, and enjoy economic prosperity and social justice.

We Value...

Integrity -

Maintaining the highest standards of honesty, accountability, and morality.

Influence -

Driving political, educational, and social change on behalf of family farmers, ranchers, fishers, and their communities.

Leadership -

Inspiring and empowering future leaders of agriculture and rural communities.

Stewardship -

Responsible and respectful use and care for all resources – human, natural, and financial.

Inclusivity -

Ensuring all people are welcome and valued in Farmers Union, and all members are represented fairly in policy, education, and society.

Transparency -

Being clear, honest, and forthright in our words, processes, and actions.

CONTENTS

Preamb	le	15
Article I	– Agricultural Programs for the Family Farm	18
A. G	eneral Program Provisions	19
1.	Measures of Economic Equity	19
2.	Directing Benefit Protection to Family Farms	20
3.	Providing a Safety Net	21
4.	Farm Viability Programs for Family Farms	22
5.	Local Food & Food Systems	22
6.	Beginning Farmers and Ranchers (also see Article V.A Farm Service Agency (FS	SA)
Cre	dit Programs)	24
7.	Urban Farming	27
B. D	airy, Livestock, Aquaculture and Fishing	27
1.	Dairy Policy	27
2.	Livestock Policy	32
C. L	abeling of Commodities and Commodity Products	42
1.	Country-of-Origin Labeling	42
2.	Truth in Labeling of Food Products	43
3.	Nutrition Labeling	45
D C	ommoditios	15

1.	Wheat, Coarse Grains, Oilseeds, Rice, and Cotton	45
2.	Other Program Commodities (wool, mohair, honey, pulse crops, etc.)	47
3.	Industrial Hemp	47
4.	Tobacco	49
5.	Peanuts	49
6.	Sugar	49
7.	Tree Farms and Forestry	50
8.	Specialty Crops	50
9.	Honey/Pollination	51
10.	Value-Added and Minimally Processed Foods	52
11.	Value-Added Uses of Farm Products	52
E. A	Agri-Tourism	52
F. R	Risk Management	53
1.	Livestock Producer Assistance	53
2.	Crop And Livestock Insurance	53
3.	Food Liability Insurance	58
4.	Risk Management Education	58
G. F	arm Program Administration	59
1.	Farmer-Elected Committees	59
2.	Natural Resources Conservation Service	60

	3.	Improved Marketing Mechanisms	. 60
Н	I. S	pecial Agricultural Policies and Services	. 61
	1.	Farm Labor	. 61
	2.	Safety of Farmers and Farm Workers	. 62
	3.	Storable Commodities Producer Protection	. 63
	4.	Agricultural Census	. 64
	5.	National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS)	. 65
	6.	USDA's Information Mandate	. 65
	7.	Commodity Research and Promotion Programs	. 65
	8.	Grain Standards	. 67
	9.	Grain Inspection	. 68
	10.	National Organic Standards (also see Article I.C. – Labeling of Commodities and	d
	Con	nmodity Products)	. 69
	11.	Plant Breeding	. 71
	12.	Plant Genetic Resources	. 71
	13.	Animal Genetic Resources	. 71
	14.	Federal Agency Headquarters	. 72
Art	icle l	I – Family Farmers and Technology	. 73
A	G	enetically Modified Organisms and Biotechnology	. 73
В	s. A	gricultural Technology	. 75

C.	Information Collection and Protection	76
D.	Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (Drones)	76
Artic	le III – Agriculture Competition and Concentration	77
A.	Competition and Antitrust	77
B.	Livestock Market Reform	79
C.	Poultry Market Reform	80
D.	Production Contracting	81
Artic	le IV – International Trade, Cooperation, and the Family Farm	83
A.	Agricultural Trade Negotiations	84
B.	Trade Promotion Authority (Fast-Track)	87
C.	Fair and Transparent Trade Practices	87
D.	Health and Inspection Standards for Food and Fiber Imports	88
E.	China Trade	89
F.	International Food Assistance	89
G.	World Farmers Organization (WFO)	90
Н.	Food and Agriculture Organization	90
I.	Policies Toward Indigenous Peoples and Developing Nations	90
J.	Farmers and Farm Youth Educational Exchange Programs	91
K.	Ownership of Farmland by Institutional Investors in all Countries	91
Artic	le V – Credit and the Family Farm	92

A.	Farm Service Agency (FSA) Credit Programs	93
B.	Farm Credit System	95
C.	Cooperative Financing	96
Artic	le VI – Farm Cooperatives and the Family Farm	97
A.	Cooperative Law	97
B.	Rochdale Principles	98
C.	Additional Principles	99
D.	Teamwork of Farmers Union and Cooperatives	101
E.	CHS	101
Artic	le VII – Water and Land Policy, Conservation and the Family Farm	103
A.	Objectives of a Comprehensive Land Policy	103
B.	Prohibition of Foreign Ownership of Farmland, Commercial Fishing Privileges as	nd
Dis	sclosure	104
C.	Land Transfer	104
D.	Public Lands	105
E.	National Grazing Lands Coalition	108
F.	Forest Health	108
G.	Water Quantity and Quality	109
1	L. Water Quantity Distribution	109
2	2. Water Quality Protection	111

3	-	Clean Water Act	113
4	٠.	Drought Monitoring	114
Н.	P	Air Quality	115
I.	A	Animal Feeding Operations (AFOs)	115
J.	(Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs)	115
K.	F	Pesticide Regulation	117
L.	F	Fertilizer Regulations	119
M.		Noxious and Invasive Species Control	119
N.	F	Prescribed Burning	120
0.	L	Landowner Rights	120
P.	E	Eminent Domain (also see Article IX.F. – IRS Tax Code 1031 Exchanges)	121
Q.		Zoning	123
R.	C	Climate Change and Carbon Sequestration	124
S.	C	Conservation	126
1		Land Retirement and Easement Programs	128
2		Conservation Reserve Program (CRP)	129
3		Buffer Strips	131
4	•	Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP)	131
5		Sodbuster and Swampbuster Provisions	132
6	٠.	Wetlands	132

T.	Sustainable Agriculture	133
U.	Regenerative Agriculture	134
V.	Predator and Rodent Control	135
W.	Endangered Species	135
X.	Army Corps of Engineers	136
Y.	Nuclear, Radioactive and Toxic Waste	136
Z.	Surface Mining	136
Articl	le VIII – Energy and the Family Farm	138
A.	Energy Objectives	138
B.	Distribution	139
1	. Petroleum Supply	139
2	Electricity and Deregulation	139
3	B. Economic Assistance	141
4	Public Ownership of Power Marketing Administration and Power Generators	142
5	5. Hydroelectric Power	142
6	b. Pipelines	143
C.	Development of Renewable Energy and Fuels from the Farm	144
1	. Priorities	144
2	2. Ethanol	147
3	Renewable Fuel Standard	148

4	Biodiesel, renewable diesel, and sustainable aviation fuel	149
5	5. Compressed Natural Gas	150
6	5. Wind Energy	150
7	7. Solar Energy	150
8	B. Renewable Energy Storage	151
ç	O. Cooperative Demonstrations	151
D.	Environmental Precaution in Energy Production and Use	151
1	. Fuel Storage Tanks	152
2	. Waste Products	152
3	B. Hydraulic Fracturing and Wastewater Injection	152
E.	Landowner Rights in Natural Resources Project Development (wind, solar, fra	cking,
oil,	etc.)	153
F.	USDA Energy Initiatives	155
Artic	le IX – Economic Regulation and the Family Farm	156
A.	Federal Budget Reform	156
В.	Money and Credit Policy (also see Article V. – Credit and the Family Farm)	157
C.	Banking, Financial, and investment regulation	157
D.	Credit Unions	157
E.	Estate and Gift Tax Policy	158
F.	IRS Tax Code 1031 Exchanges	158

G. Income Tax	Reforms	159
H. Taxation		160
I. Tax Credit		161
J. Commodity	Futures	161
Article X – Rural De	evelopment and the Family Farm	164
A. Rural Comm	unity Development	164
B. Transportati	ion	165
1. U.S. Highw	vay Trust Fund	166
2. Truck Tra	nsportation	166
3. Rail Trans	portation	168
C. Port Develop	pment, Shipping Policy	171
D. Air Transpoi	rtation	171
E. Rural Utilitie	es	172
1. Telephonε	e Services	172
2. Electric Se	ervices	172
3. Telecomm	nunications	172
4. Rural Acce	ess to Technology and Information	173
F. Small Busine	ess Development	174
1. Industrial	Development Bonds	174
2. Small Busi	iness Policy	174

3. Enterprise Facilitation	174
Article XI – Quality of Life in Rural America	175
A. Health Care	175
1. Health Care Coverage, Access, and Care	175
2. Prescription Drugs	177
3. Medicare and Medicaid	177
4. Veterans' Rights	178
B. Education	179
1. Public Research	181
2. Land Grant Universities and National Institute of Food and Agriculture	182
C. Social Security	183
D. People with Disabilities	184
E. Employment	184
F. Immigration Policy	185
G. Temporary Guest Worker Program	186
H. Expanding Opportunities for Senior Citizens	187
I. Food and Nutrition Programs	187
1. Administration of Food and Nutrition Programs	187
2. Food Assistance Programs	189
3 Child Nutrition Programs	190

J.	Nutrition Monitoring (also see Article I.C. – Labeling of Commodities and Commod	lity
Pro	oducts)	190
K.	Food Safety (also see Article IV.D. – Health and Inspection Standards for Food and	
Fib	er Imports and Article I.C. – Labeling of Commodities and Commodity Products)	191
1	. Regulatory Authority	191
2	2. Food Safety Standards	191
3	3. Inspection of Perishable Commodities	193
4	Agri-Terrorism	193
L.	Food Waste	194
Μ.	World Food Day	194
N.	Housing	194
0.	Liability Insurance	195
P.	Consumer Protection	195
Q.	Campaign Finance and Elections	195
1	. Campaign Finance	195
2	2. Elections and Elected Officials	196
R.	U.S. Freedoms and Liberties	197
S.	Postal Service	198
Т.	Rural Emergency Services and Management Planning	199
IJ.	Voting Districts	199

1	. Redistricting	199
2	. State Legislative Districts	200
Articl	e XII – Family Farmers and Their Organization	201
A.	Educational Activities	202
1	. Seminars and Workshops	202
2	. Farmers Union Youth Programs	202
3	. Farmers Union Young Farmer Program	203
4	. All-States Camp	203
5	. Farmers Union Education Center	203
B.	Communications	203
C.	Farmers Union Legislative Budget Fund	203
D.	Political Effectiveness	203
E.	Membership Expansion	204
F.	Membership Budget Information	205
G.	Farmers Union Related Services	205
Н.	Cooperation with Religious Organizations	205
I.	Cooperation with Other Organizations	205
J.	Cooperative Development	206
K.	Policy Debate	206
Gloss	ary	207

Index226

PREAMBLE

We, the members of National Farmers Union, in the following policy statement, strive to articulate the fundamental principles of a food, fiber, and energy policy essential to our nation and our planet. This issue transcends every social, economic, environmental, and geographic boundary of our planet.

The goal of NFU is to protect and enhance the economic well-being and quality of life for family farmers, ranchers, fishers, and our local communities. Our experiences as family farmers, ranchers, fishers, and those concerned with the survival of productive family-oriented agriculture provide us with a unique and qualified perspective to make meaningful contributions to this issue.

The Farmers Union symbol, a triangle constructed with education at its base and completed with cooperation and legislation on its sides, represents a structure that takes on more importance than ever before. Education is not only for our youth, but also for adults, families, and our seniors. It affords us the opportunity to share and learn from both young and old.

The educational process must extend beyond our farms and reach out to all consumers, as well as across borders and oceans. Sharing information is important, but sharing our values, concerns, and spirit is of greater significance.

The goals of Farmers Union have grown out of our understanding of God, nature, and the love of our country, with respect for the past and vision for the future. Our goals are nourished through the truth and rightness of the ideals expressed in the Declaration of Independence, the Constitution of the United States, and its Bill of Rights. We affirm our faith in a benevolent God, whose grace has bestowed upon us immensely fertile resources, and whose justice demands stewardship.

Woven throughout this document is a sense of moral and ethical values that family farmers embrace as we assume the responsibilities that are part of every acre of land, drop of water, animal, plant, or any of the multitude of resources entrusted to our care. We are also very cognizant of the need to extend beyond our fence rows and townships to ensure these gifts are shared and not exploited or wasted.

We recognize the invaluable contributions of stewardship and expertise offered by indigenous people and multigenerational farmers as well as all farmers who embrace and perpetuate historically rooted and traditional approaches to farming and fishing.

The family farm system of agricultural production can provide opportunities for individual enterprise to all families in our society. This system achieves economic and social stability, as well as soil, water, and environmental stewardship of our natural resources and unparalleled production efficiency. We cannot change or improve the past but will proactively advance, with a strong vision for the future, the best interests of today's family-oriented agriculture.

We acknowledge that family farmers employ a wide variety of philosophies and practices. Our responsibility is to be inclusive and serve all types of family farms in legislative, educational, and cooperative areas.

The loss of family farms and other independently owned businesses is not inevitable. We believe the accelerated march toward a vertically integrated production system must be reversed. This requires action to enforce and enhance antitrust and competition laws, strengthen the regulatory system, and revitalize independently owned businesses and competitive markets.

Parity is the cornerstone of our policy. Our definition of parity expands beyond the simple economic definition. We believe that it should encompass a sense of balance that not only diminishes hunger, but also fosters peace and justice.

We remain wholly dedicated to the strengthening of the family farm system and farmer cooperatives. The essence of the cooperative movement – farmers working together for our mutual benefit – is the spirit that motivates Farmers Union activity. We view attempts to restrict farmer-owned and –controlled cooperatives as an assault on farmers themselves, and we will vigorously defend the Capper-Volstead Act. Family farmers have a valuable tool in working together in farmer-owned and –controlled cooperatives. They are essential to our economic well-being and success.

Cooperation also comes from knowledge as well as respect for other people and cultures. Our spirit of cooperation must continue to grow and not have limits. Our challenge is to take this knowledge and spirit and incorporate it into meaningful policy through legislation on local, state, and national levels.

This document is not a collection of words, but an anthology of the spirit of the hundreds of thousands of family farmers, ranchers, fishers, and their advocates that make up our organization. We dedicate this document, along with our energy and

- 1 efforts, to bring about long-term meaningful policy that identifies our common thread
- $2\qquad \text{and utilizes that energy for the common good.}\\$

ARTICLE I - AGRICULTURAL PROGRAMS FOR THE FAMILY FARM

ARTICLE I - AURICULTURAL I ROURAMS FOR THE I AMILI I ARM
A family farm or structured family farm is operated by a family, with the family
providing the base of the labor needed for the farming operation, assuming the
economic risk, and making the management decisions. NFU policy is written for the
family farmer and their farms and should be read as such.
The family farm is the keystone of a free, progressive, democratic national society,
as well as a strong America, and is the basis of a safe, secure, and stable food system. A
vertically integrated and/or multinational grain and food conglomerate is not a family
farm. We support legislation and regulations that recognize the differences between
family farms or community-based operations and multinational corporations.
The control and ownership of agriculture is a fundamental issue facing our nation
and the world. National farm policy must provide direction with an emphasis on
profitable farm commodity prices to ensure that control and responsibility of
agriculture is vested within the family farm. The decline in the number of family farms
must be reversed.
Sustainable agriculture integrates three main goals - environmental health,
economic profitability and viability, and social economic equity. We believe the family
farm system of agricultural production is the best way to achieve sustainability.
Farmers engaged in sustainable agriculture set out to protect the environment, improve
their quality of life, and enhance the communities in which they live. Programs that
encourage sustainable agriculture through diversified production, improved marketing
strategies, and enhanced value-added opportunities can be key to reversing this trend.
Another important aspect of reversing the decline of these farms is to encourage new
farmers to enter the agriculture industry. Farms and consumers need stability and
fairness in a farm program. Farmers, rural communities, and consumers are at the
mercy of a marketplace that is increasingly dominated by vertically integrated,
multinational grain and food conglomerates.
Family farms have the right to a fair and competitive environment in their pursuit to
be financially viable. We support farm policy that protects net farm income for family
farmers.

We commit ourselves to being good stewards of the land and resources by working toward innovative approaches that move beyond the parameters of past farm programs

and seek to bridge philosophical differences within the agricultural community and our
 nation's political structures.

At the core of our willingness to embrace new agricultural policy directions is the fundamental need to direct the benefits of federal agricultural policies to the production levels of family farm and ranch operations.

Choice and diversity of agricultural practices have always been essential to the success and prosperity of agriculture in the United States. As advances continue to occur in all agricultural practices, NFU calls on all people affected by agriculture to be mindful of their neighbors and show mutual respect toward their farming practices and production choices. Six major goals to improve agricultural legislation for family farmers are:

- 1. Profitability: to enhance and protect net family farm income and provide a safety net;
- 2. Accountability: to reduce government costs and prevent activities that are counter-productive to the intent of the programs;
- 3. Directed benefits: to direct benefits toward family farming operations;
- 4. Simplicity: to require less bureaucracy and red tape;
 - 5. Conservation: to maintain and enhance our natural resources; and
 - 6. Diversity: to maintain opportunities for family farmers, regardless of crop and management choice.

A. GENERAL PROGRAM PROVISIONS

1. MEASURES OF ECONOMIC EQUITY

For generations, farming and ranching income has lagged the overall prosperity of the nation. The national farm policy based on "parity" was intended to create tools that would balance this inequity of agricultural income compared to the average income in other segments of society. Like other economic measures such as the Consumer Price Index and the Cost of Living Index, an economic yardstick for agriculture is essential. "Parity" prices provide a reasonable standard for measuring the relative economic health of agriculture, and we urge continued support of the calculation of an Agricultural "Parity Index." NFU continues to be unique in its unwavering support for this measurement of economic equity.

We support indexing farm program support levels to reflect changes in the cost of production. We strongly support national farm policy providing a return of the cost of production plus an opportunity for reasonable profit for farmers and ranchers.

2. DIRECTING BENEFIT PROTECTION TO FAMILY FARMS

Characteristically, a family farm is owned and/or operated by a farm family with the family providing the base of the labor needed for the farming operation, assuming the economic risk, making most of the management decisions, and depending substantially on agriculture for a livelihood.

Family farms are at economic risk as crop and livestock production and land ownership are being concentrated into the hands of non-family farms.

The primary objectives of national agricultural policy should be to enable farmers to significantly increase net farm income, improve the quality of rural life, and increase the number of family farmers, so farmers may continue to provide a reliable supply of food and fiber and continue to serve as good stewards of our nation's resources. Rural communities are richer and more viable with more farmers, even if there is the same amount of agricultural production.

We believe payment limits should be directed to persons actively engaged in production agriculture and/or personal management, and be realistic, meaningful, transparent, and enforceable.

We support directing farm program benefits to the production levels of family farm operators in such a way as to reduce government costs while furthering the sustainability and diversity of our family farms, our rural communities, and our natural resources.

Directed benefit provisions should include:

- The family farm should be protected from the cuts scheduled under the terms of any budget acts;
- ii. Prohibition of artificial subdivision of farms to avoid limits should be enforced; and
- iii. Prohibition of program payments to foreign corporations or entities.

We support farm policy which recognizes our geographical differences and provides for flexibility regardless of the type of agriculture operation.

We encourage USDA to review current and future federal agricultural policies and programs meant to serve all producers to ensure Native American / Indigenous producers' particular needs, such as structural challenges in accessing land, capital, agricultural programs, and services are addressed, and equal access is provided.

3. Providing a Safety Net

NFU urges Congress to give the secretary of agriculture the authority to implement commodity loan programs with loan rates set at a level that at least equals the regional cost of production as measured by the Economic Research Service.

To provide a safety net, we must take action to:

- i. Continue to improve, develop, implement, and fully fund permanent disaster programs in lieu of using one-time, ad-hoc disaster payments;
- ii. Ensure that should ad-hoc disaster payments be needed, they be authorized by Congress and should take into account the unique impacts on various forms of crops and livestock in different regions, such as local basis prices or animal health issues;
- iii. Improve commodity loan rates and terms;
- iv. Give the Secretary of Agriculture the authority to improve commodity loan rates in times of disaster;
- v. Improve and expand risk management tools (see Article I.F.2 Crop and Livestock Insurance);
- vi. Adequately fund livestock compensation programs to prevent the sell-off of base breeding herds of the United States in the event of a disaster declaration;
- vii. Expand the coverage of the Livestock Indemnity Program (LIP) to include animals that die from pneumonia as a direct result of an eligible adverse weather event;
- viii. Urge USDA to expand the reporting timeline for LIP;
 - ix. Ensure that Livestock Forage Program payments are increased to the full estimated value of lost forage. We also support including all calves in LFP payment calculations;
 - x. Establish a regionalized cost of production floor under dairy prices;

1	xi.	Establish a farmer-owned Strategic National Food, Feed and Biofuels
2		Feedstock Reserve;
3	xii.	Expand the Bill Emerson Humanitarian Trust;
4	xiii.	Implement an effective inventory management program for all farm
5		commodities;
6	xiv.	Support the Farm Storage Facility Loan Program;
7	XV.	Continue and support effective conservation programs, such as the
8		Conservation Reserve Program (CRP), and an ongoing Conservation
9		Stewardship Program (CSP);
10	xvi.	Limit the authority of the secretary of agriculture to take discretionary
11		actions that would result in lower farm income; and
12	xvii.	Protect beginning farmers and ranchers.
13		4. FARM VIABILITY PROGRAMS FOR FAMILY FARMS
14	Congr	ress should continue to support programs to improve the profitability of
15	farms and	l increase opportunities for family farmers and ranchers. We support
16	revitalizii	ng local and regional food and energy systems, increasing wealth and asset-
17	building i	n rural communities and encouraging entrepreneurship, innovation, and
18	diversific	ation in farming and ranching by funding programs that address:
19	i.	Market development and promotion;
20	ii.	Product development/differentiation and promotion;
21	iii.	Diversified farming;
22	iv.	Consumer education;
23	v.	Business planning and risk management;
24	vi.	Alternative ownership models and structures;
25	vii.	Local and regional infrastructure needs;
26	viii.	Local and regional food security needs;
27	ix.	Local and regional food sovereignty needs;
28	х.	Local and regional energy needs;
29	xi.	Attracting new and beginning farmers and ranchers; and
30	xii.	Ecosystem services.
31		5. LOCAL FOOD & FOOD SYSTEMS

NFU supports all initiatives aimed at regionalizing our food system. This may include encouraging the development of regional food hubs, incentivizing those farms who commit to growing non-commodity food crops and easing/simplifying the restrictions on small meatpackers to process meat for retail sale, as well as the farmers who sell direct-to-consumer and institutions that purchase their products for resale, and educating consumers. We support the expansion of agricultural operations in urban and peri-urban areas. The local food movement has many benefits including: i. Enhanced markets for the products that many members' farms produce; ii. The ability for farmers to capture a larger share of the retail food dollar; iii. Opportunities to employ and engage more Americans, in more regions, in the pursuit of agriculture; iv. Keeping consumer dollars circulating in each respective region, and to the family farms therein: Reconnecting consumers and their families to the food they eat; v. Reducing transportation costs: vi. Providing fresher, healthier food products, with a reduced need for vii. transportation, long-term storage, processing, or treatment; viii. Food security: encouraging food production to be spread across the country; ix. Allowing for more profitable and sustainable methods of agriculture to be employed; and Interns and apprentices educated on locally oriented farms results in X. improved farm viability, larger pool of skilled agricultural labor, and more beginning farmers. We support: USDA's promotion of buying local and regional agricultural products, to provide new and larger markets for smaller specialty crop farmers. Local will be defined by the consumer to be the immediate area, state, or region, and must be U.S.-produced and processed;

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

ii.

Promotion of farm-to-school and farm-to-institution programs;

1	111.	Promotion of farmers markets and community-supported agriculture
2		(CSA);
3	iv.	Producers being able to sell cottage food products across state lines if
4		they meet the requirements of the states of production and sale;
5	v.	Promotion of family-farm based agritourism;
6	vi.	Regional food hub distribution centers;
7	vii.	Funding to construct food safety qualified infrastructure to include
8		washing, commercial-kitchens, packaging, and other value-added
9		facilities;
10	viii.	The purchase of surplus fruits and vegetables for federal food aid
11		programs;
12	ix.	Removal of barriers and incentives so that farmers markets and farm
13		stands can redeem federal, state, and local nutrition benefits;
14	х.	Geographically disadvantaged provisions for U.S. areas not included in
15		main farm programs;
16	xi.	Local seed producers who produce regionally adapted seed;
17	xii.	Improved access to reasonably priced liability, crop, and whole farm
18		insurance for small local-market and direct-marketing producers; and
19	xiii.	The requirement that organizations receiving funds for farmers' markets
20		provide farmers with the right to direct the policies of the farmers'
21		markets.
22		6. BEGINNING FARMERS AND RANCHERS (ALSO SEE ARTICLE V.A FARM
23		Service Agency (FSA) Credit Programs)
24	We re	cognize that the average age of a U.S. farmer continues to increase, and a
25	majority	of the nation's farmland is changing hands due to the aging farmer
26	populatio	n. The ability of the next generation of family farmers to continue to
27	produce f	ood and fiber is critical to the economy, health, and security of our nation
28	and local	communities. In order to address this critical concern, the necessary
29	programs	must be in place and funded in order to meet the unique needs and
30	barriers f	acing beginning farmers and ranchers, with special emphasis on returning
31	military v	eterans, and ensure that they can enter and sustain farming and/or
32	ranching	as a viable livelihood.

1	We su	pport:
2	i.	The Beginning Farmer and Rancher Individual Development Accounts
3		program;
4	ii.	The loan category within Farm Service Agency that directs operating
5		loans to provide flexible capital through operating microloans for
6		beginning farmers and ranchers;
7	iii.	Reducing collateral requirements to secure Farm Service Agency Direct
8		and Operating microloans for beginning farmers and ranchers;
9	iv.	Maintaining the loan set-aside within the direct and guaranteed FSA loan
10		program for beginning farmers and ranchers;
11	V.	Increasing the Farm Ownership Down Payment loan program limit;
12	vi.	Increasing the USDA direct Farm Ownership Loan program limit;
13	vii.	Incentives and/or tax credits to landowners to lease or sell to beginning
14		farmers or ranchers (also see Article VII.C Land Transfer);
15	viii.	Cost-share differentials and funding set-asides for beginning farmers and
16		ranchers in the Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP),
17		Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP), and other programs;
18	ix.	Including a priority for projects benefiting beginning farmers and
19		ranchers within Value-Added Grant Program and fostering new
20		entrepreneurial opportunities for beginning farmers and ranchers within
21		the Rural Development grants and loans programs;
22	х.	Continuation and funding programs that provide education and training
23		to beginning farmers and ranchers, including for agricultural
24		rehabilitation and vocational training programs for military veterans;
25	xi.	The Risk Management Agency (RMA) ensuring fair access to crop and
26		revenue insurance by beginning farmers and ranchers including an
27		additional crop insurance subsidy;
28	xii.	The adoption of a uniform definition for a Beginning Farmer and Rancher
29		to be used by all agencies within the department. We suggest that any
30		definition used by the Farm Service Agency (FSA) adds these provisions
31		directing the FSA to allow a producer to exclude certain years from their

1		beginning farmer status if the producer meets one of the following
2		criteria:
3		a. while they are under the age of 18 (including the crop year you
4		turn 18);
5		b. while they are on full time active duty in the U.S. military; and
6		c. while they are in a post-secondary education program (the post-
7		secondary education exclusion cannot exceed five years);
8	xiii.	NIFA supporting research, education, and extension on issues related to
9		beginning farmers and ranchers, farm transition, and farm entry;
10	xiv.	The reauthorization and full funding of programs previously
11		administered by the National Sustainable Agriculture Information
12		Service to serve as a resource for beginning farmers and ranchers;
13	XV.	The establishment of a funding priority for the federal Farm and Ranch
14		Land Protection Program for easements conserving farmland for which
15		there is a generational farm transfer plan, easements which include the
16		use of an Option to Purchase at Agricultural Value or easements which
17		occur in conjunction with the transfer of the conserved land to a
18		beginning farmer;
19	xvi.	Funding for beginning farmers and ranchers training and education
20		access programs;
21	xvii.	Reauthorization of the Higher Education Act and the inclusion of farming
22		and ranching in the Public Service Loan Forgiveness Program;
23	xviii.	Legislation that would forgive a portion of the student loans carried by
24		new farmers and ranchers in their first five years of farming;
25	xix.	Funding for farmers and ranchers to educate interns and apprentices on
26		their farms;
27	XX.	Increased funding and set asides for beginning farmers and ranchers in
28		disaster programs;
29	xxi.	Funding and training for organizations with beginning farmer training
30		programs to be vetted and credentialed to accept GI Bill education funds;
31		and

1 The advancement of land partnerships, such as farm incubator programs, xxii. 2 that provide opportunities for for-profit and non-profit agricultural 3 entities, and that support educational commercial farms that teach 4 agricultural and business skills. 5 7. URBAN FARMING 6 National Farmers Union recognizes urban agriculture as an important part of 7 meeting rising food demands, supplying easier access to highly nutritious vegetables 8 and fruits, reconnecting the food consumer with the farmer, storing carbon out of 9 the earth's atmosphere, and as an important new market opportunity for emerging 10 farmers. 11 We support: 12 1. Urban and peri-urban farmers having access to all farm programs; 13 2. Programs that develop land for urban agriculture; 14 3. Empowering urban residents to create their own community food 15 systems; and 16 4. The integration and expansion of for-profit and non-profit agriculture in 17 urban, peri-urban, and open spaces, and in park settings. 18 B. DAIRY, LIVESTOCK, AQUACULTURE AND FISHING 19 1. Dairy Policy 20 i. Domestic Dairy Policy 21 We urge Congress to develop a comprehensive dairy program to allow dairy 22 producers across the nation to receive a profitable return on their investment. 23 It should: 24 a. Encourage and enable producers to use sustainable environmental 25 practices; 26 b. Provide a high-quality, stable supply of dairy products to consumers; 27 c. Assist new farmers entering dairying; 28 d. Balance milk supply and demand through a long-term supply 29 management program; 30 e. Encourage voluntary producer assessments for an industry-managed 31 program that must clearly demonstrate they will stabilize and 32 increase producer prices;

1	f.	Prohibit mandatory producer assessments unless it can clearly be
2		demonstrated that they substantially increase producer income;
3	g.	Provide full funding of the School Milk Program and Special Milk
4		Programs;
5	h.	Include 100 percent real dairy products, including whole milk, in the
6		National School Lunch Program as well as in school vending
7		machines;
8	i.	Utilize the Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) to mitigate low
9		prices;
10	j.	Provide transparency in milk price reporting;
11	k.	Require mandatory participation of processors in an audited National
12		Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) survey;
13	l.	Require mandatory participation of processors in an audited cold-
14		storage report;
15	m.	Include development of a transparent pricing mechanism to replace
16		the inadequate Chicago Mercantile Exchange (CME) pricing system;
17	n.	Require USDA and CME to standardize labeling and packaging of
18		surplus dairy products;
L9	0.	Ensure research and promotion activities are directed toward
20		enhancing income to family farmers;
21	p.	Provide an incentive payment to domestic producers who agree to
22		voluntary limits. Funding should be provided by those producers who
23		increase production from the previous year and produce more than a $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) $
24		level targeted to family-sized farms;
25	q.	Prohibit volume premiums;
26	r.	Include market loss assistance during times of low prices, with
27		capped payments based on production. The cap levels should be
28		targeted to family farmers;
29	s.	Prohibit federal legislation allowing dairy forward contracts that give
30		processors and marketers the ability to pay below the minimum
R1		market order price

1 t. Include a floor price policy for milk. The floor price should help 2 producers in all regions of the country and provide for supply 3 management. The floor price should be set at a level that allows 4 producers to earn a fair return on their milk from the marketplace. 5 but not so high as to encourage overproduction; 6 u. Provide for minimum prices that are based on a formula that reflects 7 what consumers are paying for all dairy products; 8 v. Require plants to pay the minimum prices established by USDA for 9 butter, nonfat powder, and cheddar cheese; 10 w. Prohibit domestic dumping by dairy marketers in the United States; 11 Expansion of Livestock Gross Margin Dairy Program; 12 y. Prohibit the use of fluid milk as a loss leader at the retail level. 13 Any future dairy program should establish fair prices, manage milk 14 inventories, and restore profitability to dairy farmers across the 15 United States; and 16 z. Restrict all forms of direct and indirect ownership or control of 17 agricultural products by agribusinesses, including the prohibition of 18 ownership, control, and operation of milk production facilities by 19 conglomerate, investor-owned dairy processing companies. 20 ii. Market Order Reform 21 A federal order system should be maintained and expanded to include all 22 areas within the continental United States. A national milk marketing order and 23 pricing reform should emphasize maximum return to producers. Transportation 24 differentials, quality premiums, and usage result in price disparities throughout 25 the United States. A revised national milk marketing order should include: 26 a. A price discovery formula at the producer level allowing for variable 27 market conditions; 28 b. A floor price on all classes of milk; 29 c. Price incentives that reflect the value of all milk components;

d. Tests for component pricing that are checked for accuracy by USDA;

30

1	e.	A national make allowance that is adjustable to cover processing and
2		fortification. This allowance should be generated from the market,
3		not deducted from the established price through end-product pricing;
4	f.	A base make allowance that is adjustable to reflect the difference
5		between milk prices and the producer's cost of production;
6	g.	Elimination of bloc voting on market orders; and
7	h.	The continuation of the current order provisions following the defeat
8		of a proposed change. Orders should only be eliminated through a
9		producer referendum with no bloc voting.
10	iii. Milk Pro	tein Concentrate/Ultra-Filtered Milk Products (also see Article
11	I.C. – Labe	eling of Commodities and Commodity Products)
12	Impor	ted casein, milk protein concentrate (MPC) and ultra-filtered (UF)
13	milk prod	lucts, blends, and food preparations have benefited from a
14	significan	t loophole in U.S. dairy trade policy and have distorted the nation's
15	dairy mai	rket.
16	We su	pport:
17	a.	Maintaining Food and Drug Administration's (FDA) current definition
18		of milk to prevent casein and MPC from being used in standardized
19		cheese;
20	b.	Actions that restrict the importation of casein, MPC, dairy blends, and
21		food preps;
22	C.	Properly informing consumers about the use of casein, MPC/UF dairy
23		blends and food preps in food production, including labeling;
24	d.	Immediate passage of legislation to subject casein, MPC, and other
25		dairy blends to a tariff rate quota;
26	e.	Imposing strong penalties for the dairy plants using casein and/or
27		MPC and dairy blends in standardized cheese production;
28	f.	Requiring an end-use certification on all imports of MPC, dairy blends
29		and food preps;
30	g.	Bringing a trade action against nations that are dumping subsidized
31		MPC, dairy blends and food preps;

1		h.	Disallowing MPC for human consumption until it meets the necessary
2			generally regarded as safe (GRAS) requirements; and
3		i.	Prohibiting government subsidization of the production of MPC.
4	iv.	Consume	er Protection (also see Article I.C. – Labeling of Commodities and
5		Commodi	ty Products)
6		We su	pport the following initiatives to ensure consumers can make
7		informed	purchasing decisions:
8		a.	State and federal legislation to require all schools to use rBST-free
9			milk;
10		b.	Restricting the use of the Real Seal to domestically produced dairy
1			products and subsequent enforcement of its standards;
12		c.	Educating and promoting the Real Seal program to consumers;
13		d.	Increasing the federal standards for fat and solids in fluid milk to
L 4			meet the national average of the milk content produced on U.S. farms;
15		e.	Requiring imports to meet the same high standards used for
16			domestically produced dairy products; and
L 7		f.	Prohibiting the addition of artificial sweeteners, such as aspartame,
18			to dairy products. If allowed, they must be labeled as such.
19	v.	Raw Dair	у
20		We su	pport:
21		a.	The production and sale of raw/unpasteurized milk as it provides a
22			market niche for dairies. Because of the possible risks of cross-
23			contamination, we recommend that raw/unpasteurized milk be
24			bottled as the product of a single source and wherever possible at the
25			physical location of that source. Single-source bottling will keep
26			intact the chain of responsibility and greatly aid in tracking possible
27			cases of contamination;
28		b.	Policies, practices and standards for responsible raw/unpasteurized
29			milk production for dairy producers that choose to produce
30			raw/unpasteurized milk (or raw/unpasteurized dairy products) for
31			human consumption;

1	c. Access to raw/unpasteurized milk (and/or raw dairy products) for
2	human consumption for all consumers that choose to consume
3	raw/unpasteurized milk; and
4	d. The production of raw butter and its sale over state lines and urge the
5	FDA to modify its regulations to allow this to legally occur.
6	2. LIVESTOCK POLICY
7	i. Animal Welfare
8	We support:
9	a. Producers' rights to own and raise livestock and have livestock and
10	their products recognized as personal property;
11	b. The promotion of animal welfare and the teaching of animal welfare
12	through educational programs and agricultural organizations as
13	opposed to animal rights initiatives imposing mandatory restrictions
14	on traditional methods of animal agricultural production;
15	c. Responsible care and management of animals to provide for the
16	welfare of herds and flocks;
17	d. Educating the public regarding the important use of animals for
18	agricultural and medical research. Any illegal actions taken by animal
19	rights organizations toward producers should be prosecuted to the
20	fullest extent of the law;
21	e. The development of egg sexing technologies to eliminate the
22	euthanasia of male chicks hatched in egg laying operations; and
23	f. Revising transportation regulations to allow transportation of horses
24	at the discretion of the owner in the most cost-effective manner for
25	their intended purposes.
26	We oppose:
27	a. A ban on the slaughter of horses and the criminalization of
28	individuals processing, shipping, transporting, purchasing, selling,
29	delivering, or receiving any horse, horseflesh, or carcass for the
30	purpose of harvest; and
31	b. The euthanasia of chicks because of their sex.

1		We cal	l for the reinstitution of facilities and funding for USDA inspection to
2		deal with	the processing and humane disposal of horses.
3	ii.	Livestock	k and Livestock Product Imports (also see Article IV. –
4		Internatio	onal Trade, Cooperation, and the Family Farm)
5		The in	nportation of livestock and livestock products is causing serious
6		damage to	o our domestic industry. Additionally, a lack of sufficient meat
7		import in	spection poses an increased potential of contaminated food
8		reaching t	tables in the United States. We recommend that Congress
9		incorpora	te the following steps to protect U.S. livestock producers from
10		unfair tra	ding practices:
11		a.	Investigate the impact of foreign pricing practices on the U.S. market;
12		b.	Impose countervailing duties to offset subsidies paid to foreign
13			exporters;
14		C.	Require the amount of imported meat and live animals intended for
15			slaughter be reported weekly;
16		d.	Re-establish Section 301, allowing the United States to impose trade
17			sanctions against foreign countries that the USTR determines
18			applicable;
19		e.	Re-establish the Meat Import Act, which was replaced under the
20			Uruguay Round, by replacing existing tariff rate quota system with an
21			import quota system; and
22		f.	Require end-use certificates to monitor the flow of livestock being
23			imported.
24	iii.	Federal N	Meat Grading and Inspection
25		We su	pport the following to ensure safe, fair, and equitably enforced
26		rules for r	neat grading and inspection:
27		a.	Inspection of all meats, either domestic, imported, non-amenable
28			(bison, deer, elk, or other exotic species) to ensure such products
29			meet all state and/or applicable USDA regulations and standards;
30		b.	Hiring additional USDA inspectors to conduct required
31			inspections. The USDA grading and inspection services necessary
32			for food quality and safety should be tailored to meet the needs of

1	i	individuals and cooperatives. There should be a scaled fee
2	:	schedule, with higher-volume facilities paying more;
3	c. (Continued federal funding of the meat-grading program;
4	d.]	Marketing of state inspected meats from current state-licensed
5	;	and inspected plants across state lines to individuals, retailers, or
6	f	food service outlets, when state meat inspection meets or
7	:	surpasses federal regulations;
8	e. S	Simplified and minimal paperwork, testing, and record keeping
9	1	requirements for small USDA inspected meat packing plants; and
10	f. 1	Establishment of an independent commission where small plants
11		can appeal "directive to correct" from the inspection and
12		compliance division of the USDA meat inspection service.
13	iv. Consumer	Protection (also see Article XI.K. – Food Safety and Article I.C –
14	Labeling of	Commodities and Commodity Products)
15	We sup	port:
16	a. S	Strengthening USDA and FDA safety standards to prevent bovine
17	:	spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) contaminated products from
18	1	being imported (also see Article I.B.2.v Livestock Health);
19	b. 1	Requiring more vigorous inspection of imported meat processing
20	t	facilities and imported meats to ensure that they meet U.S.
21	:	standards;
22	c.]	Banning meat and/or meat products from foreign countries that
23	;	allow use of medications or additives not approved for use in the
24	1	United States;
25	d . 1	Prohibiting the importation of ground and shaved meat into the
26	1	United States;
27	e . 1	Enforcing all existing quarantine requirements and health
28	:	standards as set forth by USDA/Animal and Plant Health
29]	Inspection Service (APHIS) regarding imports of livestock and
30		other commodities: and

1 f. USDA ensuring a rigorous and enhanced meat inspection system, 2 to maintain consumer confidence in the safety and 3 wholesomeness of meat and poultry products. 4 We oppose: 5 a. The shipping of U.S. beef, pork, poultry, or fish to be processed in 6 foreign countries that is then returned to the U.S. for U.S. 7 consumption; and 8 b. Any changes to slaughter inspection that moves inspection tasks 9 away from USDA and state inspectors. This includes any 10 expansion of the HACCP-Based Inspection Models Project or any 11 other project that would privatize inspection roles. 12 v. Livestock Health 13 Livestock health is critical to production agriculture and our nation's ability 14 to provide a safe food supply. Achieving the necessary means to ensure livestock 15 health is a priority for NFU. We support good animal husbandry practices as the 16 primary means of livestock health maintenance, as well as the following 17 initiatives to ensure livestock health: 18 a. We encourage all livestock producers to develop professional 19 relationships with their veterinarians to understand all aspects of the 20 Veterinary Feed Directive as implemented; 21 b. We support incentives for large animal veterinarians who agree to 22 serve underserved areas: 23 c. Continue to allow FDA to permit the use of therapeutic antibiotics 24 approved for use in livestock unless valid scientific evidence proves 25 the product is unsafe. NFU believes that antibiotic treatment should 26 be reserved for clinical treatment of illness and for judicious use in 27 preventing illness during periods of stress, and supports producers' 28 right to treat his or her animals with antibiotics to address herd 29 health issues while opposing the constant subtherapeutic use of

d. We oppose moving acute-use veterinary antibiotics to prescription

antibiotics:

use only;

30

31

32

1	e.	To protect the continued and effective use of antibiotics for human
2		health care, we oppose the off-label use of antibiotics and/or
3		arsenicals and fluoroquinolones in animal production;
4	f.	Fully fund disease eradication programs, including testing of wildlife,
5		non-traditional livestock species and imported livestock and
6		livestock byproducts;
7	g.	Ban livestock, animal protein products, and meat imports that would
8		jeopardize U.S. efforts to eradicate livestock diseases, including BSE
9		and Foot-and-Mouth Disease (FMD);
10	h.	Encourage congressional support for emergency economic assistance
11		for producers who have suffered economic and market losses
12		because of an incident of livestock disease, as covered by the Animal
13		Health Protection Act (PL 108-498);
14	i.	Establish funding for a voluntary Johne's Disease testing program;
15	j.	Increase research on transmission modes, vaccine regimens, and
16		protocol for vesicular stomatitis by federal officials and private
17		organizations;
18	k.	Re-evaluate the quarantine restriction and reclassification of
19		vesicular stomatitis from Class A to a Class B disease;
20	l.	Prevent Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy (BSE) and Foot-and-
21		Mouth Disease (FMD) through:
22		1. Increasing federal and international research to understand
23		and prevent BSE/FMD;
24		2. Continuing the site-specific ban on processing, blending, and
25		shipping of meat from a plant where BSE has been found, until
26		subsequent test results show that the plant is free of BSE;
27		3. Rescinding the USDA rules that allow the import of livestock
28		and meat products from countries with active disease
29		outbreaks;
30		4. Continuing the ban on livestock and meat imports from
31		countries with BSE/FMD outbreaks until the disease is
32		controlled and/or eradicated;

1		5. Banning all meat and feed imports from countries that have
2		not implemented and enforced a ruminant-to-ruminant feed
3		ban;
4		6. Prohibiting the importation of animal protein products from
5		countries that cannot certify BSE- and transmissible
6		spongiform encephalopathies (TSE)-free products;
7		7. Prohibiting TSE-positive materials in non-ruminant feeds; and
8		8. Cross-referencing all regulations for complete consistency of
9		standards;
10	m.	Develop a comprehensive strategy and work with electric providers
11		to help producers detect and eliminate stray voltage;
12	n.	Enforce Section 21 General Requirement Rule 215-B of the National
13		Electric Safety Code to prohibit using the ground as the sole
14		conductor or return to utilities substations;
15	0.	Require user-fees for importers to implement inspections and
16		disease prevention;
17	p.	Encourage Congress to continue funding research and prevention
18		methods for all harmful and life-threatening strains of influenza.
19		USDA should develop a strategic plan to help producers detect,
20		monitor, and eradicate infected animals. Vaccination compensation
21		for mandatory culling should be directed toward producers with
22		limited finances to prevent the driving out of small producers;
23	q.	Urge Congress to upgrade the Plum Island Animal Disease Center;
24		however, we oppose completion of the National Bio- and Agro-
25		Defense research facility in any location critical to food production in
26		our nation. If completed, rigorous standards of containment must be
27		developed, and the government should assume complete liability
28		should containment not be successful. Funding must be full,
29		adequate, and continuous to meet the rigorous standards of
30		containment. To prevent any biosecurity risk, funding for this facility
31		should be exempt from any budgetary cuts; and

r. We support legislative efforts to amend the Minor Use and Minor Species Animal Health Act of 2004 to include language that would provide federal incentives, tax and others, for the development and labeling of much needed pharmaceuticals for minor species and minor uses.

vi. State Animal Identification

We support the USDA's action to leave animal identification for disease management to the states. We urge state programs to establish voluntary individual animal identification systems that recognize that the collected information is the sole, proprietary property of the producer and those authorized to use it. Any livestock database shall be housed at the state government level – not privately held. The data shall only be shared in the event of a disease outbreak and to the extent necessary for its control.

vii. National Animal Identification

NFU does not currently support a mandatory National Animal Identification System due to a vast array of issues not yet addressed:

- a. Costs of implementing the program remain uncertain. The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) has stated the necessity of a national animal I.D. program to combat terrorism; therefore, we urge Congress to provide the full funding necessary to create and maintain the database and provide compensation to producers for their costs of implementing the program;
- b. Any national animal I.D. program should be administered by USDA;
- c. Mitigate producer liability for contaminated food products. A seamless system should be provided at all retail levels that ensures the information gathered through an I.D. system is complementary with that provided through mandatory country-of-origin labeling;
- d. Use of the proprietary information should include clear limits to ensure protection under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). Access to producer information should be available only to relevant federal and state agencies and only in times of animal disease outbreaks or bioterrorism attacks;

1	e. Ensured uniformity of identification system with tracking technology
2	and database management; and
3	f. Control of the database needs to remain under the control of the
4	federal government. The concerns we have with a privately managed
5	database system include:
6	1. It forces producers to bear the financial burden, which they
7	cannot afford;
8	2. This public database will create a revenue source for private
9	entities seeking to make a profit;
10	3. It does not contain oversight to protect confidential producer
11	information;
12	4. It does not mitigate producer liability;
13	5. It will create opportunities for packers to condition the
14	purchase of livestock upon participation in a voluntary I.D.
15	program;
16	6. It assumes coordination among a complex web of data with no
17	guarantees of success; and
18	7. It assumes all sectors of the livestock industry will agree upon
19	the development and maintenance of a single entity to
20	represent each species' interests.
21	viii. Aquaculture, Fishing and Alternative Livestock
22	1. Land-based aquaculture and alternative livestock
23	The land-based aquaculture and alternative livestock industries
24	represent opportunities for family farmers to establish new and/or more
25	diversified farming enterprises.
26	To ensure consumer confidence in the health and safety of these
27	products, we support:
28	a. The extension of federal, or equivalent state, food inspection services
29	for these products and recommend that a uniform inspection fee
30	system be adopted by the agency of jurisdiction;

1	b. Recognizing farm-raised freshwater fish and shellfish production as a
2	domesticated livestock under USDA definition and moving its
3	inspection from FDA to USDA jurisdiction;
4	c. Recognizing game farms as livestock operations and subjecting them
5	to all livestock health regulations, as well as making them eligible for
6	any benefits provided traditional livestock operations;
7	d. Recognizing vermiculture and insect farming as agricultural
8	practices, and worms and insects as an alternative livestock;
9	e. USDA, utilizing state departments of agriculture where feasible, being
10	the agency that regulates all health issues for these farms and
11	ranches, as well as providing production, processing, and market
12	development assistance for these products; and
13	f. Assisting small producers to comply with regulations.
14	2. Marine Aquaculture
15	The development of industrial-scale offshore finfish aquaculture is
16	detrimental to the family fishers and local economies of historic fishing
17	communities. It should not be allowed to proceed unless and until there is
18	national legislation in place that ensures it can be conducted without
19	harming marine ecosystems and coastal fishing communities.
20	We oppose:
21	a. Promotion of offshore aquaculture operations that displace or
22	endanger traditional fishing practices and onshore infrastructure;
23	b. Inefficient use of marine resources as feed within such
24	operations;
25	c. Federal subsidies to promote, sustain or further develop such
26	operations; and
27	d. Marine fishing operations that are not community-based and do
28	not contribute to the promotion of locally and sustainably caught
29	wild seafood.
30	3. Sustainable Working Waterfronts and Fisheries
31	Small boat fishers face many of the same challenges as family farmers: an
32	aging population, consolidation of the fishing fleet, and high cost of

1 production in fuel and license costs make it hard to enter or sustain a fishing 2 business. Overfishing of forage fish habitat has led to a current economic 3 crisis for many small boat fishers and their coastal communities. Drastic 4 declines in commercial and ground fish off all U.S. coasts will necessitate 5 extreme cuts to fish harvest. The economic impact of these reductions will be 6 devastating for small boat fishers. 7 We support: 8 a. Protecting our working waterfronts so that viable family fishing 9 businesses can thrive and grow; 10 b. Disaster assistance for small boat fishers: 11 c. Full assistance of USDA Risk Management Agency for small boat 12 fishers: 13 d. Development and support of cooperative marketing, processing, 14 and branding models that provide a fair livelihood for fishing 15 families, healthy local food products, and sustainability of wild 16 harvest fisheries: 17 e. Extension of the full range of USDA Know Your Farmer, Know 18 Your Food (KYF) programs to support small boat fishers and 19 provide access to education and training for beginning fishers; 20 f. Programs that provide regionally appropriate research and 21 technical assistance to improve profitability of sustainable fishing 22 practices, enhanced marketing, and processing of sustainably 23 caught fish; 24 g. Programs that enhance the production and processing of local 25 meat and poultry should be extended to include fish; 26 h. USDA conservation programs that support the development and 27 adoption of sustainable fishing practices and protect coastal 28 ecosystems; 29 i. Nutrition program incentives should be extended to fresh fish; 30 Catch limits as essential means of rebuilding fish stocks; 31 k. Selective harvesting practices that allow healthy stocks to be 32 targeted, while at-risk and depleted stocks are avoided;

1	l.	Reviewing current practices as well as development of new
2		fishing gear or techniques that avoid depleted stocks;
3	m.	Forage fish populations being carefully managed to avoid
4		overharvest using science-based annual catch levels and a risk
5		adverse management strategy;
6	n.	Development of meaningful protections for sensitive sea floor
7		habitat essential for the needs of managed species based on best
8		available science;
9	0.	Prohibiting fishing gears and/or practices that are not conducive
10		to protecting these important and vulnerable areas; and
11	p.	We oppose opening closed areas of the ocean fisheries in
12		response to recent declines in ground fish stocks.
13	C. LABELING	OF COMMODITIES AND COMMODITY PRODUCTS
14	1. C	OUNTRY-OF-ORIGIN LABELING
15	We support r	eauthorization and full implementation of mandatory country-of-
16	origin labeling (C	00L) for agricultural, aquaculture and wild-caught seafood
17	products. Manda	tory COOL is a valuable marketing tool for producers, and it allows
18	consumers to kno	ow where the meat products they consume are born, raised,
19	slaughtered, and	processed. U.S. producers and consumers have the right to
20	distinguish produ	acts from those of other countries and U.S. products should not be
21	categorized as a	North American product (also see Article III Agriculture
22	Competition and	Concentration). We strongly urge that USDA and Congress reinstate
23	mandatory COOL	
24	We support t	he following principles in the continued implementation of
25	mandatory COOL	in order to qualify and be labeled as U.Sproduced:
26	i. Meat _l	products must come from an animal born, raised, slaughtered, and
27	proces	ssed in the United States;
28	ii. Fresh	produce, honey, and nuts must be exclusively grown and processed
29	in the	United States;
30	iii. Dairy	products to be labeled to indicate country-of-origin;

1 Farm-raised fish and shellfish must be hatched, raised, and processed in iv. 2 the United States or wild fish and shellfish harvested and processed 3 solely in the United States or by a U.S.-flagged vessel; 4 USDA should prohibit any third-party compliance reviews and third v. 5 parties dictating what types of records producers need to keep and the 6 way the records should be kept; 7 vi. USDA should perform any/all producer audits to determine compliance 8 with the law: 9 vii. Allow those who solely produce U.S. products to self-verify that fact; 10 viii. Allow producers, processors, and retailers to maintain records in a 11 manner of their choosing, as long as the information is available and can 12 be transferred to a standardized format in the event of an audit by USDA; 13 ix. In the absence of mandatory COOL, the same labeling standards outlined 14 in mandatory COOL should be maintained to benefit producers and 15 consumers in any voluntary program; and 16 If a national animal identification program is implemented, the X. 17 information should include country-of-origin distinction for consumers 18 of covered commodities at all retail levels. 19 2. Truth in Labeling of Food Products 20 Thorough and accurate food labels are an important tool that helps consumers 21 make informed decisions and allows producers to differentiate their products. We 22 support conspicuous, mandatory, uniform, and federal labeling for food products 23 throughout the processing chain to include all ingredients, additives, and processes 24 such as: 25 i. Artificial growth hormones; 26 ii. Products derived from cloned animals; 27 iii. The identity and country of ownership of the parent company; 28 iv. Carbon monoxide injected in meat and seafood or packaging for 29 appearance or shelf-life purposes; 30 Point of origin and producer-determined standards for geographic v. 31 indicators, including percentage coming from that origin;

Date of kill, for meat and seafood;

32

vi.

1	vii.	Whether the meat was frozen;
2	viii.	The date/dates the meat was subsequently refrozen;
3	ix.	Irradiated products, and we call for further research on its long-term
4		effects on human health;
5	х.	Maintaining and protecting the integrity of organic labeling;
6	xi.	Labeling standards for the sale of organic products, while not limiting
7		opportunities to market other natural or sustainably produced food
8		products;
9	xii.	A designation for soil-less systems so as to maintain the integrity of the
10		USDA Organic label;
11	xiii.	Requiring labeling of milk from cows injected with recombinant bovine
12		somatotropin (rBST), a hormone to stimulate milk production. In the
13		absence of federal labeling requirements, we encourage farmer-certified
14		rBST-free labeled products;
15	xiv.	Labeling the use of casein, MPC/UF dairy blends, and food preps in food
16		production;
17	XV.	Requiring the labeling of artificial sweeteners;
18	xvi.	Requiring any laboratory or artificially produced products to be labeled
19		as such;
20	xvii.	Adding to the Food Standards and Labeling Policy Book the following
21		definitions and labeling requirements for meat and beef:
22		a. "Meat" is a product derived from the tissue or flesh of animals that
23		have been harvested in the traditional manner;
24		b. "Beef" is a product derived from the tissue of cattle born, raised,
25		and harvested in the traditional manner;
26	xviii.	The development of certifiable and verifiable "Grass-fed" labeling
27		standards that are regulated in a manner similar to "Organic;"
28	xix.	The standardization of federal laws to determine moisture content
29		allowance of all meat products, and that any water injected during
30		processing must be identified on the label; and
31	XX.	All imported meat and other foods federally inspected under federal health
32		laws carrying an "imported and inspected" label.

1	we of	opose:
2	i.	Labeling poultry chilled below 26 degrees Fahrenheit as fresh;
3	ii.	USDA regulation that allows the addition of up to eight percent water-
4		weight to poultry products without mandating that these products are so
5		labeled;
6	iii.	Labeling alternative protein sources as meat;
7	iv.	Cellular technology being called agriculture;
8	v.	The use of the words milk, cheese, butter, yogurt, or other words used to
9		describe dairy products in labels on imitation or substitute dairy
10		products;
11	vi.	The use of the word milk to designate any product not derived from
12		mammals; and
13	vii.	Labeling meat products produced from animals born, bred, raised,
14		harvested, or processed outside the United States as "Product of U.S.A."
15		or equivalent.
16		3. Nutrition Labeling
17	We su	pport providing consumers with information on nutrients in food
18	products	to help avoid misleading health claims.
19	D. C o	OMMODITIES
20		1. WHEAT, COARSE GRAINS, OILSEEDS, RICE, AND COTTON
21	A farn	n program should recognize the market realities of the fundamentally
22	unique bu	isiness of farming. Such a program should include these basic provisions:
23	i.	Price support and income support mechanism for wheat, coarse grains,
24		oilseeds, rice, and cotton that establishes a floor under market prices and
25		enables producers to obtain their income from the marketplace;
26	ii.	Price and income support should primarily be provided by CCC non-
27		recourse, commodity loans;
28	iii.	Flexible loan maturity periods should be able to be extended at the
29		discretion of the producer for up to 18 months, with the producer able to
30		forfeit after 9 months;
31	iv.	Price support and CCC loan rates should be set at levels to ensure
32		producers can receive a fair return on their investment and the CCC loan

1 rate should not be for less than the USDA national rolling average cost of 2 production. CCC loan rates should be adjusted annually to reflect 3 inflation and productivity; 4 Price supports and CCC loan rates should be annually balanced in an v. 5 upward manner to prevent market and planting distortions; and 6 vi. NFU strongly urges the establishment of a voluntary, farmer-owned 7 market-driven inventory system that reduces volatility in agricultural 8 commodity markets. For such a system to succeed, we recommend the 9 following: 10 1. USDA will offer per bushel storage rates for commodities when 11 prices fall below a designated loan rate, which is set near the 12 midpoint between the variable and full cost of production for 13 each commodity. Enrollment in the storage program will cease 14 when prices recover: 15 2. Farmers may enter any portion of their crop into storage, which 16 must remain enrolled in the program until the market price for 17 the commodity reaches the release price, or approximately 160 18 percent of the loan rate; 19 3. Farmers must maintain the crop in proper condition while in 20 storage; 21 4. Economically sound inventory caps should be set on each 22 commodity. Should the inventory cap be reached for a 23 commodity, a voluntary set-aside program may be made available 24 by USDA; 25 5. When the release price is reached, the farmer may sell the 26 commodity into the market or hold the commodity, but storage 27 rates will cease; 28 6. Allowing the secretary of agriculture the authority to manage 29 price-depressing surpluses by providing producers incentives to 30 plant dedicated energy crops on acres which are now, or may be 31 produced, in surplus;

1		7. Commodity support based on actual production history (APH),
2		where available. For cases without APH, the program yield cannot
3		be lower than county Farm Service Agency yields. Additionally,
4		we urge the establishment of APH floors to protect producers in
5		the event of successive crop failure brought on by natural
6		disasters;
7		8. Flexibility in choosing which crops to plant;
8		9. Low-interest grain storage facility loans should be made available
9		to producers;
10		10. Each farm operator and crop share landlord should be eligible for
11		maximum loan volume targeted to family farms;
12		11. Farmers should have the right to periodically update acreage
13		bases and proven yields on all crops for each farm; and
14		12. Farmers should have the option to store forfeited grain and
15		receive storage payments until final sale is consummated.
16		2. Other Program Commodities (wool, mohair, honey, pulse crops,
17		ETC.)
18	We su	pport:
19	i.	The establishment of an economic safety net program for other eligible
20		commodities based on price income supports provided through CCC non-
21		recourse, commodity loans in a manner comparable to more traditional
22		farm program crops. Other eligible commodities include, but are not
23		limited to: a) wool; b) mohair; c) honey; d) pulse crops; and e) forage
24		crops, if hayed or grazed;
25	ii.	Funding the Wool and Mohair Program from tariffs on sheep and wool
26		imports;
27	iii.	The Pulse Health Initiative, a focused effort to increase and leverage
28		scientific research on the advantages of pulse crops; and
29	iv.	Including hay, forage crops and managed pasture as program crops for
30		the purposes of commodity program payments.
31		3. Industrial Hemp

The Hemp Farming Act of 2018 removed the regulations regarding low THC containing hemp from the Controlled Substances Act and legalized growing industrial hemp across the country. However, because interest in this new industry is expanding rapidly, there are issues with the quality and authenticity of products currently being sold to consumers and very little FDA oversight to protect consumers from subpar products. To protect this burgeoning new industry, Farmers Union supports: i. Clear federal rules on CBD products, as well as truth in labeling what is in said products for any kind of consumption or topical use; ii. CBD oil processors engaging in best practices in a food grade facility, and having a certification program to show that what is printed on the label is accurate as well as having accurate dosing recommendations allowing family farmers who are manufacturing hemp products with hemp grown and processed on their own farm; iii. The acknowledgement by FDIC and USDA/FSA that industrial hemp has been declassified as a controlled substance and allowing farmers to continue obtaining operating loans; We support the National Credit Union Administration in recognizing and iv. working with hemp producers to provide financial services: Continuing to work to establish crop insurance options beyond Whole v. Farm policies; Funding continued research into the benefits of CBD oil, industrial hemp vi. being used as supplemental livestock feed, and seed studies, as well as studying ways to use the entire plant, for example, but not limited to hempcrete bricks, animal feed, plastics, and human nutrition; vii. Establishing long term markets to carry this budding industry beyond the current boom; Federal and state water rights will be permitted for the purpose of viii. irrigating industrial hemp crops; Programs that incentivize regional processing and distribution hubs; and ix. X. Maintaining a level playing field for family farmers by taking steps to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

police both monopoly and monopsony within all related markets.

1 4. TOBACCO 2 We urge action by Congress and the administration to: 3 Provide for a plan and funding for economic development assistance to 4 tobacco-dependent communities: 5 Establish country-of-origin labeling for tobacco; ii. 6 iii. Ensure that all imported tobacco meets the same standards of domestic 7 leaf and is monitored and tracked in a similar fashion as domestic leaf; 8 and 9 iv. Act to include provisions to continue the inspection of foreign tobacco for 10 U.S.-banned chemicals and pesticides. 11 5. PEANUTS 12 Historically, U.S. farm policy has recognized that for peanuts, the cost of 13 requirements of the sector, such as specialty harvesting equipment and storage 14 facilities would attract a limited number of equipment dealers, processors, and 15 marketers. Therefore, family-sized farms would require particular attention to the 16 orderly and honest marketing of such a crop. 17 We support: 18 Grower marketing associations and federal marketing and warehouse 19 assistance to aid in the maintenance of fair and transparent market 20 competition; 21 ii. Increased research funding to develop alternative uses for peanuts; 22 iii. Prohibiting the importation of peanuts or any peanut products from 23 countries that produce no peanuts; and 24 Prohibiting the importation of peanuts or peanut products from iv. 25 countries that have plant disease problems, use unjust labor practices, or 26 have less stringent pesticide regulations than the United States. 27 6. SUGAR 28 We support the continuation of the no-cost U.S. sugar program and encourage 29 Congress to work with U.S. sugar producers to adopt a strong sugar program in 30 future farm bills. 31 We support continuation of the suspension agreements governing sugar trade

32

with Mexico.

We oppose ethanol produced from imported sugar receiving any taxpayer subsidies.

7. TREE FARMS AND FORESTRY

The economic well-being, as well as the independent existence of family tree farmers, is threatened by regulatory uncertainty, over-regulation of wetlands and restraining buffer zones. We support the family tree farmers.

NFU encourages private landowners to adopt cooperative sustainable forest management practices, including but not limited to completion and implementation of forest stewardship management plans as recognized by USDA or state forest services.

We support the USDA Tree Assistance Program (TAP).

8. Specialty Crops

Specialty crops include but are not limited to fruits, vegetables, tree nuts, dried fruits, nursery crops, pulse crops, floriculture and horticulture including turf grass, sod, *Camellia sinensis* teas, coffee, hemp, and herbal crops. Congress should provide mandatory funding for a safety net program, including risk management tools, to allow producers to earn the cost of production.

We support:

- i. Mandatory and expanded funding for the Specialty Crop Block Grant Program. Specialty crop block grants that are provided to states should be provided to producers and not supplant state budgets;
- ii. Collaboration with consumer, culinary and other food groups to promoteU.S. specialty crops;
- iii. Protection for specialty crop producers from imports during the primary harvest season for perishable crops (window of harvest);
- iv. Incentives for all federal nutrition program beneficiaries to use their benefits at farmers markets, CSAs and other direct marketing outlets. Reauthorization and expansion of the SNAP-based Food Insecurity Nutrition Incentive Grant Program and the Child Nutrition Act with continued prioritization for the direct-to-consumer marketing and the local and regional sourcing of fruits and vegetables;
- v. Increasing the allowable THC content of industrial hemp to 3 percent;

1 vi. Adopting policies and regulations that ensure the market for cannabis is 2 accessible to family farms and do not create unfair advantages to large 3 companies and monopolies. We oppose "pay to play" systems; 4 vii. A state's right to make their own choice on medical and recreational 5 marijuana by calling on Congress and U.S. DEA to reclassify marijuana as 6 a schedule 2 or lower drug; 7 viii. USDA designation of tree syrups as a specialty crop; 8 Both seed exchanges and seed libraries for the open exchange of ix. 9 heirloom and open-pollinated seed; 10 Flexibility to allow occasional fruit and vegetable production without X. 11 losing base acres for covered commodities; and 12 xi. The development of other non-food uses for agricultural products (e.g., 13 road maintenance). 14 9. Honey/Pollination 15 Pollinators are vital to agriculture and to the production of fruits, vegetables and 16 crops. Research indicates that multiple factors contribute to the decline in 17 pollinators including pesticides, habitat loss, pathogens, parasites, and climate 18 change. 19 Therefore, we support: 20 i. Agricultural research and education to encourage innovative approaches 21 to protecting honeybee health and improve genetic stocks of honeybees; 22 ii. Development and expanded research to enhance native pollinators; 23 Encouraging EPA to enforce its pesticide use labels to ensure proper iii. 24 application of pesticides; 25 iv. Encouraging collaboration between the pesticide manufacturing and 26 pollinator industries to educate applicators and producers about the 27 potentially harmful effects of pesticides on pollinator populations; 28 v. Continued monitoring of pest populations and pest control methods; 29 Continued monitoring of pollinator imports, accidental importation of vi. 30 pests and invasive species;

1	vii.	Ensuring that pollinator-beneficial habitat and best management
2		practices are eligible for cost-sharing assistance and incentives in USDA
3		conservation programs intended to assist producers;
4	viii.	Public research of effects of pesticides, such as neonicotinoids, on bee
5		colonies, especially related to Colony Collapse Disorder;
6	ix.	The FDA defining honey as a food product based on the Codex
7		Alimentarius standards so that U.S. beekeepers can utilize trade and legal
8		mechanisms when imported honey is adulterated. Until the FDA defines
9		honey as a food product, we support efforts at the state level to authorize
10		state departments of agriculture to define honey using the Codex
11		Alimentarius standards as a guideline;
12	Х.	Honeybees and hives for inclusion in livestock compensation programs;
13		and
14	xi.	Effective and aggressive enforcement of chemical restrictions and
15		management practices that harm pollinators.
16	We op	pose any attempt to classify sweet, white, or yellow clover as an invasive
17	species or	to prohibit the sale or planting of sweet clover seed because of its
18	important	t role in honey production.
19		10. VALUE-ADDED AND MINIMALLY PROCESSED FOODS
20	We su	pport artisan producers for creating value-added revenue streams from
21	agricultur	ral products.
22	We su	pport policies and programs that encourage the further development of
23	these type	es of farm-based enterprises.
24		11. VALUE-ADDED USES OF FARM PRODUCTS
25	We su	pport value-added uses of farm commodities that encourage the
26	developm	ent of bio-degradable consumer packaging as the standard choice for
27	storage co	ontainers, packaging, and bags.
28	E. AG	ri-Tourism
29	We suppo	rt:
30	1. Th	e inclusion of agri-tourism, including on-farm bed and breakfasts and
31	sh	ort-term rentals, as part of the definition of agriculture;

1	2.	Encouraging USDA to recognize the value of agri-tourism and on-farm
2		education programs as a critical value-added product; and
3	3.	USDA developing and implementing a program to educate producers about
4]	liabilities associated with agri-tourism operations.
5	F. 1	RISK MANAGEMENT
6	We enc	ourage:
7	1.	The expansion of risk management tools to cover all commodities;
8	2.	The FSA to always consider prevented planting acres in revenue calculations
9]	not limited to farm safety net programs, risk management programs and
10		disaster programs; and
11	3.	The Inventory Management Soil Enhancement Tool (IMSET) as a mechanism
12	1	for farmers to voluntarily use annually to enhance individual farmer's
13]	pricing inventory while enhancing their land's marginal soil.
L 4		1. LIVESTOCK PRODUCER ASSISTANCE
l 5	We	support:
16	i.	Full and permanent funding for the livestock compensation programs;
17		and
18	ii.	Safeguards to assure that program benefits are targeted to family
19		farmers and ranchers.
20		2. Crop And Livestock Insurance
21	Cro	o insurance and revenue coverage should not be considered a replacement
22	for fair	market prices and an adequate price support program.
23	We	support:
24	i.	The continuation and improvement of the federal crop insurance
25		program;
26	ii.	Maintaining or increasing the average premium subsidy for crop
27		insurance;
28	iii.	A permanent disaster program, in addition to crop insurance that
29		addresses both catastrophic and shallow losses;
30	iv.	Enhancing the affordability of coverage above 75 percent of actual
31		production history (APH);

1 A limitation on the cumulative value of all federal premium subsidies for v. 2 the purchase of "buy-up" crop insurance coverage. In the event budget 3 cuts result in decreased "buy-up" premium subsidies, those cuts should 4 be accomplished by caps on per-individual subsidies. Crop insurance 5 subsidies should be attributed to individuals based on their share of 6 ownership of insurable production and entities they own; 7 vi. Development of federal crop insurance policies that provide a dollar-per-8 acre, multi-peril coverage option similar to policies that exist for single-9 peril hail coverage; 10 vii. Development of federal crop insurance policies based on the regional 11 average cost of production for the insured commodity: 12 viii. Development of new products that allow producers to protect their 13 income in times of low prices and/or quality losses: 14 Expanded production loss and revenue protection programs to cover ix. 15 more crops and livestock in an equitable and comparable manner in all 16 states: 17 RMA continuing to develop risk management tools like Livestock Risk X. 18 Protection (LRP), Livestock Gross Margin (LGM), and Dairy Revenue 19 Protection (DRP) that will benefit livestock producers that are subsidized 20 in a way similar to crop insurance; 21 хi. Directing RMA to further develop the Whole Farm Revenue Protection 22 Program; 23 Legislative action to provide RMA authority to allow nationwide crop and xii. 24 revenue insurance pilot programs; 25 xiii. Increasing the producer representation on the Federal Crop Insurance 26 Corporation (FCIC) board of directors and establishing a local appeals 27 process including conflict resolution; 28 xiv. Providing family farm producers the opportunity to use all available 29 disaster programs without penalty; farmers relying on surface water for 30 irrigation should not be penalized by being forced to sign up crop as dry-31 land instead of irrigated land due to an "act of God" resulting in lack of 32 water because of drought;

1	XV.	Reasonable funding for crop insurance agent and company
2		reimbursement;
3	xvi.	No reduction of APH for federal crop insurance purposes when
4		production is reduced by natural disasters;
5	xvii.	Offering an adequate, individual catastrophic coverage program to
6		provide a safety net against crop disasters with a graduated premium
7		based on acres rather than crops;
8	xviii.	Signup requirements that contain enough flexibility including changes to
9		established planting dates to allow producers to respond to weather
10		changes;
11	xix.	Being eligible to plant a "ghost" crop when a producer collects a payment
12		for a prevented planting with no reduction to producers' actual
13		production history;
14	XX.	The use of cover crops for stewardship purposes on prevented planting
15		acres when feasible;
16	xxi.	Prevented planting provisions in insurance policies that can provide
17		valuable coverage when extreme weather conditions prevent expected
18		plantings. To maintain the integrity of the program and avoid abuse,
19		producers should make planting decisions based on agronomically sound
20		and well-documented crop management practices. We encourage the
21		RMA to develop guidelines that are objective rather than subjective;
22	xxii.	Maintaining eligibility to receive prevented planting indemnity payments
23		regardless of the producer's planting history;
24	xxiii.	The inclusion of local quality and basis adjustments in revenue assurance
25		(RA) products;
26	xxiv.	The risk management program recognizing and accommodating the
27		unique production and actuarial experiences of producers of certified
28		organic commodities;
29	XXV.	The development of an optional, supplemental crop insurance product to
30		expand production loss coverage by helping offset either catastrophic or
31		modest production losses in the event of weather-related or other
32		insurable disaster losses;

xxvi.	Requiring the RMA and the FSA to coordinate all definition, reporting
	requirements and information technologies;
xxvii.	The creation of regional advisory committees composed of producers,
	insurance agents and insurance company officials to work with RMA
	regional staff and offices to establish appropriate policies, procedures
	and educational activities for the individual RMA regions;
xxviii.	The development and expansion of products that allow producers of
	non-program commodities, small and/or diversified farming operations
	of specialty and minor crops to have equitable insurance coverage based
	on the market for which it is produced.
xxix.	USDA making the regulatory or operational changes necessary to remove
	barriers and ensure fair access to crop and revenue insurance for
	beginning farmers and ranchers;
XXX.	The concept of a risk management account that would be a private, self-
	insured policy for farmers that is like the current health savings account.
	This is not a replacement for crop insurance; rather, it is an alternative
	tool for farmers;
xxxi.	Prevented planting losses including all weather contingencies, including
	drought;
xxxii.	A crop insurance premium due date of December 1 with no interest
	charges to the premium if the claim is unsettled;
xxxiii.	Conservation compliance to be eligible for federal crop insurance
	subsidies, but recognize the need for the following specialized carve-out
	provisions:
	a. Expedited land classification determinations by NRCS;
	b. Expedited classification appeals;
	c. Ability to waive penalties for "in good faith" or other minor
	errors;
	d. Ability to mitigate before penalties are assessed;
	e. Assessment of penalties on individuals' tracts only, not whole
	farms;
	f. Severability of penalties between landowners and tenants;
	xxvii. xxix. xxx. xxxi. xxxii.

1		g. Penalties applying only going forward for "in good faith" errors;
2		and
3		h. Relaxed requirements for regions that have not received the
4		benefit of natural drainage in cooperation with NRCS.
5	xxxiv.	The continued development of risk management tools for organic-
6		certified crops;
7	XXXV.	Additional crop insurance subsidies for farmers using proven and
8		effective conservation practices;
9	xxxvi.	Crop insurance reforms that protect producers against quality losses,
10		including but not limited to protein discounts and yield reductions, and
11		making coverages available up to the cost of production. The effects of
12		quality losses and multi-year claims on production histories must be
13		minimized so that a producer's coverage is not diminished;
14	xxxvii.	The grading of durum for claim purposes should be by durum standards,
15		not spring wheat standards;
16	xxxviii.	Reducing the rotational restrictions for broadleaf and pulse crops used
17		by RMA;
18	xxxix.	Limiting risk management audits of farm records to seven years of
19		production history, regardless of crop rotation;
20	xl.	Revisions in crop insurance, possibly by a special provision statement,
21		which would allow farmers to exempt saline acres from crop insurance
22		coverage at acreage reporting time. This would permit a "conservation
23		planting" of salt tolerant crops, such as barley, sunflower, oats, rye, or
24		others to be utilized as a remediation crop without requiring it to be
25		insured;
26	xli.	Recommending that the Federal Crop Insurance Corporation amend and
27		change their policy language to eliminate their definition of "fire
28		coverage." The definition should include "any non-arson" fire and not
29		"natural occurring," as is the present definition. Additionally, a yield loss
30		caused by fire should not impact a producer's yield history and the
31		producer should be allowed to use that farm's yield average for future
32		crop insurance and farm program calculations;

- xlii. Crop insurance and alternatives being offered by federal agencies when local crops are not supported by commercial RMA coverage, or when climate change impacts growing seasons, temperatures, and precipitation allowing expansion of previously unsupported but commonly grown feed grain and oilseed crops;

 xliii. RMA using weather data on a section-by-section basis, where available,
 - xliii. RMA using weather data on a section-by-section basis, where available, to assess moisture availability (rainfall and snowmelt) for crop production, in determination of prevented planting or crop losses. Risk management rules should not penalize producers for using locally recommended conservation measures; and
 - xliv. Cross-state coordination by RMA for required reporting of planting dates within the same watershed.

We oppose:

- i. RMA allowing outside influence on crop insurance premium levels regarding specific crop inputs, practices, or technologies;
- ii. The sale of crop insurance by ag lending institutions and other ag industries that can coerce the producer, (i.e., lenders discounting interest or requiring purchase of the lender's own crop insurance product as condition of receiving a loan);
- iii. Reducing established crop insurance during that crop year;
- iv. The voiding of an entire crop insurance policy due to an error in a single line of the policy; and
- v. Penalizing producers that use nontraditional crops and/or rotations by insuring crops with increased premiums and lower coverage.

3. FOOD LIABILITY INSURANCE

We support food liability insurance products and programs to assure that unprocessed or less processed whole foods, fresh fruits, cheese and dairy products, meats and fresh vegetables continue to remain accessible in the marketplace. Current U.S. food liability culture places the farmer in an unfair position, and this must be addressed if we intend to have farm-fresh or less processed foods available to consumers.

4. RISK MANAGEMENT EDUCATION

1 We encourage the USDA to continue funding risk management education grants. 2 G. FARM PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION 3 We urge full implementation and funding of all provisions of the 2018 Farm Bill, 4 consistent with the intent of Congress. Furthermore, all positions in all divisions within 5 USDA should be fully staffed, and staff administering federal farm programs should be 6 fully informed and trained before enrollment periods begin. 7 We urge streamlining the application, reporting, and certification processes for 8 federal programs, and that those processes be consistent in all state offices. We support 9 increasing and modernizing outreach by using multiple technologies to meet the needs 10 of all farmers. We support socially disadvantaged producers and farm owners, 11 especially those without deed or title, to receive all the loans, benefits, and programs of 12 USDA and federal government. 13 We oppose downsizing FSA and other USDA agencies because it is detrimental to 14 rural America and the ability of the agencies to fulfill their mission. We oppose county 15 office consolidation, and we demand each county have full funding, staffing, and training 16 to provide good service to the agriculture community. 17 1. FARMER-ELECTED COMMITTEES 18 We support: 19 The integrity and independence of farmer-elected committees in carrying 20 out farm programs; 21 ii. Sufficient funding so the committee members can be trained and can 22 function effectively; 23 Appointees to state FSA committees, which administer farm programs, iii. 24 being family farmers; 25 Farmer-elected, county- or area-farmer committees; iv. 26 Uniformity of interpretation of USDA programs to the maximum degree v. 27 possible, while still meeting local needs; 28 vi. Offering appeals at the local, state, and national levels; 29 Continuing an independent appeals process and the producer's right to vii. 30 mediation; 31 viii. Programs to educate producers and others about mediation processes;

32

and

1 Greater authority given to FSA county committees in determining the ix. 2 disaster designations and the appropriate program applications. 3 We oppose selection of the county or area farmer committees by political 4 appointment. 5 2. Natural Resources Conservation Service 6 The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) should be the service 7 agency to provide technical assistance working with local boards and local 8 conditions whenever possible. We support the following changes to NRCS: 9 i. Giving the soil conservation district boards the authority to hear farmer 10 appeals on conservation land-use issues, including good, fair variances; 11 ii. Providing full funding for conservation technical assistance to implement 12 conservation programs; 13 iii. Appointees to the NRCS state technical committees, which provide advice 14 and counsel to state conservationists, being actively engaged in a family 15 farm operation; 16 NRCS state technical committees and local conservation districts having iv. 17 voting power over the cost-share rates and ranking procedures; and 18 Funds designated to NRCS programs being used to hire local fishers and v. 19 farmers to implement conservation projects. 20 We oppose: 21 i. The privatization of the services of the NRCS and object to forcing 22 farmers to pay for the technical assistance, which should be provided by 23 NRCS staff: and 24 Any effort to eliminate NRCS or shift conservation services to some other ii. 25 agency or branch of the USDA. 26 3. IMPROVED MARKETING MECHANISMS 27 NFU reaffirms its support for enabling legislation to establish a National 28 Agricultural Relations Board or separate board for single commodities or groups of 29 closely related commodities. Once established, this board should: 30 Bring farmers and farm cooperatives together with handlers and 31 processors, for the purpose of bargaining over prices received by

agricultural producers. Farmers need and are entitled to a firm legal

32

- 1 procedure which will enable them to manage the production and 2 marketing of their products; and 3 ii. Help preserve the long-standing rights of family farmers to participate in 4 bargaining associations and cooperatives without being subject to 5 antitrust action. 6 H. Special Agricultural Policies and Services 7 1. FARM LABOR 8 We encourage Congress to continue funding existing programs and establish 9 new grant initiatives that aim to improve the supply, stability, and training of the 10 agricultural labor force. 11 We urge Congress to enact comprehensive farm labor reforms such that 12 programs like H-2A visa programs contribute to a flexible, efficient, and 13 compassionate agricultural workforce. 14 We urge USDA to create a program like the Fair Food Program, assuring a 15 collaborative process between farmers and workers on farms to deliver certifiable, 16 market-rewarded, and market-enforced payment on products to benefit wages, 17 profits, and livelihoods of workers and of farmers. 18 The National Labor Relations Act should be extended to workers on corporate 19 and other farms that employ enough hired help to be subject to the federal 20 minimum wage provisions applicable to agricultural workers. 21 We support the modification of the Fair Labor Standards Act to ensure: 22 i. Worker protection standards regarding wage rates, health, safety, and 23 housing conditions for migrant, seasonal, minority and other farm 24 laborers and for education of their children; 25 ii. The rights of workers to bargain collectively for fair wages; and 26 iii. A livable minimum wage. 27 We recognize oligopolies, fixing prices, and cheap food policies harm farmers 28 and farm workers. We support efforts to assure fairness for all involved in the
 - We support USDA offering grants or low interest loans to family farms for new construction and/or remodeling of existing farm labor housing to provide a safe, clean, and healthy living environment for domestic and/or guest workers.

29

30

31

32

production of food.

1 2. SAFETY OF FARMERS AND FARM WORKERS 2 Agriculture has been determined to be a hazardous occupation in the United 3 States. Farm machinery is not subject to federal safety regulations or recalls but is 4 instead manufactured according to voluntary standards set by the American Society 5 of Agricultural and Biological Engineers. 6 We recommend: 7 Farmers take advantage of training opportunities, including pesticide 8 applicator programs, as often as possible: 9 ii. Farm equipment manufacturers be subject to rules requiring product 10 safety, and that manufacturers be liable for damages suffered due to 11 injuries caused by faulty equipment: 12 iii. Developing incentives for the purchase of rollover protection for farm 13 equipment; 14 Standardized hazard and caution lights and distinct turn signals on all iv. 15 farm equipment that uses public roadways, and increased education of 16 the public on the need to respect them: 17 Discouraging the use of Slow Moving Vehicle emblems for purposes other v. 18 than their intended use: 19 Farmers and their employees have access to information regarding vi. 20 hazardous materials used on the farm: 21 vii. Farmers maintain adequate records on their transportation, use, storage, 22 and disposal of fertilizers and pesticides; 23 viii. Stakeholders having a voice in assuring that safety rules established for 24 the protection of those employed by farmers are reasonable and 25 workable, financially viable, penalties are not excessive, and that 26 reasonable periods of time are allowed for compliance; 27 That Congress provide for continued exemption of small farms and ix. 28 businesses that have 10 or fewer employees from the inspection 29 provisions of the law; 30 Family farmers exercise reasonable care to promote the safety of X.

themselves and their families:

31

1	xi.	Developing International Labor Organization (ILO) standards that
2		adequately protect the health and safety of children;
3	xii.	USDA create resources for employers to provide training and tools to
4		minimize the risk of injury at the workplace; and
5	xiii.	OSHA use its full authority to protect workers' rights in food processing
6		plants with over 100 employees from known safety hazards, harmful
7		work environments, and ensure whistleblower protections.
8		3. STORABLE COMMODITIES PRODUCER PROTECTION
9	i. Fede r	ral Warehouse Act
10	W	e support the right of individual states to regulate the grain merchandising
11	activit	ies of warehouses licensed by the federal government. NFU will oppose
12	federa	l preemption of state regulatory authority over grain merchandising
13	unless	:
14		a. Federal regulation includes appropriate and effective oversight of
15		federally licensed warehouses and merchandising activities;
16		b. Modifications to federal warehouse and merchandising activities that
17		may have an impact on producers are proposed and adopted through
18		public rulemaking procedures rather than the annual licensing
19		process;
20		c. Producers are provided a protection program funded by the
21		warehouse and merchandising industry against losses from
22		warehouse and merchandising company insolvencies and
23		bankruptcies at no less than:
24		1. 100 percent in the case of warehouse receipted stored grain
25		and grain sold for payment within 30 days of delivery; and
26		2. \$3 million per producer for each commodity stored, delivered,
27		or contracted within 31-365 days of delivery
28		d. Limitations on the level of licensing flexibility provided to grain
29		warehouses and merchandisers are enacted to ensure it does not
30		result in a reduction in existing financial protections for producers;
31		and

e. States' abilities to fund operations and inventory transactions, liquidity, and maintain "weights-and-measures" regulations are protected.

We further urge that each state provide supplemental guarantees beyond any federal maximum. Congress should take whatever action is necessary to ensure that stored commodities remain the property of those persons who delivered them for storage. Warehouses should be required to issue negotiable warehouse receipts upon request, at a cost not to exceed the dump charge.

ii. Ag Merchandiser or Supplier Bankruptcies and Receiverships

Farmers should be given first position priority in ag merchandiser or supplier bankruptcies and receiverships, including commodities prices under deferred price and delayed payment contracts.

iii. Commodity Basis

Basis should reflect the cost of transportation and storage from the point of local delivery to the point of terminal delivery and it is not to be used as a risk mitigation tool for the grain merchandiser. We call for oversight by the Surface Transportation Board and/or the appropriate federal agency to investigate abuses of the basis levels.

4. AGRICULTURAL CENSUS

Census data are useful in designing farm programs and defending and promoting the interests of family farm operations. The census of agriculture provides data that show the trends in the agricultural economy of each county and state and for the nation. NFU encourages the following relative to the census of agriculture:

- i. Continue to be conducted every five years;
- ii. Opposition to efforts to increase the minimum financial criteria for classifying agricultural operations;
- iii. Continued collection of needed data relating to corporate involvement in agriculture and coordination with information collected on foreign involvement in the purchase of farmland and agricultural enterprises to reflect the pattern of ownership and management of U.S. agriculture more clearly; and

iv. That USDA does not use information obtained in the census in a manner detrimental to family farmers and ranchers.

5. NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL STATISTICS SERVICE (NASS)

We support adequate funding levels for NASS and recognize the importance of surveys. As USDA uses NASS information for multiple programs, NASS should work with the farmers to record the needed information instead of using another data source.

We encourage producers to recognize the importance of filling out NASS data, which is used by USDA to determine price and yield information for multiple USDA programs and grant opportunities within our states and county governments that call for such metrics.

6. USDA'S INFORMATION MANDATE

USDA should provide accurate income statistics for farmers and ranchers. Separation of income levels for producers, landlords and integrators would permit more accurate net farm incomes in USDA's farm projections.

USDA should produce and provide science- and research-based reports projecting the potential impact of our changing climate on states and various growing regions.

We oppose charging user fees for formerly free USDA reports and information or supplying them only on a paid basis by computer.

7. COMMODITY RESEARCH AND PROMOTION PROGRAMS

We support a voluntary checkoff, with producer participation determined at the point of sale. Our support for producer-financed commodity research and promotion programs is determined by the extent to which producers who are actively involved in production agriculture control the programs.

NFU will support programs financed from the proceeds of sales by producers of agricultural commodities, only if the following criteria are met:

- Research and promotion programs are for the sole financial benefit of domestic family farmers;
- ii. Disbursement of funds collected is controlled solely by boards of nonprocessing domestic producers elected by the domestic producers

1 assessed, and the operations of the program are solely controlled by 2 those domestic producer boards; 3 iii. Members of national producer-funded boards shall be nominated and 4 elected by producers, with the election process supervised by FSA; 5 It shall be mandatory that all eligible producers be provided with a ballot iv. for all elections and referendums; 6 7 Each producer of an agricultural product to be covered under any multiv. 8 commodity checkoff shall have one vote in any referendum to determine 9 whether that checkoff program should be created, and the board so 10 created should adequately represent family farm producers: 11 vi. Approval is by 60 percent of producers voting in a referendum prior to 12 implementation of the order, with spouses allowed to vote individually, 13 and no bloc voting allowed: 14 The outcome of producer referendums should be determined solely vii. 15 based on one vote per person; 16 viii. Changes in levies and administrative and operational procedures should 17 be submitted to producers affected and subject to approval by a simple 18 majority vote; 19 Periodic review referendums should be financed and conducted by the ix. 20 federal government every fifth year, with no producer funds used to 21 influence the voters. A simple majority of producers voting in a 22 referendum shall be able to recall a commodity checkoff program. USDA 23 shall make available the total number of producers; 24 When an assessment is collected from U.S. producers of a commodity, an X. 25 equal, non-refundable fee should continue to be assessed on imports of 26 that commodity, in either raw or manufactured form. Any special 27 provisions extended to U.S. regions, remote states or territories should 28 not be extended to imported products; 29 The assessment should also be collected from those integrators who are хi. 30 currently exempted by virtue of being in a vertically integrated 31 operation;

1	xii.	Periodic independent, outside evaluations and audits of all financial
2		records should be conducted to ensure that the benefits of the program
3		outweigh the costs to producers, with copies of the audits available to all
4		who pay the assessments;
5	xiii.	Procedures should be provided to enable producers to immediately
6		obtain the refunds of the research and promotion funds they were
7		assessed;
8	xiv.	Research funds generated through producer assessments should not be
9		used as a substitute for publicly generated research funding;
10	XV.	Farmers and ranchers have the right to designate the use of the checkoff
11		dollars he or she contributes for research, promotion, expanded
12		cooperative development, or nutrition programs and food banks;
13	xvi.	Prohibiting the use of dairy producers' checkoff money to conduct
14		research into the use of casein and/or MPC's in the making of cheese and
15		other dairy products, or to promote anything other than U.Sproduced
16		natural dairy products;
17	xvii.	Mandatory producer assessments should not go to organizations that
18		engage in lobbying. No funds should be donated, or contracts provided to
19		organizations that carry out political or lobbying activities or to their
20		shared staff, even if records are kept which separate their activity. No
21		checkoff programs/events shall be held in concert or conjunction with
22		any policy organization's programs/events. An audit should be
23		conducted, and severe criminal penalties should be assessed for using
24		funds for personal, political, or lobbying activities;
25	xviii.	The payment of a mandatory commodity checkoff must not constitute
26		membership in a producer organization; and
27	xix.	Producer-funded research should remain the property of the producers.
28		Patents granted because of the research should also belong to the
29		producers. Royalties collected should be returned to the producers'
30		research fund.
31		8. Grain Standards

1 Our nation's grain standards must reward producers who strive to distinguish 2 the desired quality related to the end users of the commodity. 3 We support: 4 i. Producers receiving a premium for higher quality grain; 5 Regulation or legislation that provides a consistent grading and moisture ii. 6 discount scale that is monitored and enforced at the local elevator or 7 mill: and 8 A periodic review of our nation's grain standards so our producers can iii. 9 more effectively compete in world markets based on the quality of their 10 production. 11 Revised standards should: 12 i. Reward positive actions taken by producers, such as genetic 13 improvement and sound grain-handling practices; 14 Establish grade and non-grade factors that can be commonly understood ii. 15 and mutually determined by producers and end-users; 16 iii. Adopt dry-matter grading by the grain trade as a better way of 17 compensating the producer for the grain delivered to the elevator; 18 Ensure testing standards that reflect actual grain quality; and iv. 19 Establish standardized tests that are accurate and reproducible. v. 20 9. GRAIN INSPECTION 21 We reaffirm our position for the high standards in grain inspection and support 22 the weighing system as authorized under the original Federal Grain Inspection Act. 23 To protect and improve our reputation as exporters of U.S. commodities, we 24 support legislation that would: 25 Prohibit and penalize exporters adding foreign material or moisture to 26 any commodity for overseas shipment; 27 Require export customers to pay for shipments on a clean-grain basis, ii. 28 just as farmers are paid on a clean-grain basis; 29 Provide grain inspection personnel to spot check U.S. grain at foreign iii. 30 ports to determine whether it is of the same kind, class, quantity, and 31 condition that was certified upon shipment;

1 iv. Prohibit the imposition of user fees for the inspection and grading of 2 agricultural commodities. Federal inspection and grading of such 3 commodities is in the public interest and should not be charged to the 4 producer: 5 Continue to investigate grain companies as to the total pricing system v. 6 and any quality discounts such as those for protein schedules, test 7 schedules, DON (vomitoxin) levels, falling numbers and scab; and 8 Prohibit privatization of grain export inspections. vi. 9 10. NATIONAL ORGANIC STANDARDS (ALSO SEE ARTICLE I.C. – LABELING OF 10 COMMODITIES AND COMMODITY PRODUCTS) 11 NFU recognizes the growing importance of organic family farming. Organic 12 farming is a management-intensive method of production designed to achieve a 13 balance in the agricultural and livestock system similar to that found in natural 14 systems. 15 We support: 16 i. The enforcement and monitoring of the national organic standards 17 promulgated by USDA and the prosecution of individuals or entities who 18 knowingly sell or import nonorganic products as certified organic; 19 ii. Strict uniform enforcement of the USDA National Organic Program (NOP) 20 "120-day pasture rule" for all certified organic dairy producers; 21 iii. Greater enforcement of the USDA NOP pasture rule by requiring 22 certifiers to inspect all organic dairy farms twice during their 120-day 23 designated pasture season with one visit being on an "unannounced 24 basis" to assure that all milk cows are on pasture and 30% DMI is being 25 achieved: 26 iv. All certifiers and their inspectors being required to complete additional 27 online training on USDA NOP pasture standards, documentation, and 28 expectations. This training would reinforce USDA dry matter intake 29 (DMI) and pasture rule compliance; 30 Each dairy herd feeding group DMI being calculated separately from each v. 31 other, with no combining of milk cows with dry cows, or any other 32 groups;

1 vi. The use of testing of milk samples to verify compliance with the pasture 2 rule when testing technologies become available and validated; 3 vii. Ensuring accreditation and certification costs do not discriminate against 4 small producers, including support and funding for the National Organic 5 Certification Cost-Share Program; 6 viii. Requiring USDA to maintain the role of the National Organic Standards 7 Board (NOSB) as the official source of developing policies and 8 procedures to interpret and implement the federal organic standards. 9 Adequate staffing must be provided to enable the NOSB to fulfill its 10 obligation to organic producers: 11 ix. Implementing and enforcing the organic livestock and poultry 12 production standards, that are uniform and account for feeding and 13 animal health care and welfare practices for continuous or transitional 14 organic management: 15 Prohibiting genetically modified organisms, irradiation, and the use of X. 16 biosolids that contains heavy metals as part of an organic production 17 system; 18 xi. Protecting organic producers from chemical and/or genetic pollution and 19 providing reasonable redress for any damage caused by drift: 20 xii. USDA negotiating trade arrangements to eliminate the need for NOP-21 certified U.S. farmers to certify through multiple international agencies; 22 xiii. The continued development of risk management tools for organic-23 certified crops (also see Article I.F.2. – Crop and Livestock Insurance and 24 Article XI.B.1. - Public Research); 25 xiv. Requiring increased monitoring and testing of organic products 26 originating outside the United States to ensure those products comply 27 with USDA organic standards; 28 XV. An emergency pest treatment, by removing out of certification the area 29 to be treated while not losing certification in the process; 30 xvi. Requiring that all replacement animals on certified organic farms be 31 organic in origin, without exception. Replacement animals organic in

1		origin should be defined as animals that have been raised as organic at
2		least from the last third of gestation; and
3	xvii.	Strictly prohibiting transitioning certified animals in and out of organic
4		production.
5	We op	ppose the use of food products and additives derived from genetically
6	modified	or altered organisms in certified organic products.
7		11. Plant Breeding
8	We su	pport the modification of the Plant Variety Protection Act of 1994
9	regarding royalty fees, taking into consideration a reasonable period of time for	
10	specific co	ommodities and based on scientific methods.
1	We su	pport immunity from legal action for grain handlers from consequences of
12	the Plant	Variety Protection Act when handling grain without a fee.
13	We su	pport precision breeding innovations that do not move genetic material
L 4	from one species to another.	
l 5		12. PLANT GENETIC RESOURCES
16	We support:	
L7	i.	Enhancing and diversifying the genome and plant genetic resources
18		pools;
19	ii.	Recognizing farmers' contributions to the development and conservation
20		of plant genetic resources by protecting farmers' rights, including the
21		right to save seed;
22	iii.	Keeping public research and research results in the public domain and
23		protected from acquisition by corporations or other private entities
24		attempting to develop their own products derived from public research
25		genetic pools;
26	iv.	Legislation exempting farmers and ranchers from payment of royalties
27		on offspring of patented plants and plant genetic resources;
28	v.	The rights of farmers and breeders to save seeds from all plant varieties
29		and cultivars developed with public funds; and
30	vi.	Establishing and maintaining foundation seed banks.
31		13. Animal Genetic Resources
32	We su	nnort

1	i.	The right of farmers to breed animals on their own farm without
2		restriction;
3	ii.	Legislation exempting farmers and ranchers from payment of
4		royalties on offspring of patented animals and animal genetic
5		resources; and
6	iii.	The rights of farmers, ranchers, and breeders to share and improve
7		animal breeds and genetic resources developed with public funds.
8		14. FEDERAL AGENCY HEADQUARTERS
9	We op	pose the moving of any federal agency headquarters out of Washington
10	DC, withou	ut the consent of Congress.

1 ARTICLE II – FAMILY FARMERS AND TECHNOLOGY

With the continued development of technology, we as an organization are invested in agriculture and excited about these opportunities. Technology will offer farmers, ranchers, and fishers many advantages but there are possible risks that need to be considered.

A. GENETICALLY MODIFIED ORGANISMS AND BIOTECHNOLOGY

The rights of both genetically modified organism (GMO) producers and non-GMO producers should be respected as appropriate regulatory agencies continue to research and evaluate GMO concerns. All producers should have the right to accurately advertise, label and promote products.

We respect all nations' sovereignty and food policies and thus urge open dialogue, cooperation and understanding in trade negotiations relating to biotechnology.

We support:

- The release of new GMO traits after issues of cross-pollination, liability, commodity and seed stock segregation and market acceptance are objectively addressed and fairly resolved for the protection of all producers and consumers. While biotech traits are under patent, the patent holder should be prepared to indemnify its trait users against financial burdens caused by claims;
- Research conducted in an environmentally secure facility being exempt from
 the above requirements. Research conducted in open field production should
 be subject to mandatory public disclosure of persons or entities initiating the
 research, location of test sites, specific species and traits involved, and the
 characteristics of the intended resultant genetically modified plant to be
 created;
- 3. Legislation to prohibit the patenting of heritage seed and animal and biological genetics;
- 4. Legislation to prohibit the release of terminator seed technology;
- 5. The right of farmers to plant seed derived from proprietary organisms on their own land;
- 6. New products involving GMOs being certified as safe by the FDA in testing done independently of the patent holder, before being allowed on the

market. Such testing is to be done at the expense of the specific patent
 holders seeking to market such products;

- 7. Legislation requiring that patent holders or owners of GMO technology be held strictly liable for damages cause by genetic trespass including safety, health, economic, and environmental effects. Farmers are not to be held liable for food safety, human health or environmental problems, including cross-pollination, related to the use of GMOs as long as generally accepted crop production practices are followed;
- 8. Congressional action to regulate the biotech industry's technology agreements. Farmers should not have to sign away their fundamental rights, including but not limited to a jury of their peers in court, in exchange for the so-called "privilege" of growing biotech crops. Grievances should be settled in the home state of the farmer, not the state of the biotech corporation;
- Any damages caused to farmers through lower prices, lost markets, or contamination shall be fully reimbursed to farmers, including legal fees, by the company producing the genetically modified product;
- 10. All data used in the analysis of the health and environmental effects of GMOs being public record, and that criminal penalties be established for the willful withholding or altering of such data;
- 11. Prohibiting government regulatory agencies from licensing genetically modified products that are not acceptable for both human consumption and animal feed:
- 12. USDA and FDA improving oversight and regulation of pharma crops. NFU does not endorse or support pharma farming based on economic, environmental, food safety, and liability risks to producers and consumers;
- 13. Requiring governmental regulatory agencies and input suppliers to ensure farmers are informed of all potential market risks and segregation requirements associated with planting any licensed genetically modified crop;
- 14. Requiring USDA to further investigate and research the effects of GMO feeds on livestock;

1 15. Government regulatory agencies considering domestic and foreign consumer 2 acceptance of the product when licensing; 3 16. Requiring all GMO seed to be clearly labeled with the following information: 4 a. Markets (foreign or domestic) where the product is not accepted; 5 and 6 b. All planting restrictions. 7 17. Development of a verification system and a storage, transportation and 8 marketing plan to aid farmers with non-GMO grains; 9 18. Identity-preserved systems and insist they receive protection from cross-10 contamination; 11 19. The development and implementation of patent rules, legislation (i.e., the 12 Hatch-Waxman Act for pharmaceuticals) or regulations, which promote and 13 maintain free market competition in regard to generic production; 14 20. Prohibiting the sale of seed for pesticide resistant crops if the pesticides have 15 not received regulatory approval; and 16 21. Efforts to increase USDA funding devoted to public plant and animal 17 breeding using classical/conventional breeding techniques, to provide 18 farmers with seeds and breeds that are locally and regionally adapted to 19 their soils and farming systems, and to address changing climates and 20 consumer demand. 21 B. AGRICULTURAL TECHNOLOGY 22 We support: 23 1. The Nebraska Tractor Test Laboratory, the only U.S.-approved Organization 24 for Economic Cooperation Development laboratory and the unbiased, third-25 party testing information that it provides at little or no cost to U.S. farmers so 26 they can make informed buying decisions; 27 2. The first-sale doctrine, whereby an individual who knowingly purchases a 28 copyrighted work from the copyright holder receives the right to sell, 29 display, or otherwise dispose of that particular copy and protections not 30 otherwise available for licensees:

1	3.	Fair Repair and Right to Repair legislation that would allow farmers and
2		independent mechanics access to diagnostic software, information, and
3		other tools to repair modern equipment; and
4	4.	The development of a universal diagnostic system for agricultural equipment
5		like OBD2.
6	C.	Information Collection and Protection
7	Agricultural data collected by organizations, companies, educational institutions,	
8	and other entities should not be used, monetized, or distributed without the permission	
9	of producers from whom the data is gathered, to:	
10	1.	Ensure data collected remains the property of the producer;
11	2.	Protect privacy;
12	3.	Avoid consolidation of market power;
13	4.	Maintain competition; and
14	5.	Prevent manipulating markets.
15	D.	UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES (DRONES)
16	We support:	
17	1.	The use of unmanned aerial vehicles (drones) for agricultural purposes, only
18		after landowner or land operator approval; and
19	2.	Opening the National Airspace System to allow drones for agricultural
20		purposes
21	We oppose the use of drones for covert surveillance of agricultural operations.	

ARTICLE III - AGRICULTURE COMPETITION AND CONCENTRATION

Inadequate market competition is one of the most pressing issues facing producers across the country. As evidenced by the sharp decline in the number of family farms in the past decade and the increasing trend toward horizontal and vertical concentration in the agriculture and food sector, independent producers cannot succeed in the absence of protection from unfair, anti-competitive practices. Competitive provisions should be established that ensure fairness, transparency, protection, and bargaining rights for producers, and restore and enhance competition for agricultural markets.

Farmers are experiencing the effects of consolidation at every stage of the process. Concentration in the input, transportation, and grain export sectors has occurred at a rapid pace in recent years. For example, in 2015, the four largest firms controlled 85 percent of the corn seed market, and 76 percent of the soybean seed market. In 2017, the four largest global pesticide firms controlled 84 percent of the market. Additionally, there are only seven Class 1 railroads remaining in the United States.

Packers have always had the ability in most states to own animals for their company's personal use when the spot/open market for live animals from independent producers was higher than they wanted to pay. By slaughtering their own animals for days and even up to weeks, the supply of livestock from independent producers would escalate, causing the price on the spot/open market to drop. To further decrease the competition in the packing industry, the top four packers in 2018 controlled approximately 85 percent of cattle, 70 percent of hogs, 54 percent of broilers, and 55 percent of turkeys. Economists state any concentrated market power over 40 to 60 percent would demonstrate a lack of competition in commerce within the respected industry.

Farmers are often incorrectly blamed for rising food and fiber prices. Retail prices are more often determined by forces outside the control of farmers, ranchers, and fishers. We support efforts to increase the farmer's share of the consumer's dollar.

A. COMPETITION AND ANTITRUST

Consolidation and anti-competitive action throughout every industry sets precedent that opens the door for continuing consolidation in agriculture. We support stricter anti-trust enforcement and merger standards across all industries. Specifically,

we support the following initiatives to achieve true competition for family farmers in
 the marketplace:

- Implementation of a temporary moratorium on large agricultural mergers to provide Congress with time to review and strengthen current laws as appropriate;
- 2. Requiring USDA to collect and publish concentration information;
- 3. Clarification of the Packers and Stockyards Act to allow individual producers to seek recourse for abuse of market power without having to prove competitive injury to the entire marketplace;
- 4. Requiring the Justice Department (DOJ), Federal Trade Commission (FTC), and the Surface Transportation Board (STB) where applicable to require firms to submit information on joint ventures and alliances between firms above a certain size. In many cases, firms that are participating in joint venture arrangements behave just like firms that have merged and should be subject to the same level of antitrust scrutiny as mergers. The disclosure requirement should be set at a threshold sufficient to include firms that account for a significant percentage of market share at a regional level;
- 5. Requiring the DOJ, FTC, or STB to publicly disclose why a merger subject to antitrust review is approved;
- 6. Expanding the role of USDA to initiate and/or participate in the review of proposed mergers in the agricultural sector;
- 7. Requiring economic and environmental impact statements detailing the impact of a proposed merger on farmers, ranchers, and consumers prior to approval;
- 8. Establishing an Office of Special Counsel on Competition within USDA to streamline and increase the effectiveness of USDA investigation and enforcement of competition laws;
- 9. Establishing a level of concentration that triggers a presumption of a violation of antitrust law to make it easier for the DOJ, FTC, or STB to prevent high levels of concentration;
- 10. The right of producers to hold retailers, distributors, and manufacturers responsible for price gouging;

1 11. Prohibiting slotting fees that provide windfall profits to retailers and create a 2 barrier for new firms and products; 3 12. A target price program on a limited volume of production as automatic 4 compensation for livestock producers when a lack of antitrust enforcement 5 or unfair imports damage their markets; 6 13. Congress repealing statutory provisions that exempt railroads from the 7 antitrust injunctive actions, as well as the judicially developed Keogh 8 doctrine that limits antitrust damage remedies: 9 14. Supporting state anti-corporate and contract producer protection legislation; 10 15. Providing strong protection to employees that report non-competitive 11 practices: 12 16. The reinstatement of the Grain Inspection, Packers, and Stockyards 13 Administration (GIPSA) as an independent agency; 14 17. The Department of Justice (DOI) blocking any merger, sale, or acquisition 15 that will undermine U.S. food security, safety, and family farming interests; 16 18. Anti-trust legislation that is enforced to control monopolistic concentration 17 in energy production and distribution, and investigation of large corporate 18 interests that control our current energy sources; and 19 19. Agency recognition of the upstream effects of mergers and anti-competitive 20 behavior on farmers and farm labor. 21 B. LIVESTOCK MARKET REFORM 22 We support the following initiatives to reduce livestock market concentration and 23 enhance competition: 24 1. Restriction of all forms of direct and indirect ownership or control of 25 agricultural products by agribusinesses, including the prohibition of 26 ownership, control and feeding of livestock by packing companies; 27 2. Encouraging development of farmer-owned cooperatives for marketing and 28 processing; 29 3. Placing restrictions on the percentage of captive supply and that firm-bid 30 pricing be established in forward contracts;

4. Making permanent the mandatory price reporting law;

1 5. Efforts to increase transparency of mandatory price reporting information, 2 including but not limited to: 3 i. Eliminating or lowering the kill capacity reporting exemption; 4 ii. Regular reporting after the fact, even when a proprietary exemption 5 precludes price and volume reporting on a daily basis; and 6 iii. Enhancing the reported date to be user friendly. 7 6. Protecting livestock producers from unfair competition and monopolistic 8 practices by strengthening the definition of the Packers and Stockyards Act. 9 All livestock producers should have equal access to markets that do not 10 discriminate against family-farm livestock producers; 11 7. Enacting legislation that clearly defines and prohibits volume-based price 12 discrimination in livestock markets; and 13 8. An increase in the amount of negotiated cash trade in fed cattle markets, 14 with implementation of a minimum cash trade floor, nationally. 15 C. POULTRY MARKET REFORM 16 We support enacting legislation to reinstate USDA's Grain Inspection, Packers, and 17 Stockyards Administration (GIPSA) and task it with enforcement over poultry. We also 18 recommend for the protection of poultry growers: 19 1. Extension of the protection of the Packers and Stockyards Act to producers 20 who grow and care for breeder hens, pullets, and commercial eggs, not just 21 broilers: 22 2. Modifications to regulations under the Packers and Stockyards Act that 23 govern integrator fair-trade practices and strengthen the enforcement 24 mechanisms therein, including, but not limited to, regulations to: 25 Prohibit companies from retaliating against producers for speaking 26 out about problems in the industry or about their contracts, or for 27 attempting to organize other producers to negotiate as a group for 28 better contract terms: 29 Prohibit companies from requiring producers to make unnecessary ii. 30 upgrades to their facilities unless the company pays for the costs of

those upgrades;

1 iii. Reform the system used to pay producer, i.e., the ranking system, to 2 assure that producers are not penalized for inputs controlled by the 3 company, and that there is full transparency in the factors used to 4 calculate the producers' payment; 5 Prohibit companies from cancelling a producer's contract or reducing iv. 6 the number of livestock units placed on their farm based solely on the 7 failure of the producer to make equipment changes, so long as 8 existing equipment is in good working order; and 9 v. Require the production contracts be long enough in term to allow 10 producers to recoup their investments. 11 3. Enactment of state legislation which better defines contract production for 12 growing arrangements; and 13 4. Requiring integrators to provide an accurate cash-flow analysis to new 14 poultry contract operations. 15 D. PRODUCTION CONTRACTING 16 Current law falls short of ensuring fairness and protection for producers under 17 contract. We support the following initiatives/legislation to enhance contract producer 18 protection: 19 1. Strengthening the Agricultural Fair Practices Act to provide improved 20 protection for contract producers; 21 2. Implementation of all GIPSA provisions including disclosure of contract 22 clauses for farmers who contract, the right to discuss the contract with their 23 lawyer, financial advisor, or family member; 24 3. Requiring contracts and contract rights to be written in plain language and 25 disclose risks to producers; 26 4. Providing contract producers three days to review and cancel production 27 contracts; 28 5. Providing producers with a first-priority lien for payments due under 29 contracts: 30 6. Protecting producers from contract termination or price reduction because 31 of:

32

i.

Retaliation purposes,

1 ii. Inadequate or faulty inputs/services provided by contractor, and 2 iii. Denying opportunity to remediate problems related to production 3 specifications; 4 Making it an unfair practice for processors to retaliate or discriminate 5 against producers who exercise rights under the proposed legislation; 6 8. Authorizing producer bargaining to encourage contract producers to form 7 collective bargaining units to negotiate with integrators; 8 9. Prohibiting the use of mandatory arbitration clauses in livestock and poultry 9 contracts to assure that farmers have adequate access to justice in the event 10 of fraud, misrepresentation, breach of contract or other contract disputes 11 with a processor or integrator. Arbitration should be a voluntary mechanism 12 for dispute resolution agreed to by both parties after a dispute arises; 13 10. Prohibiting contracts involving ag producers from containing language that 14 prohibits a trial by jury; and 15 11. Publicizing and widely distributing educational materials regarding the 16 rights of contract producers.

ARTICLE IV - INTERNATIONAL TRADE, COOPERATION, AND THE FAMILY FARM

International trade agreements, when properly constructed, are useful vehicles that lessen world trade tensions and increase development opportunities and economic growth. Further, the international trading framework under the World Trade Organization (WTO) provides a forum for working with allies to address disputes, such as those with China. Unilateral actions, however, run the risk of inflicting long-term damage to our relationships with trading partners, making it harder to re-establish markets and expand into new ones.

NFU urges the federal government to build coalitions of our trading partners to create free and fair agreements to properly address conflicts with countries that trade unfairly, to resolve trade issues that affect family farmers, and to ensure the WTO can act as a neutral arbiter in trade.

NFU further urges the federal government to create a supply-based program for agricultural products to provide economic stability for domestic producers when unfair trade actions occur.

Future trade agreements must be designed to ensure fair market returns for producers and production of safe, quality food for consumers. Thus, future trade agreements must not be limited to regulating domestic support levels, export subsidies, and market access. Rather, every future trade agreement must address differences in labor standards, environmental standards, health standards, and the trade-distorting effect of currency manipulation and cartelization of agriculture markets.

The measure of the success of a trade agreement must be its benefit to U.S. agriculture and specifically of its producers' net income. Vague promises of "market access" to foreign markets do not offset opening our borders for even larger amounts of foreign-produced goods to enter our markets. Market access does not equal market share. NFU supports the federal government conducting a formal and thorough analysis of current agricultural trade agreements to determine their success at meeting their promised goals before any new trade agreements are negotiated or proposed.

Companies who repeatedly send banned products to countries with specified requirements and standards for imports should be held liable for market losses by producers resulting from the shipment.

Our trade negotiators need to recognize that food security is non-negotiable for many trading partners, and that they will never agree to give us full market access.

A. AGRICULTURAL TRADE NEGOTIATIONS

Fair trade, not free trade, holds the potential to increase family farm profitability and U.S. food security, but trade by itself is only one tool. In creating a fair agricultural trade environment, we support the inclusion of the following goals, objectives, and provisions in U.S. trade policy and in international trade negotiations and agreements that:

- Require all countries to meet health, environmental, food sovereignty, working conditions, and labor rights standards equal to those of producers in the United States;
- 2. Allow U.S. producers the right to distinguish their products from those of other countries. U.S. products should not be categorized just as North American products;
- Call for a formal and thorough analysis of current agricultural trade
 agreements to determine their success at meeting their stated goals before
 any new bilateral or regional trade agreements are negotiated or approved;
- 4. Address domestic food safety, security, and inadequate economic returns to producers resulting from market failure, lack of market competition, and an imbalance in supply and demand;
- 5. Ensure global food security and safety, including the elimination of unilateral sanctions on agricultural and pharmaceutical products;
- 6. Enhance producer returns, economic development, and individual standards of living;
- 7. Foster the economic and resource sustainability and efficiency of food production and distribution systems;
- 8. Achieve an equitable distribution and balance of the costs/benefits of agricultural trade among all participants, including producers and consumers;
- Acknowledge and accommodate the multi-functionality of agriculture, including non-economic considerations of value to producers and consumers;

1 10. Diminish poverty and hunger: 2 11. Do not undermine U.S. laws, jurisdiction or sovereignty of a country and its 3 political subdivisions; 4 12. Are negotiated and enacted through a transparent democratic process; 5 13. Provide consumers with an adequate, high-quality, safe, and affordable 6 supply; 7 14. Coordinate efforts to reduce dumping, balance supply and demand, share 8 responsibility to provide nutrition assistance and maintain an optimal level 9 of buffer stocks for food security; 10 15. Allow the United States to impose trade remedies against nations using 11 currency manipulation to gain an unfair trade advantage; 12 16. Have a speedy and fair method of resolving disputes among trading 13 partners; 14 17. Advocate for the reform of the WTO adjudication process so that cases are 15 decided by an independent and unbiased judiciary; 16 18. Allow flexibility for individual nations to provide economic safety net 17 programs and address unforeseen production, market, and trade 18 circumstances; 19 19. Encourage a balance of increased and transparent market competition, limits 20 on the concentration of market power and coordinated public competition 21 policy to ensure the efficient and appropriate allocation of resources within 22 all agricultural sectors; 23 20. Maximize the opportunity for individual and cooperative participation in all 24 segments of agriculture; 25 21. Create an effective, efficient, timely and transparent implementation, 26 compliance, and dispute resolution process; 27 22. Prevent further conversion of rainforests to production agriculture; 28 23. Publish meaningful, current, and standardized reports on imports of all 29 agricultural products, quantities and types, and a USDA report on the impact 30 of the WTO on agricultural producers; 31 24. Establish tariffs on foreign imports of all dairy ingredients that displace 32 domestically produced milk usage including animal feed ingredients;

1	25. Use GSM-102 export credit guarantees;
2	26. Further utilize the Market Access Program (MAP) and Foreign Market
3	Development (FMD) program;
4	27. Compensation payments to farmers in an amount that covers farmers'
5	market losses and their lost income resulting from embargoes and tariffs on
6	farm commodities;
7	28. Eliminate export subsidies in a uniform, worldwide manner;
8	29. Increase transparency and market disciplines of state trading enterprises;
9	30. Increase equity and balance in agricultural tariff and tariff rate quota
10	regimes;
11	31. Develop an improved and more inclusive methodology for measuring the
12	level and impact of domestic support programs, including green box
13	supports and effective subsidies conveyed through monetary policy and
14	labor and environmental regulation;
15	32. Allow countries to address the circumvention of tariffs and tariff rate quotas
16	by trading partners;
17	33. Provide consumer information (labeling) on agricultural products to address
18	food safety concerns and enhance market access;
19	34. Allow for national flexibility in the design and implementation of domestic
20	support programs within reasonable negotiated limits such as the Trade
21	Adjustment Assistance program;
22	35. Cooperatively develop, implement and enforce competition policies;
23	36. Utilize end-use certificates to monitor the flow of all agricultural imports;
24	37. Allow countries to restrict the import of agricultural commodities that are
25	contaminated or infected with disease or other toxic or noxious organisms
26	that threaten domestic production and/or food safety; and
27	38. The United Nations' International Treaty for Plant Genetic Resources for
28	Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA).
29	We oppose:
30	1. Elimination of tariffs, tariff rate quotas and domestic trade remedies utilized
31	to counter the effects of dumping and other unfair trade practices, including

1 the use of monetary, labor, and environmental regulations that create 2 competitive trade advantages; 3 2. Elimination of "credit" for supply management programs (WTO blue box); 4 3. Requirements that domestic support programs be de-coupled; 5 4. Importation of agricultural products from countries that do not grow or 6 produce such products; 7 5. The use of embargoes and tariffs on farm commodities to further 8 international trade or international relations objectives that are not directly 9 related to the success of family farmers in the United States; and 10 6. The Investor State Dispute Settlement (ISDS). 11 B. TRADE PROMOTION AUTHORITY (FAST-TRACK) 12 We support the immediate repeal of Trade Promotion Authority (TPA). 13 We oppose: 14 1. Fast-track negotiating authority for the president; and 15 2. The fast-track system of ratification of trade agreements in which the entire 16 trade package must be approved without amendment or rejected in total by 17 Congress. 18 Congress should have full opportunity to review and amend provisions of a trade 19 agreement, consistent with the authority and power endowed by the U.S. Constitution. 20 Because agriculture is only one area considered in the trade agreement negotiation, 21 fast-track could easily sweep agricultural concerns aside. 22 C. FAIR AND TRANSPARENT TRADE PRACTICES 23 U.S. products entering international trade are subject to various potential 24 exclusionary mechanisms, impediments, and manipulations. These barriers to fair trade 25 include tariffs, unnecessary phytosanitary requirements, arbitrarily adjusted exchange 26 rates, prejudicially applied border and value-added taxes, as well as selectively applied 27 local taxes and regulations specifically designed to prevent fair and equitable treatment 28 of our products. These unfair trade practices are a significant economic burden on 29 domestic producers. Therefore, we support:

1. Anti-dumping petitions on behalf of all U.S. producers;

- 2. Creation and implementation of a "green tariff" to be imposed on all imported goods and services produced or created under less restricting environmental constraints than those originating from U.S. sources;
 - 3. Conducting adequate supply chain audits to identify sources of, and seek solutions to, uncompetitive practices that influence price to the final consumer;
- 4. Re-establishment of the Byrd Amendment;
- 5. The U.S. Trade Representative investigating countries for revocation of Generalized System of Preferences (GSP) benefits from countries that may no longer qualify for programming and supporting the U.S. Congress looking into the way that other countries subsidize agriculture and its detrimental effects on U.S. farmers; and
- 6. That the power to levy tariffs should rest with the U.S. Congress, except in times of emergency. When emergency tariffs are put in place by an Administration, within 60 days of their enactment Congress should review and vote on whether the tariffs should stay in place.

D. HEALTH AND INSPECTION STANDARDS FOR FOOD AND FIBER IMPORTS

We believe that food imports pose a much greater food safety threat to American consumers than domestic food. Only a minimal amount of food imports are physically inspected, and of those which are inspected, many are rejected for reasons ranging from mislabeling of residues to pesticides banned for use in this country.

We support:

- Increased funding and number of inspectors for the Agriculture Quarantine
 Inspections Program and transfer inspectors back to USDA from Department
 of Homeland Security (DHS);
- 2. Prohibiting the export of chemicals not registered for food and fiber uses in the United States for food and fiber uses in other countries;
- 3. Strict monitoring of imports to prevent importation of residues of chemicals banned in the United States for food and fiber;
- 4. All imported foods that do not meet USDA or FDA standards should not be allowed into the United States;

1 5. Nuclear magnetic resonance testing on all imported products that are 2 labeled as honey to ensure the purity of the honey; 3 6. Processing facilities for such imported products should be inspected at least 4 annually. Food products from that facility should be labeled as such, even if 5 the product originated in the U.S.; 6 7. Requiring inspection be continuous and thorough, not just an occasional, 7 minor sampling. Products that fail inspection should be condemned and not 8 allowed a second opportunity to enter our country: 9 8. Expenses for all inspections coming from fees on the imported products paid 10 by the exporter at the point of origin; 11 9. Implementing increased USDA, FDA, and customs inspection and regulation 12 of casein, milk derivatives and milk adhesives imported for food use; and 13 10. Increased funding, monitoring, and inspections to better protect the 14 domestic organic market from fraudulent imported agricultural products. 15 E. CHINA TRADE 16 We support annual reviews of the impact of the Permanent Normal Trade Relations 17 (PNTR) for China to document its effect on U.S. farmers. Such reviews should also 18 address whether China: 19 1. Ratifies and enforces all pending United Nations covenants on human rights; 20 2. Develops a history of complying with international trade agreements; 21 3. Enacts and enforces rules that protect individual rights, establishes 22 appropriate environmental standards, and fosters fair trade; and 23 4. Enacts and enforces food and product safety standards equal to those 24 required of producers in the United States. 25 We oppose trade provisions that pit the agricultural sector against the industrial or 26 manufacturing sectors (also see Article IV.A. – Agricultural Trade Negotiations). 27 F. INTERNATIONAL FOOD ASSISTANCE 28 Everyone should have the right to have access to safe and nutritional food. We

Everyone should have the right to have access to safe and nutritional food. We support the following provisions to enhance international food assistance:

29

30

31

1. Funding for food aid programs be used to purchase U.S.-produced food when in-country local food is unavailable;

1	2.	Food and developmental aid for those here and abroad who are poor, and
2		certainly for those endangered by famine, to assure their survival and well-
3		being;
4	3.	Providing available means and agencies to supply the necessary food;
5	4.	Emphasizing distribution of food once it reaches recipients' country;
6	5.	Providing donations of high-quality commodities instead of using poverty-
7		stricken nations as a dumping ground for poor-quality grains;
8	6.	Adequate funding of the McGovern-Dole International Food for Education
9		and Child Nutrition program;
10	7.	Continued work with CARE and other hunger and agricultural organizations
11		to support economic development opportunities for people in less-
12		developed countries.
13	8.	Forfeited grain from Non-recourse Marketing Assistance Loans be reverted
14		to the USDA to be used for overseas relief packages to third world countries;
15	9.	Development of a world food/grain reserve that is structured as to not
16		depress prices or discourage food production in developing countries; and
17	10	. Continued support of P.L. 480 as long as food aid is not used for political
18		objectives.
19	We op	pose the monetization of U.S. food aid to purchase food commodities for
20	developin	g countries when adequate supplies of U.Sproduced foods are available.
21	G.	World Farmers Organization (WFO)
22	As a fo	ounding member of the World Farmers Organization (WFO), we believe that
23	WFO play	s a vital role in providing the world's farmers with a forum in which to
24	exchange	ideas and information, not only about farming techniques, but policies that
25	affect farn	ners' economic well-being and daily lives.
26	We ur	ge WFO to be an active advocate for the world's farmers.
27	Н.	FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION
28	We su	pport the Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations.
29	I.	POLICIES TOWARD INDIGENOUS PEOPLES AND DEVELOPING NATIONS
30	We su	pport:

- The use of the United States' economic strength, in cooperation with our private sector and other nations, to promote the economic, social, and human development of less-developed nations;
 - 2. Ending the embargo and establishing fair trade relations with Cuba;
 - 3. Respecting the food sovereignty of developing countries by not undercutting the price of local staples;
 - 4. The adequate compensation of indigenous peoples for the consumption of their resources; and
 - 5. The protection of other countries, nations, and indigenous peoples' domestic food security policies, indigenous seed stock, foods, natural resources, and cultural practices.

We oppose:

- 1. The dumping of agricultural products in developing countries which puts local farmers out of business and destabilizes local economies;
- 2. The forced removal of indigenous peoples from their traditional homelands; and
- 3. The exploitation of developing countries through forcing them to abandon their own domestic food security policies, indigenous seed stocks, foods, and cultural practices.

J. FARMERS AND FARM YOUTH EDUCATIONAL EXCHANGE PROGRAMS

Since a better understanding of agriculture and trade can help promote a more peaceful and prosperous world, we believe that educational exchange programs including farmers, farm leaders, and farm youth should be encouraged.

K. OWNERSHIP OF FARMLAND BY INSTITUTIONAL INVESTORS IN ALL COUNTRIES

National Farmers Union opposes the investment in and ownership of forest lands, range, and arable lands in all countries by institutional investors (corporations, commercial and investment banks, pension funds, life insurance companies, endowments, high net-worth individuals, sovereign wealth funds, and similar types of entities). These lands should primarily be owned, managed, and farmed by domestic family farmers in each country.

1 ARTICLE V - CREDIT AND THE FAMILY FARM 2 We recognize that agricultural debt will continue to be a problem until there is a 3 genuine and lasting improvement in farm income opportunities. We support: 4 1. The efforts of the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau to monitor and act 5 on availability of loans and transparency of loan servicing activities: 6 2. Policies that ensure equal access to credit, regardless of gender, race, marital 7 status, or age; 8 3. A farm credit policy that is adequately financed and that includes the use of 9 third-party lending agencies to help re-establish America's family farms and 10 provide special assistance to beginning and socially disadvantaged farmers; 11 4. The Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation (Farmer Mac) to continue as 12 a viable source of long-term, fixed-rate credit for family farmers. Farmer Mac 13 should be monitored to ensure that it follows the intent of Congress and 14 helps family farmers, rather than transferring the ownership of land to 15 corporate investors. Farmer Mac should not be used to weaken state 16 borrower protection laws or penalize borrowers who make prepayments. 17 Congress should amend the statute to streamline the operating structure and 18 eliminate provisions that reduce Farmer Mac's efficiency in providing loan 19 products with competitive interest rates to family farmers and ranchers; 20 5. The Federal Financing Bank, to expedite insured and guaranteed loan 21 programs and oppose any attempts to reduce the volume of the bank, which 22 would push borrowers into private sector credit; 23 6. Updating Small Business Administration farm and ranch eligibility criteria to 24 make it commensurate with what is available to other business sectors; 25 7. Cooperative credit unions, initiatives to allow farmer investments into credit 26 unions and allowing farmers to obtain agricultural credit from credit unions; 27 8. Farmers Union organizations aggressively forming and furthering credit 28 unions and promoting legislation on their behalf; 29 9. "Aggie Bond" programs allowed under federal law being enacted by state 30 and local governments. These programs should not be used for loans for

contract production unless the contract is approved as a USDA model

31

32

contract:

- 1 10. Mandatory funding and expansion of the Certified Agriculture Mediation 2 Program (CAMP), and extension of the program authorizing matching grants 3 to states with qualified mediation programs; 4 11. Maintaining Chapter 12 as part of permanent bankruptcy law, reforming the 5 filing process and encouraging educational efforts to farmers about their 6 rights under Chapter 12; 7 12. Tax amnesty for taxes imposed on family-sized farms and ranch operators 8 who face tax liabilities after restructuring or forced sales; protecting the 9 interests and rights of borrowers, lenders, and taxpayers through the proper 10 and consistent implementation of existing legislation; prohibiting a debtor to 11 reorganize into a larger-than-family-farm-size unit; and encouraging all 12 options be made available for FSA borrowers with shared appreciation 13 agreements, due to artificially inflated land values; 14 13. Special attention given to the credit needs of surviving spouses of farmers 15 who want to continue farming; and 16 14. Requiring banks, credit unions, and other financial institutions to publish 17 and identify, independently of interest rate, any additional discounts, 18 whether additional points or credit rate decrease or increase based on other
 - A. FARM SERVICE AGENCY (FSA) CREDIT PROGRAMS

business with the institution, including crop insurance.

We support:

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

- 1. Guaranteed loans and direct lending;
- 2. Emphasizing adequate funding for direct-lending programs for farm ownership and operating expenses to beginning and socially disadvantaged and/or historically underserved family farmers (also see Article I.A.6. Beginning Farmers and Ranchers);
- 3. Increasing the maximum loan amounts to reflect land values and provide opportunities for additional family farmers;
- 4. A consistent, sufficient funding mechanism ensuring loan funding allocations are available to all approved FSA loans in a timely fashion;
- 5. Increasing emergency funding so that it is available on a timely basis;

1 6. Encouraging the secretary of agriculture to seek the necessary authority to 2 increase the maximum level of federal emergency farm operating loans that 3 may be made available to producers who have suffered multiple years of 4 weather-related disasters: 5 7. Educating borrowers on sound farm management principles to reduce 6 foreclosure rates. Borrower training should be provided by existing 7 programs, including Farm Business Management and Cooperative Extension; 8 8. Permitting the guarantee of loans for stock purchases in the farmer-owned, 9 value-added cooperative, as long as the cooperative can demonstrate its 10 feasibility: 11 9. Allowing producers who have used debt restructuring to be eligible for all 12 federal loans, including FSA and emergency loans; 13 10. Processing applications for credit and appeals in a timely manner to meet 14 production demands: 15 11. Not garnishing federal farm program payments to pay delinquent farm credit 16 payments except in cases of delinquency exceeding 3 years without loan 17 restructuring; 18 12. Streamlining and digitizing loan programs and the appeals process; 19 13. Implementing debt restructuring, including debt forgiveness, as equitably as 20 possible and allowing additional restructuring to be considered; 21 14. Continuing to enable the USDA National Appeals Division (NAD) to be an 22 independent and fair forum for agricultural producers; 23 15. Increasing consistency and education on the county and state appeals 24 process and FSA servicing responsibilities to the borrower; 25 16. Prohibiting the use of private collection agencies and offsets of income tax 26 refunds to recover outstanding debt from borrowers who voluntarily 27 liquidate their assets; 28 17. Elimination of term limits for non-delinquent borrowers; 29 18. Prohibiting the imposition of long-term or permanent wildlife or 30 conservation easements on land acquired by FSA foreclosures;

created after the land was acquired by FSA foreclosures; and

19. The nullification of government-enacted conservation easements that were

31

1 20. Establishing a revolving loan pool for all Farm Service Agency (FSA) loans to 2 ensure loan repayment is credited to the FSA budget. 3 B. FARM CREDIT SYSTEM 4 The Farm Credit System (FCS) should follow its original purpose – keeping the 5 family farmer on the land – by actively providing credit to all family farms within their 6 district, regardless of size, and maintaining farmer-elected control of FCS boards. 7 We support: 8 1. Prohibiting differential interest rates for FCS member-borrowers because 9 they are contrary to cooperative principles; 10 2. An investigation of the discriminatory effects of differential interest rates; 3. Local control and participation of all FCS associations and banks while 11 12 remaining on the forefront of good governance practices to keep the system 13 viable for producers in the future: 14 4. All FCS directors, officers, and bondholders to take the lead in advocating 15 improved farm income as the basic means of repaying farm debt and 16 securing the FCS; 17 5. FCS enforcement of regulations governing the borrowers' rights sections of 18 the Agricultural Credit Act of 1987, including the use of cease-and-desist 19 powers when necessary: 20 6. Congress giving past and present FCS borrowers the right to pursue 21 litigation against FCS institutions they have done business with; 22 7. FCS continuing to be the primary source for financial services for farmer 23 cooperatives and their associated businesses. We call upon member 24 cooperatives to ensure that these institutions remain farmer-controlled; 25 8. Preventing FCS institutions from being sold to outside entities, thereby 26 exiting the system. The ability to exit the system negates the benefits of 27 farmer control and makes it difficult to replace the services mandated by the 28 Agricultural Credit Act; 29 9. Expanding FCS lending authority only to the extent it directly benefits family 30 farmers, ranchers, and rural communities. Expanded lending authority must

support domestic investments and operations;

- 10. FCS maximizing the patronage and dividend distribution to its borrowermembers so they have access to the FCS capital they helped to create;
 - 11. Full access to rural credit for farmers, ranchers, fishers, and the communities in which they live. We are particularly concerned about the lack of available credit in rural areas with high unemployment, including, but not limited to Native American Reservations;
 - 12. Competition in lending to allow credit options for our members;
 - 13. Jurisdiction of the FCS remaining under the authority of the U.S. House and Senate Agriculture Committees; and
 - 14. Farmers and ranchers given first choice to purchase any foreclosed or financially distressed farmland under FCS jurisdiction.

C. COOPERATIVE FINANCING

Cooperatives are special business entities, which are unique in nature and have unique needs. Cooperative financing institutions need to provide financial services and investment financing necessary to assist established cooperatives in re-tooling to meet changing times in the markets, and to participate in new opportunities to service rural communities and their family farmer and rancher owners.

These cooperative financing institutions need to establish a program to assist farmers and ranchers and their rural communities by providing risk capital to start new cooperative ventures. The program should also provide financial grants to new cooperatives for in-depth training of their respective board of directors.

The National Cooperative Bank is an important financial resource for rural and urban consumer cooperatives and we encourage the bank to place greater emphasis on rural lending.

ARTICLE VI - FARM COOPERATIVES AND THE FAMILY FARM

Farmer-owned cooperatives reduce costs of production, maintain a reliable source of inputs, effectively market and process farm products, improve member livelihoods, and help recreate rural communities. NFU encourages its members and organizations to provide leadership in the patronage, direction, operation, and development of cooperative enterprises, and in the education of members and the public as to cooperative philosophy and principles and working in collaboration with other cooperative sectors including consumer, food, worker, insurance, and financial co-ops and credit unions.

A. COOPERATIVE LAW

Federal law provides a fundamental economic right to individual farmers to join in cooperative associations for the purposes of pricing, processing, marketing, transporting, and selling their products, and bargaining with processors and handlers for prices and other terms of sale, without being subject to prosecution under antitrust laws. This is a right for farmers as individuals and not the cooperatives that they establish.

The basic cooperative authorities are set forth in the Clayton Act of 1914, the Capper-Volstead Act of 1922 (allowing agricultural producer associations), the Cooperative Marketing Agreement Act of 1926, the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1929, the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1937, and other statutes, including those that deal with the status of cooperatives under the federal tax system. These laws are vital.

Government policies and programs should help to better develop, protect, advance, and promote farmer cooperatives' role in assembling, processing, selling, marketing, and distributing farm commodities and services. We support the expansion of USDA's efforts on behalf of cooperatives and call for full funding of these initiatives. We oppose any attempt to revise cooperative laws, administratively or legislatively, that would diminish or jeopardize the democratic nature of cooperatives, their unique governance structure as member- owned and -controlled enterprises, and ability to maintain financial and ethical integrity.

We oppose new state laws that allow supposed "cooperatives" to structure ventures with non-members in ways that give the outside investor voting control of the combined entity, including the Uniform Limited Cooperative Association Act statutes

1 that undermine the democratic nature of the cooperative enterprises and the interests 2 of producer, worker, and consumer members. Such legislation contradicts the basic 3 purpose of a cooperative established by 100 years of legal practice and precedent as a 4 user-owned, user-controlled entity that distributed benefit based on use rather than 5 capital invested 6 **B.** ROCHDALE PRINCIPLES 7 We reaffirm our belief in the basic Rochdale Principles of cooperation as last 8 updated in 1996 that were designed to ensure democratic control of the business by its 9 members and that the members receive the primary benefits of their cooperative 10 enterprise, including: 11 1. Voluntary and Open Membership 12 a. Open membership to all who share the common bond and objective of the 13 co-op; 14 2. Democratic Member Control 15 a. One vote per member, regardless of the volume of business done by the 16 member, with no proxy voting: 17 b. Elimination of bloc voting; 18 c. Directors elected by active members; and 19 d. Open records access by members: 20 3. Member Economic Participation 21 a. Savings/earnings of the cooperative distributed back to the member-22 users in proportion to the members' patronage volume; 23 b. Limited interest/dividends on invested capital; and 24 c. Sales at competitive prices, and trading normally conducted on a cash 25 basis: 26 4. Autonomy and Independence; 27 5. Education, Training, and Information; 28 a. A continuous cooperative education program to teach cooperative 29 philosophy, principles, and operation, funded by five percent of a 30 cooperative's net margin; 31 6. Cooperation among Cooperatives; and 32 7. Concern for Community;

1 a. Cooperatives working for the sustainable development of their 2 communities through policies accepted by their members. 3 C. ADDITIONAL PRINCIPLES 4 To further the cooperative movement, we support: 5 1. Cooperatives returning to the original intention of Capper-Volstead, which 6 allows cooperatives to collectively process, prepare for the market, handle, 7 and market in interstate commerce: 8 2. The rights of cooperative members to organize and operate regional and 9 interregional cooperatives (marketing agencies in common) or to merge 10 with other cooperative associations and not be restricted by law or 11 government regulation; 12 3. Exhausting all options of maintaining local control, including merger or joint 13 ventures with a nearby cooperative, before a local co-op is absorbed by a 14 regional cooperative; 15 4. Encouraging local members or nearby cooperative associations to 16 repurchase a local facility that has been absorbed by a regional cooperative; 17 5. Encouraging regional boards to work with local cooperatives to help them 18 operate for the benefit of members; 19 6. Requiring business entities to provide members with "due diligence" 20 information that is timely and adequate before voting on a merger between 21 two or more cooperatives; 22 7. Cooperative members giving serious consideration to the long-term 23 consequences of selling a cooperative to private entities for short-term 24 gains; 25 8. Individual Farmers Union members taking responsibility to be full 26 participants and patrons in the cooperative movement and to build closer 27 relationships between their farm organization and their cooperatives; 28 9. Encouraging cooperatives benefitting from nontraditional income in events,

such as litigation, to expeditiously distribute the proceeds to all relevant

29

30

parties;

10. Traditional farmer-owned cooperatives lending their experience and cooperation in building new value-added cooperatives that will enhance their local communities and increase the profitability of their farmer-owners;

- 11. Cooperatives working to return the cost of production and reasonable profit.

 In addition, they should support federal farm policy that enables producers to receive profitable farm prices;
- 12. Cooperatives being of sufficient size and strength to be effective in representing their farmer-members without competing with family farmers;
- 13. Increased funding for Rural Business Cooperative Services (RBCS) to focus its primary efforts on working directly with farmers in the organization and development of cooperatives, including providing on-the-ground services to producers such as feasibility studies and organizational assistance to farmers, as well as start-up and development grants;
- 14. Funding for the Rural Cooperative Development Grant (RCDG) Program; RCDG grants of up to three years should be given to centers that have previously received funding and have demonstrated success in starting businesses. Remaining funding should be made available for new centers to apply for annual grants;
- 15. Revisions in rules and regulations to allow loans to producers who choose to purchase stock in established agricultural processing cooperatives and new cooperatives formed for the purpose of adding value to agricultural commodities;
- 16. Deferral of capital gains taxes when a refining or processing facility is sold to a farmer-owned cooperative if the benefit is passed onto family farm cooperative members;
- 17. Strengthening the ability of rural citizens to establish new member-owned enterprises that enhance farm income and quality of life in rural America, by continuing our work with the network of cooperative development centers;
- 18. Focusing on a national school/training program that both identifies opportunities and trains cooperators in the formation and operation of

1 value-added cooperatives. NFU could serve as the coordinator of such a 2 project; 3 19. Membership in state Farmers Union organizations being required of all 4 Farmers Union cooperative board and company members; and 5 20. Either spouse should be able to represent the family farm in voting at 6 cooperative meetings. We encourage both spouses to become voting 7 members of cooperatives. 8 We oppose: 9 1. Cooperatives (except cooperative farms) engaging in domestic and foreign 10 agricultural production activities, including land ownership in direct 11 competition with agricultural producers: 12 2. Mergers or joint ventures between regional cooperatives and multinational 13 corporations unless such a merger or joint venture would benefit local 14 cooperative members: 15 3. The practice of allowing individuals, other than "at risk" producers, to serve 16 as a director in a producer cooperative. Status of such "other individuals" 17 should be limited to non-voting and advisory roles; 18 4. Government efforts to dictate the time and manner for returning cooperative 19 patronage earnings; 20 5. The use of net savings of a cooperative to be used to invest in production 21 agricultural operations by the cooperative; and 22 6. Cooperatives allowing a single member or entity to fill more than one board 23 seat. 24 D. TEAMWORK OF FARMERS UNION AND COOPERATIVES 25 The link between NFU, Farmers Union cooperatives and all other cooperatives 26 strengthens all entities. The farm-income improvement measures and strategies 27 advocated by Farmers Union deserve the interest and support of farm cooperatives 28 genuinely concerned about the well-being of their member families. 29 E. CHS 30 We urge CHS not to lose sight of the fundamental cooperative principles upon which 31 it was founded.

- We oppose CHS owning farmland in the United States and other countries and producing crops that will be in direct competition with farmers in the United States.
- We also oppose:

4

5

6

- 1. Giving the CHS board of directors the flexibility to approve non-member patronage arrangements; and
- 2. Modifying the CHS membership definition to allow non-producers the rights granted to members currently.

1	Art	CICLE VII – WATER AND LAND POLICY, CONSERVATION AND THE FAMILY FARM	
2	A.	OBJECTIVES OF A COMPREHENSIVE LAND POLICY	
3	Family	y farmers and ranchers have historically been our best soil and water	
4	conservationists when given the economic incentives and flexibility necessary to do so.		
5	Our policy encourages a well-balanced, sensible environmental policy that protects the		
6	public and the environment without unduly burdening family farmers through		
7	excessive regulation or economic hardship and, when applicable, provide farmers and		
8	ranchers with opportunities for increased income.		
9	We call on all federal and state regulatory agencies to act in accordance with the		
10	above principles and use the least intrusive, economically practical, and science-based		
11	methods to accomplish sound environmental quality goals, with consideration given to		
12	all these factors and not any one exclusively. We support a national land policy that:		
13	1.	Recognizes multiple use values of public lands while striving for sustainable	
14		use in all areas;	
15	2.	Emphasizes agriculture as the most productive use of existing high-quality	
16		farmland;	
17	3.	Recognizes the right of private landowners to be compensated for	
18		government land-use restrictions that amount to takings of property;	
19	4.	Recognizes the value of independently owned and operated family farms to	
20		stewardship of natural resources;	
21	5.	Develops education and outreach materials for the public to achieve land use	
22		goals for the benefit of future generations;	
23	6.	Encourages entry into the business of farming or ownership of agricultural	

6. Encourages entry into the business of farming or ownership of agricultural lands by farm families, as opposed to non-farm interests or non-family farm corporations;

- 7. Allows states to prohibit corporate interests from circumventing corporate farm laws by contracting with individual producers;
- 8. Strengthens and enforces state laws to protect family-owned and –operated farms;
- 9. Prohibits concentration of farmland ownership by off-farm corporations, associations, and interests. These laws must be closely monitored and

1	strengthened so they may continue to preserve production agriculture for	
2	family farmers;	
3	10. Discourages the concentration of land ownership and off-farm ownership via	
4	state programs of graduated land taxes and similar disincentives or	
5	limitations;	
6	11. Recognizes the importance of wetlands (also see Article VII.S.6 - Wetlands);	
7	and	
8	12. Respects existing farming operations and practices, especially under the	
9	pressures of urban encroachment.	
10	B. PROHIBITION OF FOREIGN OWNERSHIP OF FARMLAND, COMMERCIAL FISHING	
11	PRIVILEGES AND DISCLOSURE	
12	Foreign interests, including those acting through U.Sregistered entities (except	
13	families or individuals seeking U.S. citizenship), should be prohibited from acquiring	
14	U.S. agricultural lands, holding federal grazing allotments or commercial fishing	
15	privileges. We respect the right of other nations to put similar limitations on	
16	agricultural lands in their nations.	
17	Foreign individuals, corporations or governments should be required to disclose to	
18	appropriate agencies their ownership of any U.S. business, financial, energy or real	
19	estate assets, in a manner comparable to procedure in the Agricultural Foreign	
20	Investment Disclosure Act of 1978.	
21	We support the establishment of a private right of action for farmers to sue foreign	
22	individuals, corporations, or governments who fail to disclose their ownership of any	
23	U.S. business, financial, energy or real estate assets.	
24	Citizens of the United States have a right to know the extent of such ownership or	
25	control so that remedial steps may be taken.	
26	C. LAND TRANSFER	
27	We support:	
28	1. Establishing a joint federal-state cooperative effort to assist beginning and	
29	socially disadvantaged or veteran farmers to acquire an economically viable	
30	family farm enterprise;	
31	2. Establishing income tax incentives for landowners and retiring farmers who	
32	sell or lease farmland to beginning or socially disadvantaged farmers and	

- veterans, which provides for the orderly transfer of land, prior to the seller's death, as part of an established estate plan;
 - 3. Encouraging religious, educational, charitable and similar nonprofit institutions that obtain farmland to ensure that such lands are operated or sold in a manner which preserves and promotes family farm units and does not disrupt land values with reasonable time limits being placed on holdings in order to return the land to local tax rolls as quickly as possible;
 - 4. Maintaining existing effective conservation practices when land is transferred; and
 - 5. Holding the party responsible for contamination of private land liable, after environmental audits reveal conclusive scientific evidence of contamination, whether they are the current or former owners. Current or new individual family agricultural operators should not be held liable for contamination that did not occur during their ownership.

D. PUBLIC LANDS

We recommend that Congress maintain its commitment to the responsible multiple use of public lands. The federal land stewardship agencies should improve their land management techniques on all public lands.

Livestock production in the 17 western public lands states is highly dependent upon public land grazing and local government services. The health of wildlife habitat is dependent on well-managed livestock grazing. Government actions that drastically change the way livestock grazing is conducted on public lands could greatly damage the economy in rural America.

We support:

- The current Public Rangeland Improvement Act (PRIA) formula as a fair and
 equitable method for determining appropriate grazing fees. Grazing fees
 represent the dividends of stewardship of the federal land, not the value of
 the forage on the rangeland;
- 2. The management of grazing on federal lands to be based on scientific data and monitoring of individual allotments and encourage increased funding for this research;

1 3. Utilization of the local Resource Advisory Council (RAC) to set standards and 2 guidelines for grazing tailored to the local area; 3 4. Reinstatement of local grazing committees; 4 5. Public-land managers assisting public-land permittees to develop their own goals and grazing plans that would be utilized in managing their public-land 5 6 grazing allotments; 7 6. Linking the holding of grazing permits with actual ownership of grazing 8 animals by resident family farmers and ranchers and their entities: 9 7. A certified mediation service for all disputes regarding grazing with the U.S. 10 Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management (BLM); 11 8. Public-land grazing legislation to require that in disputes between public-12 land managers and permittees, the permittees be advised of their rights 13 under Section 8 of the PRIA, which authorizes land-grant university staff to 14 provide assistance and advocacy services to permittees: 15 9. Efforts to establish grass banks on public lands; 16 10. Efforts to establish and preserve plantings of heirloom seeds and native 17 plants on public lands for the purpose of ensuring biodiversity and the 18 protection of native flora; 19 11. Allowing ranchers to travel by motorized vehicles, control predatory animal 20 populations, continue range improvements and develop water resources; 21 12. Requiring the federal and state governments to pay the full amount of the "in 22 lieu of property tax" (PILT) payments on property that it owns or acquires. If 23 full payment is not made, there should be a moratorium on any further land 24 acquisition by the agency that fails to meet its responsibility; 25 13. Requiring the BLM to follow through with: 26 a. Annual surveys and management plans to control wild horse and 27 burro populations to minimize damage to private and public lands; 28 29 b. A limit of five years of captivity for captured horses in holding 30 facilities: 31 14. The USDA-inspected horse and burro adoption program and oppose the

release of non-adopted or domestic horses or burros on public lands;

1 15. The harvest of excess feral horses and burros for consumption: 2 16. Reimbursing family farmers and ranchers by the appropriate government 3 agency, with an equitable lease payment annually adjusted to reflect current 4 land values, including for expenses incurred in the acquisition, development, 5 and improvement of public grazing lands in the event permits are revoked; 6 17. Public access to federal and state lands being only on designated routes; 7 18. Encouraging public-land managers to support and facilitate the formation of 8 locally owned cooperatives and businesses to harvest and process forest 9 products; 10 19. All federal agencies charged with wildlife management taking steps to 11 eradicate diseases from all wildlife under their control on federal lands; 12 20. Involving permittees in the process of revising, updating, creating, and 13 otherwise modifying plans that govern use of public lands in advance of 14 public hearings, including draft plans: 15 21. The use of public lands for the development of energy production and 16 transmission with priority given to renewable energy except where existing 17 livestock grazing permits are negatively impacted; 18 22. Decisions made by the administration that support input from livestock 19 producers on best management practices and range conditions while 20 achieving a strong balance between resources on public lands that include 21 grazing; 22 23. The transfer of vacant, unused, or abandoned grazing allotments to qualified 23 family ranchers rather than permanently retiring or abandoning the 24 allotment; and 25 24. Policies that enable payments to flow to public land permittees for 26 ecosystem services. 27 We oppose: 28 1. Grazing regulations and legislation that require permittees to remove their 29 livestock while they appeal a decision of a public-land manager; 30 2. Permitting non-grazers to acquire so-called "conservation allotments" that

grazing permits to low- and moderate-income families;

result in the bidding up of the price of an allotment, thus denying access of

31

- 1 3. Any swaps of public lands with private developers that would adversely 2 impact the agricultural community. Hearings regarding such swaps must be 3 held within 50 miles of the proposed land to be swapped. Cultural and 4 economic impact studies must be done to assess the impact of such proposed 5 swaps; 6 4. Taking private water rights and private structures on public lands; 7 5. Reintroduction of species detrimental to livestock production and 8 agriculture: 9 6. The expansion of military reservations; 10 7. Legislation that would allow the buyout of grazing permits on federal lands; 11 8. Grazing of cattle not born and raised in the United States on public lands; and 12 9. The transfer of federal public lands to state control; 13 10. Separating water from existing leases; and 14 11. The renewed efforts of the U.S. Forest Service and BLM to reduce or
 - E. NATIONAL GRAZING LANDS COALITION

The National Grazing Lands Coalition (NatGLC), formerly known as the Grazing Lands Conservation Initiative (GLCI), seeks to provide high-quality technical assistance on privately owned grazing lands on a voluntary basis, and to increase awareness of the importance of grazing land resources through a coalition of individuals and organizations functioning at the local, state, regional and national levels.

We support working cooperatively with the NatGLC to promote benefits of sustainable grazing practices and their continued line-item funding.

eliminate domestic sheep grazing from federal lands.

F. FOREST HEALTH

We support:

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

- 1. Maintaining Categorical Exclusion capability in federal forest management plans to address forest health issues, so the U.S. Forest Service can accelerate the harvest of small timber stands in western U.S. forests;
- Federal and state forests being accessible to the renewable energy industry to allow the use of devastated wood product in environmentally beneficial value-added products;

1 3. Implementation and full funding of efforts to minimize the effects of the 2 insect epidemics on the national and state forests throughout the United 3 States. Federal, state, and private programs must be approved immediately 4 to protect open space, wildlife habitat, watersheds, clean air, and other 5 natural resources as well as infrastructure such as roads and utility lines for 6 public safety; 7 4. Funding for programs that provide meaningful education for the public 8 concerning overall forest health; and 9 5. Livestock grazing as a management tool in maintaining forest and range 10 health. 11 G. WATER QUANTITY AND QUALITY 12 1. WATER QUANTITY DISTRIBUTION 13 Laws impacting water distribution should not favor industrial, wildlife, and 14 recreational uses over those of agricultural producers. 15 We support: 16 i. States recognizing domestic, irrigation, and livestock water usage in 17 neighboring states when issuing irrigation permits on shared tributaries; 18 ii. The use of interstate water compacts between federal, state, and tribal 19 governments for regulating water sharing between neighboring states; 20 iii. The use of water storage through impoundment structures, conservation 21 measures, USDA Small Watershed Program, and implementation of soil 22 health principles as primary means for water development; 23 Adoption of legislation to protect agricultural water rights through state iv. 24 water rights to prevent future power and energy plants from consuming 25 water to the detriment of agriculture; 26 Requiring new energy plants to return water to a level of quality capable v. 27 of use by agriculture; 28 vi. Subjecting new, large enterprises that will use a significant quantity of 29 water to a permitting process to assess the environmental and

Enforcement of the limitations on the size of farm operations eligible for

community impacts of the proposed use;

federally subsidized irrigation water;

30

31

32

vii.

1 viii. Deferred implementation of the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation regulation on 2 the use of federally developed water supplies and facilities and the 3 practice known as "water spreading." The economic impact of any policy 4 changes on family farmers and rural communities should be completed 5 before implementation: 6 a. Water leasing instead of buy and dry policies; and 7 b. The United Nations adding a 31st article to the 1948 Universal 8 Declaration of Human Rights, establishing access to clean and 9 potable water as a fundamental human right; 10 Appropriate water development or just compensation to meet the water ix. 11 appropriations of Native American water rights to protect current 12 agricultural water users; 13 X. The economic benefit to agriculture be considered before permitting any 14 new federally funded water projects: 15 Federal rules and legislation that advocate for the sharing of burden хi. 16 across all water sectors and water users in the arid West, so that 17 agricultural water supply and agriculture itself are not injured; 18 xii. A voluntary, temporary, and compensated water demand management 19 program to sustain agricultural communities and agricultural economies; 20 and 21 xiii. Federal legislation creating a water pool in Lake Powell for Upper Basin 22 water storage. 23 We oppose: 24 The movement of any water for the purpose of a coal slurry pipeline or 25 similar venture unless a method can be developed to return water of 26 equal quantity and quality to the original area from which it was taken. 27 Prior to the exportation of any water, an environmental and economic 28 impact statement should be completed to determine its effect on 29 agriculture; 30 Any efforts by the federal government through the usage of a national ii.

water policy to usurp the rights and prerogatives of the individual states;

- 1 iii. An outright ban on "water spreading," as currently defined which would 2 have devastating impacts on agricultural producers in the Columbia 3 Basin and other Bureau of Reclamation irrigation projects throughout 4 the West: 5 Producers bearing the cost of taking inventory of irrigated lands and any iv. 6 mandated renegotiation of bureau contracts through their irrigation 7 district. Agricultural producers should be credited, and not penalized, for 8 increased efficiencies and for their aguifer recharge efforts: 9 v. The condemnation of agricultural water rights; and 10
 - vi. The change of use or removal of water from the basin of origin or nontributary groundwater without a complete impact statement concerning the effect on agriculture, environment, and economic stability.

2. WATER QUALITY PROTECTION

The protection of our groundwater resources is critical not only to continuing farm operations, but as a source of drinking water for many rural residents.

We support:

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

- The creation of a national database on the extent of water quality problems, including nationwide voluntary well-testing programs that include cost sharing of landowners;
- Legislation or regulations limiting groundwater contamination by incentivizing regenerative land management practices in keeping with soil health principles, while enacting effective groundwater cleanup of already contaminated water sources;
- iii. Continued implementation of the Safe Drinking Water Act;
- iv. The uniform administration of Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) policies throughout the nation. Adding to the list of contaminants that municipalities and rural water districts are required to test for, as mandated by EPA, must be backed by science. We encourage EPA to stop its practice of targeting specific regions with stricter standards than applied in non-targeted regions;
- v. Requiring inspectors be public employees, rather than employees of the companies who are being regulated;

1 vi. Information and education concerning the present condition of our 2 underground water supply and what we can and should do to prevent 3 any further contamination; 4 vii. Efforts in research that clarify the issue of point source pollution and 5 non-point source pollution; 6 viii. Programs to protect and improve the quality of surface waters, such as 7 the Western Lake Erie Basin and Chesapeake Bay Program, and urge that 8 all who use the resource, such as boaters and fishers, be included in the 9 effort: 10 Producers participating in state watershed assessment programs; ix. 11 Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs) being required to post X. 12 the appropriate bonds to cover the cost of cleaning up any contamination 13 of land and water resources. When posting these bonds, CAFOs should 14 also be required to develop and submit waste storage closure plans: 15 xi. National minimum guidelines, or standards, that give primacy for 16 implementation and flexibility in regional planning to the states: 17 xii. Encouraging states and localities to establish standards beyond the 18 federal minimums; 19 xiii. Cost-share provisions targeted to small and medium-sized farmers. 20 Responsibility for submitting a waste management plan and complying 21 with the waste management provisions should be shared by the owner of 22 the livestock and the operator of the facility; 23 The application of best management practices (BMP) established by xiv. 24 agencies of the USDA for disposal of poultry waste and animal manure, 25 and recommend such manure be classified as soil supplements and plant 26 food, and not toxic or hazardous waste. If BMP is subject to regulation, 27 we urge the appropriate state department to be assigned as the 28 regulator; 29 Research to determine the environmental impact of animal-feed content XV. 30 on animal waste; 31 xvi. Family farmers being appointed to serve as advisers to any federal 32 agency when a national waste standard is developed;

1	xvii.	Targeting water subsidies to family-sized farms;	
2	xviii.	EPA encouraging communities and municipalities to partner with	
3		landowners in upstream watersheds on voluntary incentive-based	
4		programs to implement best management practices to address water	
5		quality;	
6	xix.	Water produced in Coal-Bed Methane (CBM) development be treated to	
7		meet existing state water quality standards for surface water discharge	
8		before disposal in a manner that would endanger freshwater sources.	
9		Laws requiring mitigation of impacts associated with CBM water must be	
10		established to protect adjacent landowners; and	
11	XX.	Water quality laws that account for discharges from wildlife, as well as	
12		industrial, residential, commercial, and agricultural sources.	
13	We op	We oppose:	
14	i.	The method of deep-well injection of hazardous waste;	
15	ii.	Legislation that restricts livestock grazing in pastures where running	
16		streams or ponds are located and mandatory fencing of rivers, streams,	
17		and ponds;	
18	iii.	In situ leach mining;	
19	iv.	Any infrastructure or resource development that jeopardizes the health,	
20		safety, and quality of freshwater aquifer resources; and	
21	V.	Any EPA policy directive that would mandate the testing and/or	
22		certification of water or farmland unless a federal fund is established to	
23		pay necessary costs of meeting certification requirements.	
24		3. CLEAN WATER ACT	
25	Clean	Clean Water Act rulemaking requires Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) be	
26	establishe	established for 303(d) listed watersheds, i.e., streams that are impacted by	
27	pollution.	pollution. Compliance with mandatory state and federal watershed TMDL	
28	regulation	regulations can create a hardship on family agricultural producers for compliance	
29	with state	with state and federal water quality standards.	
30	We su	We support:	

1	i.	Voluntary compliance and incentive-based, cost-sharing programs
2		currently working to minimize production agriculture's impact on our
3		nation's water quality;
4	ii.	Protecting the quality of our water and assuring its suitability for
5		beneficial uses;
6	iii.	Regulations for the process to identify waters protected under the Clean
7		Water Act (CWA) being made clearer, simpler, and faster;
8	iv.	Exemptions for normal agricultural activities being reaffirmed;
9	v.	Agricultural producers and representatives of local government entities
10		from all agricultural areas of the U.S. being included in discussions to
11		address the issues concerning the proposed changes to jurisdiction of the
12		CWA;
13	vi.	Extensive monitoring of water quality to accurately assess progress
14		toward clear goals;
15	vii.	Proper appropriation of funding to fully implement clean water efforts;
16	viii.	Ongoing evaluation and recalibration of nutrient reduction and
17		conservation efforts; and
18	ix.	Employment of agronomic practices, conservation efforts and funds, with
19		a focus on those that have the largest positive impact toward reaching
20		clean water goals.
21	We op	pose:
22	i.	Broadening the definition of what waters are considered jurisdictional
23		under the CWA;
24	ii.	The deletion of the word "navigable" waters from the federal Clean
25		Water Act which would expand the taking of private property rights and
26		provide additional intrusiveness;
27	iii.	Current rulemaking by EPA that would establish regulatory-based
28		TMDLs for family agricultural producers; and
29	iv.	The broadening of the federal government's jurisdiction over a group of
30		waters such as the entire prairie pothole region simply because the
31		bodies of water are near each other.
32		4. Drought Monitoring

We support continued federal support of the National Drought Mitigation
 Center.

H. AIR QUALITY

Production agriculture should be exempt from the regulating of particulate matter. Agriculture should be a stakeholder in research and education about the role of agricultural production in particulate matter emissions. Agricultural producers should follow best management practices when practical to help reduce particulate matter and improve air quality.

I. Animal Feeding Operations (AFOs)

NFU recognizes that family farm agriculture and good, sound environmental practices need to work together. Our policy encourages a well-balanced, sensible environmental policy that protects the public and the environment without unduly burdening family farmers through excessive regulation or economic hardship.

When properly managed, livestock waste is a valuable resource that provides essential nutrients to cropland and lessens the need for commercial fertilizer. We oppose the defining of animal manure, waste or nutrients as being considered hazardous and regulated under the federal "Superfund" law, the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) and the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA).

Animal feeding operations should be regulated beginning at the local level. Cost sharing for regulatory compliance should be made available and targeted to family-sized producers.

We support standards that are on a graduated system of at least three tiers: small, medium, and large. They should be implemented with a sliding scale of standards that address each size of operation.

J. CONCENTRATED ANIMAL FEEDING OPERATIONS (CAFOS)

We support requiring permits for large-scale feeding operations, i.e., confined feeding operations that require National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits as defined under the February 2003 EPA rule change. Under the rule change, all large CAFOs require permits and all other CAFOs that discharge pollutants into surface water require a permit. The issue of scale is critical in determining the level

1 of risk associated with waste management. Therefore, we support the following 2 standards: 3 1. Holding animal owners and/or contractors responsible for spills and manure 4 disposal in contract feeding operations: 5 2. Using best available technology to reduce the environmental impact of waste 6 and setting higher standards for waste storage, including open-air lagoons; 7 3. Requiring a CAFO to have a reasonable setback distance from an existing 8 residence, business, church, school, public use area or riparian area; 9 4. Permit applications must prepare and submit a nutrient management plan 10 containing detailed information regarding proposed methods of distribution. 11 Application of animal waste should be monitored by the states' departments 12 of health and should adhere to the agronomically sound, best management 13 practices adopted by the state in which the facility exists. No waste should be 14 applied to ice, to highly erodible slopes, or where the ground is frozen; 15 5. Including sound, science-based guidelines for using phosphorus and 16 nitrogen as limiting factors on the gallons of liquid livestock waste and tons 17 of solid waste to be applied per acre as a part of the nutrient management 18 plan; 19 6. Permit applications for a large-scale facility must serve notice in a timely 20 fashion for public comment describing the type of facility to be constructed, 21 including information on the type of waste to be generated; 22 7. Permit holders must disclose the number of animal units within a facility 23 upon request by the appropriate regulatory agency; 24 8. A livestock owner whose permit has been revoked in one jurisdiction not 25 being allowed a permit in another jurisdiction; 26 9. Facilities that close being required to use proper cleanup, including re-27 vegetation, within three years of closure; 28 10. Existing operations being required to comply with permit requirements at

116

11. Permits requiring financial assurance including proof of liability insurance,

the time of permit approval;

equity, or adequate bonding;

29

30

1 12. Appropriate penalties for those who fail to comply with permitting 2 requirements; 3 13. Implementing a temporary moratorium on the establishment of CAFOs 4 based on issues of health until local control is re-established; and 5 14. CAFOs processing animal waste nutrients into renewable energy resources. 6 We oppose efforts to restrict the property rights of family farmers and rural 7 residents by limiting their ability to regain compensation in court against a neighboring 8 CAFO nuisance caused by negligence or poor management. 9 K. PESTICIDE REGULATION 10 We support pesticide regulations that include: 11 1. Protection for producers of non-targeted crops that incur damage due to 12 drift from pesticides onto their property; 13 2. Liability insurance requirements for commercial licensed pesticide 14 applicators: 15 3. An exemption from liability through EPA for groundwater cleanup for 16 farmers who applied pesticides according to label directions; 17 4. Provisions emphasizing protection of uncontaminated groundwater and 18 providing means to keep residue levels from increasing; 19 5. Access to health and safety information for farmers, farm laborers and 20 emergency medical personnel; 21 6. Greater cooperation between EPA and USDA to help ensure that pesticide 22 regulations and disposal do not unnecessarily interfere with normal farming 23 practices and land transfers; 24 7. Legislation designed to eliminate U.S. production of pesticides not registered 25 for use in the United States, or for which a pesticide residue tolerance has 26 not been set: 27 8. Restrictions on the import of food products produced with such pesticides, 28 with more frequent inspections at borders to protect consumers from unsafe 29 pesticide use; 30 9. The agriculture secretary developing regulations implementing authorized

programs for less chemically intensive farming practices. Full funding for

1 these farm programs and for pest-control research initiatives should be 2 included; 3 10. Cooperation among farmers, chemical companies, and governmental 4 agencies to reduce pollution hazards by using integrated pest management, 5 biological controls or other effective alternatives to pesticides when 6 available: 7 11. The creation and implementation of safety standards protecting people from 8 exposure to pesticides; 9 12. Continued prudent use of approved chemicals for crops, including expedited 10 registrations for minor use pesticides and requiring EPA to use peer-11 reviewed, third-party science, such as land-grant universities, in their 12 registration review process; 13 13. Chemical manufacturers increasing the use of reusable, pre-measured, 14 water-soluble, and bulk containers: 15 14. Federal and state assistance for recycling containers and in establishing 16 central locations for disposal of chemical containers; 17 15. Standardization of farm chemical prices and regulations between the United 18 States and other countries: 19 16. Fair pricing of chemicals and pesticides not tied to commodity prices; 20 17. Full funding for the IR-4 minor crop pesticide registration program; 21 18. The Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) as the primary 22 regulatory act for applications of pesticides by farmers and ranchers. Any 23 additional permitting required by EPA under court mandate should mirror 24 existing recordkeeping, education and training requirements and any 25 increases in training requirements should carry provisions for the expenses 26 associated with such training; 27 19. Extensive research on new technologies and their applications regarding 28 their impact on non-targeted organisms; 29 20. Prohibition on the export of pesticides not registered for food and fiber uses 30 in the U.S. for food and fiber uses in other countries; 31 21. Application of the precautionary principle, guided by publicly available, 32 peer-reviewed science in regulating pesticides and herbicides; and

1 22. The creation of a user-friendly electronic pesticide drift reporting system. 2 L. FERTILIZER REGULATIONS 3 The future of agricultural producers worldwide depends to a great extent on 4 developing and implementing the best use of fertilizers. 5 We support: 6 1. No hazardous waste being included in fertilizers; 7 2. Manufacturers labeling all ingredients in fertilizers and providing 8 notification to buvers: 9 3. Anhydrous ammonia continuing to be classified as non-hazardous for the 10 purposes of transporting nurse tanks on public roadways; 11 4. Privately owned anhydrous ammonia facilities and equipment to meet the 12 same safety requirements and standards as that of licensed dealers. We 13 encourage private owners to attend anhydrous ammonia safety training 14 classes: 15 5. The federal government stepping up enforcement of the illegal production of 16 methamphetamines and fully funding measures to prevent the theft of 17 agricultural materials and cleanup of confiscated methamphetamine labs; 18 6. Biosolids generated from human waste should be inoculated, composted, or 19 spread in a timely fashion with a nutrient and pathogen management plan. 20 Farmers must be provided with a complete nutrient and contaminant 21 analysis of the biosolids; 22 7. Updated and thorough testing and certification of biosolids for heavy metals, 23 industrial chemicals, flame retardants, PFAS, steroids, and pharmaceuticals; 24 8. Educational or informational resources being provided to family farmers 25 regarding the risks associated with the application of biosolids to 26 agricultural lands; and 27 9. Sufficient consideration being given before OSHA implements changes to the 28 enforcement of Process Safety Management of Highly Hazardous Chemicals 29 Standards for Retail Facilities. 30 M. Noxious and Invasive Species Control 31 Much of the current infestation problem is attributed to insect or other invasive 32 species population growth on public lands. Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

- 1 (APHIS) needs increased funding so it can properly inspect all imported and interstate
- 2 movements of agricultural products, monitor insect hatch and damage, and coordinate
- 3 measures in states affected by infestations. Federal grants or cost sharing on both
- 4 public and private lands should be made available for dealing with widespread
- 5 infestations of invasive insects, plants, and animals.
- 6 Increased monitoring and inspection of domestic and foreign imported products to
- 7 prevent introduction of invasive species. Vigorous control and eradication measures are
- 8 needed to ensure that invasive species be eliminated.
- 9 Where needed and requested, the Agricultural Research Service (ARS) must engage
- systems-based insect ecology research on the interaction between soil health
- implementation and pest infestation occurrence and should provide adequate standing
- funding for practical, applied research and Integrated Pest Management (IPM)
- programs to help farmers implement rapid, highly organized and cooperative IPM
- responses to infestations. It is especially important that the additional cost of such
- programs be wholly or partially underwritten for small-scale farms to enable them to
- 16 participate effectively in them.
- 17 Coordinated efforts and adequate funding are urgently needed for improved control
- of noxious weeds on public lands and all road rights of way. We are concerned that
- 19 farmers are expected to control weeds on their land while nearby public land goes
- without weed control. Whenever this responsibility is not being met, there should be a
- 21 moratorium on any further land acquisition by the appropriate agency.
- We support alternatives to chemical control measures to control noxious weeds on
- public lands.

24

25

27

N. PRESCRIBED BURNING

- Prescribed burning is a viable farming practice.
- We support:
 - 1. The right to safe burning when necessary;
- 28 2. The use of prescribed fire as a tool to reduce wildfire risk and improve range
- and forest health; and
- 3. Ongoing research to discover economically viable alternatives to burning.
- 31 **O.** LANDOWNER RIGHTS
- We support:

- Private property rights, including ownership of land and all its attributes above, on, and below the surface, including pore space, unless otherwise marketed;
 Land lessees' rights;
 Just compensation to farmers and ranchers who suffer losses because of any state or federal agency ruling;
 Local control;
 - 5. The expiration (null and void) of any pipeline or utility right-of-way grant five years after abandonment or period of non-use for the original purpose or use of the grant;
 - 6. Trespassers being held liable for any damages done to private property, including along public accesses; and
 - 7. The use of transferable development rights, conservation easements, and other tools that will keep agricultural lands in production.

We oppose:

- 1. The "big open" concept, and the resulting confiscation of private property;
- 2. Any agency or individual entering into a mineral lease or granting a permit for the extraction of minerals without the consent of the land surface owner, including just compensation to the agricultural operation;
- 3. Landowners being held liable for injuries obtained by a trespasser;
- 4. The ability of landowners to sever air rights or any other property rights above the surface; and
- 5. Any attempts by the government to take away a landowner's right to compensation for use of pore space and a landowner's rights to bring claims for trespass, nuisance, or other torts for unauthorized use of pore space.
- P. EMINENT DOMAIN (ALSO SEE ARTICLE IX.F. IRS TAX CODE 1031 EXCHANGES)

Abuses of eminent domain occurring across the country raise serious concern to the rights of private property owners. While government entities are permitted to seize private property for public use via eminent domain, it is contrary to American values to seize property from one private owner and give to another for purposes of increasing tax revenue.

1 The rights of private property owners need to be protected against parties with 2 condemnation rights and due process should be applied to all proceedings involving 3 eminent domain. Every effort should be made to preserve farmer ownership. 4 In all public and private projects where eminent domain proceedings may 5 eventually be used, we support: 6 1. Individual notifications and public hearings being held prior to project 7 implementation: 8 2. Public hearings held in the county in which the project is slated: 9 3. Negotiations between the property owner and utility to include yearly 10 royalty fees to be paid to the owner for each occurrence of current or future 11 use: 12 4. Severance damages including payment for the diminution of remaining land 13 values, increased expenses and inconvenience suffered by affected 14 landowners and operators: 15 5. All initial court expenses, including attorney and appraiser fees, being borne 16 by the constructing agency in condemnation proceedings: 17 6. Values being determined by appraisers agreed upon by all parties; 18 7. Easements for utilities being for the life of the utility only. If the new 19 equipment is installed on an existing easement, the landowner should be 20

compensated at fair market value of the land, any related opportunity costs, and for surface damage, at the time of installation. The landowner should receive an ongoing cash payment from the project. If the entity is for-profit, it

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

8. Owners being compensated with appropriate annual payments or a lump sum of no less than three times the appraised value;

should not fall under the eminent domain law:

- 9. Federal and/or state legislation that would strengthen private property rights against the use of eminent domain to transfer property to any private person, non-governmental entity, or other public-private business entity;
- 10. Offering seized property back to the original property owner if property is not used in a timely manner or if property is not used for its original public use intent; and

1 11. The right of a landowner to receive a tax shelter, and/or 1031 like-kind 2 exchange against capital gains from the sale of properties taken by eminent 3 domain. 4 We oppose: 5 1. The use of eminent domain by state and local governments for the purpose 6 of "economic development" when it involves taking private property, 7 especially farmland, from one owner and giving it to another private entity; 8 2. The use of eminent domain without the developer putting into place 9 environmental safeguards, maintaining conservation and drainage practices, 10 and assuming liability for damages; 11 3. The acquisition of productive farmland through use of the eminent domain 12 process to extend wildlife habitat; and 13 4. Granting eminent domain authority to any private, corporate, or foreign 14 entity. 15 Q. ZONING 16 We support: 17 1. The preservation of farm units in any rezoning efforts. Any state and federal 18 legislation should recognize that agricultural land must be preserved for the 19 future. Family farmers should be represented in all relevant zoning 20 deliberations; 21 2. Farmland near areas already converted to urban or other uses, which may be 22 appreciating in speculative value, being taxed as agricultural land as long as 23 the land continues to be farmed by a family farmer; 24 3. Requiring economic and environmental studies to determine the effects on 25 future water supplies of changing land-use designations from agriculture to 26 some other use:

health, safety, and welfare interests of their residents, including improved

5. Legislation to strengthen the rights of local government units to protect the

4. Federal and state funds for flood control projects to protect communities and

agricultural land. We favor zoning laws prohibiting development of areas

that flood frequently;

27

28

29

30

1 governance provisions for regulating concentrated feeding operations and 2 future land use requirements; and 3 6. Zoning regulations describing borders, setbacks, and bonding for 4 reclamation. 5 R. CLIMATE CHANGE AND CARBON SEQUESTRATION 6 NFU is concerned about the effects of climate change and believes further research 7 and analysis is necessary to determine its actual and potential impacts. We 8 acknowledge and accept the scientific evidence that clearly indicates that human 9 activities are a contributing factor to climate change. We believe that human activity 10 also has the potential to help mitigate climate change. 11 We support: 12 1. Farmers and ranchers being consulted as the United States moves forward to 13 reduce its emission of greenhouse gases; 14 2. Soil health practices that aim to increase organic matter and humus 15 development; 16 3. Carbon sequestration as an innovative way to enhance income for producers 17 and protect the environment. Therefore, the trading of carbon or tax credits 18 with the inclusion of carbon sequestration as an agricultural conservation 19 practice for fair and equitable carbon offset payments should be encouraged; 20 4. Consumer education regarding the value of family farmers in mitigating 21 climate impacts; 22 5. Carbon sequestration research and carbon payments not being biased 23 toward a single practice, such as no-till, and instead integrating soil health 24 principles into all agricultural practices, including grazing lands, energy 25 feedstock production, organic cropping, wood lots, the Conservation Reserve 26 Program, cover cropping, industrial hemp, improvements in livestock feed 27 efficiency, and other proven conservation methods; 28 6. A carbon trading exchange to compensate farmers and ranchers for 29 sequestering carbon; 30 7. A national mandatory carbon emission tax or fee and dividend system to

reduce non-farm greenhouse gas emissions that:

1 i. Grants USDA control, verification and administration of the 2 agriculture offset program, rather than EPA; 3 ii. Does not place an artificial cap on domestic offset allowance; 4 Bases carbon sequestration rates upon science; iii. 5 Recognizes early actors; and iv. 6 v. Allows producers to stack credits. 7 8. Agriculture being uncapped in any climate change legislation; 8 9. The inclusion of provisions that are advantageous to agriculture while 9 minimizing potential negative effects to agriculture and rural communities 10 such as increased input costs, elevated electricity costs and decreased global 11 competitiveness: 12 10. All nations participating to reduce carbon emissions, as climate change is a 13 global responsibility: 14 11. Research and development of carbon capture and storage (CCS) facilities, 15 with the understanding that landowners will not share in the risks and 16 liabilities associated with CCS: 17 12. Research and promotion of resilient farming practices, such as the NRCS Soil 18 Health Initiative, that mitigate and adapt to the potential effects of climate 19 change; 20 13. Efforts to preserve rainforest land and convert cleared rainforest land back 21 into diversified agroforestry; 22 14. Increasing USDA research funding for public plant breeding programs to 23 provide farmers with seeds that are regionally adapted to changing climates; 24 and 25 15. The goals articulated through the Paris Climate Accords; 26 16. Creating an incentive program for cost share on practices that help 27 producers voluntarily reduce greenhouse gases through reduction in 28 emissions or capture of greenhouse gas; 29 17. USDA using planning and producer outreach to better inform producers of 30 the risks associated from extreme weather events that may be exacerbated 31 by the changing climate;

- 18. Increased federal funding for research on the use of biochar to improve soil health and sequester soil carbon, and incentives for its use by farmers and ranchers;
- 19. Funding and utilization of USDA Climate Hubs to coordinate resource sharing of climate information, agronomic and risk management support; and;
- 20. Programs that enhance opportunities for family farmers and ranchers to participate in the ecosystem service market.

We oppose:

- 1. Any plan that does not cover carbon emissions from all sectors of the economy;
- 2. Considering international indirect land use changes when determining U.S. carbon and energy policy; and
- 3. Exempting small domestic refiners (producing 150,000 barrels per day or less) from an emission cap.

S. Conservation

Strong conservation efforts promote healthy soils. Conservation programs should be fully funded to benefit the environment, reward stewardship of land and water resources and marine habitat, discourage speculative development of fragile land resources, strengthen family farming, and enhance rural communities. Conservation assistance should be at a level designed to meet the needs as shown in the federal land conservation inventory, the appraisals under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, and other federal studies.

We support:

- 1. The development of a one-stop conservation planning system for agriculture through the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) with conservation program decisions being made by locally elected conservation entities, where appropriate;
- 2. A single conservation plan jointly developed by the farm operator and approved by NRCS being established to fulfill the requirements as recommended. This conservation planning system should replace the existing sodbuster, swampbuster, Corps of Engineers flood plain and other

- 1 regulations which affect agricultural lands. The plan should be supervised 2 and approved by the USDA committee process, with the technical assistance 3 of the NRCS: 4 3. The objectives of the conservation plan being used to reduce and control 5 wind and water erosion, prevent non-point source pollution, and enhance 6 the soil and water capacities of the land; 7 4. Designation of which highly erodible soils should not be tilled, and which 8 may be tilled, with approved conservation practices: 9 5. Programs that promote soil health and sustainable farming practices; 10 6. Accurate mapping and documentation of both existing and drained wetlands, as well as any drains and channels. The plan should outline the conservation 11 12 of wetlands, as well as the maintenance of drains and channels; 13 7. Restoring NRCS annual appropriations for conservation technical assistance 14 at the field office level to adequately meet the needs of America's farmers, 15 ranchers, and landowners; 16 8. A payment system that moves toward an outcome-based approach where 17 real changes and environmental benefits are tracked and rewarded; 18 9. Programs being based on voluntary continuous signup and precluding the 19 use of a bidding system; 20 10. That when a conservation plan is implemented, a producer being considered 21 compliant with all federal agencies. Producers should be allowed to remedy 22 inadvertent or unavoidable failures to carry out conservation plan practices 23 without automatically being penalized. Loss of full federal farm program 24 benefits should be imposed only in cases of purposeful destruction of 25 conservation practices;
 - 11. Federal financing to meet clean water and air standards of the EPA being available to farmers from funds appropriated by Congress for this purpose, and that such funds be administered through the farmer-elected committees;

26

27

28

29

30

31

12. Adequate funding to enable the small watershed programs to provide for the maintenance and rehabilitation of existing structures built under federal water control and flood protection programs, as well as to build additional

1	structures	to provide flood control, livestock water, irrigation water, and
2	recreationa	ıl benefits to rural America;
3	13. State effort	s to create cost-share programs for soil and water conservation
4	practices;	
5	14. The continu	uation of NRCS snow surveys and Snow Telemetry (SNOTEL)
6	measuring	stations;
7	15. Limiting pr	ograms that increase the role of private companies and non-
8	governmen	tal organizations in conservation planning, technical assistance,
9	or impleme	entation; and
10	16. The continu	uation and expansion of the Environmental Quality Incentives
11	Program (E	QIP), which provides federal cost-share and technical assistance
12	to enable fa	armers to comply with environmental requirements, and we urge
13	full approp	riation of existing authorized funding and an increase in future
14	funding. Ad	lditionally, we support:
15	i.	Appropriate caps on funding levels to assure funds are directed to
16		family farmers and ranchers;
17	ii.	Preference and priority going to family farmers below a 500-
18	;	animal waste unit threshold;
19	iii.	The agriculture secretary prioritizing the use of EQIP funds for
20		family farmers and ranchers, accounting for the geographical
21		differences in farming and ranching operations;
22	iv.	EQIP program eligibility and cost-share levels being consistent
23		with commodity program eligibility and payment limits; and
24	v.	USDA funding public and private research and development of
25		composting and that composting be eligible for cost sharing
26		under EQIP as an enhancement of pollution control.
27	We oppose conser	vation program provisions which exclude or penalize producers
28	who are early adopter	s of stewardship practices and those that penalize producers for
29	non-compliance with լ	program requirements resulting from "Acts of God" such as
30	drought, fire, and flood	ds.
31	1. Lan	D RETIREMENT AND EASEMENT PROGRAMS

1 We urge the full funding of the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) and the 2 Agricultural Conservation Easement Program (ACEP). 3 We support adjusting enrollment requirements to allow native prairie 4 grasslands as an eligible class for ACEP or similar programs. 5 Greater emphasis should be placed on improved farm management techniques. 6 We believe that assisting farmers in becoming the best possible stewards of natural 7 resources is a better long-term approach to sustainability than simple land 8 retirement. 9 We support a flexible short-term land idling program that compensates farmers 10 for reduction in acreage for crop production. 11 2. Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) 12 We support: 13 i. CRP contracts and contract extensions which maintain CRP lands in 14 private ownership of resident family farm and ranch operators: 15 ii. CRP payment rates that are competitive but do not exceed average local 16 land values and/or rental rates so as not to discourage participation; 17 A 25-percent-per-county acreage limit for CRP, unless it is determined iii. 18 that lifting the cap would address local endangered species concerns and 19 not have a negative economic impact on rural communities: 20 Adequate funding to ensure close monitoring by the NRCS and the FSA to iv. 21 enforce contract requirements for adequate weed, erosion, insect and 22 fire control: 23 Enrollees being allowed to manage permanent, vegetative cover to v. 24 enhance wildlife habitat and ecosystem health; 25 vi. Landowners' rights to collect hunting or recreational use fees on CRP 26 land: 27 vii. The program being better focused to serve the needs of family farmers 28 and ranchers and to protect highly erodible land and other 29 environmentally sensitive lands; 30 viii. Land enrolled in CRP having an end-of-contract plan in place for use of 31 the land following the contract expiration date, accounting for erodibility, 32 soil type, and conservation practices;

1 Voluntary participation to transfer CRP lands that qualify for ACEP to ix. 2 that program; 3 X. Evaluation of all CRP lands currently or previously enrolled in the 4 program for contract re-enrollment. The most environmentally sensitive 5 land should be given first opportunity for contract. Land enrolled in CRP 6 that is critical habitat for endangered species should be given an 7 extension of up to 15 years. CRP lands diverted into long-term timber 8 and forestry conservation projects should be given a high priority for 9 contract re-enrollment; 10 Financial and technical assistance being provided to producers in xi. 11 preparing CRP acreage for sustainable agricultural systems that will 12 meet established conservation standards; 13 xii. Land managed with appropriate organic standards while enrolled in CRP 14 being eligible for organic certification upon leaving the program; 15 xiii. Land that was farmed prior to being enrolled in CRP being eligible for 16 crop insurance upon contract expiration; 17 In times of extended drought conditions or weather disasters, xiv. 18 authorization of haying or grazing on CRP acres to livestock producers 19 based on need, with CRP acres being used to replenish feed supplies. 20 Haying and grazing of CRP by a producer in a disaster declared county 21 should not be restricted to land in the disaster-declared county or state. 22 The FSA farmer-elected county committees should be given the authority 23 to set the date of harvest to maximize the feed value of hay and forage. 24 These regulations should be established so the procedures are known in 25 advance. The maximum landowner income from the having and grazing 26 should not exceed the annual CRP contract amount from that farm; 27 No further reductions in total acres enrolled in CRP; XV. 28 xvi. The CRP Transition Incentives Program (TIP) that allows CRP payments 29 to continue to landowners that transfer the land to beginning, veteran 30 and/or socially disadvantaged farmers; 31 xvii. TIP requiring that base acres are reattached to the tract they were 32 removed from:

1	xviii.	Planting property to shelterbelts or other conservation measures being
2		encouraged through reduced property taxes on those acres. Producers
3		who destroy shelterbelts or wooded areas should establish the same
4		number of acres of new trees for a minimum of 10 years;
5	xix.	An expedited process to adopt rules and regulations to re-enroll or to
6		extend CRP contracts;
7	XX.	Allowing approved CRP conservation cover crops to be used for biomass
8		production in areas where needed until the biomass industry evolves to a
9		more economically viable level. CRP payments would be paid on these
10		acres in years where biomass is harvested; and
11	xxi.	The creation of a CRP option that pays landowners a reduced payment in
12		exchange for responsible annual grazing of enrolled acres.
13		3. Buffer Strips
14	We support:	
15	i.	Development of new markets for products of perennial systems, such as
16		biofuels and feedstock crops; and
17	ii.	Enterprise research to evaluate and develop new plant material
18		selections along with associated production, harvesting and processing
19		technologies to discover and develop new uses for products of perennial
20		systems.
21		4. Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP)
22	We su	pport:
23	i.	Full funding, implementation, and expansion of the Conservation
24		Stewardship Program (CSP);
25	ii.	Implementation of CSP in a manner which recognizes conservation
26		practices appropriate to different climatic regions and provides for such
27		agricultural production practices to be adequately scored by the
28		Conservation Management Tool (CMT);
29	iii.	Allowing new conservation enhancements made to land in an existing
30		CSP contract to count as credit on subsequent CSP contracts as a way of
31		rewarding early-adapters; and

1 Consistent interpretation of the rules and guidance documents among all iv. 2 NRCS offices. 3 5. SODBUSTER AND SWAMPBUSTER PROVISIONS 4 We support: 5 Provisions that give the secretary of agriculture greater discretion in i. 6 handling sodbuster and swampbuster violations. FSA should be the 7 single regulatory agency; 8 The goal of soil conservation practices being to reduce soil losses to ii. 9 tolerable levels, or "T-levels"; 10 iii. Alternative conservation systems being used only in cases of financial 11 hardship, after recommendation of local conservation officials; and 12 iv. A federal sodsaver provision, which disallows the payment of farm and 13 crop insurance subsidies for crops planted on land without any previous 14 cropping history. 15 6. WETLANDS 16 We support: 17 i. Producers being provided full opportunity to participate in the 18 development and review of a single, coordinated approach to wetlands 19 protection. Requiring recertification of wetlands at 5-year intervals 20 creates a moving target for producers in compliance efforts; 21 ii. Making NRCS and FSA the lead agencies in wetlands delineation on 22 agricultural land, but oppose the use of special interest groups making 23 wetland determinations: 24 Any and all wetlands determinations throughout the United States iii. 25 relying on the presence of all three of the following mandatory wetland 26 criteria simultaneously appearing on the same site year-round: 27 a. Hydrology; 28 b. A predominance of hydric soil; and 29 c. A prevalence of hydrophytic vegetation. 30 The federal government consulting with the state and local governments iv. 31 to develop a unified, mutually agreeable management program to protect 32 our nation's wetlands:

- v. Wetlands management programs balancing wetland values and the needs of the various states and their political subdivisions and individual property rights;
 - vi. Any leaseholder, renter or owner being compensated equitably for the taking of lands through the classification of wetlands;
 - vii. The final interagency manual being revised with greater consideration for the food and fiber producers of the United States;
 - viii. Wetlands of less than one acre not falling under any jurisdiction of state or federal agencies;
 - ix. When dealing with farmland, primary consideration being given to the economic and environmental impact on agriculture;
 - x. In cases where adjacent landowners are not affected, landowners being able to move water within the contiguous boundaries of their own property without regulation, interference, or easements;
 - xi. Water outside the boundary of a wetland being considered sheetwater and not subject to jurisdiction by the state or federal agencies;
 - xii. NRCS and FSA cooperating with state and local agencies on wetland mitigation;
 - xiii. The right to remove excess water from wetlands under federal easements, and make physical adjustments pursuant to such removal, in amounts that correspond to excess moisture removal from productive farmland into the same wetland under easement; and
- xiv. Restoration of wetlands that have been damaged by excessive precipitation.

T. SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE

We support programs that promote soil health and sustainable farming practices as defined by the USDA definition of sustainable agriculture. Sustainable agricultural practices enhance environmental quality; make the most efficient use of nonrenewable and on-farm resources; sustain the economic viability of agriculture operations; enhance the quality of life for farmers, ranchers, and society; and where appropriate, integrate natural biological cycles and controls to protect the resource base on which agriculture depends.

U. REGENERATIVE AGRICULTURE

Regenerative agriculture is a system of farming and ranching principles and practices that increase biodiversity, enriches the soil, purifies watersheds, and all while increasing in-farm fertility, which may help producers save money by reducing input expenses. Regenerative practices include no tillage to minimal tillage, diverse cover crops, multiple crop rotations, agroforestry and silvopasture, all of which should be augmented by holistic grazing guidelines.

We support:

- 1. Incentives for regenerative farming and ranching practices that sequester more carbon, improve soil health and productivity, slow erosion and build up topsoil, and increase water infiltration;
- 2. Development of new markets for farmers and ranchers who are currently practicing regenerative farming and ranching or who are transitioning to regenerative practices;
- 3. Applied research on soil health in regenerative food production systems;
- 4. The making and use of compost to invigorate beneficial microbial soil life while recycling nutrients back onto the farm;
- 5. Educational, legislative, policy, and advocacy efforts aimed at furthering the practice of regenerative;
- 6. Efforts that incentivize regenerative agriculture practices, whether administered through the government, private entities, or the marketplace, so that the financial burden of implementing them is not borne solely by family farmers, but by all that benefit;
- 7. Public and private funding to pay farmers to develop healthier soil, as determined by such metrics as depth of soil aggregate formation. Soil aggregates are foundational to the function of watersheds and the longevity of infrastructure, and their tending requires adaptive management by the farmer; and
- 8. We encourage USDA, private industry, other relevant agencies, and collaborative efforts among farmers to explore economic opportunities for family farmers who utilize ecosystem services which improve resiliency, increase soil health, and promote healthier watersheds.

1 V. PREDATOR AND RODENT CONTROL 2 We support: 3 1. Restoring the original intent of the 1931 Animal Damage Control Act (ADC), 4 which mandates the federal government protect the livestock industry from 5 predatory loss. To the extent that an adequate ADC program is not available 6 to farmers, we recommend that a federally financed indemnity program be 7 instituted to pay for crop and livestock losses: 8 2. Judicious use of control practices being continued on federal and state lands 9 to control coyotes, feral hogs and other damaging species. Control practices 10 on private lands must be done with landowners' permission; 11 3. Farmers and ranchers being allowed to defend against predatory animals, 12 including those on the endangered species list, on their land by using the 13 most effective, safe, economical, and humane means; and 14 4. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service assuming full responsibility for any livestock 15 losses resulting from the reintroduction of non-domesticated animals. 16 We oppose the reintroduction of predatory animals. 17 W. ENDANGERED SPECIES 18 We support: 19 1. All impacted industries and individuals being compensated for actual annual 20 losses due to critical habitat designation; 21 2. Federal policy establishing meaningful incentives for landowners in the 22 business of farming and ranching who provide habitat for endangered 23 species; 24 3. Requiring federal agencies to continue cooperative public/private efforts 25 designed to increase the population of candidate species since such efforts 26 have proven successful; 27 4. Candidate conservation agreements as a tool to lessen impacts to agriculture 28 producers from candidate species that are warranted-but-precluded or 29 listed: and 30 5. Amending the law by specifying that no species may be listed as endangered

following conditions exist:

or threatened and no critical habitat may be so designated unless the

31

1 i. Site-specific studies have been done that find the species occupies or 2 has occupied within the last 15 years, habitat that is declared critical; 3 ii. Cultural and economic impact studies have been done which 4 determine what impact the declaring habitat or listing of species will 5 have on rural economies and rural cultures; 6 iii. Treaty law has been observed by the development of mutual 7 agreements between federal agencies and tribes and other 8 indigenous peoples protected by treaties: 9 iv. Hearings are held within a 50-mile radius of the proposed critical 10 habitat, with all public land permittees provided written notice; and 11 Managing any species that are threatened or listed under the v. 12 Endangered Species Act (ESA) to prevent detrimental effects on 13 working agricultural crops, lands, and livestock. 14 We oppose efforts to list the Greater Sage Grouse and Lesser Prairie Chicken as 15 endangered or threatened. 16 X. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS 17 We urge that the authority of the Army Corps of Engineers be limited to its historic 18 role of maintaining navigable waterways, dams, and facilities on public lands. We urge 19 the corps to designate flood control and rebuilding levees destroyed by floods a priority. 20 Y. NUCLEAR, RADIOACTIVE AND TOXIC WASTE 21 We support: 22 1. Enactment and vigorous enforcement of legislation, both federal and state, to 23 prohibit dumping of nuclear, radioactive, toxic, and other hazardous wastes 24 without detoxification; and 25 2. Development of hazardous waste encapsulation and disposal with robust 26 public input related to citing and construction methods with stricter 27 enforcement of laws on transporting, handling, and disposal. 28 Z. SURFACE MINING 29 With proper enforcement of the law, energy and other resources can be provided 30 without permanently damaging our nation's most important natural resources, namely 31 land, water, and air.

32

We support:

- Strong enforcement of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act
 including hard rock;
- 2. Ensuring that land stripped to recover underground resources is returned toits original or better condition;
- 5 3. Public involvement in the monitoring and enforcement of the surface mining law;
 - 4. Mandatory public disclosure of chemicals used in the mining process; and
- 8 5. Adequate bonding for post-mining reclamation.

1	ARTICLE VIII – ENERGY AND THE FAMILY FARM
2	A. Energy Objectives
3	We support a balanced energy policy that seeks energy independence for the United
4	States and, at the same time, protects our nation's environment and recognizes the
5	special energy needs of America's agricultural sector and its potential contributions.
6	We urge Congress and the Administration to address additional elements of a
7	national energy policy including:
8	1. Making the development of renewable sources of energy our number one
9	priority in reducing our dependence on fossil fuels;
10	2. Ambitious mandates for renewable energy production;
11	3. Equitable distribution and efficient development of energy while assuring
12	appropriate production of food and fiber;
13	4. Incentives for environmentally safe domestic exploration, drilling and
14	development to assure a reasonable degree of self-sufficiency and to mitigate
15	all negative economic consequences incurred by farmers, fishers, and fishing
16	businesses by such exploration and subsequent drilling resulting from said
17	exploration;
18	5. A balance of energy needs with a sustainable environment;
19	6. Concern for the survival of independent oil producers through the elimination
20	of the oil depletion allowance on all but domestic production;
21	7. Opposition to federal deregulation of the electric utilities industry;
22	8. A stand-alone Energy Efficiency Resource Standard that will achieve greater
23	energy efficiencies, including savings of electricity and natural gas from new
24	building codes, and equipment efficiency standards;
25	9. The right of farmers and property owners to feed back into the commercial
26	energy grid at equitable rates. Farmers should be encouraged to utilize both
27	agricultural crops and agricultural byproducts in the production of energy;
28	10. Country-of-origin labeling for fuels, requiring the secretary of energy to
29	implement country-of-origin disclosure requirements with respect to fuels;
30	11. The impacts on our rural economy of eliminating existing power plants
31	before they achieve their maximum intended lifecycle while transitioning to
32	a clean nower economy

1	12. Ac	12. Acceleration of development of fusion energy technology;	
2	13. Ability of states using mass-based and rate-based emissions reduction		
3	standards to trade carbon emissions; and		
4	14. A national renewable energy standard that would include the United States		
5	producing 25 percent of its own energy from renewable sources by 2025.		
6	B. Di	STRIBUTION	
7		1. Petroleum Supply	
8	To en	sure an uninterrupted flow of petroleum products for priority uses, we call	
9	for:		
10	i.	The U.S. government to ensure a necessary level of refining capacity to	
11		process the crude oil that would be available in a petroleum-supply	
12		emergency;	
13	ii.	Crude oil at competitive prices to be made available during an emergency	
14		to refineries so the resulting petroleum products will be provided to the	
15		agricultural sector;	
16	iii.	Strategic Petroleum Reserve supplies being allocated to cooperative and	
17		other independent domestic refineries at equitable prices at the early	
18		stages of any supply disruption;	
19	iv.	The reserve being used only in shortfall of stock, not price, to avoid price	
20		manipulation;	
21	v.	The creation of reserves in other fuel sources, including ethanol; and	
22	vi.	The support of the Corporate Average Fuel Efficiency (CAFE) standards.	
23	We op	ppose:	
24	i.	Efforts to force conservation through excise taxes, and support a	
25		continuation of the farm-use exemption from such taxes;	
26	ii.	Excise taxes on gasoline for deficit reduction purposes; and	
27	iii.	Efforts to curtail or eliminate the Strategic Petroleum Reserve.	
28		2. ELECTRICITY AND DEREGULATION	
29	We su	pport:	
30	i.	Local regulation of power to ensure all U.S. residents have access to	
31		affordable, high-quality electric service;	

1 a. We urge the EPA to place more emphasis on preliminary planning 2 assessment with the states and tribes expanding the Visibility 3 Protection Program through the addition of the regional haze 4 concept and to propose a rule that addresses a more reasonable 5 and realistic approach to the issues of regional haze. 6 b. We encourage the EPA to use actual air quality monitoring data 7 and improved computer modeling to determine if a state is in 8 compliance with EPA to air quality requirements for Class 1 areas 9 such as national parks and other designated natural treasures. 10 ii. Federal policy that would provide nonprofit power groups with the 11 necessary credit and financial support to set up needed generating and 12 transmitting facilities; 13 iii. Electric utilities providing rate structures that offer an incentive to 14 consumers to use off-peak power; 15 iv. The development of wind, solar, and other alternative sources of energy 16 in community-based and individually owned systems where viable; 17 The development of a national electrical grid designed with the capacity v. 18 to carry renewable energy from the production source to the areas 19 where it is needed: 20 Federal and state electric distribution studies on rural electric vi. 21 cooperative (REC) and municipal utility lines and substations to identify 22 necessary improvements and available capacity for renewable energy 23 production; 24 vii. A national Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) of at least 25 percent by 25 2025 that includes a strong local ownership component; 26 When siting lines "cross country," citizen input should be a first priority viii. 27 in the siting process; 28 Alternatives to siting lines "cross country," such as aggressive energy ix. 29 efficiency, demand-side management, distributed generation incentive 30 programs, and scheduled low-voltage improvements; 31 X. When new transmission lines and substations are placed, a yearly rental 32 payment to the landowner should be established;

1 xi. Fair compensation for farmers and landowners whose adjacent property 2 and operations are devalued by the siting of new transmission lines; 3 xii. Farmers with proposed renewable energy projects on their farms being 4 provided with adequate compensation and protections from onerous 5 interconnection requirements; 6 xiii. The use of the best available clean air technology when using fossil fuels 7 to even out the production of electricity from renewable sources. The use 8 of fossil fuels, nuclear energy, and energy storage in conjunction with 9 renewable energy sources is necessary given today's level of technology 10 to produce reliable and affordable electricity; and 11 xiv. Consideration and mitigation of the negative impacts on our economy of 12 eliminating coal-fired power plants before they achieve their maximum 13 intended lifecycle. 14 We oppose: 15 i. Infringement upon the existing service areas of rural electric 16 cooperatives (RECs) by investor-owned companies; 17 Energy provider overreach where ownership safeguards have not been ii. 18 set in place for the use of alternative energy sources on privately owned 19 land: 20 iii. Federally mandated restructuring of the electric utilities industry; 21 iv. Development of local transmission lines, which do not ensure financing 22 for low-voltage upgrades, which will be needed for many community 23 energy projects to reach these larger grids; 24 NEPA studies on public lands for energy transmission corridors without v. 25 local notification of landowners and local governments at the scoping 26 process; 27 Granting eminent domain authority to or on behalf of foreign vi. 28 corporations, state or federal governments for merchant transmission or 29 non-public utility projects, and any new nuclear plants in the United 30 States until safety issues are reviewed and confirmed; and 31 vii. Siting transmission lines cross-country when a viable alternative exists.

3. ECONOMIC ASSISTANCE

1 We support: 2 Utilization and adequate funding of the USDA Rural Utilities Service 3 (RUS) to provide direct low-interest loans to rural electric cooperatives 4 and their members to carry out renewable energy projects, energy 5 conservation measures, and for rural electric cooperative distribution 6 system improvements to withstand extreme weather events; 7 ii. Expanding RUS's role to provide funding for financing renewable energy 8 transmission and substations to community-based projects: 9 iii. Assistance to landowner associations or groups to conduct renewable 10 energy resource assessments and environmental impacts studies; 11 iv. Full disclosure of all classifications of subsidies received by private 12 utilities; and 13 v. Authorizing RUS to restructure existing debt for coal infrastructure 14 projects owed by Rural Electric Cooperatives to finance deployment of 15 wind and solar generation. 16 4. Public Ownership of Power Marketing Administration and Power 17 **GENERATORS** 18 Public ownership has been an essential element in providing reasonably priced 19 hydroelectric power to rural areas in the United States. Access to federally produced 20 and subsidized power must be guaranteed to new and existing public or cooperative 21 electric utilities. We oppose the sale of Power Marketing Administrations (PMA), the 22 Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA), state or tribally owned dams or other publicly 23 owned power generators. 24 5. Hydroelectric Power 25 NFU recognizes that hydroelectric power is an important renewable energy 26 source. 27 We support: 28 i. Continued development of hydroelectric power sites, where they are

 Continued development of hydroelectric power sites, where they are cost-effective and ecologically and environmentally compatible, and upgrading of current sites for better efficiency;

29

30

31

32

ii. Amending the Federal Power Act to provide preference to rural electric cooperatives in the licensing and re-licensing of waterpower projects;

1 iii. Inclusion of micro, small, and existing hydro in national renewable 2 energy legislation; and 3 iv. Funds received from sale of public water that displaces hydro generation 4 being returned to the generating authority. 5 6. PIPELINES 6 We support: 7 An understandable process that clarifies when and how eminent domain 8 can be used, who has what liability where there are damages from 9 pipeline failure, siting standards and routing criteria, environmental 10 considerations, and decommissioning expectations and costs. The 11 process should provide for transparency in the planning and routing 12 process including public input, fair compensation to landowners and a 13 process to deal with landowner and public complaints and conflicts; 14 Pipeline developers being barred from using non-disclosure agreements: ii. 15 iii. Privately owned pipeline companies building and operating a pipeline 16 maintaining insurance/bonds to cover liability for pipeline failure costs; 17 Regulations mandating that soil disturbed during pipeline construction iv. 18 be returned to its previous condition and farmland returned to its 19 previous level of production; and 20 Adequate compensation for landowners for loss in value of a farm v. 21 crossed by a pipeline, for any future loss to land value associated with 22 the pipeline or its construction. 23 We oppose: 24 i. The classification of a private, foreign-owned pipeline as a public utility; 25 ii. The classification of a foreign-owned pipeline as a common carrier unless 26 it can be demonstrated that the majority of the transported material is 27 from domestic sources and that majority of the transported material is 28 for domestic consumption; 29 Pipeline construction across farmland without permission of all affected iii.

landowners; and

iv. Forcing additional rights of way or leases upon landowners where a lease or right of way currently exists, as this would further devalue the land for agricultural use.

C. DEVELOPMENT OF RENEWABLE ENERGY AND FUELS FROM THE FARM

1. PRIORITIES

NFU should promote, expand, and ensure localized farmer ownership to the fullest degree possible in renewable/alternative energy development including wind, solar, biofuels, woody biomass, and other technologies. Policies that can achieve this goal are feed-in tariffs, incentives, and mandates for locally owned facilities. We support the efforts of "host" communities and municipalities to accurately calculate the income-generating capacity of potential renewable energy projects, regardless of ownership type, and to establish feeds, property tax provisions, or impact assessments to ensure that a reasonable amount of the economic benefits are shared by all of the local communities and residents without deterring smaller-scale, distributed, community-based and individually owned projects.

NFU should lead efforts to educate family farmers, ranchers, and rural communities about how to adapt to the effects of climate change on their own operations, as well as the enormous economic benefits that renewable energy brings to our rural areas.

We support:

- Expanded research and development dollars and full funding for renewable energy programs and technology;
- ii. The development and promotion of renewable energy sources appropriate to their location and directed toward developing selfsufficient units suitable for farm, home, small industry, and business use;
- iii. Farmer-owned cooperatives pursuing the development and marketing of renewable energy;
- iv. Adequate funding levels for feasibility studies under the Rural Energy for America Program (REAP) should be reinstated and cover all predevelopment activities involved, including thorough wildlife studies by public agencies;

1	٧.	incentives for local development and ownership of renewable energy
2		production;
3	vi.	Development of new markets for cellulosic products from perennial
4		systems;
5	vii.	Enterprise research to evaluate and develop new plant material
6		selections along with associated production, harvesting and processing
7		technologies to discover and develop new uses for products of perennial
8		systems;
9	viii.	Research and development on utilizing biomass for energy of all forms;
10	ix.	Federal support for production of bioenergy and biochar from biomass to
11		create new markets and income opportunities for farmers while building
12		soil health and addressing climate change;
13	х.	The Biomass Crop Assistance Program and other such programs;
14	xi.	Economic assistance for family farmers to make agriculture more self-
15		sufficient through increased application of alternative forms of energy
16		and energy efficiency;
17	xii.	Reversing the trend toward concentration of the ownership or control of
18		sources, production, and distribution of energy;
19	xiii.	Targeting funds to encourage diversified, community-based, and
20		individually owned energy systems that create jobs and new wealth in
21		rural areas of our country;
22	xiv.	Eliminating the importation of foreign sources of biofuels;
23	XV.	An import fee on non-renewable foreign-produced energy, to fund the
24		development of domestic renewable energy;
25	xvi.	Creation of a Strategic Biofuels Feedstock Reserve;
26	xvii.	A program to tackle the lack of infrastructure in the expansion of
27		renewable energy. We support many increases in research and
28		development, particularly in the areas of:
29		a. Pipelines designated or altered for the transport of biofuels;
30		b. Solidifying the railroads in this country to move renewable fuels
31		to each coast;
32		c. Expanded use of flex/blender pumps:

1		d. Expanding availability of higher blend filling stations/pumps; and
2		e. Expanding and creating a network of transmission lines
3		throughout the country to aid in the movement of renewable
4		energy from sources such as wind and solar;
5	xviii.	Extension and expansion of renewable energy tax credits, including
6		adding high efficiency biomass thermal technologies to the list of
7		renewable energy technologies that currently benefit from investment
8		tax credits under the residential and commercial/industrial sections of
9		the tax code, incentives and loan guarantee programs including a
10		permanent renewable energy production tax credit (PTC) that includes
11		nonprofit organizations as qualifying entities;
12	xix.	The use of production tax credits to provide long-term financial
13		incentives for wind and solar energy development; Encourage local
14		ownership of wind turbine manufacturing, development and operations;
15	XX.	Biodiesel, renewable diesel, sustainable aviation fuel, and ethanol
16		blenders' tax credits, and the cellulosic production tax credits and loan
17		guarantees;
18	xxi.	Policies to create greater domestic production of biofuel facilities and
19		other renewable energy components;
20	xxii.	Fully funding the BioPreferred Program, as well as ensuring the federal
21		government is a leader in procuring these products;
22	xxiii.	Expanded energy conservation research and development as well as
23		implementation of programs that encourage the conservation of energy
24		inputs by the agricultural and food sectors;
25	xxiv.	Working with landowners and other groups to develop a landowner's bill
26		of rights for renewable energy;
27	xxv.	Research and development on the production of fuels and fertilizers from
28		renewable electricity;
29	xxvi.	Expanding the utilization of anaerobic digesters;
30	xxvii.	Funding for research and technical advancement of energy storage
31		systems allowing for reliable and consistent availability of renewable
32		energy;

1	xxviii.	Renew	able fuels being used in all government vehicles;
2	xxix.	A natio	onal net metering standard for systems up to 100kW to help
3		expand	the use of smaller wind and solar energy systems;
4	XXX.	The Co	mmunity Based Energy Development (CBED) ownership model
5		created	d in Minnesota and Nebraska;
6	xxxi.	Renew	able energy projects tied to the creation of supply chain
7		busine	sses in the surrounding rural communities; and
8	xxxii.	Rural e	energy cooperatives being able to recoup reasonable fixed costs
9		when r	nembers choose to use distributed generation but remain
10		connec	eted to the grid.
11	We op	ppose:	
12	i.	Efforts	by power utilities to discourage distributed renewable power
13		genera	tion; and
L4	ii.	Fuels t	hat are imported or derived from imported commodities being
15		eligible	e for any tax incentives or exemptions.
16		2. Eti	HANOL
L 7	We su	ıpport:	
18	i.	An eth	anol program to include:
19		a.	Renewable resources that should be established through low-
20			interest federal loans to farmer-owned cooperatives;
21		b.	Further federal legislation to provide for conversion of farm
22			products and byproducts into ethanol emphasizing on-farm and
23			local cooperative site development;
24		с.	State legislation granting tax credits on each gallon of ethanol-
25			enhanced fuel sold within each state;
26		d.	Promotion of research on usage and marketing of dry distillers'
27			grain for feed and other value-added uses;
28		e.	An aggressive and ambitious cellulosic program, geared to rapidly
29			developing necessary technology, to compliment traditional
30			ethanol supplies of motor fuel;
31		f.	Expansion of available gasoline blends to E30 or higher, as
32			supported by scientific data, and the EPA and all government

1		regulators immediately reversing statements and regulations
2		prohibiting, restricting, or implying it is illegal, unsafe, or harmful
3		for non-flexible fuel vehicles to use and operate on gasoline-
4		ethanol blends up to and including E30, or higher blends as
5		supported by research and data, which are delivered through
6		blender pumps;
7		g. Flexibility for new fuel systems to accommodate advances in
8		ethanol blend utilization technology; and
9		Research and production of engines designed to run solely on
10		ethanol.
11	ii.	The extension of the ethanol fuel tax incentive to include the ethanol
12		portion of ethyl tertiary butyl ether (ETBE);
13	iii.	Allowing ETBE refiners the ability to claim the ethanol excise tax
14		exemption at the blend point;
15	iv.	The use of ethanol as a fuel additive for gasoline formulations to enhance
16		octane levels, with an expanded role of replacing aromatics, in
17		accordance with the Clean Air Act;
18	v.	Urging all vehicle manufacturers to actively produce and market flex-fue
19		options in all market classes; and
20	vi.	A strict interpretation on eligibility for small refinery exemptions.
21	We op	pose:
22	i.	Any future efforts to eliminate ethanol tax incentives;
23	ii.	Liability protection for MTBE producers; and
24	iii.	Any further use of methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE) in gasoline.
25		3. Renewable Fuel Standard
26	We su	pport:
27	i.	Expanding the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) to set an ambitious
28		mandate for production of biofuels to make up one-third of the nation's
29		fuel supply as soon as possible, consistent with grain availability for
30		livestock production;
31	ii.	Separate mandates of production for each form of biofuel, including
32		cellulosic ethanol and biodiesel;

Ţ	111.	Full implementation of RFS legislation that will:
2		a. Supply clean-burning renewable fuels to reduce air pollution;
3		b. Provide strict anti-backsliding requirements in the RFS;
4		c. Ensure that air quality gains from the reformulated gasoline
5		programs are preserved;
6		d. Provide incentives to expand use of other biofuels, and encourage
7		the use of eligible feedstocks to meet the requirements for other
8		advanced biofuels under the RFS; and
9		e. Establish a separate requirement for a national RFS for biodiesel
10		use in transportation fuels;
11	iv.	Legislation to ensure that American farmers, not ethanol importers,
12		would benefit from the RFS; and
13	v.	Eliminating the small refinery waivers that are circumventing the RFS
L4		standards that are now in law and reallocating the four billion plus
15		gallons lost due to the small refinery waivers given by the EPA.
16	We op	pose:
17	i.	Ethanol import schemes that would thwart ethanol import tariffs;
18	ii.	The importation of renewable fuels; and
L9	iii.	Changes to the RFS mandate that are not based on the best available
20		science and subject to public review.
21		4. BIODIESEL, RENEWABLE DIESEL, AND SUSTAINABLE AVIATION FUEL
22	We su	pport:
23	i.	A nationwide minimum B5 blending requirement;
24	ii.	Retailers providing higher blends of biodiesel fuels to their customers
25		and urge marinas to offer biodegradable biodiesel fuels to their
26		customers;
27	iii.	Increased research funding to demonstrate the effectiveness of biodiesel;
28	iv.	Research and development of oilseed crops and animal byproducts for
29		use as biodiesel alternative fuels;
30	v.	DOE developing a rapid commercialization program for biodiesel;

1	Vl.	Legislation to provide for the recycling of used cooking oils and waste
2		greases generated at government facilities to be processed into biodiesel
3		for use in government vehicle fleets, wherever feasible;
4	vii.	ASTM D6751 as the biodiesel industry's quality standards to meet the
5		requirements of the railroads, military, and other heavy industry;
6	viii.	The development of renewable diesel and sustainable aviation fuel
7		processing facilities and infrastructure;
8	ix.	CHS Inc. promoting the use of renewable fuels and providing consistent
9		and competitively priced supplies to our local cooperatives;
10	Х.	Canola and other minor oilseeds as an RFS approved feedstock for
11		renewable diesel; and
12	xi.	Expanding the RFS approved feedstock for sustainable aviation fuel to
13		include canola and other minor oilseeds while still giving preference to
14		the current RFS approved feedstocks.
15		5. Compressed Natural Gas
16	We su	pport the development of infrastructure to permit use of compressed
17	natural ga	s as a transportation fuel.
18		6. WIND ENERGY
19	We su	pport:
20	i.	Development and distribution of electric generation from wind, including
21		a reasonable timeline for approval for interconnection to the electric
22		grid;
23	ii.	Efforts to educate our farmers and ranchers about their wind rights and
24		other related issues;
25	iii.	Federal legislation to require all utilities to allow community-based wind
26		projects access to the electric grid by actively pursuing power purchase
27		agreements;
28	iv.	Account balancing of small wind generators on an annual basis; and
29	v.	Creating a grant program for local RECs who will upgrade their system to
30		monitor the flow of energy both ways within their system to accept net-
31		metered energy produced by a local REC customer.
32		7. Solar Energy

1	We su	pport:
2	i.	The development of solar energy, including solar thermal and
3		agrivoltaics without excessive restrictions;
4	ii.	Community solar garden models to allow for greater participation in
5		solar projects;
6	iii.	Educating our landowners about solar rights and other related issues;
7	iv.	Research into concentrated solar and other developing solar
8		technologies;
9	v.	Replacing fossil fuel-powered heating and drying applications with solar
10		powered systems; and
11	vi.	The development of community and commercial solar farms in areas
12		with no current value-added use.
13		8. RENEWABLE ENERGY STORAGE
14	We su	pport development of storage technology and infrastructure for electricity
15	produced	by intermittent renewable sources.
16		9. Cooperative Demonstrations
17	We su	pport:
18	i.	Consumer-owned utilities uniting to develop and demonstrate the
19		economic feasibility of renewable and other alternate energy systems.
20		The economic viability of those cooperative utilities must be taken into
21		consideration;
22	ii.	Cooperatives providing consumers price incentives for using ethanol- or
23		biodiesel enhanced fuels;
24	iii.	Continued federal funding for the Great Plains Synfuels Plant; and
25	iv.	Placing alternative marketing devices for alternative fuels such as
26		E85/biodiesel and flex/blender pumps at every cooperative retailer in
27		the nation.
28	D. EN	IVIRONMENTAL PRECAUTION IN ENERGY PRODUCTION AND USE
29	We suppo	ort:
30	1. Th	ne Clean Air Act;
31	2. Re	egulations that emphasize achieving the greatest amount of pollution
32	со	ntrol through the most cost-effective measures available; and

1 3. Diesel emissions being reduced in the most cost-effective manner possible, 2 consistent with good fuel economy. 3 1. FUEL STORAGE TANKS 4 We support: 5 Rules for underground fuel storage tanks that provide cost sharing to i. 6 mitigate the financial impact of compliance on farmer-owned, locally-7 owned and cooperatively-owned outlets: 8 An exemption for farm and ranch use in the EPA rule pertaining to ii. 9 existing above-ground fuel storage tanks; and 10 iii. Above-ground fuel storage tanks being monitored and replaced on an as-11 needed basis as the condition of the tanks change and leaking becomes 12 detectable. 13 2. WASTE PRODUCTS 14 We support: 15 i. Recycling as a socially responsible activity; 16 ii. Programs that facilitate the recycling of plastics used on the farm; 17 Federal assistance to help establish an effective recycling system iii. 18 throughout the country for agricultural, post-consumer and industrial 19 waste: 20 The creation and use of reusable, recyclable, compostable, and iv. 21 biodegradable materials for packaging of products used on the farm; 22 Only the environmentally safe use of solid wastes in the production of v. 23 energy; and 24 Composting of food and organic fiber waste, and the opening of markets vi. 25 for the sale and distribution of the composted products, especially for 26 schools and other public institutions. 27 3. HYDRAULIC FRACTURING AND WASTEWATER INJECTION 28 We support: 29 Holding energy companies that use the hydraulic fracturing process and 30 wastewater injection well owners liable for all expenses resulting from 31 environmental damage, earthquakes and health impacts incurred as a

1		result of the activity and handling of wastewater and other byproducts
2		associated with the extraction process;
3	ii.	A complete Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), with assessments of
4		health and economic impacts, baseline water quality and quantity and
5		the effect of a diversion of water that may currently be assigned to
6		agricultural, private, and municipal use or under contracted obligations;
7	iii.	Local oversight and regulation of the fracking process and wastewater
8		disposal;
9	iv.	Mandatory disclosure of the chemicals, including percentages, used in
10		fracking drilling fluids and wastewater injection. Such chemicals must be
11		identified as environmentally safe before use or injection;
12	v.	Mandatory monitoring of possible groundwater contamination and
13		oversight of wastewater injection wells; and
14	vi.	Compliance with the Federal Right to Know Act (Emergency Planning
15		and Community Right to Know Act). Oil and gas well operators must
16		report all hazardous chemicals in use or stored at drilling sites to the
17		State Emergency Response Commission (SERC). The SERC shall maintain
18		a current database with this information that is easily accessible to first
19		responders and the public; and
20	vii.	Requiring oil and gas facilities using as much produced water as possible
21		prior to accessing surface or subsurface water.
22	E. LA	NDOWNER RIGHTS IN NATURAL RESOURCES PROJECT DEVELOPMENT (WIND, SOLAR,
23	FR	ACKING, OIL, ETC.)
24	We suppo	ort a comprehensive policy that protects landowners from speculation and
25	unfair contra	cts in the development of natural resources. We support the following
26	landowners'	rights:
27	1. Pr	ohibition of non-disclosure or secrecy clauses in leases. Landowners
28	sh	ould be allowed to review leases with attorneys, lenders, and other
29	ho	olders of leases to ascertain the relative value of a lease offer;
30	2. Es	tablishment of a registry of current standard natural resources leases that
31	is	accessible to the public;
32	3. Pr	ohibiting mandatory arbitration clauses;

1 4. Limiting length of lease options to encourage the use of lease options for 2 actual development instead of speculation; wind and solar power leases to 3 terminate after five years if the project is not developed; 4 5. Private property rights, including ownership of land and all its attributes 5 above, on, and below the surface, unless otherwise marketed; 6 a. We support a regulatory process that ensures the ending spot and 7 direction of directional drilling, and 8 b. We urge legislation to apportion the present real estate tax between 9 the surface owner and the mineral owner; 10 6. Authorizing collective bargaining of leases to encourage fairness in the 11 application of lease terms among multiple landowners: 12 7. Bonding and reclamation protections to encourage responsible energy 13 development and transmission at outset of the lease by providing funds up 14 front for reclamation of land after equipment, tower or project life has 15 expired; 16 8. Prohibiting prior investment as a condition of lease or option of fulfillment; 17 9. Prohibiting farmland ownership by energy development or generation 18 companies to ensure that agricultural land remains in the hands of 19 producers and retains the agricultural value of the land used in energy 20 development; 21 10. Prohibiting right of first refusal by developers, which allows a developer to 22 tie up land, and/or reduce marketability of landowner's land without 23 purchasing an option; 24 11. Disclosure of actual lease payments in contracts; 25 12. Five-day cooling-off period after a lease agreement is signed to allow a 26 landowner to have a window to reconsider; 27 13. Ownership of wind, solar, and mineral rights should not be severed from 28 surface rights and ownership of land;

the height of the wind turbine;

14. Ownership of wind rights should extend up to a minimum of 100 feet above

29

1 15. Enacting a moratorium on industrial wind siting in federal waters until an 2 open public process is developed for siting industrial wind power 3 generation; 4 16. Landowners sharing in percentage of energy revenues transmitted through 5 transmission lines sited on their property; 6 17. Landowner models for developing transmission associations that will create 7 transmission corridors and receive compensation on an annual basis 8 through royalties rather than one-time payments; and 9 18. An annual tax credit for landowners with renewable energy transmission 10 based on value of land impacted by development. 11 F. USDA ENERGY INITIATIVES 12 We support initiatives at USDA designed to assist agricultural producers, rural 13 communities, and rural electric cooperatives to improve energy efficiency and increase 14 the use of renewable energy sources including the EQIP On-Farm Energy Initiative and

the Rural Energy for America Program (REAP).

1		ARTICLE IX - ECONOMIC REGULATION AND THE FAMILY FARM
2	A.	FEDERAL BUDGET REFORM
3	The fe	deral budget process is not working effectively. We urge Congress to revise
4	the entire	budget process to prevent understating the true deficit problem and to
5	provide re	ealistic reduction goals.
6	To cur	re the structural failings, we support:
7	1.	Congress imposing the same Generally Accepted Accounting Principles
8		(GAAP) on the federal government as it has imposed on private financial
9		institutions;
10	2.	Static scoring by the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) and Joint Committee
11		on Taxation;
12	3.	A transparent scoring process by CBO and Joint Committee on Taxation;
13	4.	All long-term credit programs, permanent and long-term improvements,
14		multipurpose conservation, dam and watershed projects, public buildings,
15		highways, and inland waterways, be placed in a "capital budget," distinct
16		from the annual operation budget;
17	5.	Farmers' assessments or trust fund revenues not being used for deficit
18		reductions;
19	6.	Congress demonstrating fiscal responsibility;
20	7.	Reviewing all programs. Programs that fail to meet their intended objectives
21		should be restructured or terminated; and
22	8.	The requirement that new legislation contain a mandatory sunset clause to
23		ensure timely review.
24	We op	ppose:
25	1.	Using budget sequestration in an attempt to reduce the federal deficit;
26	2.	Any proposed agricultural cuts to relieve the federal deficit;
27	3.	Attempts to reduce funding for farm bill programs through budget
28		reconciliation;
29	4.	Dynamic scoring;
30	5.	Reducing the farm bill baseline budget for savings generated through the
31		safety net; and
32	6.	The use or threat of use of a government shutdown.

1	В.	Money and Credit Policy (also see Article V. – Credit and the Family Farm)	
2	We su	pport:	
3	1.	Consumer, farmer, and small business representation on the Federal Reserve	
4		Board (Fed);	
5	2.	Requiring the Fed to comply with provisions of the Humphrey-Hawkins Full	
6		Employment and Balanced Growth Act of 1978;	
7	3.	Congress immediately authorizing a nonpolitical outside entity to conduct a	
8		thorough audit and investigation of the Fed and its policies; and	
9	4.	Allowing cannabis and cannabis-related businesses that are state regulated	
10		to have access to the normal banking system.	
11	C.	BANKING, FINANCIAL, AND INVESTMENT REGULATION	
12	From t	the beginnings of this country, public policy has favored a decentralized	
13	banking sy	ystem, avoiding the abuses that would come from a highly concentrated	
14	financial structure.		
15	We are concerned about recent trends in bank regulation that have accelerated the		
16	loss of independent community banks and have decreased the banks' desire to service		
17	agricultural credit needs. It has also reduced community reinvestment.		
18	We su	pport:	
19	1.	Re-regulation of the financial services industry and reinstating provisions of	
20		the Glass-Steagall Act of 1933, which would prohibit commercial banks from	
21		engaging in speculative investments using bank depositor funds;	
22	2.	The consumer protection provisions and easing the regulatory requirements	
23		on small banks within Dodd-Frank;	
24	3.	Maintaining individual Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC)	
25		coverage of at least \$250,000;	
26	4.	Vigorous investigation and prosecution of criminal activity in our financial	
27		institutions; and	
28	5.	Reestablishment of small transaction fees to reduce the abuses of high	
29		frequency trading.	
30	D.	CREDIT UNIONS	
31	We su	pport:	

- 1 1. Maintaining the National Credit Union Share Insurance Fund as a separate 2 and independent agency from other federal deposit insurance systems; 3 2. The credit union movement in its efforts to combat the anti-competitive 4 regulatory tactics undertaken by other segments of the financial services 5 industry; and 6 3. The right of all Americans to choose how and where they deposit their 7 earnings and transact their personal financial business. 8 We oppose any proposal that seeks to curtail services by credit unions to their 9 members under the false guise of regulatory reform or financial soundness. Such 10 proposals are especially discriminatory against rural credit unions that provide 11 agricultural credit services. 12 We oppose mergers between credit unions, unless such a merger or joint venture 13 would benefit local credit union members. 14 E. ESTATE AND GIFT TAX POLICY 15 We support: 16 1. Estate tax relief for family-owned farms, ranches, and small businesses to 17 facilitate the transfer of those enterprises to the next generation; 18 2. Creating policy that taxes agriculture estates based on production value and 19 not on potential development value so long as the estate and its property 20 remain in agriculture production; 21 3. Permanently maintaining the federal estate tax exemption per individual at 22 \$11 million, \$22 million per couple, indexed for inflation, with an additional 23 \$5 million exemption if the estate continues to be operated by a family 24 member or transfers to a beginning farmer; 25 4. Simplifying the exemption qualification rules and requirements; 26 5. Implementing graduated rates with a base rate of 35 percent; and 27 6. Allow a one-time per person rollover of the capital gains from the sale of a 28 farm or small business into an approved retirement plan. 29 We oppose shifting tax liability from the estate tax to the capital gains tax through 30 the elimination of the "step-up" in basis provision.
 - F. IRS TAX CODE 1031 EXCHANGES
- We support:

1 1. A study on the impact of IRS Tax Code 1031 Exchanges (Starker Exchange) 2 on farmland values; 3 2. The return to a stricter interpretation of like kind property exchanges, i.e., 4 agricultural land for agricultural land; 5 3. Allowing livestock and equipment to be included in like kind property 6 exchanges; and 7 4. Allowing property owners a minimum of 18 months to identify and complete 8 a 1031 exchange if the property was lost through eminent domain (also see 9 Article VII.P. - Eminent Domain). 10 G. INCOME TAX REFORMS 11 We support: 12 1. A more progressive tax structure and oppose a flat tax; 13 2. A simplified tax code; 14 3. The full deductibility for the individual payment of premiums for health, 15 disability, and long-term care. Premiums on life insurance benefits as 16 required to be carried by creditors should also be deductible: 17 4. A limited refundable federal income tax credit equal to all or a percentage of 18 the state and local real estate taxes paid by farmers and ranchers on 19 farmland utilized for commercial agriculture production; 20 5. Income from a farm sale being put into a tax-deferred individual retirement 21 account (IRA); 22 6. Annual gift tax limits of \$25,000 per individual; 23 7. The concept of family savings accounts, the saver's credit for low-income 24 families with net incomes of \$40,000 or less, and other state and federal 25 programs known as an Individual Deposit Account (IDA) that are targeted at 26 low-income savers: 27 8. Permanent tax legislation for deductions of expenses accrued on the farm 28 and ranch under section 179 of the tax code of no less than \$1,000,000; 29 9. Tax expensing tools that allow family farmers to elect to deduct part or all 30 the cost of qualifying farm assets in the year they are placed in service. Such

deduction and bonus depreciation deductions;

tools include adequately high limits of the accelerated depreciation

31

1	10. Deferring the tax consequences of a forced liquidation of livestock if it is due
2	to severe weather conditions or other causes and if the animals are replaced
3	within a 5-year time frame; and
4	11. Equitable deductions for farmers who sell to co-ops.
5	H. TAXATION
6	We support:
7	1. Legislation that would hold multinational and offshore corporations
8	responsible for their full tax burden, including user fees to cover the cost of
9	import inspections;
10	2. Closing tax loopholes for corporations and individuals to balance the tax
11	burden for funding the federal government;
12	3. The right of state governments to tax production of nonrenewable resources
13	such as coal, oil, natural gas, and minerals;
L 4	4. Reforming U.S. capital gains tax laws to allow tax-free conversion of farm
15	assets in exchange for investments in all categories available to the general
16	public and other business entities;
L 7	5. A \$2,000,000 capital gains exemption tax on farm real estate sales;
18	6. Studying the inclusion of a federal mechanism to equalize the effects of
19	foreign consumption taxes on trade; and
20	7. An expansion to Internal Revenue Code Section 280E that would allow
21	businesses compliant with state laws to claim deductions and credits
22	associated with the production and sale of medical and industrial cannabis.
23	We oppose:
24	1. Multinational corporations moving to tax havens to avoid tax liabilities;
25	2. Legislation and court action that would prohibit states from taxing
26	multinational corporations based on the volume of business done in the
27	state;
28	3. Any taxation for the use of the internet;
29	4. Enactment of a national sales tax;
30	5. Unfunded federal mandates being imposed on state and local jurisdictions;
31	6. Efforts to prohibit the cash method of income and expense accounting; and
32	7. A Border Adjustment Tax (BAT).

I. TAX CREDIT

A properly designed tax credit can encourage new enterprises in rural communities, be a stimulus for encouraging new family farms and family fishing businesses and be an opportunity for retiring farmers to sell their operations to beginning family farmers.

We support:

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

- 1. A tax credit, targeted to the seller of farmland, who sells to a beginning or young farmer;
- 2. Credit being extended to a sale of land or a small business from one generation to the next;
- 3. Continuation of the investment tax credit;
- 4. A federal tax credit for approved conservation practices for non-deductible expenses;
- 5. An investment in tax credit allowance to farmer-owned cooperatives that build facilities in rural America. The investment tax credit should be required to be allocated back to the patron-members of the co-op;
- 6. A tax credit for renewable energy; and
- 7. Tax credits generated through the creation of a conservation easement be marketable to those who have a tax liability with appropriate safeguards.

We oppose the forced sale of assets under receivership of bankruptcy resulting in tax liabilities that exceed the capacity of asset liquidation funds to meet those tax obligations.

I. COMMODITY FUTURES

To ensure that the objectives of the commodity futures regulatory statutes are carried out, we support:

- Oversight and authorization jurisdiction for the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) being maintained by the Senate and House Agriculture Committees; efforts to merge the CFTC with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) should be defeated;
- Increased farm owner-operator representation on exchange boards, specifically on those committees responsible for rulemaking relating to new agricultural commodity contracts;

1 3. Establishing appropriate contract and aggregate position limits for all 2 commodities in all price discovery markets with input from agricultural 3 producers and commercial market users; 4 4. The collection and publishing of data on the total value of index funds and 5 other passively held long-only positions in all markets; 6 5. Requiring over-the-counter (OTC) trades be cleared by a CFTC-regulated 7 clearing organization and reported publicly: 8 6. Increased transparency between domestic and foreign boards of trade; 9 7. Clearly defining hedgers and the hedger exemption to only include those 10 with a legitimate commercial interest in the physical commodity; and 11 8. Studying and developing new research revenue streams such as an 12 assessment on agricultural commodity futures contracts. 13 We oppose the adoption of policies that shift agricultural risk to individual 14 producers and force producers to depend on the commodity exchanges for risk 15 management protection. 16 Accordingly, CFTC should: 17 1. Guard against insider trading by individuals or firms; 18 2. Examine and investigate the role of increasing market power of funds, the 19 connections between funds and large commercial interests, the ability of 20 these organizations to exchange information and the effects on the 21 commodities market: 22 3. Ensure there are an adequate number of delivery points for hedging 23 participants; 24 4. Work in cooperation with other federal and state securities enforcement 25 agencies to investigate and prosecute violators of the Commodities Exchange 26 Act: 27 5. Monitor with special vigilance any market movements that indicate a

deliberate accumulation of excessive speculative positions that exceeds the

limited number of positions an individual speculator may hold and to

exercise, when necessary, the emergency powers granted by the Act;

28

29

- 6. Monitor and guard against proposals by the commodity futures exchanges impacting trading rules and trading limits that would increase market volatility to the detriment of agricultural producers;
 - 7. Continue to monitor off-exchange-traded agricultural options and ensure they are operated in a manner that benefits family farmers;
 - 8. Monitor activity on so-called "dark markets;" and

4

5

6

7

8

9. Have a minimum of three of the five commissioners with agricultural backgrounds.

1		ARTICLE X - RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND THE FAMILY FARM
2	A.	RURAL COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
3	We su	pport a comprehensive rural development policy that includes:
4	1.	Coordination and cooperation of the various government agencies involved
5		to better utilize existing programs and funds;
6	2.	Consideration of social needs, such as a living wage, health care, childcare,
7		education, access to healthy and nutritious foods, and human resource
8		development, as well as venture capital, transportation and
9		telecommunications;
10	3.	Continuation and expansion of federal and state funding for rural healthcare
11		facilities, hospitals, clinics, mental health facilities, and nursing homes to
12		provide for the health needs of rural communities;
13	4.	Research and development of additional and alternative uses for existing
14		agricultural and seafood commodities and new alternative crops and fish
15		stocks, with a commitment to marketing and processing these products;
16	5.	Development of local expertise, to make the best use of available programs
17		and local talent;
18	6.	Low-interest loan and grant programs that foster the development of value-
19		added products;
20	7.	Low-interest loan and grant programs that foster energy efficiency and
21		renewable energy production;
22	8.	Creation of agricultural opportunities for a new generation of beginning
23		farmers as a central component of rural development;
24	9.	The organization of cooperative enterprises that retain equity, control, and
25		ownership within rural communities as a proven self-help, home-grown
26		rural development mechanism which builds needed economic infrastructure
27		for rural people;
28	10	. Access to credit, technical expertise and markets as essential ingredients in
29		securing opportunities for rural and agricultural enterprises;
30	11	. Competitive grants and producer opportunity payments to stimulate
31		research, education, market development and farm innovation that increase
32		the farm and ranch share of food system profit;

1 12. Revitalization of agricultural communities through entrepreneurship. 2 enhanced food security by offering consumers greater choice and access to a 3 diversity of agricultural products; 4 13. The approval, funding and construction of water projects and waste systems 5 for rural communities and Native American reservations, and the increase of 6 funding for water and wastewater programs through RUS 7 14. The development of farmer-owned cooperative facilities: 8 15. Increased funding of loans and grants to rural communities for advanced 9 telecommunications, including high-speed broadband to improve schools, 10 medical facilities, judicial systems, and other essential services; 11 16. Increased funding for loans and grants for rural essential services 12 infrastructure: 13 17. RUS, Rural Business-Cooperative Service (RBS), and Rural Housing Service 14 (RHS) using local investment revolving funds, grants, and technical 15 assistance; 16 18. The Resource Conservation and Development (RC&D) program to encourage 17 and improve the capability of volunteers, locally elected officials, and civic 18 leaders; 19 19. Incentives for rural business opportunities for veterans; and 20 20. Incentives for development of enterprises connected to community food 21 systems that lead to farmers retaining a higher percentage of consumer food 22 spending. 23 We oppose rural development grants that encourage the establishment or 24 expansion of larger non-family farms or open ocean aquaculture. 25 B. Transportation 26 An integrated intermodal transportation system of waterways, railways, and roads 27 is of crucial importance to America's farmers. Maintenance of a viable, competitive 28 transportation network within the United States ensures the free flow of farm products 29 to the market. 30 We support federal transportation policy that:

- 1. Fosters a balanced competition between all modes of transportation and maintains protections for transportation users in those areas where such competition does not exist;
- 2. Addresses deregulation of the nation's transportation system that has reduced the quality of, or eliminated altogether, public transportation services for small cities and rural communities. This trend has been evident in airline services for several years and is now being felt in reduced or eliminated bus service:
- 3. Extends the hazardous materials exemption for transportation of agricultural production materials to family farmers and/or end-users, as it relates to the Department of Transportation's HM-200 rule;
- Exempts farm machinery operators from Commercial Driver's License
 (CDL) requirements;
- 5. Exempts farm operations that are delivering their own product from CDL requirements; and
- 6. Encourages development of alternative transportation systems including electric, CNG, and other alternative fuels.

We oppose:

- 1. Federal budget cuts that could further damage the transportation services remaining in our rural communities;
- 2. Proposals to terminate funding or privatize Amtrak rail service; and
- 3. The use of gas tax funds for deficit reduction. Federal, state, and local support must be supplied to provide an integrated transportation system to serve America's farmers and other rural residents.

1. U.S. HIGHWAY TRUST FUND

Money raised by highway excise taxes and interest earned on such revenues accumulates in the Highway Trust Fund and can only be used for highway purposes, with the exception that Congress has allowed part of such funds to be diverted to mass transit construction and subsidies. We support using a portion of the trust fund to repair county and rural bridges that are structurally deficient.

2. TRUCK TRANSPORTATION

NFU believes that regulation of the trucking industry should focus on high quality, energy-efficient and reliable service for rural areas. Honest competition should be encouraged and rates should be regulated to prevent unfair practices by trucking companies.

We support:

- Trucking industry regulations that permit cooperative-owned trucks to haul up to 30 percent of non-member, general merchandise;
- ii. Review of backhaul restrictions on trucking, while maintaining an emphasis on food safety, to save energy and lower shipping costs;
- The Federal Highway Administration consider standardizing the maximum width, length, and weight for longer combination vehicles
 (LCV) to help equalize state to state commerce. This should include input from agricultural representation from each state;
- iv. Exclusion of farm vehicles, used exclusively to transport products of the farmer owner-operator, from federal highway-use taxes collected by the IRS, and exemption from any unnecessary federal regulation regarding the transportation of hazardous substances being used by farmers in the course of their own farming operations. Farm machinery and farm vehicles used for off-road purposes should be exempt from using taxable highway fuel;
- v. Increased law enforcement to ensure commerce shipping is subject to traffic law so that community residents enjoy adequate protection of their safety; and
- vi. An hours of service waiver for livestock and insect haulers.

We oppose:

Any regulations that would provide for the ELD mandate until further research on the unintended consequences is conducted and resolved.

The above-listed regulations, as well as U.S. safety standards, must apply to all members of USMCA.

We believe family-farm operations hauling their own commodities should be exempt from mileage limitations, commercial driver's licenses, and commercial

1 truck licensing requirements, including International Fuel Tax Association (IFTA) 2 tax requirements and issues relative to the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Act. 3 3. RAIL TRANSPORTATION 4 i. Rail Service 5 Federal rail transportation policy should recognize that deregulation of 6 railroads cannot be treated in the same manner as deregulation of other 7 industries. Continuing rail mergers result in elimination of rail service to many 8 communities and the establishment of a single railroad service to entire portions 9 of the country. Federal policy must provide for government regulation or 10 antitrust enforcement in instances where there is no rail competition. 11 We support: 12 a. The Surface Transportation Board (STB) addressing the problems of 13 captive shippers, including: 14 1. Ensuring that common carrier obligations are adhered to; 15 2. Providing oversight of branch-line abandonment, in addition 16 to transferring jurisdiction of branch-line abandonment to 17 state regulatory agencies from the federal government; 18 3. Ensuring that reasonable notice of rate changes is continued; 19 4. Establishing trackage rights to encourage rail-to-rail 20 competition; 21 5. Establishing reciprocal switching within, and for an 22 appropriate distance outside of terminals to encourage rail-23 to-rail competition; 24 6. Authorizing a maximum rate for a movement to a captive 25 shipper; 26 7. Authorizing, when petitioned, the removal of agreement 27 provisions that prevent short-line railroads from delivering 28 traffic to any railroad; 29 8. Enacting a policy that would hold railroads responsible for 30 losses due to delayed delivery of rail cars; and

9. Reasonable rates for less than unit train pricing;

1	b.	Taking action to avoid a rail car shortage for transporting grain and
2		other perishable commodities;
3	c.	Expansion of regional railroads where local residents deem
4		appropriate, using a route that spares productive farmland;
5	d.	Railroad Regulatory Reform Act of 1980 (Staggers Act); Legislation
6		that would provide adequate bulk commodity and intermodal
7		shipping facilities;
8	e.	Funds to finance a survey to determine the most desirable location of
9		sub-terminals as well as adequate financing of sub-terminals and
10		equipment, including rolling stock;
11	f.	Provisions to assure continued local control over the movement and
12		storage of farm commodities;
13	g.	Federal legislation that would create rural transportation
14		cooperatives and finance programs, patterned after the rural electric
15		cooperative program, for railroad cooperatives seeking to preserve
16		rail service in rural areas;
17	h.	Careful consideration of proposals to create utility corridors or
18		federalize the railroad beds, thereby improving railroad efficiency
19		and promoting more competition among conventional and
20		alternative railroads;
21	i.	"Unit train" loading that provides for pooling of grain shipments and
22		is not limited to one-stop terminal loading, in addition to stricter
23		regulations and better enforcement of laws to require companies to
24		provide proportionately equal service to elevators in the allocation of
25		rail cars;
26	j.	Rate regulations that incorporate provisions to protect smaller
27		shippers from rate discrimination;
28	k.	Continued regulation of freight rates and commodities shipped by
29		rail;
30	l.	Statutory provisions to govern mergers or reorganizations of railroad
31		lines facing financial difficulty to assure that such mergers do not
32		destroy competition or necessary service;

1	m. Allowing adjacent landowners or existing businesses leasing the
2	property to be given first option to purchase abandoned railway
3	rights-of-way, including mineral acres, at fair appraisal value;
4	n. Legislation to prevent companies or railroad property owners from
5	charging unreasonable prices for railroad property and lease sites;
6	o. A moratorium on all rail-line abandonments;
7	p. Prohibition of railroad companies from forming holding companies
8	or subsidiaries for the purpose of hiding assets originally received
9	from land grants; and
10	q. The expansion of high-speed rail, including in rural areas.
11	We oppose any merger between major railroad carriers.
12	ii. Certificates of Transportation (COT)
13	The Certificate of Transportation (COT) system is discriminatory, anti-
14	competitive, and violates the intent of Congress in its adoption of the Staggers
15	Act. NFU urges the STB to review the use of COTs and the impact on producers
16	and their local elevators.
17	iii. Safety
18	We support:
19	a. Continued improvements to the safety mechanisms on railcars and
20	railways to better protect our rural citizens, to include:
21	1. Requiring reflective stripes to be placed and maintained on all
22	railroad cars;
23	2. Enforcing lower speed limits going through communities;
24	3. Requiring railroads to erect warning light and arm signals on
25	all crossings near schools; and
26	4. On-time implementation of safety mandates;
27	b. The STB establishing and enforcing rules that minimize the time a
28	train can block a road;
29	c. The STB enacting a policy that will force railroads to upgrade the
30	railway infrastructure as a first step in protecting the public and
31	ensuring the safe transport of commodities; and

1 d. The rail authority being held liable for expenses incurred by local fire 2 and emergency response departments for updating equipment and 3 training to deal with potential rail accidents. 4 C. PORT DEVELOPMENT, SHIPPING POLICY 5 We support: 6 1. Adequate funding to improve our inland waterway transportation system, 7 including funding to repair our system of locks. We are particularly 8 concerned with needed lock renovation on the Mississippi River; 9 2. The lowest possible user fee for the use of locks on inland rivers; 10 3. Keeping the Great Lakes shipping channels, including the St. Lawrence 11 Seaway and the twin ports of Duluth and Superior, open while such lanes are 12 navigable, to maximize this nation's export capabilities; 13 4. Restricting the management of our nation's port system to U.S.-owned and -14 based companies: 15 5. The Jones Act; 16 6. Efforts to deepen and maintain ports in the U.S., especially those that load 17 agricultural commodities; and 18 7. Increasing the labor efficiency and load capacity of international ports to 19 ensure there is no disruption to the supply chain. 20 We oppose: 21 1. Legislation that requires agricultural interests to pay a disproportionate fee 22 for operation, maintenance and construction of deep-draft channels and 23 ocean and Great Lakes ports; and 24 2. Any excessive increases in the inland Waterways Fuel Tax. 25 D. AIR TRANSPORTATION 26 We support: 27 1. Maintaining feeder and commuter airline services to farm/rural 28 communities to ensure mail and passenger service; 29 2. The continuation of federal regulation of all airline services to protect public 30 safety; 31 3. Regulations that would assure that rural areas are not penalized in airline 32 rate structures:

1	4.	Full funding of the Essential Air Service (EAS); and	
2	5.	Building a robust industry to support the production of homegrown,	
3		renewable bio-jet fuels for commercial and military aviation.	
4	We op	oose airline fees imposed at airports, after ticket purchase, such as a	
5	surcharge	for checked luggage.	
6	E.	Rural Utilities	
7	We sup	port:	
8	1.	The RUS loans, loan guarantees, and economic development programs for	
9		rural electric and rural telephone cooperatives;	
10	2.	Policies that allow for distributed generation that would enable family	
l1		farmers to provide electricity for themselves and their communities; and	
12	3.	Facilitating the creation of high-speed broadband networks operated by	
13		rural utility cooperatives for unserved and underserved rural communities.	
L 4	We oppose termination or privatization of RUS.		
15		1. Telephone Services	
16	We	support:	
17	i	The Universal Service Fund;	
18	ii	Continued access, maintenance, and improvement to landline (including	
19		metal wire) telephone service;	
20	iii	Expansion and improvement of cellular service in rural areas, including	
21		mobile data;	
22	iv	Reduced regulatory burdens for rural telephone providers; and	
23	v	Enhanced 911 telephone services in rural areas.	
24		2. ELECTRIC SERVICES	
25	We	support the continuation of a low-interest-loan pool program for rural	
26	electri	cooperatives.	
27	We oppose encroachment on rural electric cooperative service areas by private		
28	and mi	inicipal power companies.	
29		3. Telecommunications	
30	Acc	ess to information, education and entertainment programming in an	
31	inform	ation age is increasingly important to the quality of life in rural communities.	
32	We	support:	

1	i.	A ban on cross-ownership of media that results in higher telephone and
2		subscription television rates;
3	ii.	The continuation of the e-rate program;
4	iii.	The development of cooperative cable television systems to serve both
5		rural and urban sectors;
6	iv.	Adoption of FCC policies that encourage sound technical standards for
7		rural radio and television service;
8	v.	Legislation to allow citizens to participate in boards and committees via
9		the use of telecommunications;
10	vi.	Greater transparency in billing for telecommunications services; and
11	vii.	Congress reinstating the Fairness Doctrine.
12	We op	ppose:
13	i.	Federal, state, or local governments imposing taxes and fees on services
14		such as subscription television or internet; and
15	ii.	Proposals that would weaken or eliminate radio and television farm
16		news, public service broadcast time and other services.
17		4. RURAL ACCESS TO TECHNOLOGY AND INFORMATION
18	We su	pport:
19	i.	Efforts to ensure competitively priced, high-speed broadband and
20		wireless access to the internet for rural America, at download speeds of
21		25 megabytes per second (mbps) or higher;
22	ii.	Collaborative efforts that leverage internet-based technology and use the
23		internet to improve communications;
24	iii.	Net neutrality; and
25	iv.	The further advancement of the cell tower and fiber optic networks to
26		cover all rural communities to support:
27		a. New technology in agriculture;
28		b. Faster, more improved emergency services;
29		c. Access to emerging technologies; and
30		d. Access to telehealth.
31	v.	Waiving, for six years, pole attachment fees in areas of underserved
32		broadband access to ensure coverage in these areas. These fees will

1 return to a negotiated rate after the waiver period. This will allow access 2 to broadband companies while not permanently burdening electric 3 cooperatives with covering all the costs of pole replacement and 4 maintenance. 5 F. SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT 6 1. INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT BONDS 7 We support: 8 Each state being allowed a minimum base allocation in addition to the i. 9 per-capita allocation allowed industrial development bonds, to provide 10 equity among states; 11 ii. Judicious use of these bonds to ensure priority is given to long-term. 12 locally based economic development projects within the community; and 13 iii. States using industrial development bonds to finance beginning or 14 socially disadvantaged farmer programs. 15 2. SMALL BUSINESS POLICY 16 We support: 17 i. Federal policy that protects small businesses from predatory 18 encroachment by monopolistic big business; 19 ii. Small businesses being given a fair opportunity to bid on government 20 contracts: 21 iii. Continuation of the Small Business Administration (SBA); 22 Small-business loan funds being available through the SBA to meet iv. 23 credit-worthy applications; and 24 Regulations and paperwork to be administered in ways that do not place v. 25 an undue burden on small businesses. 26 3. ENTERPRISE FACILITATION 27 We encourage family farmers, ranchers and small boat fishers and their local 28 communities to utilize various USDA Rural Development technical assistance and 29 funding programs through the National Sustainable Agriculture Information Service, 30 Rural Cooperative Development Grants (RCDG), Value-Added Producer Grants 31 (VAPG), the Agricultural Marketing Resource Center (AgMRC), Agricultural 32 Innovation Centers (AIC), and regional food hubs, as well as others.

1		ARTICLE XI – QUALITY OF LIFE IN RURAL AMERICA	
2	NFU urges the adoption of national policies that address the difficulties and greater		
3	costs of providing necessary health, education, consumer protection, public and		
4	emergency se	ervices for our children, sick, needy, individuals with disabilities and	
5	elderly.		
6	A. Hi	EALTH CARE	
7	NFU stro	ngly affirms the right of all Americans to have access to affordable, quality	
8	health care, v	vith emphasis on disease prevention and access to nutritional food.	
9		1. HEALTH CARE COVERAGE, ACCESS, AND CARE	
10	We su	apport:	
11	i.	A continued effort toward an improved national comprehensive health	
12		plan, which includes a public option that allows citizens to choose their	
13		own doctors, that provides universal, affordable, and accessible coverage	
L 4		and elder care for all Americans;	
15	ii.	Adoption of a single-payer national health insurance program with no	
16		deductible and minimal co-pays that provides comprehensive health care	
17		services. Government funds to operate such a system, similar to	
18		Medicare, should be raised in a manner based on ability to pay;	
L9	iii.	Maintaining the mandated coverage for preventive care and retention of	
20		choice of doctors;	
21	iv.	Federal funding for rural health clinics;	
22	v.	Incentives for medical professionals who serve in underserved areas;	
23	vi.	Including health promotion and education in long-term policy and	
24		planning;	
25	vii.	Consumer education with special emphasis on childhood education on:	
26		a. The benefits of nutritionally sound foods;	
27		b. Health dangers of harmful products; and	
28		c. Education about the benefits and risks of immunization;	
29	viii.	The effort to educate our communities on identifying the signs associated	
30		with depression, and how to help those with depression and suicidal	
31		thoughts;	
32	ix.	Increased funding for mental health and addiction treatment services;	

1	х.	Research and education, including increased publicly funded research, to
2		prevent the spread of, and to find a cure for, life-threatening diseases;
3	xi.	The development, research and use of licensed alternative medicines and
4		practices. Such remedies should be eligible for reimbursement;
5	xii.	Expedited access to promising treatments for terminal illnesses,
6		including those in trial phase;
7	xiii.	Increased access to, and use of, palliative care for patients in end-of-life
8		situations;
9	xiv.	Third-party reimbursement for advanced health care professionals to
10		allow nurses, resident caregivers, nurse practitioners, and physician's
11		assistants to set up clinics and to allow improved access to home health
12		care, health promotion, and health maintenance in rural America;
13	XV.	Long-term care, end-of-life care, and expanded in-home care coverage
14		being included in any universal coverage reform. In addition, asset
15		spend-down limits should be increased;
16	xvi.	Continuation of the National Health Service Corps. Funds should also be
17		allocated to communities to provide training and equipment for
18		emergency health care;
19	xvii.	Self-employed farmers having the same access to dependent care
20		services as those in other industries;
21	xviii.	Assistance to families struggling to provide care to their dependents,
22		including children, individuals with disabilities and the elderly;
23	xix.	Adoption of a comprehensive program of federal aid for dependent care,
24		including the use of tax credits;
25	XX.	Congress opposing tort reform that precludes consumers' ability to
26		receive adequate compensation for wrongful actions;
27	xxi.	Continued implementation and full funding of the Farm and Ranch Stress
28		Assistance Network;
29	xxii.	The elimination of any payment or benefit caps including but not
30		exclusive to preexisting conditions;
31	xxiii.	Decisions regarding patient care residing with the physician and patient,
32		not with the insurance company or government;

1	xxiv.	Implementation of Health Information Technology (HIT) systems in rural
2		areas and funding for Rural Health Clinics (RHCs) and Community-
3		Funded Safety Net Clinic (CSNCs);
4	xxv.	A uniform health insurance exchange system;
5	xxvi.	States expanding Medicaid coverage to additional low-income citizens;
6	xxvii.	The reauthorization and expansion of the State Children's Health
7		Insurance Program (SCHIP); and
8	xxviii.	The establishment of cooperative-based health insurance companies.
9		2. Prescription Drugs
10	We su	pport:
1	i.	Immediately addressing discriminatory pricing policies, the ability of
12		providers to negotiate the price of drugs, access to generic drugs and an
L 3		adequate prescription drug benefit for all Americans;
L 4	ii.	Providing rural access to prescription drugs and pharmacy services.
L 5		Retail pharmacies must have equal access to pharmaceutical
l6		manufacturers' discounts, and state and federal legislative efforts are
L7		encouraged to ensure equal access;
18	iii.	The clear labeling of all prescription drugs' purposes;
19	iv.	The general re-importation of prescription drugs from other countries
20		and repealing the federal law that prohibits it;
21	v.	Consumer access to safe and proven generic prescription drugs and an
22		end to extended delays by drug companies or the FDA;
23	vi.	Education, prevention, and treatment for drug misuse and addiction; and
24	vii.	Requiring that most life-saving drugs protecting our public health being
25		manufactured within federal guidelines, and that no other country
26		produces more than 50 percent of one drug for the United States.
27		3. MEDICARE AND MEDICAID
28	We su	pport:
29	i.	The ability to negotiate the price of drugs for a prescription drug
30		program;

1	ii.	Expediting Medicare reimbursement to health care providers. All health
2		care providers should be reimbursed at a rate no lower than the
3		provider's actual cost as determined by independent audit;
4	iii.	Extension of the Medicare program to include the treatment of long-term
5		illness as a covered benefit;
6	iv.	Reducing the Medicare entry age to 55;
7	v.	Elimination of the "donut hole" (coverage gap) in Medicare;
8	vi.	The reform of Medicare and Medicaid to enable and encourage doctors
9		and dentists to serve all Medicare and Medicaid patients, especially in
10		rural areas; and
11	vii.	Payment reform efforts and continued cost-based reimbursement for
12		rural hospitals and Critical Access Hospitals (CAH) for Medicare services.
13	We op	pose:
14	i.	Any cuts to Medicare and Medicaid;
15	ii.	Privatizing Medicare;
16	iii.	Proposals to block-grant Medicaid and strip its status as an entitlement;
17		and
18	iv.	Increased use by hospitals of keeping people overnight for observation
19		care status instead of admitting patients to hospitals.
20		4. Veterans' Rights
21	We su	pport:
22	i.	Increases in the VA's medical care operating budget and allowing
23		veterans to use that budget for private providers;
24	ii.	Redoubling efforts to ensure all veterans receive localized timely and
25		quality care;
26	iii.	Funding to ensure the preservation of veterans' rights and benefits;
27	iv.	Rejecting any proposal that would close or privatize VA hospitals;
28	v.	Continuation of federal and state funding for existing rural hospitals and
29		nursing homes to provide for the health needs of veterans;
30	vi.	Programs that aid individuals suffering from post-traumatic stress
31		disorder (PTSD) and all mental health issues, including through
32		agriculture and access to land: and

1 vii. Agricultural rehabilitation and vocational training programs for military 2 veterans, such as the Farmer Veteran Coalition. 3 B. EDUCATION 4 We support: 5 1. Maintaining the U.S. Department of Education and establishing an assistant 6 secretary for rural education; 7 2. Continuation and full funding of the Perkins Act at the secondary and post-8 secondary level and drivers' education programs: 9 3. Maintaining career and technical education under the umbrella of the 10 Department of Education; 11 4. A strong national educational set of standards designed to maintain 12 consistency when students transfer schools; 13 5. Congress to fully fund the federally mandated Individuals with Disabilities 14 Education Act to assist all districts (especially rural districts) in meeting the 15 needs of all students: 16 6. Ensuring that all youth and young adults are aware of the relevance of 17 agriculture, cooperatives and family farming in their society through 18 adequate funding for coursework and/or other activities required by 19 education institutions: 20 7. Voluntary Bible-reading and prayer in our public schools; 21 8. States finding more equitable ways other than property tax to fund public 22 education: 23 9. Continued involvement with, and active support of, Organizations Concerned 24 with Rural Education (OCRE); 25 10. Expansion of grants and lower "low-interest" student loans to assist students 26 of all ages with the cost of higher education; 27 11. The interest rate level on student loans should be equal to, or less than the 28 10-year Treasury rate that is enjoyed by the large banking institutions; 29 12. Revising financial aid forms to ensure a more equitable system for both 30 urban and rural farm students:

1 13. Establishment of a federal student loan forgiveness program for students 2 who attend college and subsequently return to rural communities, based on 3 years of service to those communities; 4 14. The inclusion of agricultural producers in a federal and/or state student loan 5 forgiveness program based on years of service to rural communities; 6 15. Rural schools being assured their fair share of both federal and state 7 resources and support; 8 16. Ensuring all adults have the opportunity to participate throughout life in 9 meaningful educational and vocational training programs; 10 17. The federal Qualified Zone Academy Bonds (QZAB) program; 11 18. Farmers Union members monitoring the implementation of the Vocational 12 Education Act in their local schools and state vocational technical programs 13 to be sure that the interests of agriculture are met; 14 19. Involvement in local, state, and national 4-H, FFA, and other vocational 15 education organizations, and we encourage these organizations to link with 16 family farmers: 17 20. Unemployed workers having the opportunity for retraining and upgrading 18 their skills as part of their unemployment benefits; 19 21. The continuation of federal or state-funded retraining programs for 20 displaced farmers and ranchers and their spouses; 21 22. Teaching animal welfare, as opposed to animal rights; 22 23. Adequate funding for public education; 23 24. Schools that have developed courses in entrepreneurship as a means of 24 encouraging young people to stay in their rural communities; 25 25. Encouraging rural schools to explore all educational possibilities to enhance 26 the curriculum, such as distance-learning courses, which serve as an 27 alternative to school consolidation or closure; 28 26. The development and retention of remote learning centers to provide a 29 broader range of educational opportunities; 30 27. Consumer and regulator education on the benefits of healthy soils in relation 31 to our physical health, particularly as related to the Food Safety 32 Modernization Act (FSMA);

1 28. Funding of the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) while providing more 2 flexibility to state and local education agencies in implementation; and 3 29. The development and expansion of school garden programs; 4 30. The extension of broadband capacity for high speed internet to all schools 5 equivalent to the services available in urban areas; and 6 31. Incentives to recruit, hire, and retain teachers, especially in rural areas. 7 We oppose: 8 1. Mandatory consolidation of rural schools: 9 2. Excessive testing requirements incumbent to such programs as "Common 10 Core": 3. Unfunded mandates from state or federal governments on schools; 11 12 4. The use of vouchers: 13 5. Requirement of using credit ratings in determining loan eligibility; 14 6. The privatization of state and federal student loan programs; and 15 7. Cuts in student aid. 16 1. Public Research 17 NFU supports increased funding for public agricultural research. We are 18 concerned of the reductions in state and federal funds for crop and livestock 19 research. The resulting increase in private research has reduced the sharing of 20 information and increased costs of production inputs. Land-grant colleges and 21 universities helped create the technological revolution in agriculture. We support: 22 i. These institutions focusing on research to increase family farm net 23 income, specialty crops and commodity prices; 24 Farmers Union state organizations scrutinizing relationships between ii. 25 USDA grants and the land-grant colleges and universities and large 26 agribusiness corporations to ensure that research by those colleges and 27 universities is in the best interests of family farmers; 28 iii. Full financial disclosure of funding sources for agricultural research 29 projects being made public; 30 The continuation and additional funding for all federal formula iv. 31 allocations, such as Hatch Act of 1887 and Smith-Lever Act funds;

1 Increased funding supporting land-grant colleges for research into v. 2 alternative agricultural technologies which would benefit small and 3 specialized family farmers by reducing input costs and by developing a 4 system of sustainable agriculture: 5 Targeted research specifically designed to explore innovative production, vi. 6 processing and marketing topics that enhance small or family-sized farm 7 operations: 8 Amending the Internal Revenue Code to create a new type of 501(c)(3) vii. 9 organization, as an agriculture research organization (ARO), to conduct 10 agricultural research and increase funding to advance agriculture; 11 viii. Publicly funded research, findings and by-products of the research 12 remaining in the public domain and benefiting family-sized farms; and 13 ix. Consideration to authorize the distribution of federal agriculture 14 research funds to both land-grant universities and other post-secondary 15 agricultural educational institutions; 16 Long-term research and development focused on soil health, including X. 17 but not limited to cover crops and reduced tillage in the various 18 production practices; 19 Research and development of non-GMO and GMO alternatives crops that xi. 20 are less water intensive and drought, pest, and disease resistant; 21 xii. Research and development of perennial crops, including cereal grains 22 such as Kernza: and 23 The establishment of multi-state templates for less formal and faster field xiii. 24 trials. 25 2. LAND GRANT UNIVERSITIES AND NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF FOOD AND 26 AGRICULTURE 27 Land Grant Universities and the National Institute of Food and Agriculture 28 (NIFA) were established with the mandate to help the public learn about and apply 29 to everyday activities, the latest technology and management knowledge. This 30 valuable rural information delivery system's role must be reassessed and 31 strengthened to meet the demands of a rapidly changing, highly sophisticated

technology delivery system now available in this country.

32

1	vve	support:
2	i	. Land-grant universities re-envisioning agricultural extension training so
3		that it respects and utilizes the experience of farmers and ranchers and
4		the significant role this experience plays in the science of agriculture;
5	ii	. Farmers and ranchers being part of the research team;
6	iii	. Extension training respecting and utilizing the agricultural practices of
7		traditional native peoples and acknowledge the significant role these
8		practices play in sustainable agriculture;
9	iv	. Education, research, and support of small, diverse farms as well as larger
10		farms;
11	v	. The ability to earn college credit through continuing adult and extension
12		education programs;
13	vi	. Agricultural extension educators mentoring low- and moderate-income
14		families to improve agricultural economies by adding value to
15		agricultural products;
16	vii	. No funds utilized by NIFA being used to carry out political or lobbying
17		activities; and
18	viii	. The governing board of land-grant universities be made up of at least 50
19		percent individuals engaging in agriculture;
20	We	oppose the consolidation of extension services into larger than single-county
21	units.	
22	C.	SOCIAL SECURITY
23	We su	pport:
24	1.	Active participation in developing a plan to help ensure the solvency for
25		Social Security for future years;
26	2.	Preserving a major portion of any budget surplus for Social Security;
27	3.	Social Security tax being applicable to all earnings by removal of the income
28		cap;
29	4.	Social Security being a mandatory, universal system to assure benefits in the
30		future;
31	5.	Continued strengthening and protecting of the Social Security program;

1 6. Congress changing laws so that a husband and wife who are equal business 2 partners in a farming operation can collect equally on the Social Security tax 3 that was paid because of that business; and 4 7. Congress changing the eligibility requirements for individuals who haven't 5 worked off the farm long enough to qualify for benefits. 6 We oppose: 7 1. Using Social Security funds for anything other than their intended use; 8 2. A freeze on the Social Security cost-of-living allowance for all recipients: 9 3. Any part of Social Security being invested in non-government-insured 10 investments; and 11 4. Proposals that would privatize the system. 12 D. PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES 13 We support: 14 1. Public and private programs aimed at providing development, therapy, and 15 rehabilitation of Americans with developmental, physical and mental 16 challenges; 17 2. Equal and gainful employment for individuals with disabilities and the 18 development of special supports for farmers who are disabled and who want 19 to continue to farm: and 20 3. The National AgrAbility program. 21 E. EMPLOYMENT 22 We recognize the need to prepare a skilled workforce that will be required for a 23 healthy, competitive, full employment economy. 24 We support: 25 1. Expanding present policy to further training and employment opportunities 26 for all people who want to work; 27 2. Assistance being targeted to retraining dislocated workers and displaced 28 farmers: 29 3. A preference for training with agriculture or agricultural related industries; 30 4. Directing special emphasis to stimulating economic growth and increasing 31 research and development of technology that will generate productive jobs 32 with fair wages and benefits; and

5. The elimination of the Multiemployer Pension Reform Act of 2014 (MPRA).

F. IMMIGRATION POLICY

We believe the growing consequences of a broken immigration system must be addressed in a bipartisan effort that considers the following principles:

- 1. Our immigration system must be flexible enough to address the needs of businesses while protecting the interests of workers;
- Immigration is a federal issue that should be addressed at the federal level.
 We therefore oppose programs such as E-Verify at the state level only;
- 3. We support adoption of a mandatory E-Verify program only in conjunction with a coherent and viably effective agricultural worker program. The E-Verify system must allow communication among federal agencies for determining status to protect the integrity of the worker and of the employer;
- 4. As strong families are critical to developing successful individuals and cohesive communities, our immigration policies should prioritize keeping families together to most enable supportive home environments for all children and to not support separation of children from families as a border security policy and to reunite all separated children to their families; unless indication to do so would endanger the child;
- 5. Our immigration enforcement strategy should focus on public safety and consider consequences to businesses, workers, and consumers.
 Furthermore, our broader immigration reform effort should include a path to determine reliably and affordably who is permitted to work, ensuring an adequate labor force for a growing economy;
- 6. Immigrants are part of both our rural and urban communities. We recognize the critical role immigration has played in our nation's history and economy;
- 7. Immigration policies must provide a sensible path for those who are here without legal status, are of good character, pay taxes and are committed to becoming fully participating members of our society. The legalization of undocumented workers may occur after paying appropriate fines and incurring penalties for illegal entry;

1 8. Encouraging any people seeking permanent residence in the United States to 2 apply for citizenship with all the rights and responsibilities that accompany 3 it: 4 9. Recognition of the basic human rights of all immigrants and asylum seekers 5 and their safe and humane treatment; and 6 10. Immigration and asylum processes must occur in a timely and judicious 7 manner. 8 We also support: 9 1. The DREAM Act; 10 2. A fast track toward U.S. citizenship for those immigrants of upstanding 11 character who seek citizenship and serve with honor in a branch of the U.S. 12 military service; 13 3. A pathway to citizenship or legal residency for undocumented agricultural 14 workers that does not restrict their employment to any specific farm or 15 group of farms; 16 4. Programs that encourage career path progression for documented 17 immigrant farm laborers and non-immigrant process of adjustment of status; 18 5. Expediting and streamlining the process to allow approved immigrant 19 workers to travel back and forth from their home country; 20 6. A pathway to citizenship for asylum seekers; and 21 7. A pathway to citizenship for children of undocumented immigrants. 22 We oppose: 23 1. The indentured servitude of a captive workforce; and 24 2. The mass deportation of any peoples from the United States 25 G. TEMPORARY GUEST WORKER PROGRAM 26 Farmers require a secure, affordable, and fully capacitated agricultural labor 27 program to ensure farms and rancher can get their work done on time with skilled 28 workers. 29 We support: 30 1. Development and improvements to non-immigrant visa programs, including 31 H-2A, to help the agricultural community by allowing a more flexible, cost-32 effective, and reliable program;

- An effective visa system responsive to geographic proximity and economic and cultural factors, which acknowledges the beneficial contributions foreign laborers make as workers, taxpayers, and consumers;
 Controlling the growth of the Adverse Effect Wage Rate (AEWR), which has generated an unsustainable increase in farmers' labor costs;
 A guest worker program that reflects the reality of a farming calendar, including accommodations for year-round labor needs and staggered start
 - including accommodations for year-round labor needs and staggered start dates; and
 - 5. Guest workers in programs such as H-2A having the freedom to find their own housing with financial support from the employer.

H. EXPANDING OPPORTUNITIES FOR SENIOR CITIZENS

In keeping with the traditional American concept of individual dignity in our democratic society, all older Americans are entitled to enjoy an active involvement in our society. The number of older Americans continues to increase, and efforts must be expanded to continue to make use of their experience, skills, and energy to fill the needs of our society through citizen involvement, employment and volunteer activities.

We support:

- 1. The energies and talents of retired Farmers Union members and employees being used to strengthen and expand our organization;
- 2. The Older Americans Act (OAA);
- 3. Experience Works, formerly Green Thumb, a nonprofit organization conceived by NFU because older Americans who had the ability and desire to work were not given the opportunity to do so;
- 4. The Senior Community Service Employment Program (SCSEP), providing full funding at authorized levels and opposing block-granting; and
- 5. The development of policies to allow our seniors to age in place.

I. FOOD AND NUTRITION PROGRAMS

It is imperative that our national nutrition policy addresses both the quantity and quality of food available to needy Americans. Nutrition programs should place an emphasis on fresh and local foods to ensure that Americans of all income levels have access to healthy, nutritious foods.

1. Administration of Food and Nutrition Programs

1	we su	pport:
2	i.	Reauthorization and full funding of federal nutrition programs under
3		USDA;
4	ii.	Expansion of nutrition programs to include farm-to-school, WIC and
5		Senior Farmers Market Nutrition Programs, programs that allow SNAP
6		and other federal nutrition program beneficiaries to double their benefits
7		at farmers markets and others;
8	iii.	SNAP and federal nutrition program benefits used for grocery delivery
9		and pick-up services for the purchase of approved food items;
10	iv.	Congress continuing federal responsibility for nutrition programs;
11	v.	Maintaining federal standards as well as the USDA's authority for
12		commodity donations to nutrition programs;
13	vi.	Requiring comprehensive and unbiased research precede any official
14		dietary advice regarding the relationship between diet and health;
15	vii.	The United States Dietary Guidelines to utilize the abundant and
16		thorough evidence suggesting that Americans should consume a
17		balanced diet rich in nutrients, which includes meats and dairy products;
18	viii.	All federally funded nutrition programs following the U.S. Dietary
19		Guidelines;
20	ix.	Periodic reviews of federally funded nutrition programs to assess their
21		effectiveness;
22	Х.	Expansion of nutrition feeding programs for the elderly, including how to
23		use Electronic Benefits Transfer (EBT) and the distribution of excess
24		commodities when available; and
25	xi.	The ability of consumers to purchase whole, less processed and
26		unprocessed foods as a nutritional tool to encourage prevention of
27		disease and strengthen immunity through gut microbiome diversity.
28	We op	pose:
29	i.	The privatization of the administration of federally funded nutrition
30		programs;
31	ii.	The shifting of nutrition programs to state block grants;
32	iii.	Separating nutrition title programs from the farm bill; and

1 iv. Increased work requirements for eligibility in food and nutrition 2 programs. 3 2. FOOD ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS 4 We support: 5 i. The Food Stamp Act of 1964; 6 ii. The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP); 7 iii. Outreach efforts to extend services to the increasing number of hungry 8 people who should be served, particularly in rural areas where access is 9 a problem; 10 Exclusion of farm loans as income in determining eligibility for SNAP; iv. 11 Continued development of the EBT Program and education on how to use v. 12 EBT; 13 vi. Prohibiting efforts to substitute cash payment for SNAP; 14 Programs which allow SNAP users to purchase food directly from vii. 15 farmers markets, community supported agriculture (CSA) programs, and 16 local producers: 17 viii. Commodity distribution programs such as The Emergency Food 18 Assistance Program (TEFAP), the Commodity Supplemental Food 19 Program (CSFP) and child feeding programs: 20 The Food Distribution Program on Indian Reservations (FDPIR), as well ix. 21 as including indigenous foods and foods grown on reservations in the 22 program; 23 USDA making healthy surplus foods readily available to food banks and X. 24 emergency kitchens, bearing the cost of transportation and storage; 25 xi. Federal law that requires commodities distributed for nutrition 26 programs be domestically produced; 27 xii. Transparent supply chains and local and regional food procurement for 28 domestic hunger relief; 29 xiii. Congress creating and funding a permanent national food pantry 30 assistance program that funds technical assistance and the purchase of 31 local foods direct and/or through existing local food supply chains from 32 the producers for use by food banks and pantries; and

1 xiv. The allocation of national resources to alleviate "food deserts," as defined 2 by USDA, by increasing accessibility to a comprehensive range of 3 nutritious foods. 4 3. CHILD NUTRITION PROGRAMS 5 We support: 6 Full funding and expansion of child nutrition programs such as the 7 School Lunch Program, School Breakfast Program, Child and Adult Care 8 Food Program (CACFP), Summer Food Service Program, the farm-to-9 school program, WIC, Community Eligibility Program (CEP), and other 10 USDA nutrition assistance programs; 11 ii. Congress redirecting USDA to support a school meal program at the 12 federal level that provides flexibility and reduces federal regulations 13 while encouraging a healthy diet, the use of local foods and local food 14 preparation; 15 iii. Free lunches under the School Lunch Program for all elementary and 16 middle school students: 17 The Special Milk Program for children; iv. 18 Congress strengthening and expanding farm-to-school programs by v. 19 supporting an increase in annual mandatory funding for the USDA Farm 20 to School Grant Program. We support expansion of the USDA Farm to 21 School Grant Program to include preschools, summer food service 22 program sites, and before and after school programs. We encourage farm 23 to school partnerships to increase consumption of nutritious and/or 24 traditional foods while also supporting farmers and ranchers; 25 vi. Increased emphasis on the use of locally produced foods in all 26 government nutrition programs; 27 vii. The removal of soda sales in public schools during lunch hours; and 28 viii. The Geographic Preference rules for school meal programs to financially 29 encourage the purchase and use of local farm products, and to allow 30 "locally produced" as a specification in the procurement bidding process. 31 **I. NUTRITION MONITORING** (ALSO SEE ARTICLE I.C. – LABELING OF COMMODITIES AND

COMMODITY PRODUCTS)

32

1	The National Nutrition Monitoring and Related Research Act creates a national				
2	system for monitoring the nutritional status of the U.S. population and, for this reason,				
3	is of great importance to those concerned with hunger, malnutrition and the broad				
4	planning for adequate food and farm policy. We urge continued effort to establish such				
5	mandated information collection as a basis for sound national policy.				
6	K. Fo	OOD SAFETY (ALSO SEE ARTICLE IV.D. – HEALTH AND INSPECTION STANDARDS FOR FOOD			
7	AN	D FIBER IMPORTS AND ARTICLE I.C. – LABELING OF COMMODITIES AND COMMODITY			
8	Products)				
9	1. REGULATORY AUTHORITY				
10	Curre	nt U.S. laws are not sufficient to address the complexities of our modern			
11	food supp	ly. The creation of a single food safety agency to regulate the whole U.S			
12	food supply, including imported and domestic food, could help rectify this situation.				
13	Therefore	e, we support:			
14	i.	Greater collaboration between the USDA and FDA;			
15	ii.	Congress providing increased funding for outreach, education, training,			
16		and compliance for food safety; and			
17	iii.	The authority of the regulatory agency to require a recall in the event of			
18		an outbreak of unsafe food.			
19		2. FOOD SAFETY STANDARDS			
20	It is in	nperative that we maintain the high quality of our food supply. This means			
21	ensuring high standards for production, processing, and transportation.				
22	We su	pport:			
23	i.	Vigorous action by U.S. regulatory agencies to prevent the introduction of			
24		bovine spongiform encephalopathy, tuberculosis, foot-and-mouth			
25		disease, and other communicable diseases into the food system;			
26	ii.	A moratorium on mechanical de-boning until the process can be			
27		improved to ensure that no undesired portions of the carcass are present			
28		in the final product;			
29	iii.	Opposition to the transportation of food in containers that have carried			
30		incompatible substances;			
31	iv.	Protecting our nation's food supply and the rigorous inspection of all			
32		imported food, fiber, milk protein concentrate (MPC), animal products			

and by-products to ensure they meet our nation's sanitary and
phytosanitary standards including safe pesticide levels. USDA inspection
stamps/seals should be placed only on the individual items inspected;

- v. The development of fairly administered Good Agricultural Practices (GAPs) for field-grown vegetable crops which support the biodiversity of farming operations and which do not discriminate against smaller operations. These GAPs should be administered by the USDA, in cooperation with state departments of agriculture. Further, it should be recognized that the most effective method of preventing foodborne illnesses is for rigorous measures instituted at the time that field-grown crops enter processing, packaging, and subsequent transportation and storage;
- vi. Permitting states to implement food safety regulations more stringent than comparable federal regulations where states deem consumer health and safety to be at risk or when individual agricultural producers strive to set a higher bar for the safety of food products destined for specialty or export markets;
- vii. National food safety policies that protect consumers without limiting farmers, ranchers or small food processors who sell into local and regional markets. Regulations should be size- and risk-appropriate;
- viii. FDA ensuring Food Safety Modernization Act (FSMA) rules are science-based, have size-appropriate regulatory flexibility, and do not conflict with the National Organic Program;
- ix. A continued evaluation of the regulations for FSMA to rationally address the practice of organic, natural and diversified farm operations vital to the local food movement, to scale requirements and fees with an understanding of the differences between corporate and family agriculture, and remove from the regulations the needless elements that serve the purposes of corporate agriculture at the expense of family farms, sovereign nations, growers of local foods, and consumers; and request that Congress provide appropriate funding to support the implementation of FSMA;

1 Allowing interstate shipment of state-inspected meat that complies with X. 2 federal standards, and assisting processors who wish to participate in 3 such a program; 4 Permitting cross-utilization of meat inspectors and meat graders in all xi. 5 federally and state-inspected meat processing plants which meet federal 6 inspection standards; and 7 xii. When tracking foodborne illnesses, the utilization of the epidemiological 8 model as pioneered by the University of Minnesota. 9 3. Inspection of Perishable Commodities 10 NFU recognizes the need for integrity and accountability in the federal 11 inspection services. Federal agencies must maintain food inspection credibility 12 without adding to grower or packer costs by implementing the following: 13 i. Checks and balances to discover and address infractions that interfere 14 with transaction fairness: 15 ii. Stiff penalties on violators; 16 iii. Improved supervision and auditing; and 17 Identification and prosecution of violators. iv. 18 4. AGRI-TERRORISM 19 With increased attention and focus on potential agri-terrorism attacks on our 20 nation's food chain, rural America must be educated, prepared and vigilant of all 21 potential circumstances. 22 We support: 23 The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) and USDA immediately 24 developing mechanisms to combat agri-terrorism with full funding 25 provided by DHS. Such mechanisms should ensure the safety of the 26 consumer and agricultural industry; 27 ii. Increased cooperation between USDA, DHS, Department of Health and 28 Human Services (HHS) and the Federal Emergency Management Agency 29 (FEMA) to establish, expand and continue to determine vulnerabilities 30 within the agricultural and food industries; 31 iii. Establishing a USDA public awareness and education campaign for 32 producers:

1 Providing federal guidance and funding to states and localities to develop iv. 2 and implement plans for agricultural disease prevention, recovery, and 3 response, based upon already established state animal response 4 activities: and 5 A requirement of representatives of federal, state and county agencies to v. 6 notify landowners prior to non-emergency access of their private 7 property. Representatives and vehicles used for access should also 8 display appropriate agency signage and identification. 9 L. FOOD WASTE 10 We support: 11 1. The EPA's food recovery hierarchy to prioritize actions to prevent and divert 12 food waste: 13 2. USDA's efforts to continue to measure and document food waste: 14 3. USDA's efforts to study techniques for reducing and/or recovering food 15 waste; 16 4. Policies that are designed to economically reduce, recover, and recycle food 17 waste; 18 5. The establishment of municipal or regional agricultural composting facilities 19 to provide a final opportunity to utilize food waste according to the EPA's 20 food recovery hierarchy, and their eligibility for support under USDA's 21 conservation programs; and 22 6. The Good Samaritan Food Donation Act and gleaning bills that enable 23 farmers to donate produce to qualified organizations and to receive a tax 24 credit for such donations. 25 M. WORLD FOOD DAY 26 NFU urges participation in World Food Day as proclaimed by the United Nations' 27 Food and Agriculture Organization. 28 N. Housing 29 We support: 30 1. Increased support for affordable housing, with allocation of units to rural 31 areas in proportion to need;

- 2. Development of housing options for senior citizens and disabled veterans to allow them to continue living in or near their communities and families;
 - 3. Acceleration of rural, cooperative, farm-labor housing programs, self-help, and building-site programs; and
 - 4. Expansion, continuation, and full federal commitments to Title V housing programs administered through the Rural Housing Service (RHS) of USDA.

O. LIABILITY INSURANCE

We urge a study into the rapid escalation of officers' and directors' liability insurance costs, especially as they affect our farm cooperatives and nonprofit businesses.

Because of the high costs to taxpayers and the reduced availability of liability insurance, we urge that liability against all local units of government be limited to cases of gross negligence.

P. CONSUMER PROTECTION

As one of the largest consumers of goods and services, farm producers are critically affected by legislation to protect consumers.

We support:

- Vigorous enforcement of consumer protection laws, including Truth-in-Labeling, Truth-in-Lending, and Truth-in-Advertising, and oppose exempting agricultural lending from Truth-in-Lending;
- 2. Loan institutions carrying insurance to protect the borrower against failures of the lending institutions; and
- 3. Continued cooperation with other consumers and organizations of consumers to protect our common interests.

Q. CAMPAIGN FINANCE AND ELECTIONS

1. CAMPAIGN FINANCE

We support the passage of an amendment to the U.S. Constitution to clarify that the rights protected by the Constitution of the United States are the rights of natural persons only; the spending of money to influence elections is not speech under the First Amendment, therefore contributions and expenditures to political issues and campaigns, including a candidate's own, shall be regulated by local, state, and federal governments, and must be fully disclosed.

1	We su	pport comprehensive campaign finance reform, including:
2	i.	Public financing;
3	ii.	Caps on total spending;
4	iii.	Caps on total contributions;
5	iv.	Prohibition of unreported soft money;
6	v.	Full reporting of all types of contributions;
7	vi.	Eventual elimination of all political action committees;
8	vii.	Elimination of "527" organizations;
9	viii.	Legislation overturning the Supreme Court's decision in the Citizens
10		United v. Federal Election Commission case that allows corporations to
11		make unlimited campaign contributions; and
12	ix.	Full disclosure of contributors to political issues and candidate
13		campaigns.
14	Until t	the time this is achieved, we support participation in the NFU Political
15	Action Co	mmittee (NATFARMPAC) as a means for our voice to be heard.
16		2. ELECTIONS AND ELECTED OFFICIALS
17	We su	pport:
18	i.	The federal government's assistance to local government units to offset
19		the cost of election voting machines that are mandated by the federal
20		government;
21	ii.	States adopting standards to avoid uncertainty in voting and counting
22		ballots to provide a paper trail that makes reviews and recounts possible
23		for election security;
24	iii.	Barring TV and radio news reports of national elections and exit polls
25		until all voting stations are closed in the 48 contiguous states;
26	iv.	Programs that encourage youth involvement in the voting process;
27	v.	Legislation that states Congress shall pass no law that applies to a citizen
28		of the United States that it does not apply to itself as an institution or to
29		individual senators or representatives, or vice versa, except as it relates
30		to national security issues and/or their personal security;
31	vi.	Voting, vote counting, and post-election auditing conducted with
32		electronic tabulation equipment must ensure reported results reflect

T		votes cast, and not be renant on proprietary software maccessible to	
2		bipartisan election oversight;	
3	vii.	Legislation requiring political campaigns and issue-based interest groups	
4		to adhere to the "do not call" list. Furthermore, this provision should roll	
5		over into a "do not text" list;	
6	viii.	Broad enforcement of the equal-time rule;	
7	ix.	Full disclosure of all financial tax records of all candidates participating	
8		in federal elections;	
9	х.	The designation of a General Election Day as a national holiday to	
10		increase access to voting for all citizens;	
11	xi.	The use of ranked choice voting systems for elections;	
12	xii.	A campaign length limit of six months for all political candidates;	
13	xiii.	A requirement that any candidate receiving federal funds must be invited	
14		to participate in major debates;	
15	xiv.	Secure, efficient processes that promote ease of access to voting by all	
16		citizens, including mail-in ballots, ballot drop boxes, early voting,	
17		convenient access to polling places, and same day registration;	
18	XV.	Mail-in ballots being accessible to voters for a minimum of two weeks in	
19		advance of the due date;	
20	xvi.	The principles of the Voting Rights Act; and	
21	xvii.	Mandating candidates who choose to use negative campaigning appear in	
22		the commercials they authorize.	
23	We op	ppose:	
24	i.	Term limitations;	
25	ii.	Practices that lower voter participation; and	
26	iii.	A single, nationwide primary day.	
27	R. U.	S. Freedoms and Liberties	
28	Although much has been accomplished to ensure freedom and equal opportunity for		
29	all citizens, regardless of race, gender, ethnicity, age, sexual orientation, or religion,		
30	much remains to be done.		
31	We support:		

- 1 1. Efforts to provide equality of rights for all in every aspect of life. These rights shall not be denied or abridged by the United States or any state within;
 - 2. Diversity in our communities and stand against inequalities based on profiling and discrimination including age, race, color, religion, national origin, ethnicity, citizenship, immigration status, sexual orientation, gender expression, marital status, source of income, military status or disability, criminal record, genetic information, or any other status protected by applicable federal, state, or local law.
 - Efforts to remedy historical inequities in access to farm programs and other systemic barriers to succeeding in agriculture faced by socially disadvantaged groups, especially farmers of color;
 - 4. Vigorously defending the right of privacy;
 - 5. Elimination of the abuse of federal agency powers and surveillance of lawabiding citizens;
 - 6. The right of reporters to keep their news sources confidential as inherent in the "citizens' right to know";
 - 7. Proper display and respect of the U.S. flag;
 - 8. The usage of "God" on government buildings, legal documents and legal tender and we oppose the removal of existing references;
 - 9. The men and women of the U.S. armed services for their contributions around the world; and
 - 10. Stronger enforcement of our human trafficking laws, and we urge local communities to become educated about this growing epidemic.

S. POSTAL SERVICE

It is the specific intent of Congress that effective postal service be assured to residents of both urban and rural communities. Rural America has the right to expect the U.S. Postal Service to adhere to the policy of the Postal Reorganization Act of 1971.

We support:

- 1. Raising First Class postage rates consistently and concurrently with bulk mailing or Second Class rates;
- Congress correcting the difficult situation it has imposed on the U.S. Postal
 Service by requiring excessive advance funding of pension funds;

1	3.	Re-establishment of the Postal Savings Bank; and
2	4.	Appointing a blue-ribbon panel to investigate the expansion and creation of
3		new services offered by the USPS to facilitate economic growth and
4		development in rural and inner-city communities.
5	We op	pose:
6	1.	Closing small post offices solely because they are operating at a deficit;
7	2.	Changes in postal policy that will result in reduced, less frequent or
8		insufficient mail services for rural areas including the elimination or
9		reduction in parcel post delivery for rural areas or increased rural postal
10		rates; and
11	3.	Privatization of the U.S. postal system, including the establishment of
12		contracted rural routes.
13	T.	RURAL EMERGENCY SERVICES AND MANAGEMENT PLANNING
14	Agricu	ltural communities face potential threats and emergencies (i.e., medical,
15	biological,	natural, and environmental disasters); therefore, we support:
16	1.	The implementation of federal, state, and local emergency management
17		plans;
18	2.	Opportunities for citizens to become informed about and implement
19		preventative steps;
20	3.	The dedicated volunteers who serve as emergency medical technicians,
21		firefighters, and law enforcement reserves;
22	4.	Training schedules that recognize the time commitments of the volunteers;
23	5.	Current laws that allow pre-hospital providers to perform services under a
24		doctor's written or verbal protocol;
25	6.	Development, preservation, and expansion of the rural 911 emergency
26		response systems; and
27	7.	Prohibiting cuts and the elimination of any useful programs that benefit first
28		responders in rural areas.
29	U.	VOTING DISTRICTS
30		1. Redistricting
31	We	e support:
32		i. A nonpartisan redistricting process when voting districts are redrawn;

1 ii. The establishment of a nonpartisan redistricting process by states that 2 uses computer software to generate a redistricting map that does not 3 take into account any political information; 4 iii. The removal of politics from any redistricting process; and 5 Voting districts being drawn according to the following criteria: iv. 6 a. Population equality; 7 b. Contiguity; 8 Unity of counties and municipalities; and 9 d. Compactness. 10 We oppose: Current legislators drawing district lines; and 11 i. 12 ii. Gerrymandering of voting districts to dilute rural representation or give 13 advantage to any political party. 14 2. STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS 15 We support efforts to allow for the apportionment of one of the two legislative 16 bodies of bicameral state legislatures based upon scientific geographical areas and 17 the other body apportioned based upon population. 18 The U.S. Congress follows this approach to determining the composition of the 19 Senate and the House of Representatives and the same method should be allowed 20 for state legislatures. This method would duplicate the system defined in the U.S. 21 Constitution for the Congress. 22 Since the Supreme Court ruling in Reynolds v. Sims in 1964, state legislatures 23 have been forced to apportion both bodies in a bicameral legislature based solely 24 upon population under the "one person, one vote" theory. This has led to a decline in 25 the ability of citizens in rural areas to be effectively represented in the state

legislatures. Declining rural populations have significantly changed the composition

of most state legislatures to the detriment of rural citizens, with the interests of

citizens in rural areas being underrepresented in the legislative process.

26

27

28

1 ARTICLE XII - FAMILY FARMERS AND THEIR ORGANIZATION 2 National Farmers Union should strive to bring a broad understanding of the 3 humanities and sense of place to the public to perpetuate the spirit of cooperation, 4 education, and community upon which our organization was founded. Realization of 5 democratic aims and ideals requires citizen participation in the processes of 6 government as individuals and as members of people-oriented movements and 7 organizations. 8 NFU serves its members by providing an organizational structure in which they can 9 participate more effectively in the processes of our society. The objectives of the 10 Farmers Union challenge us to make the organization strong and effective. To 11 accomplish these goals, we must: 12 1. Require dedicated efforts of officers, leaders, and grassroots members; 13 2. Encourage participation of family members in this effort; 14 3. Encourage gender balance on all committees, boards and in all offices, from 15 the local to the national level, within our organization; 16 4. Encourage qualified individuals, regardless of age, race, color, religion, 17 national origin, ethnicity, citizenship, immigration status, sexual orientation, 18 gender, gender expression, marital status, source of income, military status 19 or disability, criminal record, genetic information, or any other status 20 protected by applicable federal, state, or local law, to serve on all 21 committees, boards, and in all offices; 22 5. Urge members to become more active in their own organization and local 23 cooperatives, and in state, regional, and national public life. Active 24 participation can best be inspired when attention is paid to: 25 i. The study of issues; 26 ii. Decision-making within the organization; 27 iii. Carrying out group efforts to implement policy; 28 iv. Attracting more members into the organization; and 29 v. Promoting the use of the business services associated with Farmers 30 Union. 31 NFU must take the lead on educating policymakers and the public on the real cost of 32 corporate welfare.

NFU should initiate and work with other organizations to educate the public on the important issues of our NFU policy. NFU should allow for each state to continue teaching the history of NFU, their state Farmers Union and agriculture in their respective state.

A. EDUCATIONAL ACTIVITIES

1. SEMINARS AND WORKSHOPS

Special sessions should be held at all Farmers Union levels on issues that are of special concern at a particular time. Seminars should also be directed to special groups interested in farm cooperatives or particular farm commodities.

State organizations are encouraged to include among their educational activities: camps for youth and adults, legislative workshops, leadership training institutes, and other events, especially on timely issues.

2. FARMERS UNION YOUTH PROGRAMS

Its strong national and state youth programs make the Farmers Union unique among farm organizations. The highest priority and support should be given by national and state Farmers Union leadership to the Farmers Union youth programs. Farmers Union youth must be prepared to assume eventual leadership of our organization through the following methods:

- i. Greater encouragement for Farmers Union youth to attain positions of responsibility within the organization;
- ii. Special emphasis given to ongoing study programs, camps, All-StatesCamps and seminars;
- iii. Increased involvement of youth in the organization's decision-making process, group action and conventions;
- iv. Frequently updated Farmers Union educational materials and texts for youth leaders;
- v. Recognition of state and national youth leaders who have given of themselves in building the organization's youth programs as well as the youth that are involved in the organization's youth programs;
- vi. Action from state organizations to encourage young people to remain active in Farmers Union as they become adults; and

vii. Formation of a collegiate Farmers Union chapter in each member state and holding a national collegiate conference no less than once annually. We support more activities for young adults to inform them on involvements in Farmers Union.

3. FARMERS UNION YOUNG FARMER PROGRAM

Through its education program, the national organization, in conjunction with state Farmers Unions, should continue to develop its beginning farmer leadership programs upon the principles of cooperation, education and legislation to assist those interested in family agriculture and to develop community.

4. ALL-STATES CAMP

It is imperative that we maintain a robust youth camp program. All-States must build on the important work of individual state camps, while also serving as an opportunity for states without formal camp programs. All-States Camp is not only a highlight of our youth program, but also represents the time that young adults have become true participants in NFU. We urge that every effort be made to continue this opportunity.

5. FARMERS UNION EDUCATION CENTER

Any monies from the sale of this facility shall be invested with proceeds used to continue education programs at NFU and state associations.

B. COMMUNICATIONS

Communications within the organization, and with the media and public, are vital to promote and support Farmers Union activities and to call attention to the organization's goals. We encourage members and others to utilize all NFU communications tools.

C. FARMERS UNION LEGISLATIVE BUDGET FUND

The NFU Legislative Budget Fund is an important source of support for the overall legislative staff effort. Individual Farmers Union members, local and county units, affiliated cooperatives and other interested groups should give increasing support to this cause.

D. POLITICAL EFFECTIVENESS

Political education should be continuous.

Discussions of relevant political issues should be carried on throughout the organization with maximum opportunities for members to participate in efforts to influence political decisions.

The financial aspects of political action should not be ignored. Members should consider being more supportive of NATFARMPAC. Additionally, as a collective effort, we encourage state organizations to help collect a dollar per member per year. Candidates should be given support if, in the judgment of members, they regard Farmers Union recommendations favorable.

Members have a right to know the voting records of members of state legislatures and Congress on issues which Farmers Union has clearly indicated a position, and, therefore, the national organization and state Farmers Unions should continue to supply such information to their members.

In view of the steady decline in rural populations and of those directly involved in agriculture, it has become even more important for our national and state organizations to build alliances with consumer groups, other agricultural organizations, urban legislators, non-farmer rural residents and leaders to leverage our political effectiveness.

E. MEMBERSHIP EXPANSION

Growing our organization should be our number one priority. The best hope for family agriculture is for producers to join together to build the Farmers Union.

We encourage the expansion of our organization and affiliate memberships for likeminded organizations and ventures. (Additional information can be found at www.nfu.org)

We encourage individual state organizations to make membership growth a priority. Each state should establish a specific membership plan of action. Farmers Union members should be encouraged to sign up new members. A special emphasis should be made to ensure that all cooperative patrons and board members are members of Farmers Union.

We are encouraged by the efforts of national and state Farmers Union organizations in development of new programs and services. Special emphasis should be made to ensure that participants in these programs and services are members in their respective state Farmers Union organization.

F. Membership Budget Information

For information on the Farmers Union budget, members are encouraged to contact their state president. A complete comparative written financial report shall be given to delegates at the start of the NFU Convention.

G. FARMERS UNION RELATED SERVICES

Farmers Union business services, whether in marketing or providing inputs and services, are important to the members and the organization. Cooperatives which work with the Farmers Union in its program for agriculture deserve the loyalty of the members in the areas served.

Expansion and improvement of the NFU insurances and business services will be important to agriculture in the time ahead. Therefore, close working relationships are essential.

The affiliation of Farmers Union cooperatives and insurances to the Farmers Educational and Cooperative Union of America is paramount to any other affiliations because such activities have been built by Farmers Union members as components of an organization of, by, and for rural families.

H. COOPERATION WITH RELIGIOUS ORGANIZATIONS

NFU has a long history of cooperation with religious organizations on many issues of common concern.

We believe our nation is strong because its many diverse religious bodies bring their moral viewpoints to bear on public policy. We pledge our continuing cooperation to this common purpose.

I. COOPERATION WITH OTHER ORGANIZATIONS

NFU should continue to seek and explore opportunities to work with other organizations at the local, state, and national levels to advance the economic situation of family-scale operators.

We have worked aggressively to further build alliances and coalitions with diverse interests including commodity, rural interest, consumer, labor, minority, environmental, conservation, sustainable agriculture, health and nutrition, energy and

other groups not typically involved in the nation's farm policy debate.

The information shared in those coalitions and alliances has shown that we often have much more in common with those primarily concerned with consumer, environmental and social matters than we have differences.

It is in the best interest of family farmers that NFU continues this practice of seeking allies among those who may not be directly associated with agriculture.

We support the development of both state and national contract grower associations to improve the welfare of contract growers. Benefits will be realized as a result of this mutually supportive relationship, including the establishment of a farreaching precedent likely to impact future contract production of poultry, hogs, cattle and other commodities.

J. COOPERATIVE DEVELOPMENT

NFU shall make efforts to assist in the development of cooperatives to benefit its members and the rural American economy. Efforts shall be made both legislatively and through active support of state organizations and other groups seeking knowledge of cooperative principles and law.

K. POLICY DEBATE

During NFU convention policy debate, delegates are encouraged to voice their opinions with passion, vigor, and respect for all the farmers in our organization in ways that value the diversity of their farms, including their location, their size of operation, and their production methods.

Farmers Union policy debate is best when it is pragmatic, jovial, and respectful. Our work is practical, serious, and full of comradery. Policy debate is intended to build our organization by working together. Our cooperative spirit is real and at full strength during policy debate.

Glossary

1031 exchange – also known as a Starker exchange or a tax-deferred exchange; permits investment property owners to sell a property and defer tax payments by reinvesting the proceeds into a "like-kind" investment property or properties. A 1031 exchange is enabled by Section 1031 in the Internal Revenue Code.

527 organization – tax-exempt organizations primarily utilized to influence political elections. The structure of a 527 allows unlimited financial contributions and are typically not regulated by the Federal Election Commission or state elections commissions.

AFO – animal feeding operation; agricultural enterprise where animals are kept and raised in a confined situation. An AFO congregates animals, feed, manure and urine, dead animals, and production operations on a small land area.

Aggie Bonds – state agriculture loan program based on the use of tax-exempt bonds to assist beginning farmers and first-time farmers.

AgJOBS – legislation aimed at reforming labor and immigration laws specifically for agriculture.

AGR/AGR-Lite – whole-farm crop insurance that provides producers with protection against low revenue from natural causes and market fluctuations; covers income from agricultural commodities, as well as income from animals, animal products and aquaculture species reared in a controlled environment.

AgrAbility – a program to enhance quality of life for farmers, ranchers, and other agricultural workers with disabilities. See: http://www.agrability.org/about/program/ **Agricultural Conservation Easement Program (ACEP)** – a federal program, administered by the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), that helps landowners, land trusts, and other entities protect, restore, and enhance wetlands or protect working farms and ranches through conservation easements.

Agricultural Credit Act of 1987 – enacted in response to the 1980s farm crisis, the Act authorized a \$4 billion financial assistance package for Farm Credit System (FCS) institutions, mandated other FCS changes, and created the Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation (Farmer Mac).

Agricultural Foreign Investment Disclosure Act of 1978 – requires disclosure of transactions and holdings of any foreign person who acquires, disposes of, or holds an interest in U.S. agricultural land.

Agricultural Risk Coverage (ARC) – an income support program, first authorized by the 2014 farm bill, that provides payments when actual crop revenue declines below a guarantee level that is based on an Olympic average of market prices.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) – an international standards organization that develops and publishes voluntary consensus technical standards for a wide range of materials, products, systems, and services.

AMS – Agricultural Marketing Service, an agency of the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA); administers programs that facilitate the efficient, fair marketing of agricultural products, including food, fiber, and specialty crops.

APHIS – Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, an agency of the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA); a multi-faceted agency that includes protecting and promoting U.S. agricultural health, regulating genetically engineered organisms, administering the Animal Welfare Act, and carrying out wildlife damage management activities.

ASTM D6751 – a directive from the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) that dictates specifications for biodiesels blended with middle distillate fuels and how those properties are tested.

base – labor that provides significant support, including material day-to-day operational support, for a family farm, business, etc.

basis – in commodities, the difference between a local cash price and the relevant futures contract price for a specific period.

BFP – basic formula price.

Big Open – also referred to as the "buffalo commons," and another term for the Great Plains. It is sometimes used in reference to proposed schemes, originally floated in the 1980s, in which ranches would be phased out of the Great Plains in favor of wildlife and tourism.

Bill Emerson Humanitarian Trust – a special authority in the 2014 Farm Bill that allows USAID's Office of Food for Peace to respond to unanticipated food crises abroad when other Title II resources are not available.

Biobased Markets Program – authorized in the 2002 Farm Bill and expanded in the 2008 Farm Bill, it establishes a process for determining eligibility criteria for federal purchase of biobased products.

biodiesel (ASTM D6751) – The American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM)-approved standard for biodiesel, which covers blends between 5 and 20 percent biodiesel by volume blended with petroleum diesel fuel; also known as B100.

blue Box – a subsidy based on production quantity but is constrained to minimize trade distortions for purposes of the World Trade Organization (WTO).

brucellosis – livestock disease, which causes cows to abort calves.

BSE – bovine spongiform encephalopathy; a progressive neurological disorder of cattle that results from infection by an unusual transmissible agent called a prion.

Bureau of Land Management (BLM) – an agency within the U.S. Department of the Interior responsible for administering public lands.

"buy up" crop insurance coverage – higher levels of crop insurance coverage that a farmer may purchase in addition to a base policy.

Byrd Amendment – legislation that provides for the annual distribution of antidumping (AD) and countervailing duties (CVD). The distribution is available to "affected domestic producers for qualifying expenditures." An "affected domestic producer" is defined as a manufacturer, producer, farmer, rancher, or worker representative (including associations of such persons) that 1) was a petitioner or interested party in support of a petition with respect to which an AD or CVD order was in effect and 2) remains in operation. It was repealed in 2005.

CAFO – concentrated animal feeding operation; an AFO that meets the EPA regulatory definition of CAFO, which is based primarily on a minimum threshold of animal units confined at a given site for more than 45 days during the year. Any AFO that discharges manure or wastewater into a natural or man-made ditch, stream, or other waterway is defined as a CAFO, regardless of size. CAFOs are regulated by EPA under the Clean Water Act.

cap and trade – a regulatory program that sets a cap level of permissible emissions and allows firms to buy credits if they exceed the limit and sell credits if they are under the limit.

Capper-Volstead Act – a 1922 law that allows producers to organize into cooperatives without violating antitrust laws.

carbon sequestration – the process of capturing and storing atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO2), a method of reducing the amount of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere with the goal of reducing global climate change. Geologic carbon sequestration stores CO2 in underground geologic formations; biologic carbon sequestration stores CO2 in vegetation, soils, woody products, and aquatic environments.

CARE International – a humanitarian agency, founded in 1945, that provides emergency relief and long-term international development projects.

casein/caseinates – milk protein that is manufactured from skim milk and used in processed foods and in industrial products.

cannabidiol (CBD) – a chemical derived from Cannabis species (such as marijuana and hemp), or that can be produced synthetically in a laboratory, that is nonintoxicating, and known to have analgesic, anti-inflammatory, antineoplastic, and chemoprotective activities.

CBO – Congressional Budget Office; produces independent analyses of budgetary and economic issues to support the congressional budget process.

CBOT – Chicago Board of Trade.

CCC – Commodity Credit Corporation of the U.S. Department of Agriculture; government-owned and operated entity that was created to stabilize, support, and protect farm income and prices; also helps maintain balanced and adequate supplies of agricultural commodities and aids in their orderly distribution.

Certificate of Transportation (COT) – a futures contract issued by railroads to grain customers as a guarantee to present empty covered hoppers for loading at a specific location with an option to lock in price.

CFTC – Commodity Futures Trading Commission; has regulatory oversight of U.S. futures trading.

CHS – the forerunner of CHS Inc. Cenex Harvest States was formed in 1998 by a merger between two regional cooperatives, Cenex and Harvest States Cooperatives. Cenex Harvest States changed its legal name to CHS Inc. effective August 5, 2003.

Codex Alimentarius – a commission created in 1963 by FAO and WHO to develop food standards, guidelines, and related texts such as codes of practice under the Joint FAO/WHO Food Standards Programme.

Colony Collapse Disorder – the phenomenon in which a majority of worker bees in a colony disappear and abandon a queen, plenty of food and a few nurse bees to care for the remaining immature bees and the queen.

Community Supported Agriculture (CSA) – consists of a community of individuals who pledge support to a farm operation so that the farmland becomes, either legally or spiritually, the community's farm, with the growers and consumers providing mutual support and sharing the risks and benefits of food production; CSA models are diverse and the model has been adapted to fit a variety of direct marketing opportunities; in a traditional CSA model, members share the risks and benefits of food production with the farmer, members buy a share of the farm's production before the growing season, in return they receive regular distributions of the farm's bounty throughout the season, and the farmer receives advance working capital, gains financial security, earns better crop prices, and benefits from the direct marketing gain.

conservation allotment – a BLM allotment or service permit where the base property is purchased or leased by an entity that has no intention of grazing animals on said allotment or permit.

contract grower – a person who will grow or raise a commodity owned by a processor or meatpacker. The grower provides the labor and facility; other production inputs including feed, medicine and the animals are provided by the integrator, processor, or meatpacker.

cooperative development center – entities that focus on providing technical assistance to groups that wish to organize cooperative, or to existing cooperatives.
 cottage good – non-potentially hazardous food products that are unlikely to grow harmful bacteria or other toxic microorganisms at room temperature.

countervailing duties (CVD) – specific duties imposed on imports to offset the benefits of subsidies to producers or exporters in the exporting country. The executive branch of the U.S. government has been legally empowered since the 1890s to impose countervailing duties in amounts equal to any "bounties" or "grants" reflected in products imported into the United States.

CRP – Conservation Reserve Program, administered by USDA FSA; in exchange for a yearly rental payment, landowners enrolled in CRP remove environmentally sensitive land from agricultural production and plant species that improve environmental health and quality; the long-term goal of the program is to re-establish valuable land cover to help improve water quality, prevent soil erosion, and reduce loss of wildlife habitat.

CSP –Conservation Stewardship Program, administered by USDA NRCS; helps agricultural producers maintain and improve their existing conservation systems and adopt additional conservation activities to address priority resource concerns.

dark market – secretive, unregulated (though often technically legal) trading in commodity futures.

decoupling – the removal of the link between the receipt of a direct payment and the production of a specific product.

dry matter intake (DMI) – the amount of feed a cow consumes per day on a moisture-free basis.

E15 – gasoline blend consisting of 15 percent ethanol, approved for use in 2001 model year and newer vehicles.

E85 filling station – a station capable of handling up to an 85 percent ethanol blend. **ecosystem services** – the environmental benefits that ecosystems provide, including clean water and air, flood prevention, healthy soils, and wildlife habitat. When ecosystem services can be measured and quantified – such as the amount of carbon stored in soil, improvements in water quality, or habitat creation – they can be bought and sold through markets known as ecosystem services markets.

Endangered Species Act (ESA) – administered by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Commerce Department's National Marine Fisheries Service, with the purpose of protecting and recovering imperiled species and the ecosystems upon which they depend.

EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

EQIP – Environmental Quality Incentive Program, a program administered by USDA NRCS; a voluntary program that provides financial and technical assistance to agricultural producers and non-industrial forest managers to address natural resource concerns.

Essential Air Service (EAS) – a program intended to guarantee that small communities that were served by certificated air carriers before airline deregulation maintain a minimal level of scheduled air service.

ETBE – ethyl tertiary butyl ether, a renewable gasoline oxygenate, which uses ethanol as a feedstock.

Fair Food Program – the "Fair Food Program" describes itself as "a unique partnership among farmers, farmworkers, and retail food companies that ensures humane wages and working conditions for the people who feed our families." It is a program of the Fair Food Standards Council and the Coalition of Immokalee Workers. More at http://fairfoodprogram.org.

fairness doctrine – a tenet of licensed broadcasting that ensures a reasonable opportunity for the airing of conflicting viewpoints on controversial issues.

family farm (size definitions) –USDA's Economic Research Service (ERS) provides a definition based on measured by annual gross cash farm income (GCFI), a measure of the farm's revenue (before deducting expenses) that includes sales of crops and livestock, payments made under agricultural federal programs, and other farm-related cash income including fees from production contracts; small family farms (GFCI less than \$350,000), midsize family farms (GCFI between \$350,000 and \$999,999), and large-scale family farms (GCFI of \$1,000,000 or more), including large farms (GCFI between \$1,000,000 and \$4,999,999) and very large farms (farms with GCFI of \$5,000,000 or more).

Farmer Mac – Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation; government-sponsored enterprise with the mission of providing a secondary market for agricultural real estate mortgage loans, rural housing mortgage loans, and rural utility cooperative loans. **farmers market** – a public, recurring assembly of farmers or their representatives selling local agricultural products that they have grown, raised, or produced, directly to the consumer. Such markets may include other products, which reasonably serve the public or enhance the market's diversity.

FAS – Foreign Agricultural Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture; links U.S. agriculture to the world to enhance export opportunities and global food security.

FCIC – Federal Crop Insurance Corporation; a wholly owned government corporation that administers the Federal crop insurance program and housed at the Risk Management Agency (RMA) of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

FDIC – Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation; independent agency created by congress to maintain stability and public confidence in the nation's financial system.

Fed – Federal Reserve Board.

FIFRA – Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act, which provides for federal regulation of pesticide distribution, sale, and use.

finfish – a true fish, distinguished from shellfish.

fishers – a person who catches fish for a living or for sport.

flex pump – a filling station fuel pump that allows consumers to select the desired blend of gasoline and ethanol (also known as a blender pump).

Flex-fuel vehicles – Flexible fuel vehicles (FFVs) are vehicles which contain engines that can run on either gasoline or fuel blends containing mostly ethanol, like the E85 blend.

fluoroquinolones – a class of antimicrobials that kill bacteria or prevent their growth. **food hub** – a centrally located facility with a business management structure facilitating the aggregation, storage, processing, distribution, and/or marketing of locally or regionally produced foods.

food loss – the decrease in the quantity or quality of food resulting from decision and actions by food suppliers in the chain, excluding retailers, food service provider, and consumers.

food waste – the decrease in the quantity or quality of food resulting from decisions and actions by retailers, food service providers and consumers.

FSA – Farm Service Agency of the U.S. Department of Agriculture

GAO – The U.S. Government Accountability Office; originally established as the General Accounting Office; an independent, non-partisan legislative branch agency that provides auditing, evaluation, and investigative services for the United States Congress.

gender – The socially constructed roles, behaviors, activities, and attributes that a given society considers appropriate for men and women.

Generalized System of Preferences (GSP) – a program designed to promote economic growth in the developing world by providing preferential duty-free entry for about 4,800 products from 131 designated beneficiary countries and territories.

genetically modified organism (GMO) – an organism whose genetic material has been altered using genetic engineering techniques.

ghost crop – a second or late season planting that does not interfere with an insurance claim.

GIPSA – Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration, a former agency of USDA; established in 1994 to facilitate the marketing of livestock, poultry, meat, cereals, oilseeds, and related agricultural products, and to promote fair and competitive trading practices for the overall benefit of consumers and American agriculture; a final rule published in November 2018 eliminated GIPSA as a stand-alone agency, and delegated authority to the USDA AMS Administrator to administer the United States Grain Standards Act, the Packers and Stockyards Act, 1921, and the United States Warehouse Act.

Glass-Steagall Act – a law passed by Congress in 1933, which prohibited commercial banks from engaging in the investment business. The law was repealed by the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999.

global climate change (global warming) – changes to the earth's climate that are caused by human activity.

grass bank – a section of rangeland that is set aside for the use of grazing services by a permitted user. A grass bank can act as an exchange between public or private entities and a family farmer/rancher for the commitment of the institution of conservation practices on the permittees private land, the creation of land access for beginning and under-served farmer/ranchers, or as an additional community forage source during times of drought.

Green Thumb – a training and employment program established in 1965 by NFU for the purpose of providing jobs for low-income people who were age 55 or older and wanted to work; the program is authorized in the Older Americans Act and funded through the Department of Labor. Now known as Experience Works.

GSM-102 – short-term export credit guarantees. This commercial loan program, administered through the USDA's Commodity Credit Corporation, leverages financing

from the private sector to support and encourage U.S. agricultural exports to foreign markets. On average, CCC's credit guarantee covers 95 percent of the combined principal and interest in GSM-102 transactions.

GSM-103 – intermediate-term (3-10 year) export credit guarantees.

Hatch Act – limits certain political activities of most executive branch employees.

Hatch-Waxman Act – a 1984 federal law which encourages the manufacture of generic drugs by the pharmaceutical industry and established the modern system of government generic drug regulation in the U.S.

Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (HACCP) - A management system in which food safety is addressed through the analysis and control of biological, chemical, and physical hazards from raw material production, procurement, and handling, to manufacturing, distribution, and consumption of the finished product.

Higher Education Act – governs the administration of federal higher education programs. Signed into law in 1965.

HM-200 rule – a rule issued by the Department of Transportation in 1997 that expanded the scope of the Hazardous Materials Regulations to provide a uniform system of safety standards to all intrastate transportation of hazardous materials.

Humphrey-Hawkins Full Employment Act – an act of legislation that explicitly instructs the nation to strive toward four ultimate goals: full employment, growth in production, price stability, and balance of trade and budget, by setting requirements and goals for the federal government to attain.

hydraulic fracturing – the process by which a specially blended liquid is pumped down a well into a formation under pressure high enough to cause the formation to crack open, forming passages through which oil can flow into the well bore.

integrator – a processor or meatpacker that provides animals, feed, medicine, and other production inputs to an individual as outlined in a production contract.

International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA) – The ITPGRFA, also known as the International Seed Treaty or Plant Treaty, is an international agreement regarding the conservation and sustainable use of plant genetic resources for food and agriculture and the fair and equitable sharing of the benefits arising out of their use. Learn more: https://www.fao.org/plant-treaty/en/

Investment Tax Credit (ITC) – a federal tax incentive for business investment, not to be confused with the USITC, the U.S. International Trade Commission.

Investor State Dispute Settlement (ISDS) – a system, included in many trade agreements and treaties, through which investors can sue nation states for alleged discriminatory practices.

IRA – individual retirement account; n account set up at a financial institution that allows an individual to save for retirement with tax-free growth or on a tax-deferred basis.

Johne's Disease – chronic disease affecting domestic animals, especially cattle and sheep, caused by bacterium.

Jones Act – legislation that regulates maritime commerce between U.S. cities; requires that goods and passengers transported by water between U.S. ports be done in U.S.-made ships, owned by U.S. citizens and crewed by U.S. citizens.

Keogh Doctrine – also known as the Filed Rate Doctrine; precludes an award of damages under the antitrust laws when a plaintiff seeks a recovery measured by payments made according to rates approved by a regulatory agency.

land operator – person who runs the farm, making day-to-day management decisions. The operator could be an owner, hired manager, cash tenant, share tenant and/or a partner.

leach mining – the recovery, by chemical leaching, of the valuable components of an orebody without physical extraction of the ore from the ground.

livestock – in this policy document, the term includes cattle, swine, sheep, poultry, goats, horses, buffalo and farmed Cervidae (deer family) that are produced for food, fiber, or feed.

Livestock Compensation Programs – A suite of USDA programs that include: the Livestock Indemnity Program (LIP), the Livestock Forage Disaster Program (LFP), the Emergency Assistance for Livestock, Honeybees, and Farm-Raised Fish Program (ELAP) and the Tree Assistance Program (TAP).

longer combination vehicles (LCV) – any combination of a truck tractor and two or more trailers or semi-trailers that has a gross vehicle weight greater than 80,000 pounds and is operated on the Interstate Highway System.

make allowance – a factor used in a formula for determining the price of milk. In the formula, an allowance is given for what it costs to turn raw milk into cheese and nonfat dry milk.

Margin Protection Program – an insurance program run by the U.S. Department of Agriculture to protect dairy producers during times of low margins.

McGovern-Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition Program – a global school feeding program that promotes education, child development, and food security for some of the world's poorest children, through donations of agricultural commodities and financial and technical assistance for school feeding and maternal and child nutrition projects in low-income countries.

MPC – milk protein concentrate.

Multiemployer Pension Reform Act of 2014 (MPRA) – allows trustees of multiemployer pensions to apply to the Treasury Department to reduce pension benefits to prevent a plan from running out of money.

NAFTA – North American Free Trade Agreement, an international trade agreement linking Mexico, the United States, and Canada; enacted in November of 1993; it was replaced by USMCA, effective 1 July 2020.

NASS – National Agricultural Statistics Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

NATFARMPAC – the National Farmers Union Political Action Committee.

National Airspace System (NAS) – the airspace, navigation facilities, and airports of the United States, including associated information, services, rules, regulations, policies, procedures, personnel, and equipment, including components shared with the military.

National Credit Union Share Insurance Fund – provides deposit insurance to protect the accounts of credit union members at federally insured institutions in the U.S.

Created by Congress in 1970 and is run by the National Credit Union Administration (NCUA).

National Electric Safety Code – standards for the safe installation, operation, and maintenance of electric power and communication utility systems.

National Grazing Lands Coalition (NatGLC) – formerly the Grazing Lands Conservation Initiative or GLCI, the National Grazing Lands Coalition was founded to provide technical assistance on privately owned grazing lands on a voluntary basis and to increase the awareness of the importance of grazing land resources.

National Rural Utilities Cooperative Finance Corporation – a member-owned, nonprofit cooperative and the premier lender for electric cooperatives, including Rural Utilities Service (RUS) borrowers and non-RUS borrowers.

National Sustainable Agriculture Information Service – the National Sustainable Agriculture Information Service is another name used to refer to the ATTRA Sustainable Agriculture program. ATTRA stands for Appropriate Technology Transfer to Rural Areas, a program codified at 7 U.S.C. 1932(i)(4). The ATTRA Sustainable Agriculture Program is managed by the National Center for Appropriate Technology (NCAT). The program provides sustainable agriculture information to those engaged in or serving commercial agriculture.

neonicotinoids – a class of insecticides with a common mode of action that affects the central nervous system of insects, causing paralysis and death.

NEPA – National Environmental Policy Act, which requires federal agencies to integrate environmental values into their decision-making processes by considering the environmental impacts of their proposed actions and reasonable alternatives to those actions.

net neutrality – a principle that states internet service providers may not discriminate between different kinds of content and applications online. It guarantees a level playing field for all websites and internet technologies.

NIFA – National Institute of Food and Agriculture, formerly the Cooperative State Research, Education and Extension Service (CSREES); provides leadership and funding for programs that advance agriculture-related sciences.

nonrecourse loan – A commodity loan that gives the borrower the options of repaying the loan in cash or forfeiting the commodity (collateral) to the lender who accepts said collateral to satisfy payment of the loan, without recourse against the borrower.

NPDES – National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit program authorized by the Clean Water Act to control water pollution by regulating point sources that discharge pollutants into waters of the United States.

NRCS – Natural Resources Conservation Service of USDA; provides America's farmers and ranchers with financial and technical assistance to voluntarily make conservation improvements.

OMB – Office of Management and Budget; oversees the performance of federal agencies and administers the federal budget.

organic – the use of organic claims shall be defined by USDA under the Organic Foods Production Act and the National Organic Program (NOP).

Packer Consent Decree of 1921 – an agreement struck between packers and the attorney general's office that allowed the packers to avoid antitrust prosecution in exchange for agreeing to 1) sell holdings in stockyards, railroads, market newspapers and public warehouse, 2) abandon all retail meat business, 3) abandon control of transportation facilities, and 4) dissolve any conspiracies with other packers.

parity index – originally, the price per bushel, pound or bale that would be necessary for a bushel today to buy the same quantity of goods (from a standard list) that a bushel would have bought in the 1910-14 base period at the price then prevailing. In 1948, the parity price formula was revised to make parity prices dependent on the relationship of farm and nonfarm prices during the most recent 10-year period for non-basic commodities. Basic commodities, including wheat, corn, rice, peanuts, and cotton, use the higher of the historical formula or the new formula (referred to by USDA as the

https://www.nass.usda.gov/Surveys/Guide_to_NASS_Surveys/Prices/Chapter%20Four%20Parity%20and%20Feed%20Price%20Ratios%20v10.pdf

particulate matter – solid or liquid particles less than 10 microns in diameter suspended in the air.

"prices paid index"). See:

pesticide – a chemical that is used to kill or control animals, insects, plants, or other organisms. The purpose of a pesticide is to reduce or eliminate damage to crops or livestock. Includes insecticides, herbicides, miticides, rodenticides, fungicides, etc. **pharma crops** – crops grown specifically for use in pharmaceuticals such as vaccines and medicine.

PL-480 – the commonly used term for the "Food for Peace Act of 1954" which provides statutory authority for most international food assistance programs; these programs include Food for Peace (FFP) Title II, the Bill Emerson Humanitarian Trust, Farmer-to-Farmer (also known as FFP Title V), Food for Progress, and the McGovern-Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition Program; Congress has

reauthorized these programs in periodic farm bills; these programs provide primarily in-kind aid or, in the case of Farmer-to-Farmer, technical assistance.

Plant Variety Protection Act of 1994 – provides legal intellectual property rights protection to breeders of new varieties of plants which are sexually reproduced (by seed) or tuber-propagated.

Plum Island Animal Disease Center – a high-security federal research facility located off the coast of Long Island, New York, dedicated to the study of infectious animal disease.

poultry – any domesticated bird being processed for human consumption, according to the Poultry Products Inspection Act of 1957.

Price Loss Coverage (PLC) – an income support program, first authorized by the 2014 farm bill, that provides payments when the price of a covered commodity falls below an effective reference price.

Public Service Loan Forgiveness – the remaining balance on federal student direct loans is forgiven after 120 qualifying monthly payments are made under a qualifying repayment plan while an individual works full-time for a qualifying public service employer.

Pugh clause – a clause added to an oil lease to limit holding non-producing lands or depths beyond the primary term of the lease.

pulse crop – legumes that are harvested exclusively for dry grain such as peas, lentils, beans, and chickpeas.

Qualified Zone Academy Bonds (QZABs) – a types of tax credit bond authorized under IRC Section 54A, that allows a credit to investors who hold such a bond on one or more of the quarterly credit allowance dates.

raw – any food in its natural state.

RBS – Rural Business Cooperative Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

rBST – recombinant bovine somatotropin, a synthetic hormone injected in dairy cows to increase milk production.

REAL® Seal – the Seal is a federal registered trademark, depicted as a white drop of milk with a red border and the word "Real" inside. It was originally developed in 1976 by the California dairy industry, with the goal of combatting the use of imitation cheese on pizzas. To use the Seal, companies must register their products annually. A product

cannot carry the Seal if it fails to meet required standards or if it contains dairy products imported from other countries. In March of 2012, the Seal was licensed to the National Milk Producers Federal (NMPF). See: http://www.realseal.com/real-brief-history

REC – rural electric cooperative.

recourse loan – a commodity loan that must be repaid in cash, plus interest. **renewable diesel** – a biofuel that is chemically the same as petroleum diesel fuel.

Renewable diesel meets the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) specification ASTM D975 for petroleum diesel and may be used in existing petroleum pipelines, storage tanks, and diesel engines.

Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) –a policy that requires retail energy suppliers to provide energy from renewable sources as part of their electricity portfolio.

retail wheeling – allowing utilities to abandon current service territories and sell power in an open market to the highest bidder.

RMA – Risk Management Agency of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Rochdale Principles – a set of guidelines for cooperatives, established by a group of artisans in Rochdale, England, who formed the first modern cooperative business in 1844. These principles are known today as: 1) voluntary and open membership; 2) democratic member control; 3) member economic participation; 4) autonomy and independence; 5) education, training, and information; 6) cooperation among cooperatives; and 7) concern for community.

Rural Energy for America Program (REAP) – provides financial assistance to agricultural producers and rural small business to purchase, install, and construct renewable energy systems, make energy efficiency improvements to non-residential buildings and facilities, reduce energy consumption, and participate in energy audits.

Rural Utilities Service (RUS) – an agency at USDA Rural Development; administers programs that provide much-needed infrastructure or infrastructure improvements to rural communities.

Small Business Administration (SBA) – a United States government agency that delivers loans, loan guarantees, contracts, counseling sessions and other forms of assistance to small businesses.

Section 22 – a section of the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1933 (P.L. 73-10) that authorizes the president to restrict imports by imposing quotas or fees on imports that interfere with federal price support programs or substantially reduce U.S. production of agricultural products.

sexual orientation – a person's sexual identity in relation to the gender to which they are attracted.

slotting fee – a fee charged to companies or manufacturers by retailers in order to have their products placed on shelves.

small wind – a residential wind turbine system, installed on top of a tall tower with 100kW capacity or less, which collects kinetic energy from the wind and converts it to electricity that is compatible with a home's electrical system. Extra power generated is typically sold to local utility.

Smith-Lever Act – established a national Cooperative Extension Service that extended outreach programs through land-grant universities to educate rural Americans about advances in agricultural practices and technology.

Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children (WIC) – a federally funded health and nutrition program that helps families by providing checks for buying healthy supplemental foods from WIC-authorized vendors, nutrition education, and help finding health care and other community services.

Staggers Act – law that significantly deregulated the rail industry, passed in 1980. **Star School/Medical Link** – telecommunications used to link research hospitals to community hospitals.

"step-up" in basis – the readjustment of the value of an appreciated asset for tax purposes upon inheritance.

Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) – formerly known as food stamps; a federal aid program administered by the USDA that provides financial assistance for purchasing food to low- and no-income people living in the United States. Surface Transportation Board – a bipartisan, decisionally independent adjudicatory body organizationally housed within the U.S. Department of Transportation. The Board provides a forum for the resolution of surface-transportation disputes and other matters within its jurisdiction. It has the authority to limit or remove regulatory requirements where appropriate.

Sustainable – an integrated system of plant and animal production practices having a site-specific application that will, over the long term:

- Satisfy human food and fiber needs;
- Enhance environmental quality and the natural resource base upon which the agricultural economy depends;
- Make the most efficient use of nonrenewable resources and on-farm resources and integrate, where appropriate, natural biological cycles and controls;
- Sustain the economic viability of farm operations; and
- Enhance the quality of life for farmers and society as a whole.

T-level or "T" – refers to the "soil loss tolerance rate (T)" – the maximum rate of annual soil loss that will permit crop productivity to be sustained economically and indefinitely on a given soil; erosion is considered greater than T if either the water (sheet & rill) erosion or the wind erosion rate exceeds the soil loss tolerance rate.

telehealth – the provision of healthcare remotely by means of telecommunications technology.

tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) – the principal psychoactive constituent of cannabis. **thorium** – a naturally occurring, slightly radioactive metal found in most rocks and soils.

TMDL – a TMDL is the calculation of the maximum amount of a pollutant allowed to enter a waterbody so that the waterbody will meet and continue to meet water quality standards for that particular pollutant; a TMDL determines a pollutant reduction target and allocates load reductions necessary to the source(s) of the pollutant; according to the Clean Water Act, each state must develop TMDLs for all the waters identified on their Section 303(d) list of impaired waters, according to their priority ranking on that list.

transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSE) – a family of rare progressive neurodegenerative disorders that affect both humans and animals

Tree Assistance Program (TAP) – provides financial assistance to qualifying orchardists and nursery tree growers to replant or rehabilitate eligible trees, bushes, and vines damaged by natural disasters.

U.S. Warehouse Act – authorizes the secretary of agriculture to license warehouse operators who store agricultural products and meet specific standards.

UF – ultra-filtered (milk products).

unmanned aerial vehicle – an aircraft without a human pilot aboard, commonly known as a drone.

USMCA – a free trade agreement between the United States, Mexico, and Canada, commonly known as the United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement (USMCA) in the United States; it replaced the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), and it is sometimes characterized as NAFTA 2.0 or "New NAFTA."

USTR – U.S. Trade Representative; responsible for developing and coordinating U.S. international trade, commodity, and direct investment policy, and overseeing negotiations with other countries.

VAT – value-added tax.

vermiculture – the raising and production of earthworms and worm castings. **vesicular stomatitis** – a viral disease that primarily affects horses and cattle and occasionally swine, sheep, goats, llamas, and alpacas.

vomitoxin – also known as deoxynivalenol (DON), this mycotoxin occurs predominantly in grains such as wheat, barley, oats, rye, and corn, and less often in rice, sorghum, and triticale.

water spreading – the practice of using allocated water on undesignated acres.

WFO – World Farmers Organization, of which NFU is a member.

World Food Summit – U.N. meeting where heads of state committed to reducing hunger.

WTO – World Trade Organization; an international organization dealing with the rules of trade between nations; established in 1995, it is the successor to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT); it provides a legal and institutional framework for the implementation and monitoring of trade agreements, as well as for settling disputes arising from their interpretation and application.

WTO boxes – used to categorize programs based on their impact on trade; amber box programs are the most trade distorting; blue box programs are less trade distorting; green box programs have no significant impact on trade

INDEX

1031 exchanges, 121, 158, 159 antitrust, 61, 77, 78, 79, 97 911 emergency, 172, 199 aquaculture, 27, 39, 40, 42, 165 actual production history (APH), 47, Army Corps of Engineers, 136 53, 55 artificial growth hormone, 43 Aggie Bond, 92 bank regulation, 157 Agriculture Quarantine Inspections bankruptcy, 93, 143, 161 Program, 88 beginning farmer, 22, 24, 25, 26, 56, 93, 158, 164 agri-terrorism, 193 agri-tourism, 52, 53 best management practices (BMPs), air quality, 115, 140, 149 52, 112, 116 air transportation, 171, 172 biodiesel, 146, 148, 149, 150, 151 All-States Camp, 202 biofuel, 22, 131, 144, 145, 146, 148, 149 alternative crops, 164 alternative fuels, 149, 151 Biofuels Feedstock Reserve, 22, 145 Amtrak, 166 biomass, 131, 145 anaerobic digesters, 146 biotechnology, 73, 74 anhydrous ammonia, 119 bovine spongiform encephalopathy Animal and Plant Health Inspection (BSE), 34, 36 Service (APHIS), 34, 120 broadband, 173 Animal Feeding Operation (AFO), 115 buffer zones, 50 animal welfare, 32, 180 capital gains, 100, 158, 160 Capper-Volstead Act, 97, 99 antibiotics, 35, 36

captive supply, 79	Community Based Energy
carbon credits, 124	Development (CBED), 147
carbon monoxide, 43	competition, 42, 49, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79,
carbon sequestration, 124, 125	80, 84, 85, 86, 96, 101, 102, 166,
casein, 30, 44, 67, 89	167, 168, 169
cellulosic, 146, 147, 148	Confined Animal Feeding Operation
census of agriculture, 64, 65	(CAFO), 112, 115, 116, 117
checkoff, 65, 66, 67	Congressional Budget Office (CBO),
Chesapeake Bay Program, 112	156
China, 89	conservation, 19, 41, 52, 56, 60, 71, 94,
CHS, 101, 102	103, 105, 107, 109, 114, 123, 124,
climate change, 124, 125	126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132,
cloned, 43	139, 142, 146, 156, 161, 165, 205
coal, 110, 160	Conservation Reserve Program (CRP),
Colony Collapse Disorder, 52	22, 124, 129, 130, 131
Commodities Exchange Act, 162	Conservation Stewardship Program
Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC),	(CSP), 25, 131
28	Consumer Price Index, 19
commodity futures, 161, 162, 163	consumer protection, 31, 34, 89, 175,
Commodity Futures Trading	195
Commission (CFTC), 161	contamination, 31, 74, 75, 105, 112,
commodity loans, 47	153
	contract grower, 206

contract producer, 79, 81, 82 dairy, 21, 27, 28, 30, 31, 32, 42, 44, 67, 85, 188 cooperative, 41, 50, 60, 61, 67, 79, 85, 92, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, debt, 92, 93, 94, 95 104, 107, 120, 139, 140, 142, 144, deregulation, 138, 139, 166, 168, 172 147, 151, 161, 164, 165, 167, 169, diesel, 152 172, 173, 179, 195, 201, 202, 203, disaster, 21, 41, 47, 53, 54, 55, 60, 94, 204, 205 130, 199 cooperative development, 67, 86, 100 distillers grain, 147 cooperative laws, 97 drought, 54, 56, 114, 115, 130 cotton, 45 E85, 146, 151 country-of-origin labeling (COOL), 38, easement, 26, 94, 122, 128, 133 42, 49, 138 economic development, 49, 84, 90, 91, coyotes, 135 123, 174 credit, 24, 25, 86, 87, 92, 93, 94, 95, education, 22, 25, 26, 41, 51, 56, 58, 96, 125, 131, 140, 146, 147, 155, 59, 61, 62, 82, 91, 93, 94, 97, 103, 156, 157, 158, 159, 161, 164, 174, 105, 112, 115, 118, 164, 172, 175, 176, 181 176, 179, 180, 182, 193, 202, 203, credit union, 92, 158 205 crop insurance, 21, 53, 54, 55, 56, 58, eggs, 80 election, 66, 195, 196, 197 70, 93, 130, 132 cross-contamination, 31, 75 **Emergency Food Assistance Program** Cuba, 91 (TEFAP), 189

currency manipulation, 83, 85

eminent domain, 121, 122, 123, 141, 77, 83, 84, 92, 93, 95, 96, 97, 100, 143, 159 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 110, 112, employment, 180, 184, 186, 187 115, 123, 126, 128, 129, 138, 145, endangered species, 129, 130, 135, 156, 157, 159, 161, 163, 164, 165, 136 166, 172, 174, 179, 181, 182, 201, end-use certificate, 30, 33, 86 206 energy, 22, 46, 104, 107, 108, 109, Farm Credit System (FCS), 95, 96 117, 124, 126, 136, 138, 140, 141, Farm Service Agency (FSA), 24, 53, 56, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 150, 59, 60, 66, 93, 94, 95, 130, 132, 151, 152, 154, 155, 164, 167, 187, 133 205 farmer-elected committees, 59, 127 **Environmental Protection Agency** Farmers Market Nutrition Program, (EPA), 51, 111, 113, 114, 115, 117, 188 118, 140, 152 farmers markets, 24, 50, 188, 189 **Environmental Quality Incentives** Farmers Union Legislative Budget Program (EQIP), 25, 128 Fund, 203 erosion, 127, 129 farm-to-school, 23, 188 estate tax, 154, 158, 159 fast-track, 87 ethanol, 50, 139, 147, 148, 149, 151 federal budget, 156, 166, 178 excise tax, 139, 148, 166 **Federal Communications Commission** export subsidies, 83, 86 (FCC), 173 family farm, 18, 19, 20, 22, 24, 28, 33, Federal Trade Commission (FTC), 78 39, 40, 54, 59, 60, 62, 65, 66, 69, feed grains, 45

feedstock, 124, 131, 149	fruits, 24, 50, 51
fertilizer, 62, 115, 119, 146	fuel storage tank, 152
firefighters, 199	generally regarded as safe (GRAS), 31
fishing, 27, 39, 40, 41, 42, 104, 138,	generic drugs, 177
161	genetically modified organism (GMO),
flex pumps, 145, 151	73, 74, 75
flex-fuel vehicles, 148	grains, 45, 75, 90
flood control, 123, 128, 136	grazing allotments, 104, 106
flood plain, 126	grazing fees, 105
floriculture, 50	Grazing Lands Conservation Initiative
food aid, 24, 89, 90	(GLCI), 108
Food and Drug Administration (FDA),	greenhouse gas, 124
30, 34, 35, 52, 73, 74, 89, 177, 192	groundwater, 111, 112, 117, 153
food assistance, 89, 189	hay, 47, 130
food banks, 67, 189	hazardous substances, 167
food imports, 88	hazardous waste, 112, 113, 119, 136
food inspection, 39, 193	health care, 36, 70, 164, 175, 176, 178
food safety, 34, 74, 84, 86, 88, 167,	heavy metals, 70
180, 191, 192	highly erodible land, 129
food security, 22, 84, 85, 91, 165	honey, 42, 47, 51, 52
Foot-and-Mouth Disease (FMD), 36	horse, 32, 33
forest, 50, 85, 106, 107, 108, 109, 130	horticulture, 50
fossil fuel, 138, 141, 151	hospital, 178, 199

housing, 61, 194, 195	land value, 93, 105, 122, 129, 133,
hunger, 85, 90, 191	143, 159
hunting, 129	land-grant, 106, 181, 182, 183
hydroelectric, 142	Livestock Compensation Program, 21,
hydrology, 132	53
import quota, 33	mandatory arbitration, 82, 153
income tax, 94, 104, 159	manure, 112, 115, 116
Indian reservation, 165	market access, 83, 84, 86
industrial development bonds, 174	Market Access Program (MAP), 86
inspection standards, 88, 191, 193	market order, 28, 29, 30
interstate commerce, 99	McGovern-Dole International Food for
invasive species, 51, 52, 120	Education and Child Nutrition
invasive species, 51, 52, 120 irradiation, 70	Education and Child Nutrition program, 90
•	
irradiation, 70	program, 90
irradiation, 70 irrigation, 54, 109, 111, 128	program, 90 Medicaid, 177, 178
irradiation, 70 irrigation, 54, 109, 111, 128 Johne's Disease, 36	program, 90 Medicaid, 177, 178 medical research, 32
irradiation, 70 irrigation, 54, 109, 111, 128 Johne's Disease, 36 Justice Department (DOJ), 78	program, 90 Medicaid, 177, 178 medical research, 32 membership, 67, 101, 204, 205
irradiation, 70 irrigation, 54, 109, 111, 128 Johne's Disease, 36 Justice Department (DOJ), 78 labeling, 30, 31, 34, 42, 43, 44, 45, 69,	program, 90 Medicaid, 177, 178 medical research, 32 membership, 67, 101, 204, 205 milk protein concentrate (MPC), 30,
irradiation, 70 irrigation, 54, 109, 111, 128 Johne's Disease, 36 Justice Department (DOJ), 78 labeling, 30, 31, 34, 42, 43, 44, 45, 69, 86, 88, 119, 177, 190, 191, 195	program, 90 Medicaid, 177, 178 medical research, 32 membership, 67, 101, 204, 205 milk protein concentrate (MPC), 30, 31, 44, 67, 191
irradiation, 70 irrigation, 54, 109, 111, 128 Johne's Disease, 36 Justice Department (DOJ), 78 labeling, 30, 31, 34, 42, 43, 44, 45, 69, 86, 88, 119, 177, 190, 191, 195 labor, 18, 20, 49, 61, 63, 83, 84, 86, 87,	program, 90 Medicaid, 177, 178 medical research, 32 membership, 67, 101, 204, 205 milk protein concentrate (MPC), 30, 31, 44, 67, 191 mineral lease, 121

National Farmers Union Political	organic, 44, 55, 57, 69, 70, 124, 130,
Action Committee	152
(NATFARMPAC), 196, 204	Organizations Concerned with Rural
National Organic Program (NOP), 70,	Education (OCRE), 179
192	parity, 19
National Organic Standards Board	particulate matter, 115
(NOSB), 70	payment limits, 20, 128
National Pollutant Discharge	peanut, 49
Elimination System (NPDES), 115	pest control, 51
National School Lunch Program, 28,	pesticide, 49, 51, 52, 62, 88, 117, 118,
190	192
Natural Resources Conservation	petroleum, 139
Service (NRCS), 60, 126, 127, 132,	pollinator, 51, 52
133	pollution, 70, 112, 113, 118, 127, 128,
nitrogen, 116	149, 151
non-recourse, 47	postal service, 198
noxious, 86, 120	poultry, 35, 41, 45, 80, 81, 82, 112,
nuclear, 136, 141	206
nursery crops, 50	predator, 106, 135, 174
nutrient management, 116, 119	prescribed burning, 120
nutrition, 24, 41, 45, 50, 67, 85, 89,	prescription drug, 177
175, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 205	price reporting, 28, 79, 80
oilseed, 45, 149	price support, 45, 46, 53

private landowners, 50, 103 131, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, producer liability, 38 151, 162, 164, 176, 181, 182, 183, producer referendum, 30, 66 184, 188, 191 production tax credit (PTC), 146 Risk Management Agency (RMA), 25, property rights, 121, 122, 133, 154 41, 54, 55, 56, 58 public land, 103, 105, 106, 107, 108, road, 62, 109, 119, 120, 165, 167 119, 120, 136, 141 Rochdale Principles, 98 rural development, 25, 164, 165, 174 railroad, 79, 145, 150, 168, 169, 170 rangeland, 105 rural hospital, 178 raw milk (for human consumption), Rural Utilities Service (RUS), 142 31, 32 safety net, 19, 21, 47, 50, 53, 55, 85, recombinant bovine somatotropin 177 (rBST), 31, 44 school, 28, 31, 100, 116, 152, 170, 179, recycling, 118, 150, 152 180, 181, 190 refineries, 139 seafood, 40, 42, 43, 164 renewable energy, 107, 108, 117, 138, senior, 187 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, shelterbelts, 131 155, 164 shipping channels, 171 Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS), Small Business Administration (SBA), 140 174 research, 21, 26, 28, 36, 37, 41, 44, 47, Social Security, 183, 184 49, 51, 52, 65, 67, 70, 71, 73, 74, sod, 50, 132 112, 115, 118, 120, 124, 125, 128, sodbuster, 126, 132

Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL), solar, 140, 144, 146, 150, 151, 153, 154 113, 114 solid waste, 116, 152 Trade Adjustment Assistance, 86 specialty crop, 23, 49, 50, 51 trade agreement, 83, 84, 87, 89 Staggers Act, 169, 170 transmission lines, 140, 141, 146, 155 storable commodities, 63 transportation, 29, 62, 64, 75, 150, Strategic Petroleum Reserve, 139 164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, sugar, 49, 50 171, 189, 191, 192 Surface Transportation Board (STB), tree, 50, 51, 131 168 truck, 166, 167, 168 swampbuster, 126, 132 Truth-in-Labeling, 195 tariff, 30, 33, 47, 85, 86, 87, 88, 144, Truth-in-Lending, 195 149 turf grass, 50 tariff rate quota, 30, 33, 86 ultra-filtered (UF) milk, 30, 44 taxes, 87, 93, 100, 104, 131, 139, 158, United Nations, 89, 110, 194 160, 167, 173, 185 user fee, 65, 69, 160, 171 technical expertise, 164 value-added, 18, 25, 52, 87, 94, 100, telecommunications, 164, 172, 173 101, 108, 147, 151, 164, 174 Term limitations, 197 vegetable, 24, 50, 51, 192 therapeutic antibiotics, 35 vertical integration, 18, 66, 77 timber, 108, 130 veterans, 24, 25, 105, 165, 178, 179 T-levels, 132 warehouse, 49, 63, 64 tobacco, 49

waste management, 112, 116, 119,
150, 152
water quality, 111, 113, 114, 153
wetland, 50, 104, 127, 132, 133
wheat, 45
wildlife, 94, 105, 107, 109, 123, 129,

135, 144

wind, 127, 140, 144, 146, 147, 150,

153, 154, 155

wind turbine, 146, 154

women, 40, 41, 198

wool, 47

youth, 91, 179, 196, 202

zoning, 123, 124



20 F Street NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20001

> P: (202) 554-1600 F: (202) 554-1654

www.NFU.org info@nfudc.org