

DELEGATE BOOK

Congratulations on being elected as a delegate to the 115th Anniversary National Farmers Union Convention and thank you for your service to Farmers Union! We are looking forward to an exciting and informative event.

The policies you adopt at your convention will determine NFU's priorities for the coming year. Past policy positions approved by delegates have been adopted into farm bills and other pieces of important legislation.

The 2017 NFU Policy Committee met for five days of hard work at our Washington, D.C., office in January. In this book you will find the result of their long hours – the NFU policy draft. Proposed additions are underlined and deletions are marked through. Your responsibility as a delegate will be to vote on these and other changes to our official policies. Please read the inside front cover of this book for more information on this year's proposed policy changes.

On the back cover of this book you will find delegate instructions for this convention. On the inside back cover is a "cheat sheet" on parliamentary procedure that you may find helpful. Please take a few moments to review everything closely prior to the policy debate.

Please arrive early to the morning general session in the Kon Tiki Ballroom on Tuesday, March 7, to find your state's assigned seats. There will be a delegate orientation in the Kon Tiki Ballroom immediately following the general session.

In addition to policy development, we have planned an exciting convention agenda filled with key agricultural leaders and educational sessions on a variety of topics, all of which are sure to inform and entertain.

~www.NFU.org~

Enjoy your time in San Diego!











TO:National Farmers Union Convention DelegatesFROM:Roger Johnson, President

Thank you for your participation in National Farmers Union's 115th Anniversary Convention. Your commitment to Farmers Union and its grassroots policy development is the core of our strength as an organization.

As you read this document, which I urge you to do carefully and completely, you will notice significant changes proposed by the 2017 NFU Policy Committee. Each year, NFU's Policy Book grows longer as we anticipate and react to public policy changes taking place in Washington and in our own states and communities. Throughout the last year, NFU's board of directors had extensive conversations and expressed a desire to shorten the text of our policy document without changing its intent.

To achieve this, I asked this year's policy committee to condense the language of the policy document by reducing redundancies, removing excessive wording, deleting outdated references, and eliminating contradictory positions. As always, these changes are recommendations from the Policy Committee, and must be approved by the delegate body.

There are changes made to the substance of the policy document by each year's policy committee as a common matter of business. This year is no exception, as the policy committee added and edited content. However, the majority of proposed changes simply shorten sentences. In certain cases, content has also been moved from one section to another to better organize the policy document and reduce wording. As convention delegates, you are expected to carefully consider these changes and use your best judgment in modifying or rejecting the changes.

The members of the policy committee have extensive notes as to why each sentence was deleted or shortened. As a delegate, if you have a concern about a particular deletion, I would urge you to speak with a member of the policy committee. This can be done at any point, whether during the time they are available to hear amendments or during deliberations by the delegate body. Additionally, National Farmers Union's staff is at your disposal and is always available to answer any questions you may have.

Thank you again for your commitment to Farmers Union and for your service as a delegate to this year's convention.

Sincerely,

R**d**ger Johnson President

_Policy of the National Farmers Union Enacted by delegates to the 11<u>5</u>4th anniversary convention Minneapolis, MNSan Diego, CA March 5-8, 201<u>7</u>6

National Farmers Union Mission and Vision Statements

Mission – To advocate for the economic and social well-being, and quality of life of family farmers, ranchers, fishermen and consumers and their communities through education, cooperation and legislation. National Farmers Union advocates sustainable production of food, fiber, feed and fuel.

Vision – National Farmers Union will continue to be the respected, influential and independent national voice and coalition leader that bridges family producers and consumers on behalf of a vibrant and growing grassroots membership.

CONTENTS

Preamble	. 16
Article I – Agricultural Programs for the Family Farm	. 18
A. National Food and Fiber Policy	. 18
B. General Program Provisions	. 19
1. Measures of Economic Equity	. 19
2. Directing Benefit Protection to Family Farms	. 19
3. Providing a Safety Net	. 21
4. Farm Viability Programs for Family Farms	. 21
5. Local Food & Food Systems	. 22
6. Beginning Farmers and Ranchers (also see Article IV.A - Farm Service Agency (FS.	A)
Credit Programs)	. 24
7. Urban Farming	. 25
C. Dairy, Livestock, Aquaculture and Fishing	. 26
1. Dairy Policy	. 26
i. Domestic Dairy Policy	. 26
ii. Market Order Reform	. 27
iii. International Dairy Trade (also see Article III – International Trade, Cooperati	
and the Family Farm)	. 28
iv. Milk Protein Concentrate/Ultra-Filtered Milk Products (also see Article I.D –	
Labeling of Commodities and Commodity Products)	. 28

v.	Consumer Protection (also see Article I.D – Labeling of Commodities and	
Con	nmodity Products)	29
vi.	Raw Dairy	30
2. L	ivestock Policy	30
i.	Animal Welfare	30
ii.	Livestock and Livestock Product Imports (also see Article III – Internation	al
Tra	de, Cooperation, and the Family Farm)	31
iii.	Consumer Protection (also see Article X.J – Food Safety and Article I.D – Lab	_
of C	Commodities and Commodity Products)	31
iv.	Livestock Health	32
v.	State Animal Identification	35
vi.	National Animal Identification	35
vii.	Aquaculture, Fishing and Alternative Livestock	36
1	. Marine Aquaculture	37
2	Sustainable Working Waterfronts and Fisheries	. <u>38</u> 37
D. Lab	beling of Commodities and Commodity Products	39
1. C	Country-of-Origin Labeling	39
2. L	abeling of Food Products	40
3. L	abeling of Dairy Products	41
4. N	lutrition Labeling	. 4241
E. Con	nmodities	. <u>42</u> 41
1. V	Vheat, Coarse Grains, Oilseeds, Rice, and Cotton	. <u>42</u> 41
2. 0	other Program Commodities (wool, mohair, honey, pulse crops, etc.)	. <u>44</u> 43

	3. Tobac	CCO	
	4. Peanu	its	<u>45</u> 44
	5. Sugar		
	6. Tree F	Farms and Forestry	
	7. Specia	alty Crops	
	8. Honey	y/Pollination	
	9. Value	-Added and Minimally Processed Foods	
	10. Val	lue-added Uses of Farm Products	
F	Agri-To	urism	
G	Risk Ma	nagement	
	1. Livest	tock Producer Assistance	
	2. Crop I	Insurance	
	3. Nation	nal Food Liability Insurance Program	
	4. Risk M	Management Education	
H	Farm Pr	ogram Administration	
	1. Farme	er-Elected Committees	53
	2. Natur	al Resources Conservation Service	
	3. Impro	oved Marketing Mechanisms	<u>55</u> 54
I.	Special A	Agricultural Policies and Services	<u>55</u> 54
	1. Farm	Labor (also see Article X.F – Immigration Policy)	<u>55</u> 54

2. Safety of Farmers and Farm Workers	55
3. Storable Commodities Producer Protection	<u>57</u> 56
i. Federal Warehouse Act	<u>57</u> 56
ii. Ag Merchandiser or Supplier Bankruptcies and Receiverships	57
iii. Commodity Basis	<u>58</u> 57
4. Agricultural Census	<u>58</u> 57
5. National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS)	<u>58</u> 57
6. Commodity Research and Promotion Programs	<u>59</u> 58
7. Grain Standards	<u>61</u> 60
8. Grain Inspection	<u>61</u> 60
9. USDA's Information Mandate	<u>62</u> 61
10. National Organic Standards (also see Article I.D. – Labeling of Commoditie	s and
Commodity Products)	<u>62</u> 61
11. Genetically Modified Organisms and Biotechnology	<u>63</u> 62
12. Plant Variety Protection Act	<u>67</u> 64
13. UN Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture	<u>67</u> 65
14. Nebraska Tractor Test Laboratory	<u>68</u> 65
15. Agriculture and the First-Sale Doctrine	<u>68</u> 65
Article II – Agriculture Competition and Concentration	<u>69</u> 66
A. Competition and Antitrust	<u>70</u> 66

B.	Livestock Market Reform	<u>72</u> 67
C.	Poultry Market Reform	<u>72</u> 68
D.	Production Contracting	<u>73</u> 69
E.	Profit-Taking by Manufacturers of Farm Inputs	<u>74</u> 70
F.	Volatile Food and Fiber Prices	<u>75</u> 71
Artic	le III – International Trade, Cooperation, and the Family Farm	<u>76</u> 72
A.	Agricultural Trade Negotiations	<u>7672</u>
B.	Trade Promotion Authority (Fast-Track)	<u>79</u> 75
C.	Fair and Transparent Trade Practices	<u>80</u> 75
D.	Health and Inspection Standards for Food and Fiber Imports	<u>80</u> 76
E.	China Trade	<u>81</u> 77
F.	International Food Assistance	<u>82</u> 77
G.	World Farmers Organization (WFO)	<u>83</u> 78
H.	Policies Toward Developing Nations	<u>83</u> 78
I.	Farmers and Farm Youth Educational Exchange Program	<u>83</u> 79
Artic	le IV – Credit and the Family Farm	<u>84</u> 80
A.	Farm Service Agency (FSA) Credit Programs	<u>85</u> 81
B.	Farm Credit System	<u>87</u> 83
C.	Cooperative Financing	<u>88</u> 84
Artic	le V – Farm Cooperatives and the Family Farm	<u>88</u> 84

А.	Cooperative Law	<u>89</u> 85
B.	Rochdale Principles	<u>89</u> 85
C.	Additional Principles	<u>90</u> 86
D.	Teamwork of Farmers Union and Cooperatives	<u>93</u> 89
E.	CHS	<u>93</u> 89
Articl	le VI – Water and Land Policy, Conservation and the Family Farm	<u>94</u> 90
A.	Objectives of a Comprehensive Land Policy	<u>94</u> 90
B.	Prohibition of Foreign Ownership of Farmland, Commercial Fishing Privi	ileges and
Dis	closure	<u>95</u> 91
C.	Land Transfer	<u>95</u> 91
D.	Public Lands	<u>96</u> 92
E.	National Grazing Lands Coalition	<u>99</u> 95
F.	Forest Health	<u>9995</u>
G.	Water Quantity and Quality	<u>99</u> 95
1	. Water Quantity Distribution	<u>100</u> 95
2	2. Water Quality Protection	<u>101</u> 97
3	8. Clean Water Act	<u>103</u> 99
4	P. Drought Monitoring	<u>105101</u>
H.	Air Quality	<u>105101</u>
I.	Animal Feeding Operations (AFOs)	<u>105</u> 101

J. Confined Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs) <u>10</u>	<u>5101</u>
K. Pesticide Regulation <u>10</u>	<u>7103</u>
L. Fertilizer Regulations <u>10</u>	<u>9104</u>
M. Invasive Species Control <u>10</u>	<u>9105</u>
N. Prescribed Burning <u>11</u>	<u>.0</u> 106
0. Landowner Rights <u>11</u>	<u>.0</u> 106
P. Eminent Domain (also see Article VIII.F – IRS Tax Code 1031 Exchanges) <u>11</u>	<u>.1</u> 107
Q. Zoning <u>11</u>	<u>.2</u> 108
R. Climate Change and Carbon Sequestration <u>11</u>	<u>3109</u>
S. Conservation <u>11</u>	<u>5110</u>
1. Land Retirement and Easement Programs <u>11</u>	<u>7113</u>
2. Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) <u>11</u>	<u>8113</u>
3. National Buffer Strip Initiative <u>12</u>	<u>0115</u>
4. Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP) <u>12</u>	<u>0116</u>
5. Sodbuster and Swampbuster Provisions <u>12</u>	<u>1116</u>
6. Wetlands <u>12</u>	<u>1</u> 117
T. Sustainable Agriculture <u>12</u>	<u>2118</u>
U. Predator and Rodent Control <u>12</u>	<u>2118</u>
V. Endangered Species <u>12</u>	<u>3118</u>
W. Army Corps of Engineers <u>12</u>	<u>4119</u>

Х.	Nuclear, Radioactive and Toxic Waste	<u>124</u> 120
Y.	Surface Mining	<u>125120</u>
Articl	e VII – Energy and the Family Farm	<u>126122</u>
A.	Energy Objectives	<u>126122</u>
B.	Distribution	<u>127123</u>
1	Petroleum Supply	<u>127123</u>
2	. Electricity and Deregulation	<u>127123</u>
3	. Economic Assistance	<u>129125</u>
4	. Public Ownership of Power Marketing Administration and Power Gene	erators
	<u>130</u> 125	
5	. Hydroelectric Power	<u>130126</u>
5 6		
		<u>131</u> 126
6	Pipelines Development of Renewable Energy and Fuels from the Farm	<u>131126 <u>132128</u></u>
6 C.	Pipelines Development of Renewable Energy and Fuels from the Farm Priorities	<u>131</u> 126 <u>132</u> 128 <u>132</u> 128
6 C. 1	Pipelines Development of Renewable Energy and Fuels from the Farm Priorities Ethanol	<u>131</u> 126 <u>132</u> 128 <u>132</u> 128 <u>136</u> 131
6 C. 1 2	 Pipelines Development of Renewable Energy and Fuels from the Farm Priorities Ethanol Renewable Fuel Standard 	<u>131126 <u>132128</u> <u>132</u>128 <u>136</u>131 <u>138</u>133</u>
6 C. 1 2 3	 Pipelines Development of Renewable Energy and Fuels from the Farm Priorities Ethanol Renewable Fuel Standard Biodiesel 	<u>131</u> 126 <u>132</u> 128 <u>132</u> 128 <u>136</u> 131 <u>138</u> 133 <u>138</u> 13 4
6 C. 1 2 3 4	 Pipelines Development of Renewable Energy and Fuels from the Farm Priorities Ethanol Ethanol Renewable Fuel Standard Biodiesel Compressed Natural Gas 	<u>131</u> 126 <u>132</u> 128 <u>132</u> 128 <u>136</u> 131 <u>138</u> 133 <u>138</u> 13 4 <u>139</u> <u>13</u> 4

8	. Renewable Energy Storage	<u>141</u> 136
9	. Cooperative Demonstrations	<u>141</u> 136
1	0. Flex/Blender Pumps	<u>142</u> 137
D.	Environmental Precaution in Energy Production and Use	<u>142</u> 137
1	. Fuel Storage Tanks	<u>142</u> 137
2	. Waste Products	<u>142</u> 137
3	. Hydraulic Fracturing	<u>143</u> 138
E.	Landowner Rights in Natural Resources Project Development (wind, solar	, fracking,
oil,	etc.)	<u>144</u> 138
Articl	e VIII – Economic Regulation and the Family Farm	<u>146</u> 141
A.	Federal Budget Reform	<u>146</u> 141
B.	Money and Credit Policy (also see Article IV – Credit and the Family Farm).	<u>146</u> 141
C.	Bank Regulation	<u>147142</u>
D.	Credit Unions	<u>147</u> 142
E.	Estate and Gift Tax Policy	<u>148</u> 143
F.	IRS Tax Code 1031 Exchanges	<u>148</u> 143
G.	Income Tax Reforms	<u>149</u> 144
H.	Taxation	<u>150</u> 144
I.	Tax Credit	<u>150</u> 145
J.	Commodity Futures	<u>151</u> 146

Article IX – Rural Development and the Family Farm	<u>154</u> 149
A. Rural Community Development	<u>154</u> 149
B. Transportation	<u>155</u> 150
1. U.S. Highway Trust Fund	<u>156</u> 151
2. Truck Transportation	<u>157</u> 151
3. Rail Transportation	
i. Rail Service	
ii. Certificates of Transportation (COT)	<u>160</u> 155
iii. Safety	<u>161</u> 155
C. Port Development, Shipping Policy	<u>161</u> 156
D. Air Transportation	<u>162</u> 157
E. Rural Utilities	<u>162</u> 157
1. Telephone Deregulation	<u>163</u> 157
2. Electric Services	<u>164</u> 158
3. Telecommunications	<u>164</u> 158
4. Rural Access to Technology and Information	<u>165</u> 159
F. Small Business Development	<u>165</u> 160
1. Industrial Development Bonds	<u>165</u> 160
2. Small Business Policy	<u>166</u> 160
3. Enterprise Facilitation	

Article X – Quality of Life in Rural America	<u>167161</u>
A. Health Care	<u>167</u> 161
1. Health Care Coverage, Access and Care	<u>167</u> 161
2. Prescription Drugs	<u>169</u> 163
3. Medicare and Medicaid	<u>170</u> 164
4. Veterans' Rights	<u>171</u> 165
B. Education	<u>171</u> 165
1. Public Research (also see Article VIII.J – Commodity Futures)	<u>173</u> 167
2. National Institute of Food and Agriculture	<u>174</u> 168
C. Social Security	<u>175</u> 169
D. People with Disabilities	<u>176</u> 170
E. Employment, a National Priority	<u>176170</u>
F. Immigration Policy (also see Article I.I.1 – Farm Labor)	<u>176</u> 170
G. Expanding Opportunities for Senior Citizens	<u>178172</u>
H. Food and Nutrition Programs	<u>179173</u>
1. Administration of Food and Nutrition Programs	<u>179</u> 173
2. Food Assistance Programs	<u>180</u> 174
3. Child Nutrition Programs	<u>181</u> 174
I. Nutrition Monitoring (also see Article I.D – Labeling of Commodities and C	ommodity
Products)	<u>181</u> 175

J. Food Safety (also see Article III.D – Health and Inspection Standards for Food and
Fiber Imports and Article I.D – Labeling of Commodities and Commodity Products) $\underline{182175}$
1. Regulatory Authority <u>182</u> 175
2. Food Safety Standards <u>182</u> 176
3. Inspection of Perishable Commodities <u>184</u> 178
4. Agri-Terrorism <u>184</u> 178
K. World Food Day <u>185</u> 179
L. Housing <u>185</u> 179
M. Liability Insurance <u>185</u> 179
N. Consumer Protection <u>186</u> 179
0. Campaign Finance and Elections <u>186</u> 180
1. Campaign Finance <u>186</u> 180
2. Elections and Elected Officials <u>186</u> 180
P. U.S. Freedoms and Liberties <u>187</u> 181
Q. Postal Service <u>188</u> 182
R. Rural Emergency Services and Management Planning <u>189</u> 182
S. Voting Districts <u>189</u> 183
1. Redistricting
2. State Legislative Districts <u>190</u> 183
T. Information Collection and Protection <u>190</u> 184

Article XI – Family Farmers and Their Organization	<u>191</u> 185
A. Educational Activities	<u>191</u> 185
1. Seminars and Workshops	<u>192</u> 186
2. Farmers Union Youth Programs	<u>192186</u>
3. Farmers Union Young Farmer Program	<u>192</u> 186
4. Farmers Union Education Center	<u>193</u> 187
B. Communications	<u>193</u> 187
C. Farmers Union Legislative Budget Fund	<u>193</u> 187
D. Political Effectiveness	<u>193</u> 187
E. Membership Expansion	<u>194</u> 188
F. Membership Budget Information	<u>194</u> 188
G. Farmers Union Related Services	<u>194</u> 188
H. Cooperation with Religious Organizations	<u>195</u> 189
I. Cooperation with Other Organizations	<u>195</u> 189
Family Farming and Country-of-Origin Labeling 2016 Special Order of Business	<u>Error!</u>
Bookmark not defined.191	
Family Farming and Trade Policy 2016 Special Order of Business	<u>okmark not</u>
defined.192	
Family Farming and Cotton 2016 Special Order of Business <u>Error! Bo</u>	<u>okmark not</u>
defined.193	

Family Farming and the Current Farm Bill2016 Special Order of Business
Family Farming and Agriculture Risk Coverage (ARC)-County Payments 2016 Special Order
of Business <u>Error! Bookmark not defined.</u> 195
Family Farming and Animal Disease Protection and Research 2016 Special Order of
Business <u>Error! Bookmark not defined.</u> 196
Family Farming and the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) 2016 Special Order of Business
<u>Error! Bookmark not defined.</u> 197
Family Farming and Future Farm Bills 2016 Special Order of Business <u>197</u> 198
Family Farming and Leading the Way on Climate Change 2016 Special Order of Business
<u>Error! Bookmark not defined.</u> 199
Resolution Establishing an NFU Emergency Dairy Price Committee & Campaign 2016
Special Order of Business <u>Error! Bookmark not defined.</u> 200
Glossary
Index

1 **PREAMBLE**

We, the members of National Farmers Union, in the following policy statement, strive to
articulate the fundamental principles of a food, fiber and energy policy essential to our nation
and today's world. This issue transcends every social, economic, environmental, and
geographic boundary of our planet.

6 The goal of NFU is to protect and enhance the economic well-being and quality of life for
7 family farmers, ranchers, fishermen and fisherwomen (referred hereafter as fishers), and our
8 local communities. Our experiences as family farmers, ranchers, fishermenfishers, and those
9 concerned with the survival of productive family-oriented agriculture provide us with a unique
10 and qualified perspective to make meaningful contributions to this issue.

The Farmers Union symbol, a triangle constructed with education at its base and completed with cooperation and legislation on its sides, represents a structure that takes on more importance than ever before. Education is not only for our youth, but also for adults, families and our seniors. It affords us the opportunity to share and learn from both young and old.

The educational process must extend beyond our farms and reach out to <u>all</u> consumers and
 our urban brothers and sisters, as well as across borders and oceans. Sharing information is
 important, but sharing our values, concerns, and spirit is of greater significance.

The goals of Farmers Union have grown out of our understanding of God, nature, and the love of our country, with respect for the past and vision for the future. Our goals are nourished through the truth and rightness of the ideals expressed in the Declaration of Independence, the Constitution of the United States and its Bill of Rights. We affirm our faith in a benevolent God, whose grace has bestowed upon us immensely fertile resources, and whose justice demands stewardship.

Woven throughout this document is a sense of moral and ethical values that family farmers embrace as we assume the responsibilities that are part of every acre of ground, drop of water, animal, plant or any of the multitude of resources entrusted to our care. We are also very cognizant of the need to extend beyond our fence rows and townships to ensure these gifts are shared and not exploited or wasted.

We recognize the invaluable contributions of stewardship and expertise offered by
indigenous people and multigenerational farmers as well as all farmers who embrace and
perpetuate historically rooted and traditional approaches to farming and fishing.

The family farm system of agricultural production can provide opportunities for individual enterprise to all families in our society. This system achieves economic and social stability, as well as soil, water and environmental stewardship of our natural resources and unparalleled production efficiency. We cannot change or improve the past but will proactively advance, with a strong vision for the future, the best interests of today's family-oriented agriculture. We acknowledge that family farmers employ a wide variety of philosophies and practices.

7 Our responsibility is to be inclusive and serve all types of family farms in legislative,

8 educational, and cooperative areas.

9 The loss of family farms and other independently owned businesses is not inevitable. We 10 believe the accelerated march toward a vertically integrated production system must be 11 reversed. This requires action to enforce and enhance antitrust and competition laws, 12 strengthen the regulatory system and revitalize independently owned businesses and 13 competitive markets.

Parity is the cornerstone of our policy. Our definition of parity expands beyond the simple
economic definition. We believe that it should encompass a sense of balance that not only
diminishes hunger, but also fosters peace and justice.

We remain wholly dedicated to the strengthening of the family farm system and farmer cooperatives. The essence of the cooperative movement – farmers working together for our mutual benefit – is the spirit that motivates Farmers Union activity. We view attempts to restrict farmer-owned and –controlled cooperatives as an assault on farmers themselves, and we will vigorously defend the Capper-Volstead Act. Family farmers have a valuable tool in working together in farmer-owned and –controlled cooperatives. They are essential to our economic well-being and success.

Cooperation also comes from knowledge as well as respect for other people and cultures.
Our spirit of cooperation must continue to grow and not have limits. Our challenge is to take
this knowledge and spirit and incorporate it into meaningful policy through legislation on local,
state and national levels.

This document is not a collection of words, but an anthology of the spirit of the hundreds of thousands of family farmers, ranchers, fishers and their advocates that make up our organization. We dedicate this document, along with our energy and efforts, to bring about long-term meaningful policy that identifies our common thread and utilizes that energy for the common good.

ARTICLE I – AGRICULTURAL PROGRAMS FOR THE FAMILY FARM

2 3

1

A. NATIONAL FOOD AND FIBER POLICY

A family farm or structured family farm is operated by a family, with the family providing
the base of the labor needed for the farming operation, assuming the economic risk, and
making the management decisions.

The family farm is the keystone of a free, progressive, democratic national society, as well
as a strong America, and is the basis of a safe, secure and stable food system. A vertically
integrated and/or multinational grain and food conglomerate is not a family farm.

10 The future control and ownership of agriculture is a fundamental issue facing our nation 11 and the world. National farm policy must provide direction with an emphasis on profitable 12 farm commodity prices to ensure that control and responsibility of agriculture is vested within 13 the family farm. The decline in the number of family-sized commercial farms must be reversed. 14 The family farm system of agricultural production is truly sustainable. Sustainable agriculture 15 integrates three main goals – environmental health, economic profitability and viability, and 16 social economic equity. We believe farmers engaged in sustainable agriculture set out to 17 protect the environment, improve their quality of life and enhance the communities in which 18 they live. Programs that encourage sustainable agriculture through diversified production, 19 improved marketing strategies, and enhanced value-added opportunities can be keys to 20 reversing this trend. Another important aspect of reversing the decline in these farms is to 21 encourage new farmers to enter the agriculture industry. Farms and consumers need stability 22 and fairness in a farm program. Farmers, rural communities and consumers are at the mercy of 23 a marketplace that is increasingly dominated by vertically integrated, multinational grain and 24 food conglomerates.

25

26

27

28

<u>Family farms have the right to a fair and competitive environment in their pursuit to be</u> <u>financially viable.</u> We oppose <u>support any plans</u> that does not protect net farm income for family farmers. Family farms have the right to a fair and competitive environment in their pursuit to be financially viable.

We commit ourselves to being good stewards of the land and resources by working toward innovative approaches that move beyond the parameters of past farm programs and seek to bridge philosophical differences within the agricultural community and our nation's political structures.

1 At the core of our willingness to embrace new agricultural policy directions is the 2 fundamental need to direct the benefits of federal agricultural policies to the production levels 3 of family farm and ranch operations. 4 Choice and diversity of agricultural practices have always been essential to the success and 5 prosperity of agriculture in the United States. As advances continue to occur in all 6 areas, agricultural including conventional, organic, biotechnology and other farm practices, NFU 7 calls on all people affected by agriculture to be mindful of their neighbors and show mutual 8 respect toward their farming practices and production choices. Six major goals to improve 9 agricultural legislation for family farmers are: 10 1. Profitability: to enhance and protect net family farm income and provide a safety net; 11 2. Accountability: to reduce government costs and prevent activities that are counter-12 productive to the intent of the programs; 13 3. Directed benefits: to direct benefits toward family farming operations; 14 4. Simplicity: to require less bureaucracy and red tape; 15 5. Conservation: to maintain and enhance our natural resources; and 16 6. Diversity: to maintain opportunities for family farmers, regardless of crop and 17 management choice. **B-A.** GENERAL PROGRAM PROVISIONS 18 19 1. MEASURES OF ECONOMIC EOUITY 20 For generations, farming and ranching income has lagged behind the overall prosperity 21 of the nation. The national farm policy based on "parity" was intended to create tools that 22 would balance this inequity of agricultural income compared to the average income in 23 other segments of society. Like other economic measures such as the Consumer Price Index 24 and the Cost of Living Index, an economic yardstick for agriculture is essential. "Parity" 25 prices provide a reasonable standard for measuring the relative economic health of 26 agriculture, and we urge continued support of the calculation of an "Agricultural Parity 27 Index." NFU continues to be unique in its unwavering support for this measurement of 28 economic equity. 29 We support indexing farm program support levels to reflect changes in the cost of 30 production. We strongly support national farm policy providing a return of the cost of

31 production plus an opportunity for reasonable profit for farmers and ranchers.

32

2. DIRECTING BENEFIT PROTECTION TO FAMILY FARMS

Characteristically, a family farm is owned and/or operated by a farm family with the
 family providing the base of the labor needed for the farming operation, assuming the
 economic risk, making most of the management decisions, and depending substantially on
 agriculture for a livelihood.

Family farms are at economic risk as crop and livestock production and land ownership
are being concentrated into the hands of fewer and fewer larger-than-family-sized
corporate farm units.

8 The primary objectives of national agricultural policy should be to enable farmers to 9 significantly increase net farm income, improve the quality of rural life, and increase the 10 number of family farmers, so farmers may continue to provide a reliable supply of food and 11 fiber and serve as stewards of our nation's resources. Rural communities are richer and 12 more viable with more farmers, even if there is the same amount of agricultural production. 13 We believe payment limits should be realistic and meaningful. This means:

- i. The definition of a person who is actively engaged in production agriculture
 needs to remain strong and require active personal management and active
 personal labor in the actual farming operation;
- 17 ii. Payments be transparent and directly attributable to a person who meets the18 criteria of actively engaged; and
- iii. Price supports, together with realistic overall per-program and volume-based
 commodity program limitations, are effective tools to assist in directing benefits
 to family farm producers.
- We support directing farm program benefits to the production levels of family farm
 operators in such a way as to reduce government costs while furthering the sustainability
 of our family farms, our rural communities, and our natural resources.
- 25

Directed benefit provisions should include:

26 27 i.

The family-sized farm should be protected from the cuts scheduled under the terms of any future budget acts; and

ii. Prohibition of artificial subdivision of farms to avoid limits should be enforced.
While we oppose decoupling federal farm program payments from planting decisions
and believe that production-oriented benefits are the most viable public policy alternatives
available today to respond to the crisis facing family farm agriculture, we recognize some
farmers, by virtue of their enterprise and choice of harvest, cannot benefit from payments

1	unless harvest occurs mechanically. Therefore, we support the opportunity for all our		
2	members and producers to choose farm programs that best fit their operation.		
3	We su	pport future farm policy, which recognizes our geographical differences and	
4	provides	for flexibility regardless of the <u>type of</u> agriculture operation , whether crops or	
5	livestock .		
6	I	3. Providing a Safety Net	
7	NFU ı	rges Congress to give the secretary of agriculture the authority to implement	
8	commodi	ty loan programs with loan rates set at a level that at least equals the regional cost	
9	of produc	tion as measured by the Economic Research Service.	
10	To pr	ovide a safety net, we must take action to:	
11	i.	Improve and fully fund a permanent disaster program;	
12	ii.	Improve commodity loan rates, and extend the loan period from nine months to	
13		18 months. A producer should have the option to forfeit after nine months;	
14	iii.	Improve and expand risk management tools (see Article I.G.2 - Crop Insurance);	
15	iv.	Adequately fund livestock compensation programs to prevent the sell-off of base	
16		breeding herds of the United States in the event of a disaster declaration;	
17	v.	Establish a regionalized cost of production floor under dairy prices;	
18	vi.	Establish a farmer-owned Strategic National Food, Feed and Biofuels Feedstock	
19		Reserve;	
20	vii.	Expand the Food Security Commodity Reserve Program;	
21	viii.	Implement an effective inventory management program for all farm	
22		commodities;	
23	ix.	Enhance a farm storage facility loan program;	
24	Х.	Continue an effective Conservation Reserve Program (CRP); and	
25	xi.	Limit the authority of the secretary of agriculture to take discretionary actions	
26		that would result in lower farm income.	
27		4. FARM VIABILITY PROGRAMS FOR FAMILY FARMS	
28	Congr	ess should continue to support and develop annual grants and programs for the	
29	purpose <u>t</u>	<u>o of</u> improv <u>eing</u> the profitability of farms and increasing <u>increase</u> self-	
30	employm	ent opportunities for family farmers and ranchers . We support revitalizing local	
31	and regio	nal food and energy systems, increasing wealth and asset-building in rural	

1	communi	ties and encouraging entrepreneurship and innovation in farming and ranching by	
2	funding fe	ederal, state, local and farm-level-programs that address:	
3	i.	Market development and promotion;	
4	ii.	Product development/differentiation and promotion;	
5	iii.	Consumer education;	
6	iv.	Business planning;	
7	v.	Alternative ownership models and structures;	
8	vi.	Local and regional infrastructure needs;	
9	vii.	Local and regional food security needs;	
10	viii.	Local and regional energy needs; and	
11	ix.	Attracting new and beginning farmers.	
12		5. LOCAL FOOD & FOOD SYSTEMS	
13	NFU s	supports any and all initiatives aimed at re-regionalizing our food system. This $*-$	
14	may inclu	de encouraging the development of regional food hubs, incentivizing (by way	
15	of tax cre	dits or subsidies) those farms who commit to growing non-commodity food	
16	crops and easing/simplifying the restrictions on small meatpackers to process meat for		
17	retail sale, as well as the farmers who sell direct-to-consumer and institutions that		
18	purchase	their products for resale (i.e. farmstands, markets, farm-to-table restaurants),	
19	educating	g consumers , and other such initiatives that would incentivize or enable a more	
20	regional f	food system. We support the expansion of agricultural operations in urban and	
21	peri-urba	in areas.	
22	The g	rowing local food movement has many benefits to both NFU members and	
23	American	is in general. These include: <u>including:</u>	
24	i.	Enhanced markets for the products that many members' farms produce;	
25	ii.	The ability for farmers to capture a larger share of the retail food dollar;	
26	iii.	Opportunities to employ and engage more Americans, in more regions, in the	
27		pursuit of agriculture;	
28	iv.	Keeping consumer dollars circulating in each respective region, and to the family	
29		farms therein;	
30	v.	Reconnecting consumers to the food they eat and their families;	
31	vi.	Reducing transportation costs;	

Formatte 0.25", Line

1	vii.	Providing fresher, healthier food products, with a reduced need for	
2		transportation, long-term storage, processing or treatment;	
3	viii.	Food security: encouraging food production to be spread across the country,	
4		rather than concentrated in a few areas will help to insulate our food system	
5		against drought, flood, disease, fuel costs (or shortages) and malicious	
6		disruption; and	
7	ix.	Allowing for more <u>profitable and</u> -sustainable methods of agriculture to be	
8		employed <u>. – profitability; and</u>	
9	X	We support:	 Formatte
10	xi. i.	_USDA's promotion of buying local and regional agricultural products, such as the *-	 Formatte
11		"Know Your Farmer, Know Your Food" initiative, as a means to provide new and	Numberin Alignment
12		larger markets for smaller specialty crop farmers. Local will be defined by the	at: 1"
13		consumer to be the immediate area, state, or region, but must be U.Sproduced;	
14	xii. ii.	_Promotion of farm-to-school and farm-to-institution programs;	
15	xiii. iii.	_Promotion of farmers markets and community-supported agriculture (CSA)-to	
16		allow consumers greater access to fresh produce and to allow smaller-scale	
17		farmers opportunities to market directly to consumers;	
18	xiv.	USDA efforts in the creation of Regional food hub distribution centers in order to	
19		create new aggregation, marketing, processing and distribution opportunities	
20		for specialty crop growers;	
21	xv. iv.	_The purchase of surplus fruits and vegetables through Section 32 and bonus buy	
22		programs for distribution through <u>for</u> federal food aid programs;	
23	xvi. v.	_Removal of barriers and incentives so that farmers markets and farm stands can	
24		redeem SNAP benefits, WIC benefits, and other federalfederal, state and local	
25		nutrition program vouchersbenefits;	
26	xvii. vi.	_Geographically disadvantaged provisions for U.S. areas not included in main	
27		farm programs , including Alaska, Hawaii, and Puerto Rico;	
28	xviii.<u>v</u>ii.	_Local seed producers who produce regionally adapted seed; and	
29	xix. viii.	_Improved access to reasonably priced liability insurance for small local-market	
30		and direct-marketing producers.	
31			

1		6. BEGINNING FARMERS AND RANCHERS (ALSO SEE ARTICLE IV.A - FARM SERVICE
2		Agency (FSA) Credit Programs)
3	We ree	cognize that the average age of a U.S. farmer continues to increase and a majority
4	of the nati	on's farmland will change hands in the coming years due to the aging farmer
5	population	n. The ability of the next generation of family farmers to continue to produce food
6	and fiber i	is critical to the economy, health, and security of our nation and local
7	communit	ties. In order to address this critical concern, the necessary programs must be in
8	place and	funded in order to meet the unique needs and barriers facing beginning farmers
9	and ranch	ers, with special emphasis on returning military veterans, and ensure that they
10	can enter	and sustain farming or ranching as a viable livelihood.
11	We su	pport:
12	i.	Implementing and funding <u>T</u> the Beginning Farmer and Rancher <u>Individual</u>
13		Development Accounts Pilot p Program;
14	ii.	The loan category within Farm Service Agency that directs operating loans to
15		provide flexible capital through operating microloans for beginning farmers and
16		ranchers;
17	iii.	Maintaining the loan set-aside within the direct and guaranteed Farm Service
18		Agency loan program for beginning farmers and ranchers while reducing the
19		farm management experience requirement from 3 years to 2 years;
20	iv.	Increasing the down payment loan program limit -to assist beginning farmers
21		and ranchers to purchase land in areas with high real estate values;
22	v.	Incentives and/or tax credits to landowners to lease or sell to beginning farmers
23		or ranchers (also see Article VI.C - Land Transfer);
24	vi.	Cost-share differentials and funding set-asides for beginning farmers and
25		ranchers in the Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP), Conservation
26		Stewardship Program (CSP), and other programs;
27	vii.	Including a priority for projects benefiting beginning farmers and ranchers
28		within Value-Added Grant Program and fostering new entrepreneurial
29		opportunities for beginning farmers and ranchers within the Rural Development
30		grants and loans programs;
31	viii.	Continuation and funding of the Beginning Farmer and Rancher Development
32		<u>p</u> Program <u>s</u> t <u>hat</u> θ provide education and training to beginning farmers and

1		ranchers, including for agricultural rehabilitation and vocational training
2		programs for military veterans;
3	ix.	The Risk Management Agency (RMA) making whatever regulatory or
4		operational changes are necessary to ensuringe fair access to crop and revenue
5		insurance by beginning farmers and ranchers;
6	Х.	Additional emphasis within the National Institute of Food and Agriculture to
7		supporting research, education, and extension on issues related to beginning
8		farmers and ranchers, farm transition, and farm entry;
9	xi.	The reauthorization and full funding of programs previously administered by
10		the National Sustainable Agriculture Information Service to serve as a resource
11		for beginning farmers and ranchers;
12	xii.	-Continuation and support of NFU youth and beginning farmer and rancher
13		programs to assist and educate individuals interested in pursuing a future in
14		farming;
15	xiii. xii.	_The establishment of a funding priority for the federal Farm and Ranch Land
16		Protection Program for easements conserving farmland for which there is a
17		generational farm transfer plan, easements which include the use of an Option to
18		Purchase at Agricultural Value or easements which occur in conjunction with the
19		transfer of the conserved land to a beginning farmer; and
20	xiv. xiii.	_Specific training and education for state and county FSA and NRCS staff on
21		helping beginning farmers and ranchers access programs, with emphasis on
22		specialty crops and alternative enterprises.
23		7. Urban Farming
24	National Farm	mers Union recognizes urban agriculture as an important part of meeting
25	rising food de	emands, supplying easier access to highly nutritious vegetables and fruits,
26	reconnecting	the food consumer with the farmer, storing carbon out of the earth's
27	atmosphere a	and also as an important new market opportunity for emerging farmers.

Furthermore, NF	'U supports the development of these new markets for these emerging
urban farmers, ir	ncluding markets for food and for storage of carbon through new
sequestration ma	arkets.
<u>C.B.</u> DA	iry, Livestock, Aquaculture and Fishing
1.	DAIRY POLICY
	i. Domestic Dairy Policy
We ur	ge Congress to develop a comprehensive dairy program to allow dairy
producers	across the nation to receive a profitable return on their investment.
It shou	ıld:
a.	Encourage and enable producers to use sustainable environmental practices;
b.	Provide a high-quality, stable supply of dairy products to consumers;
С.	Assist new farmers entering into dairying;
d.	Balance milk supply and demand through a long-term supply management
	program;
e.	Encourage voluntary producer assessments that must clearly demonstrate
	they substantially increase producer income;
f.	Prohibit mandatory producer assessments unless it can clearly be
	demonstrated that they substantially increase producer income;
g.	Provide full funding of the School Milk Program and Special Milk Programs;
h.	Include 100 percent real dairy products in the National School Lunch
	Program as well as in school vending machines;
i.	Utilize the Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) to mitigate low prices;
j.	Provide transparency in milk price reporting;
k.	Require mandatory participation of processors in an audited National
	Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) survey;
l.	Require mandatory participation of processors in an audited cold-storage
	report;
m.	Include development of a transparent pricing mechanism to replace the
	inadequate Chicago Mercantile Exchange (CME) pricing system;
n.	Ensure research and promotion activities are directed toward enhancing
	income to family farmers;
	urban farmers, in sequestration ma G.B. DA I. UWe urg producers It shou a. b. c. d. c. d. e. f. g. h. i. j. k. l. m.

1	o. Provide an incentive payment to domestic producers who agree to voluntary
2	limits. Funding should be provided by those producers who increase
3	production from the previous year and produce more than a level targeted to
4	family-sized farms;
5	p. Prohibit volume premiums;
6	q. Include market loss assistance during times of low prices, with capped
7	payments based on production. The cap levels should be targeted to family
8	farmers;
9	r. Prohibit federal legislation allowing dairy forward contracts that give
10	processors and marketers the ability to pay below the minimum market
11	order price;
12	s. Include a floor price policy for milk. The floor price should help producers in
13	all regions of the country and provide for supply management. The floor
14	price should be set at a level that allows producers to earn a fair return on
15	their milk from the marketplace;
16	t. Require plants to pay the minimum prices established by USDA for butter,
17	nonfat powder, and cheddar cheese;
18	u. Prohibit the use of fluid milk as a loss leader at the retail level; and
19	v. Require USDA and CME to standardize labeling and packaging of surplus
20	dairy products.
21	ii. Market Order Reform
22	A federal order system should be maintained and expanded to include all areas
23	within the continental United States. A national milk marketing order and pricing
24	reform should emphasize maximum return to producers. Transportation differentials,
25	quality premiums, and usage result in price disparities throughout the United States. A
26	revised national milk marketing order should include:
27	a. A price discovery formula at the producer level allowing for variable market
28	conditions;
29	b. Location-specific differentials coupled with a floor price on all classes of
30	milk;
31	c. Price incentives that reflect the value of all milk components;
32	d. Tests for component pricing that are checked for accuracy by USDA;

1	e. A national make allowance that is adjustable to cover processing and
2	fortification. This allowance should be generated from the market, not
3	deducted from the established price through end-product pricing;
4	f. A base make allowance that is adjustable to reflect the difference between
5	milk prices and the producer's cost of production;
6	g. Elimination of bloc voting on market orders;
7	h. The continuation of the current order provisions following the defeat of a
8	proposed change. Orders should only be eliminated through a producer
9	referendum with no bloc voting; and
10	i. The establishment of a federal milk marketing order that includes California
11	so that California dairy producer prices are brought in line with prices paid
12	in the federal order, which will benefit all dairy producers nationwide.
13	iii. International Dairy Trade (also see Article III – International
14	Trade, Cooperation and the Family Farm)
15	Implementation of the Uruguay Round of General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade
16	(GATT) has substantially increased dairy imports and has limited domestic program
17	options. Several steps should be enacted to help U.S. producers be competitive in the
18	global market. These steps are:
19	a. Publishing meaningful, current, and standardized reports on imports of dairy
20	products, quantities and types, and a USDA report on the impact of the WTO
21	on dairy producers;
22	b. Implementing increased USDA, Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and
23	customs inspection and regulation of casein, milk derivatives and milk
24	adhesives imported for food use;
25	c. Establishing tariffs on foreign imports of all dairy ingredients that displace
26	domestically produced milk usage including animal feed ingredients;
27	d. Using GSM-102 export credit guarantees; and
28	e. Further utilization of the Market Access Program (MAP)
29	iv.iii. Milk Protein Concentrate/Ultra-Filtered Milk Products (also
30	see Article I.D – Labeling of Commodities and Commodity Products)
31	Imported casein, milk protein concentrate (MPC) and ultra-filtered (UF) milk
32	products, blends, and food preparations have benefited from a significant loophole

1	in U.S. dairy trade policy and have distorted the nation's dairy market. Under
2	current law, casein and MPC imports are not limited under the Harmonized Tariff
3	Schedules of the WTO. In addition, casein, MPC, and UF products are being used in
4	the current production of standardized cheeses, although they do not meet the U.S.
5	Food and Drug Administration's (FDA) definition of milk.
6	We support:
7	a. Maintaining FDA's current definition of milk to prevent casein and MPC from
8	being used in standardized cheese;
9	b. Actions that restrict the importation of casein, MPC, dairy blends, and food
10	preps;
11	c. Properly informing consumers about the use of casein, MPC/UF dairy blends
12	and food preps in food production, including labeling;
13	d. Immediate passage of legislation to subject casein, MPC, and other dairy
14	blends to a tariff rate quota;
15	e. Imposing strong penalties for the dairy plants using casein and/or MPC and
16	dairy blends in standardized cheese production;
17	f. Requiring an end-use certification on all imports of MPC, dairy blends and
18	food preps;
19	g. Bringing a trade action against nations that are dumping subsidized MPC,
20	dairy blends and food preps;
21	h. Disallowing MPC for human consumption until it meets the necessary
22	generally regarded as safe (GRAS) requirements; and
23	i. Prohibiting government subsidization of the production of MPC.
24	<u>v.iv.</u> Consumer Protection (also see Article I.D – Labeling of
25	Commodities and Commodity Products)
26	Rapidly changing technology within the production of dairy products has led to
27	consumers being uninformed about the true nature of all dairy products. We
28	support the following initiatives to ensure consumers can make informed purchase
29	decisions:
30	a. State and federal legislation to require all schools to use rBST-free milk;
31	b. Restricting the use of the Real Seal to domestically produced dairy products
32	and subsequent enforcement of its standards;

1	c. Educating and promoting the Real Seal program to consumers;
2	d. Increasing the federal standards for fat and solids in fluid milk to meet the
3	national average of the milk content produced on U.S. farms;
4	e. Requiring imports to meet the same high standards used for domestically
5	produced dairy products; and
6	f. Prohibiting the addition of artificial sweeteners, such as aspartame, to dairy
7	products. If allowed, they must be labeled as such.
8	<u>vi.V.</u> Raw Dairy
9	We support:
10	1. The production and sale of raw/unpasteurized milk as it provides a
11	market niche for dairies. Because of the possible risks of cross-
12	contamination, we recommend that raw/unpasteurized milk be
13	bottled as the product of a single source and wherever possible at the
14	physical location of that source. Single-source bottling will keep
15	intact the chain of responsibility and greatly aid in tracking possible
16	cases of contamination;
17	2. Policies, practices and standards for responsible raw/unpasteurized
18	milk production for dairy producers that choose to produce
19	raw/unpasteurized milk (or raw/unpasteurized dairy products) for
20	human consumption;
21	3. Equal access to raw/unpasteurized milk (and/or raw dairy products)
22	for human consumption for all consumers that choose to consume
23	raw/unpasteurized milk; and
24	4. Producers conforming to Grade A production specifications.
25	2. LIVESTOCK POLICY
26	i. Animal Welfare
27	We support:
28	a. Producers' rights to own and raise livestock and have livestock and their
29	products recognized as personal property;
30	b. Promotion of animal welfare, as opposed to animal rights initiatives which
31	would limit production agriculture by imposing mandatory restrictions on
32	traditional methods of agricultural animal production;

1	c. Responsible care and management of animals to provide for the welfare of
2	herds and flocks; and
3	d. Educating the public regarding the important use of animals for agricultural
4	and medical research. Any illegal actions taken by animal rights
5	organizations toward producers should be prosecuted to the fullest extent of
6	the law.
7	We oppose a ban on the slaughter of horses and the criminalization of individuals
8	processing, shipping, transporting, purchasing, selling, delivering, or receiving any
9	horse, horseflesh, or carcass for the purpose of harvest.
10	We call for the reinstitution of facilities and USDA inspection to deal with the
11	processing and humane disposal of horses.
12	ii.— Livestock and Livestock Product Imports (also see Article III –
13	International Trade, Cooperation, and the Family Farm)
14	The unrestricted importation of livestock and livestock products is causing
15	serious damage to our domestic industry. Additionally, a lack of sufficient meat
16	import inspection poses an increased potential of contaminated food reaching
17	tables in the United States. We recommend that Congress incorporate the following
18	steps to protect U.S. livestock producers from unfair trading practices:
19	a. Investigate the impact of foreign pricing practices on the U.S. market;
20	b. Impose countervailing duties to offset subsidies paid to foreign exporters;
21	c.—Require the amount of imported meat and live animals intended for
22	slaughter be reported weekly;
23	d.—Re-establish Section 301, allowing the United States to impose trade
24	sanctions against foreign countries that the USTR determines applicable;
25	e. Re-establish the Meat Import Act, which was replaced under the Uruguay
26	Round, by replacing existing tariff rate quota system with an import quota
27	system; and
28	f. Require end-use certificates to monitor the flow of livestock being imported.
29	iiiiiConsumer Protection (also see Article X.J – Food Safety and
30	Article I.D – Labeling of Commodities and Commodity Products)
31	We support:

1	a. Strengthening USDA and FDA safety standards to prevent bovine
2	spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) contaminated products from being
3	imported (also see Article I.C.2.iv – Livestock Health);
4	b. Requiring more vigorous inspection of imported meat processing
5	facilities and imported meats to ensure foreign standards are equal to
6	U.S. standards;
7	c. Banning meat and/or meat products from foreign countries that allow
8	use of medications or additives not approved for use in the United States;
9	d. Prohibiting the importation of ground and shaved meat into the United
10	States;
11	e. Enforcing all existing quarantine requirements and health standards as
12	set forth by USDA/Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS)
13	regarding imports of livestock and other commodities;
14	f. USDA ensuring a rigorous and enhanced meat inspection system, in order
15	to maintain consumer confidence in the safety and wholesomeness of
16	meat and poultry products; and
17	g. The reauthorization of country-of-origin labeling (COOL).
18	We oppose:
19	a. The shipping of U.S. beef, pork, poultry, or fish to be processed in foreign
20	countries that is then returned to the U.S. for U.S. consumption; and
21	b. Any changes to slaughter inspection that moves inspection tasks away
22	from USDA inspectors. This includes any expansion of the HACCP-Based
23	Inspection Models Project or any other project that would privatize
24	inspection roles.
25	iv. <u>iii.</u> Livestock Health
26	Livestock health is critical to production agriculture and our nation's ability to
27	provide a safe food supply. Achieving the necessary means to ensure livestock health is
28	a priority for NFU. We support good animal husbandry practices as the primary means
29	of livestock health maintenance, as well as the following initiatives to ensure livestock
30	health:

1	a. We encourage all livestock producers to develop professional relationships
2	with their veterinarians to understand all aspects of the Veterinary Feed
3	Directive as implemented;
4	a. <u>b.</u> Continue to allow FDA to permit the use of therapeutic antibiotics approved
5	for use in livestock unless valid scientific evidence proves the product is
6	unsafe. NFU believes that antibiotic treatment should be reserved for clinical
7	treatment of illness and for judicious use in preventing illness during periods
8	of stress, and supports producers' right to treat his or her animals with
9	antibiotics to address herd health issues while opposing the constant
10	subtherapeutic use of antibiotics;
11	b. <u>c.</u> In order to protect the continued and effective use of antibiotics for human
12	health care, we oppose the off-label use of antibiotics and/or arsenicals and
13	fluoroquinolones in animal production;
14	c. Ionophores should be reported as feed additives;
15	d. Fully fund a disease (such as chronic wasting disease) eradication programs,
16	including testing of <u>wildlife</u> , non-traditional livestock species and imported
17	livestock and livestock byproducts;
18	e. Ban livestock, animal protein products, and meat imports that would
19	jeopardize U.S. efforts to eradicate livestock diseases, including BSE and
20	Foot-and-Mouth Disease (FMD);
21	f. Encourage congressional support for emergency economic assistance for
22	producers who have suffered economic and market losses as a result of an
23	incident of livestock disease, as covered by the Animal Health Protection Act
24	(PL 108-498);
25	g. Establish funding for a voluntary Johne's Disease testing program;
26	h. Increase research on transmission modes, vaccine regimens, and protocol for
27	vesicular stomatitis by federal officials and private organizations;
28	i. Re-evaluate the quarantine restriction and reclassification of vesicular
29	stomatitis from Class A to a Class B disease;
30	j. Prevent Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy (BSE) and Foot-and-Mouth
31	Disease (FMD) through:

1	1. Increasing federal and international research to understand and
2	prevent BSE/FMD;
3	2. Continuing the site-specific ban on processing, blending, and shipping
4	of meat from a plant where BSE has been found, until subsequent test
5	results show that the plant is free of BSE;
6	3. Rescinding the USDA rules that allow the import of livestock and
7	meat products from countries with active disease outbreaks and
8	returning that authority back to Congress with producer and
9	consumer input;
10	4. Continuing the ban on livestock and meat imports from countries
11	with BSE/FMD outbreaks until the disease is controlled and/or
12	eradicated;
13	5. Banning all meat and feed imports from countries that have not
14	implemented and enforced a ruminant-to-ruminant feed ban;
15	6. Prohibiting the importation of animal protein products from
16	countries that cannot certify BSE- and transmissible spongiform
17	encephalopathies (TSE)-free products;
18	7. Prohibiting TSE-positive materials in non-ruminant feeds; and
19	8. Cross-referencing all regulations for complete consistency of
20	standards.
21	k. Develop a comprehensive strategy and work with electric providers to help
22	producers detect and eliminate stray voltage. The strategy should include
23	research on stray voltage, inspectors to detect the problem, and public
24	education about funding available to assist producers who have suffered
25	losses due to stray voltage;
26	l. Enforce Section 21 General Requirement Rule 215-B of the National Electric
27	Safety Code to prohibit using the ground as the sole conductor or return to
28	utilities substations;
29	m. Require user-fees for importers to implement inspections and disease
30	prevention;
31	n. Encourage Congress to continue funding research and prevention methods
32	for all harmful and life-threatening strains of influenza. USDA should develop

1	a strategic plan to help producers detect, monitor, and eradicate infected
2	animals, vaccination compensation for mandatory culling should be directed
3	toward producers with limited finances to prevent the driving out of small
4	producers; and
5	o. Urge Congress to upgrade the Plum Island Research FacilityAnimal Disease
6	<u>Center</u> ; however, we oppose constructing <u>completion of the</u> a National Bio-
7	and Agro-Defense research facility in any location critical to food production
8	in our nation. That said, I if built <u>completed</u>on the mainland United States ,
9	rigorous standards of containment must be developed and the government
10	should assume complete liability should containment not be successful.
11	Funding must be full, adequate and continuous to meet the rigorous
12	standards of containment. To prevent any biosecurity risk, funding for this
13	facility should be exempt from any budgetary cuts.
14	p. Legislative efforts to amend the Minor Use and Minor Species Animal Health
15	Act of 2004 to include language that would provide federal incentives, tax
16	and others, for the development and labeling of much needed
17	pharmaceuticals for minor species and minor uses.
18	vivState Animal Identification
19	We support the USDA's action to leave animal identification for disease
20	management to the states. We urge state programs to establish voluntary individual
21	animal identification systems that recognize that the collected information is the sole,
22	proprietary property of the producer and those authorized to use it. Any livestock
23	database shall be housed at the state government level – not privately held. The data
24	shall only be shared in the event of a disease outbreak and to the extent necessary for
25	its control.
26	<u>vi.v.</u> National Animal Identification
27	NFU does not currently support a mandatory National Animal Identification System
28	due to a vast array of issues not yet addressed:
29	a. Costs of implementing the program remain uncertain. The Department of
30	Homeland Security (DHS) has stated the necessity of a national animal I.D.
31	program to combat terrorism; therefore, we urge Congress to provide the

1		full funding necessary to create and maintain the database and provide
2		compensation to producers for their costs of implementing the program;
3	b.	Any national animal I.D. program should be administered by USDA;
4	С.	Mitigate producer liability for contaminated food products. A seamless
5		system should be provided at all retail levels that ensures the information
6		gathered through an I.D. system is complementary with that provided
7		through mandatory country-of-origin labeling;
8	d.	Use of the proprietary information should include clear limits to ensure
9		protection under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). Access to producer
10		information should be available only to relevant federal and state agencies
11		and only in times of animal disease outbreaks or bioterrorism attacks;
12	e.	Ensured uniformity of identification system with tracking technology and
13		database management; and
14	f.	Control of the database needs to remain under the control of the federal
15		government. The concerns we have with USDA's proposal to allow a
16		privately managed database system include:
17		1. It forces producers to bear the financial burden, which they cannot
18		afford;
19		2. This public database will create a revenue source for private entities
20		seeking to make a profit;
21		3. It does not contain oversight to protect confidential producer
22		information;
23		4. It does not mitigate producer liability;
24		5. It will create opportunities for packers to condition the purchase of
25		livestock upon participation in a voluntary I.D. program;
26		6. It assumes coordination among a complex web of data with no
27		guarantees of success; and
28		7. It assumes all sectors of the livestock industry will agree upon the
29		development and maintenance of a single entity to represent each
30		species' interests.
31		<u>vii.vi.</u> Aquaculture, Fishing and Alternative Livestock

1	The land-based aquaculture and alternative livestock industries represent
2	opportunities for family farmers to establish new and/or more diversified farming
3	enterprises.
4	In order to ensure consumer confidence in the health and safety of these products,
5	we support:
6	a. The extension of federal, or equivalent state, food inspection services for
7	these products and recommend that a uniform inspection fee system be
8	adopted by the agency of jurisdiction;
9	b. Recognizing farm-raised freshwater fish and shellfish production as a
10	domesticated livestock under USDA definition and moving its inspection
11	from FDA to USDA jurisdiction;
12	c. Recognizing game farms as livestock operations and subjecting them to all
13	livestock health regulations, as well as making them eligible for any benefits
14	provided traditional livestock operations;
15	dRecognizing vermiculture as an agricultural practice and worms as an
16	alternative livestock;
17	d.e. Recognizing insect farming as an agricultural practice and insects as an
18	alternative livestock; and
19	e. <u>f.</u> USDA, utilizing state departments of agriculture where feasible, being the
20	agency that regulates all health issues for these farms and ranches, as well as
21	providing production, processing, and market development assistance for
22	these products.
23	1. Marine Aquaculture
24	The development of industrial-scale offshore finfish aquaculture is detrimental
25	to the family fishers and local economies of historic fishing communities. It should
26	not be allowed to proceed unless and until there is national legislation in place that
27	ensures it can be conducted without harming marine ecosystems and coastal fishing
28	communities.
29	We oppose:
30	a. Promotion of offshore aquaculture operations that displace or endanger
31	traditional fishing practices and onshore infrastructure;
32	b. Inefficient use of marine resources as feed within such operations;

1		c. Fee	deral subsidies to promote, sustain or further develop such operations;
2		an	d
3		d. Ma	rine fishing operations that are not community-based and do not
4		COI	ntribute to the promotion of locally and sustainable caught wild
5		sea	afood.
6	2.	Sustainab	ole Working Waterfronts and Fisheries
7		Small I	boat fisher <u>smen and women</u> face many of the same challenges as
8	I	family farm	mers: an aging population, consolidation of the fishing fleet, and high
9		cost of pro	oduction in fuel and license costs make it hard to enter or sustain a
10		fishing bu	siness. Overfishing of forage fish habitat has led to a current economic
11		crisis for r	nany small boat fishermen fishers and their coastal communities.
12	I	Drastic de	clines in commercial and ground fish off of all U.S. coasts will
13		necessitat	e extreme cuts to fish harvest. The economic impact of these
14		reductions	s will be devastating for small boat fishermenfishers.
15	I	We su	pport:
16		a.	Protecting our working waterfronts so that viable family fishing
17			businesses can thrive and grow;
18		b.	Disaster assistance for small boat <u>fishermenfishers</u> ;
19	I	С.	Full assistance of USDA Risk Management Agency for small boat
20			fishermen <u>fishers;</u>
21	I	d.	Development and support of cooperative marketing, processing and
22			branding models that provide a fair livelihood for fishing families,
23			healthy local food products, and sustainability of wild harvest
24			fisheries;
25		e.	Extension of the full range of USDA Know Your Farmer, Know Your
26			Food (KYF) programs to support small boat fishermen-fishers and
27			provide access to education and training for beginning fishermen
28			<u>fishers</u> and women;
29	1	f.	Programs that provide regionally appropriate research and technical
30			assistance to improve profitability of sustainable fishing practices,
31			enhanced marketing and processing of sustainably caught fish;

1	g. Programs that enhance the production and processing of local meat
2	and poultry should be extended to include fish;
3	h. USDA conservation programs that support the development and
4	adoption of sustainable fishing practices and protect coastal
5	ecosystems;
6	i. Nutrition incentives should be extended to fresh fish;
7	j. Catch limits as essential means of rebuilding fish stocks;
8	k. Selective harvesting practices that allow healthy stocks to be
9	targeted, while at-risk and depleted stocks are avoided;
10	l. Reviewing current practices as well as development of new fishing
11	gear or techniques that avoid depleted stocks;
12	m. Forage fish populations being carefully managed to avoid
13	overharvest using science-based annual catch levels and a risk
14	adverse management strategy;
15	n. Development of meaningful protections for sensitive sea floor habitat
16	essential for the needs of managed species based on best available
17	science; and
18	o. Prohibiting fishing gears and/or practices that are not conducive to
19	protecting these important and vulnerable areas.
20	We oppose opening closed areas of the ocean fisheries in response to recent
21	declines in ground fish stocks.
22	D.<u>C.</u> LABELING OF COMMODITIES AND COMMODITY PRODUCTS
23	1. COUNTRY-OF-ORIGIN LABELING
24	We support full implementation of mandatory country-of-origin labeling (COOL) for
25	agricultural, aquaculture and wild-caught seafood products. Mandatory COOL is a valuable
26	marketing tool for producers, and it allows consumers to know where their food is
27	produced. U.S. producers have the right to distinguish their products from those of other
28	countries and U.S. products should not be categorized as a North American product (also
29	see Article II – Agriculture Competition and Concentration).
30	We support the following principles in the continued implementation of mandatory
31	COOL in order to qualify and be labeled as U.Sproduced:

1	i.	Meat products must come from an animal born, raised, and slaughtered in the
2		United States;
3	ii.	Fresh produce, honey, and nuts must be exclusively grown and processed in the
4		United States;
5	iii.	Dairy products to be labeled to indicate country-of-origin;
6	iv.	Farm-raised fish and shellfish must be hatched, raised, and processed in the
7		United States or wild fish and shellfish harvested and processed solely in the
8		United States or by a U.Sflagged vessel;
9	v.	USDA should prohibit any third-party compliance reviews and third parties
10		dictating what types of records producers need to keep and the manner in which
11		the records should be kept;
12	vi.	USDA should perform any/all producer audits to determine compliance with the
13		law;
14	vii.	Allow those who solely produce U.S. products to self-verify that fact;
15	viii.	Allow producers, processors and retailers to maintain records in a manner of
16		their choosing, as long as the information is available and can be transferred to a
17		standardized format in the event of an audit by USDA;
18	ix.	In the absence of mandatory COOL, the same labeling standards outlined in
19		mandatory COOL should be maintained to benefit producers and consumers in
20		any voluntary program; and
21	Х.	If a national animal identification program is implemented, the information
22		should include country-of-origin distinction for consumers of covered
23		commodities at all retail levels.
24		2. LABELING OF FOOD PRODUCTS
25	Thoro	ough and accurate food labels are an important tool that helps consumers make
26	informed	decisions and allows producers to differentiate their products. We support
27	conspicuo	ous, mandatory, uniform, and federal labeling for food products throughout the
28	processin	ng chain to include all ingredients, additives, and processes such as:
29	i	Artificial growth hormones;
30	ii.	Products derived from cloned animals;
31	iii.	The identity of the parent company;

1	iv.	Carbon monoxide injected in meat and seafood or packaging for appearance or		
2		shelf-life purposes;		
3	v.	Point of origin and producer-determined standards for geographic indicators,		
4		including percentage coming from that origin;		
5	vi.	Date of kill, for meat and seafood;		
6	vii.	Whether the meat was frozen;		
7	viii.	The date/dates the meat was subsequently refrozen;		
8	ix.	Irradiated products, and we call for further research on its long-term effects on		
9		human health;		
10	х.	Maintaining and protecting the integrity of organic labeling; and		
11	<u>xi.</u>	_Labeling standards for the sale of organic products, while not limiting		
12		opportunities to market other natural or sustainably produced food products.		
13	xi. xii.	<u>Requiring labeling of milk from cows injected with recombinant bovine</u>		
14		somatotropin (rBST), a hormone to stimulate milk production. In the absence of		
15		federal labeling requirements, we encourage farmer-certified rBST-free labeled		
16		products:		
17	xii. xiii.	<u>Requiring labeling of imitation and substitute dairy products:</u>		
18	xiii. xiv	Labeling the use of casein, MPC/UF dairy blends, and food preps in food		
19		production; and		
20	xiv. xv.	<u>Requiring the labeling of artificial sweeteners, such as aspartame, added to dairy</u>		
21		products.		
22 ж	V	•	Formatte	
23	We opp	oose:		
24		i. Labeling poultry chilled below 26 degrees Fahrenheit as fresh; and		
25		ii. USDA regulation that allows the addition of up to eight percent water-		
26		weight to poultry products without mandating that these products are so		
27		labeled.		
28		3. LABELING OF DAIRY PRODUCTS		
29	We	support:		
30	i.	Requiring labeling of milk from cows injected with recombinant bovine		
31		somatotropin (rBST), a hormone to stimulate milk production. In the absence of		

1		federal labeling requirements, we encourage farmer-certified rBST-free labeled
2		products;
3	ii. 	Labeling products as free of artificial growth hormones;
4	iii.	_Requiring labeling of imitation and substitute dairy products;
5	iv.	Requiring labeling of food products to identify the parent company;
6	∨. iii.	_ Labeling the use of casein, MPC/UF dairy blends, and food preps in food
7		production; and
8	vi. iv.	_ Requiring the labeling of artificial sweeteners, such as aspartame, added to dairy
9		products.
10		4-3. NUTRITION LABELING
11	We su	pport providing consumers with information on nutrients in food products to
12	help avoid	d misleading health claims.
13	<u>E.</u> D.	_Commodities
14		1. WHEAT, COARSE GRAINS, OILSEEDS, RICE, AND COTTON
15	A farn	n program should recognize the market realities of the fundamentally unique
16	business	of farming. Such a program should include these basic provisions:
17	i.	Price support and income support mechanism for wheat, coarse grains, oilseeds,
18		rice, and cotton that establishes a floor under market prices and enables
19		producers to obtain their income from the marketplace;
20	ii.	Price and income supports should primarily be provided by CCC non-recourse,
21		commodity loans;
22	iii.	Loan maturity periods should be extended at the discretion of the producer, for
23		up to 18 months , to provide producers maximum marketing flexibility ;;
24	iv.	Price support and CCC loan rates should be set at levels to ensure producers
25		have the opportunity to receive a fair return on their investment. The loan rate
26		should not be for less than the USDA national average cost of production. CCC
27		loan rates should be adjusted annually to reflect inflation and productivity;
28	v.	Price supports and CCC loan rates should be annually balanced in an upward
29		manner, to ensure equity in support among commodities, in order to prevent
30		market and planting distortions;

1	vi.	NFU strongly urges the establishment of a voluntary, farmer-owned market-
2		driven inventory system that reduces volatility in agricultural commodity
3		markets. In order for such a system to succeed, we recommend the following:
4		1. USDA will offer per bushel storage rates for commodities when prices fall
5		below a designated loan rate, which is set near the midpoint between the
6		variable and full cost of production for each commodity. Enrollment in
7		the storage program will cease when prices recover;
8		2. Farmers may enter any portion of their crop into storage, which must
9		remain enrolled in the program until the market price for the commodity
10		reaches the release price, or approximately 160 percent of the loan rate;
11		3. Farmers must maintain the crop in proper condition while in storage;
12		4. Economically sound inventory caps should be set on each commodity.
13		Should the inventory cap be reached for a commodity, a voluntary set-
14		aside program may be made available by USDA;
15		5. When the release price is reached, the farmer may sell the commodity
16		into the market or hold the commodity, but storage rates will cease;
17		6. Allowing the secretary of agriculture the authority to manage price-
18		depressing surpluses by providing producers incentives to plant
19		dedicated energy crops on acres which are now, or may be produced, in
20		surplus;
21		7. Commodity support based on actual production history (APH), where
22		available. For cases without APH, the program yield cannot be lower than
23		county Farm Service Agency yields. Additionally, we urge the
24		establishment of APH floors to protect producers in the event of
25		successive crop failure brought on by natural disasters;
26		8. Planting flexibility;
27		9. Low-interest grain storage facility loans should be made available to
28		producers;
29		10. Each farm operator and crop share landlord should be eligible for
30		maximum loan volume targeted to family-sized producers;
31		11. Farmers should have the right to periodically update acreage bases and
32		proven yields on all crops for each farm; and

1	12. Farmers should have the option to store forfeited grain and receive	
2	storage payments until final sale is consummated.	
3	NFU believes cotton should be a covered commodity in any future farm bill. We	
4	support the designation of cottonseed as an "other oilseed" for the purpose of the	
5	Agricultural Risk Coverage (ARC) program and the Price Loss Coverage (PLC) program.	
6	Due to potential cross-contamination of other crops, we<u>We</u> oppose the growing,	
7	harvesting, or processing of castor beans , which can produce ricin, a toxic substance,	
8	which can be lethal to humans and animals. <u>.</u>	
9	2. OTHER PROGRAM COMMODITIES (WOOL, MOHAIR, HONEY, PULSE CROPS, ETC.)	
10	We support:	
11	i. The establishment of an economic safety net program for other eligible	
12	commodities based on price income supports provided through Commodity	
13	Credit Corporation (CCC) non-recourse, commodity loans in a manner	
14	comparable to more traditional farm program crops. Other eligible commodities	
15	include, but are not limited to: a) wool; b) mohair; c) honey; d) pulse crops; and	
16	e) forage crops, if hayed or grazed;	
17	ii. Funding the Wool and Mohair Program from tariffs on sheep and wool imports;	
18	and	
19	iii.—_The Pulse Health Initiative, a focused effort to increase and leverage scientific	
20	research on the advantages of certain pulse crops such as dry beans, lentils,	
21	chickpeas, and dry peas.	
22	3. Tobacco	Formatte
23	We urge action by Congress and the administration to:	
24	i. Provide for a plan and funding for economic development assistance to tobacco-	
25	dependent communities;	
26	ii. Establish country-of-origin labeling for tobacco;	
27	iii. Ensure that all imported tobacco meets the same standards of domestic leaf and	
28	is monitored and tracked in a similar fashion as domestic leaf;	
29	iv. To act to include provisions to continue the inspection of foreign tobacco for	
30	U.Sbanned chemicals and pesticides;	
31	v. <u>Encourage USDA to C</u> continue <u>USDA</u> research to develop new uses for tobacco	
32	plants, such as development of any pesticidal properties and medical research;	

1	vi.	Support the president's Commission on Tobacco recommendations and the	
2		efforts of the Alliance for Health Economic and Agricultural Development	
3		(AHEAD);	
4	vii.	Act to-Establish a new chapter under the Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act for the	
5		fair and effective regulation of all tobacco products in the way in which they are	
6		manufactured, sold, labeled and marketed and ensure that growers and other	
7		stakeholders have the opportunity to provide input and comments on any future	
8		rules issued by FDA;	
9	viii.	Ensure that adequate funding is provided for grower compensation, as well as	
10		tobacco control, education, and cessation programs; and	
11	ix.—	–Establish mechanisms by which tobacco and tobacco products can be monitored	
12		and tracked in interstate and foreign commerce to prevent the illegal	
13		manufacture, sale and distribution of tobacco products. The trafficking and	
14		smuggling of tobacco products is a national and international multibillion-dollar	
15		crime phenomenon negatively impacting growers, responsible manufacturers,	
16		and public health.	
17		4. Peanuts	Formatte
17 18	Histor	4. Peanuts rically, U.S. farm policy has recognized that for peanuts, the cost of requirements of	+(Formatte
			+(Formatte
18	the sector	rically, U.S. farm policy has recognized that for peanuts, the cost of requirements of	+(Formatte
18 19	the sector limited nu	rically, U.S. farm policy has recognized that for peanuts, the cost of requirements of r, such as specialty harvesting equipment and storage facilities would attract a	+(Formatte
18 19 20	the sector limited nu	rically, U.S. farm policy has recognized that for peanuts, the cost of requirements of r, such as specialty harvesting equipment and storage facilities would attract a umber of equipment dealers, processors, and marketers. Therefore, family-sized uld require particular attention to the orderly and honest marketing of such-a	+(Formatte
18 19 20 21	the sector limited nu farms wor specialty	rically, U.S. farm policy has recognized that for peanuts, the cost of requirements of r, such as specialty harvesting equipment and storage facilities would attract a umber of equipment dealers, processors, and marketers. Therefore, family-sized uld require particular attention to the orderly and honest marketing of such-a	+ (Formatte
18 19 20 21 22	the sector limited nu farms wor specialty	rically, U.S. farm policy has recognized that for peanuts, the cost of requirements of c, such as specialty harvesting equipment and storage facilities would attract a umber of equipment dealers, processors, and marketers. Therefore, family-sized uld require particular attention to the orderly and honest marketing of such-a <u>a</u> crop.	+ - Formatte
18 19 20 21 22 23	the sector limited nu farms wor specialty Given	rically, U.S. farm policy has recognized that for peanuts, the cost of requirements of c, such as specialty harvesting equipment and storage facilities would attract a umber of equipment dealers, processors, and marketers. Therefore, family-sized uld require particular attention to the orderly and honest marketing of such-a <u>a</u> crop. the significant change in policy that occurred with recent farm bills, We support:	+ Formatte
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	the sector limited nu farms wor specialty Given	rically, U.S. farm policy has recognized that for peanuts, the cost of requirements of c, such as specialty harvesting equipment and storage facilities would attract a umber of equipment dealers, processors, and marketers. Therefore, family-sized uld require particular attention to the orderly and honest marketing of such-a <u>a</u> crop. <u>the significant change in policy that occurred with recent farm bills,</u> We support: Grower marketing associations and federal marketing and warehouse assistance	+ Formatte
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	the sector limited nu farms wor specialty Given i.	rically, U.S. farm policy has recognized that for peanuts, the cost of requirements of c, such as specialty harvesting equipment and storage facilities would attract a umber of equipment dealers, processors, and marketers. Therefore, family-sized uld require particular attention to the orderly and honest marketing of such-a <u>a</u> crop. the significant change in policy that occurred with recent farm bills, We support: Grower marketing associations and federal marketing and warehouse assistance to aid in the maintenance of fair and transparent market competition;	+ Formatte
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	the sector limited nu farms wou specialty Given i. ii.	rically, U.S. farm policy has recognized that for peanuts, the cost of requirements of r, such as specialty harvesting equipment and storage facilities would attract a umber of equipment dealers, processors, and marketers. Therefore, family-sized uld require particular attention to the orderly and honest marketing of such-a <u>a</u> crop. the significant change in policy that occurred with recent farm bills, We support: Grower marketing associations and federal marketing and warehouse assistance to aid in the maintenance of fair and transparent market competition; Increased research funding to develop alternative uses for peanuts;	+ Formatte
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	the sector limited nu farms wou specialty Given i. ii.	rically, U.S. farm policy has recognized that for peanuts, the cost of requirements of c, such as specialty harvesting equipment and storage facilities would attract a umber of equipment dealers, processors, and marketers. Therefore, family-sized uld require particular attention to the orderly and honest marketing of such-a <u>a</u> crop. the significant change in policy that occurred with recent farm bills, We support: Grower marketing associations and federal marketing and warehouse assistance to aid in the maintenance of fair and transparent market competition; Increased research funding to develop alternative uses for peanuts; Prohibiting the importation of peanuts or any peanut products from countries	+ Formatte
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	the sector limited nu farms wor specialty Given i. ii.	rically, U.S. farm policy has recognized that for peanuts, the cost of requirements of c, such as specialty harvesting equipment and storage facilities would attract a umber of equipment dealers, processors, and marketers. Therefore, family-sized uld require particular attention to the orderly and honest marketing of such-a <u>a</u> crop. the significant change in policy that occurred with recent farm bills, We support: Grower marketing associations and federal marketing and warehouse assistance to aid in the maintenance of fair and transparent market competition; Increased research funding to develop alternative uses for peanuts; Prohibiting the importation of peanuts or any peanut products from countries that produce no peanuts; and	+ (Formatte
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 	the sector limited nu farms wor specialty Given i. ii.	rically, U.S. farm policy has recognized that for peanuts, the cost of requirements of c, such as specialty harvesting equipment and storage facilities would attract a umber of equipment dealers, processors, and marketers. Therefore, family-sized uld require particular attention to the orderly and honest marketing of such-a a crop. the significant change in policy that occurred with recent farm bills. We support: Grower marketing associations and federal marketing and warehouse assistance to aid in the maintenance of fair and transparent market competition; Increased research funding to develop alternative uses for peanuts; Prohibiting the importation of peanuts or any peanut products from countries that produce no peanuts; and	Formatte
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 	the sector limited nu farms wor specialty Given i. ii.	rically, U.S. farm policy has recognized that for peanuts, the cost of requirements of c, such as specialty harvesting equipment and storage facilities would attract a umber of equipment dealers, processors, and marketers. Therefore, family-sized uld require particular attention to the orderly and honest marketing of such-a <u>a</u> crop. the significant change in policy that occurred with recent farm bills, We support: Grower marketing associations and federal marketing and warehouse assistance to aid in the maintenance of fair and transparent market competition; Increased research funding to develop alternative uses for peanuts; Prohibiting the importation of peanuts or any peanut products from countries that produce no peanuts; and Prohibiting the importation of peanuts or peanut products from countries that have plant disease problems, use unjust labor practices, or have less stringent	Formatte

We support the continuation of the no-cost U.S. sugar program and encourage Congress
 to work with U.S. sugar producers to adopt a strong sugar program in future farm bills.
 Today's program has successfully provided consumers with stable, reliable supplies of
 sugar at reasonable prices, provided sugar producers with decent income and provided
 good employment opportunities in rural communities.

Program quota limits must be carefully safeguarded to protect domestic producers from
the masking of sugar imports in the form of stuffed molasses and other stealth products
capable of refinement.

9 We <u>support prohibitingoppose</u> ethanol produced from imported sugar from receiving
10 any taxpayer subsidies.

11

6.4. TREE FARMS AND FORESTRY

The economic well-being, as well as the independent existence of family-sized tree
farmers, is threatened by regulatory uncertainty, over-regulation of wetlands, and
restraining buffer zones. We support the family-sized tree farmers who share problems
similar to other agriculture producers.

16 NFU encourages private landowners to adopt cooperative sustainable forest
 17 management practices, including but not limited to completion and implementation of
 18 forest stewardship management plans as recognized by USDA's Forest Service.

19 NFU encourages state and local governments to protect prime harvestable forestlands20 through local zoning ordinances.

21

7.5. SPECIALTY CROPS

Specialty crops include but are not limited to fruits, vegetables, tree nuts, dried fruits,
nursery crops, floriculture and horticulture including turf grass, sod, *Camellia sinensis* teas,
coffee and herbal crops. Congress should provide mandatory funding for a safety net
program, including risk management tools, to allow producers to earn the cost of
production plus the opportunity for a reasonable profit from the marketplace.
We support:

- i. Mandatory funding for the Specialty Crop <u>Block Grant programAct</u>. Specialty
 crop block grants that are provided to states should be provided to producers
 and not supplant state budgets;
- 31 ii. Collaboration with consumer, culinary and other food groups to promote U.S.
 32 specialty crops;

1	iii.	Protection for specialty crop producers from imports during the primary harvest
2		season for perishable crops (window of harvest);
3	iv.	–Incentives for all federal nutrition program beneficiaries , such as those of the
4		Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children
5		(WIC), the WIC and Seniors Farmers Market Nutrition Programs, and the
6		Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) to use their benefits at
7		farmers markets, CSA's and other direct marketing outlets. These programs are
8		mutually beneficial for specialty crop producers by providing a market
9		opportunity and low-income consumers who receive high-quality, locally
10		produced, farm fresh products;
11	<u>₩iv.</u>	_Reauthorization and expansion of the SNAP-based Food Insecurity Nutrition
12	Į	Incentives program with continued prioritization for the direct-to-consumer
13		marketing and the local and regional sourcing of fruits and vegetables;
14	vi. v.	_ Urging the president, attorney general, and Congress to direct the U.S. Drug
15		Enforcement Administration (DEA) to reclassif <u>Reclassification of</u> y industrial
16		hemp as a non-controlled substance and adopt policy to allow American farmers
17		to grow industrial hemp under state law without affecting eligibility for USDA
18		benefits, and supports legislation to allow for industrial hemp to become a crop
19		in the U.S. again;
20	vii. vi.	_A state's right to make their own choice on medical and recreational marijuana
21		by calling on Congress and U.S. DEA to reclassify marijuana as a schedule 2 or
22		lower drug;
23	viii. vii.	_USDA designation of tree syrups as a specialty crop; and
24	ix. viii.	_Both seed exchanges and seed libraries for the open exchange of heirloom and
25		open-pollinated seed.
26		8.6. HONEY/POLLINATION
27	Pollin	ators are vital to agriculture and in particular to the production of fruits and
28	vegetable	s. Bee-pollinated forage and hay crops such as clover and alfalfa are also used to
29	feed lives	tock and dairy animals. Introduced parasites have had a significant impact on
30		s in the United States. Undetermined factors have led to an observed collapse in
31	-	opulations, commonly referred to as Colony Collapse Disorder.
32	There	fore, we support:

1	i.	Agricultural research and education to encourage innovative approaches to
2		protecting honeybee health and improve genetic stocks of honeybees;
3	ii.	Development and expanded research to enhance native pollinators;
4	iii.	Encouraging EPA to enforce its pesticide use labels to ensure proper application
5		of pesticides;
6	iv.	Encouraging collaboration between the pesticide manufacturing and pollinator
7		industries to educate applicators and producers about the potentially harmful
8		effects of pesticides on pollinator populations;
9	V.	Continued monitoring of pest populations and pest control methods;
10	vi.	Continued monitoring of pollinator imports, accidental importation of pests and
11		invasive species;
12	vii.	Ensuring that pollinator-beneficial habitat and best management practices are
13		eligible for cost-sharing assistance and incentives in USDA conservation
14		programs intended to assist producers;
15	viii.	Public research of effects of pesticides, such as neonicotinoids, on bee colonies,
16		especially related to Colony Collapse Disorder;
17	ix.	The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) defining honey as a food product
18		based on the Codex Alimentarius standards so that U.S. beekeepers have the
19		ability to utilize trade and legal mechanisms when imported honey is
20		adulterated. Until the FDA defines honey as a food product, we support efforts at
21		the state level to authorize state departments of agriculture to define honey
22		using the Codex Alimentarius standards as a guideline; and
23	Х.	The continued consideration of honeybees and hives for inclusion in livestock
24		compensation programs.
25	We op	pose any attempt to classify sweet, white, or yellow clover as an invasive species
26	or to proh	ibit the sale or planting of sweet clover seed because of its important role in
27	honey pro	duction.
28		9.7. VALUE-ADDED AND MINIMALLY PROCESSED FOODS
29	We con	mmend and support artisan producers for their pioneering efforts in revitalizing
30	agriculture	e in their local communities. Their efforts have resulted in multiple benefits by
31	creating va	alue-added revenue streams for themselves and their communities.
32	These	products also supply a high quality, balanced diet for consumers.

1	We support policies and programs that encourage the further development of these		
2	types of farm-based enterprises.		
3	10.8. VALUE- <u>A</u> ADDED USES OF FARM PRODUCTS		
4	We support value-added uses of farm commodities that encourages the development of		
5	bio-degradable consumer packaging as the standard choice for storage containers,		
6	packaging and bags.		
7	F.E. AGRI-TOURISM		
8	We support:		
9	1. The inclusion of agri-tourism, including on-farm bed and breakfasts, as part of the		
10	definition of agriculture and encourage USDA to recognize the value <u>of</u> agri-tourism		
11	plays in small, diversified farms and communities throughout the United States by		
12	adopting agri-tourism allowances in its programs; and		
13	2. USDA developing and implementing a program to educate producers about		
14	liabilities associated with agri-tourism operations.		
15	G. <u>F.</u> RISK MANAGEMENT		
16	We encourage:		
17	1. The expansion of risk management tools to cover all commodities; and		
18	2. The FSA to always consider prevented plant acres in revenue calculations not		
19	limited to farm safety net programs, risk management programs and disaster		
20	programs.		
21	1. LIVESTOCK PRODUCER ASSISTANCE		
22	We support:		
23	i. Full and permanent funding for the livestock compensation programs;		
24	ii. Safeguards to assure that program benefits are targeted to family farmers and		
25	ranchers; and		
26	iii. Deferring the tax consequences of a forced liquidation of livestock if it is due to		
27	severe weather conditions or other causes and if the animals are replaced within		
28	a 5-year time frame.		
29	2. CROP INSURANCE		
30	Crop insurance and revenue coverage should not be considered a replacement for fair		
31	market prices and an adequate price support program.		

1	We su	ipport:
2	i.	The continuation and improvement of the federal crop insurance program;
3	ii.	A permanent disaster program, in addition to crop insurance that addresses
4		both catastrophic and shallow losses;
5	iii.	Enhancing the affordability of coverage above 75 percent of actual production
6		history (APH);
7	iv.	A limitation on the cumulative value of all federal premium subsidies for the
8		purchase of "buy-up" crop insurance coverage. In the event budget cuts result in
9		decreased "buy-up" premium subsidies, those cuts should be accomplished by
10		caps on per-individual subsidies. Crop insurance subsidies should be attributed
11		to individuals based on their share of ownership of insurable production and
12		entities they own;
13	v.	Development of federal crop insurance policies that provide a dollar-per-acre,
14		multi-peril coverage option similar to policies that exist for single-peril hail
15		coverage;
16	vi.	Development of federal crop insurance policies based on the regional average
17		cost of production for the insured commodity;
18	vii.	Development of new products that allow producers to protect their income in
19		times of low prices and/or quality losses;
20	viii.	Expanded production loss and revenue protection programs to cover more
21		crops and livestock in an equitable and comparable manner in all states;
22	ix.	Development of products that allow producers to better protect against
23		livestock and livestock feed losses;
24	Х.	Directing the Risk Management Agency to further develop the Whole Farm
25		<u>Revenue Protection ProgramAdjusted Gross Revenue (AGR) and AGR-Lite</u>
26		insurance programs and expand their availability nationwide;
27	xi.	Legislative action to provide the RMA authority to allow nationwide crop and
28		revenue insurance pilot programs;
29	xii.	Legislative or administrative action to increase the producer representation on
30		the Federal Crop Insurance Corporation (FCIC) board of directors and establish
31		a local appeals process including conflict resolution;

1	xiii.	Providing family farms producers the opportunity to utilize all available disaster
2		programs without penalty; farmers relying on surface water for irrigation
3		should not be penalized by being forced to sign up crop as dry-land instead of
4		irrigated land due to an "act of God" resulting in lack of water because of
5		drought;
6	xiv.	Reasonable funding for crop insurance agent and company reimbursement;
7	XV.	No reduction of APH for federal crop insurance purposes when production is
8		reduced by natural disasters;
9	xvi.	Offering an adequate, individual catastrophic coverage program to provide a
10		safety net against crop disasters with a graduated premium based on acres
11		rather than crops;
12	xvii.	Signup requirements that contain enough flexibility including changes to
13		established planting dates to allow producers to respond to weather changes;
14	xviii.	Being eligible to plant a "ghost" crop when a producer collects a payment for a
15		prevented planting;
16	xix.	The use of cover crops for stewardship purposes on prevented planted acres
17		when feasible;
18	XX.	Prevented planting provisions in insurance policies that can provide valuable
19		coverage when extreme weather conditions prevent expected plantings. To
20		maintain the integrity of the program and avoid abuse, producers should make
21		planting decisions based on agronomically sound and well-documented crop
22		management practices. We encourage the RMA to develop guidelines that are
23		objective rather than subjective;
24	xxi.	Maintaining eligibility to receive prevented planting indemnity payments
25		regardless of the producer's planting history;
26	xxii.	The inclusion of local quality and basis adjustments in revenue assurance (RA)
27		products;
28	xxiii.	The risk management program to recognize and accommodate the unique
29		production and actuarial experience of producers of certified organic
30		commodities;
31	xxiv.	Not reducing established crop insurance during that crop year;

1	XXV.	The development of an optional, supplemental crop insurance product to	
2		expand production loss coverage by helping offset either catastrophic or modest	
3		production losses in the event of weather-related or other insurable disaster	
4		losses;	
5	xxvi.	Requiring the RMA and the FSA to coordinate all definition, reporting	
6		requirements and information technologies;	
7	xxvii.	The creation of regional advisory committees composed of producers, insurance	
8		agents and insurance company officials to work with RMA regional staff and	
9		offices to establish appropriate policies, procedures and educational activities	
10		for the individual RMA regions;	
11	xxviii.	The development and expansion of products that allow producers of non-	
12		program commodities, small diversified farming operations of specialty and	
13		minor crops to have equitable insurance coverage based on the market for	
14		which it is produced. USDA should make whatever regulatory or operational	
15		changes are necessary to remove barriers and ensure fair access to crop and	
16		revenue insurance for beginning farmers and ranchers;	
17	xxix.	The concept of a risk management account that would be a private, self-insured	
18		policy for farmers that is similar to the current health savings account. This is	
19		not a replacement for crop insurance; rather, it is an alternative tool for farmers;	
20	XXX.	Preventative planting losses including all weather contingencies, including	
21		drought;	
22	xxxi.	A crop insurance premium due date of December 1 with no interest charges to	
23		the premium if the claim is unsettled;	
24	xxxii.	Conservation compliance to be eligible for federal crop insurance subsidies, but	
25		recognize the need for <u>the following</u> specialized carve-out provisions <u>:</u> ;	
26		a. Expedited land classification determinations by NRCS;	Formatte
27		b. Expedited classification appeals:	
28		c. Ability to waive penalties for "in good faith" or other minor errors;	
29		d. Ability to mitigate before penalties are assessed;	
30		e. Assessment of penalties on individuals tracts only, not whole farms;	
31		f. Severability of penalties between landowners and tenants:	

ps
at
а
f
d
е
nt

1	ii.	Sufficient funding so the committee members can be trained and can function
2		effectively;
3	iii.	Appointees to state FSA committees, which administer farm programs, being
4		family farmers;
5	iv.	Farmer-elected, county- or area-farmer committees;
6	v.	Uniformity of interpretation of USDA programs to the maximum degree possible,
7		while still meeting local needs;
8	vi.	Offering appeals at the local, state, and national levels;
9	vii.	Continuing an independent appeals process and the producer's right to
10		mediation;
11	viii.	Programs to educate producers and others about mediation processes; and
12	ix.	Greater authority given to FSA county committees in determining the disaster
13		designations and the appropriate program applications.
14	We op	pose selection of the county or area farmer committees by political appointment.
15		2. NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE
16	The N	atural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) should be the service agency to
17	provide te	echnical assistance working with local boards and local conditions whenever
18	possible.	We support the following changes to NRCS:
19	i.	Implementation of an appeals system;
20	ii.	Giving the soil conservation district boards the authority to hear farmer appeals
21		on conservation land-use issues, including good, fair variances;
22	iii.	Providing full funding for conservation technical assistance to implement
23		conservation programs;
24	iv.	Appointees to the NRCS state technical committees, which provide advice and
25		counsel to state conservationists, being actively engaged in a family farm
26		operation;
27	v.	NRCS state technical committees having voting power over the cost-share rates
28		and ranking procedures; and
29	vi.	Funds designated to NRCS programs being used to hire local fishers and farmers
30		to implement conservation projects.
31	We op	pose:

1	i.	The privatization of the services of the NRCS and object to forcing farmers to pay	
2		for the technical assistance, which should be provided by NRCS staff; and	
3	ii.	Any effort to eliminate NRCS or shift conservation services to some other agency	
4		or branch of the USDA.	
5		3. Improved Marketing Mechanisms	
6	NFU r	eaffirms its support for enabling legislation to establish a National Agricultural	
7	Relations	Board or separate board for single commodities or groups of closely related	
8	commodi	ties. Once established, this board should:	
9	i.	Bring farmers and farm cooperatives together with handlers and processors, for	
10		the purpose of bargaining over prices received by agricultural producers.	
11		Farmers need and are entitled to a firm legal procedure which will enable them	
12		to manage the production and marketing of their products; and	
13	ii.	Help preserve the long-standing rights of farmers to participate in bargaining	
14		associations and cooperatives without being subject to antitrust action.	
15	I.<u>H.</u>Special Agricultural Policies and Services		
16		1. FARM LABOR (ALSO SEE ARTICLE X.F – IMMIGRATION POLICY)	
17	We su	pport passage and implementation of many provisions of previous AgJOBS-type	
18	legislation].	
19	We en	courage Congress to continue funding existing programs and establish new grant	
20	initiatives that aim to improve the supply, stability, and training of the agricultural labor		
21	force.		
22	The N	ational Labor Relations Act should be extended to workers on corporate and	
23	other farms that employ enough hired help to be subject to the federal minimum wage		
24	provision	s applicable to agricultural workers.	
25	We su	pport enforcement of the following labor standards:	
26	i.	Worker protection standards regarding wage rates, health, safety and housing	
27		conditions for migrant, seasonal, minority and other farm laborers and for	
28		education of their children;	
29	ii.	Allow the rights of workers to bargain collectively for fair wages; and	
30	iii.	Provide a livable minimum wage.	
31		2. SAFETY OF FARMERS AND FARM WORKERS	

1	Agricu	ulture has been determined to be a hazardous occupation in the United States.		
2	Farm mac	Farm machinery is not subject to federal safety regulations or recalls but is instead		
3	manufact	manufactured according to voluntary standards set by the American Society of Agricultural		
4	and Biolo	gical Engineers.		
5	We re	commend:		
6	i.	Farmers take advantage of training opportunities, including pesticide applicator		
7		programs, as often as possible;		
8	ii.	Farm equipment manufacturers be subject to rules requiring product safety, and		
9		that manufacturers be liable for damages suffered due to injuries caused by		
10		faulty equipment;		
11	iii.	Developing incentives for the purchase of rollover protection for farm		
12		equipment;		
13	iv.	Standardized hazard and caution lights and distinct turn signals on all farm		
14		equipment that uses public roadways, and increased education of the public on		
15		the need to respect them;		
16	v.	Discouraging the use of Slow Moving Vehicle emblems for purposes other than		
17		their intended use;		
18	vi.	Farmers and their employees have access to information regarding hazardous		
19		materials used on the farm;		
20	vii.	Farmers maintain adequate records on their transportation, use, storage, and		
21		disposal of fertilizers and pesticides;		
22	viii.	Farmers and small businesses have a voice in assuring that rules implementing		
23		the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970, established for the protection of		
24		those employed by farmers and small businesspersons, are reasonable and		
25		workable. This assures that OSHA requirements are within the reasonable		
26		financial reach of farms and businesses affected, that the penalties are not		
27		excessive, and that reasonable periods of time are allowed for compliance;		
28	ix.	Congress provide for continued exemption of small farms and businesses that		
29		have 10 or fewer employees from the inspection provisions of the law;		
30	х.	Family farmers exercise reasonable care to promote the safety of themselves		
31		and their families; and		

1	xi. Developing International Labor Organization (ILO) standards that adequately
2	protect the health and safety of children.
3	3. STORABLE COMMODITIES PRODUCER PROTECTION
4	i. Federal Warehouse Act
5	We support the right of individual states to regulate the grain merchandising activities of
6	warehouses licensed by the federal government-under the Grain Standards and Warehouse
7	Improvement Act of 2000 (USWA)NFU will oppose federal preemption of state regulatory
8	authority over grain merchandising unless:
9	1. Federal regulation includes appropriate and effective oversight of federally
10	licensed warehouses and merchandising activities;
11	2. Modifications to federal warehouse and merchandising activities that may
12	have an impact on producers are proposed and adopted through public
13	rulemaking procedures rather than the annual licensing process;
14	3. Producers are provided a protection program funded by the warehouse and
15	merchandising industry against losses from warehouse and merchandising
16	company insolvencies and bankruptcies at no less than:
17	a. 100 percent in the case of warehouse receipted stored grain and
18	grain sold for payment within 30 days of delivery; and
19	b. \$3 million per producer for each commodity stored, delivered, or
20	contracted within 31-365 days of delivery
21	4. Limitations on the level of licensing flexibility provided to grain warehouses
22	and merchandisers are enacted to ensure it does not result in a reduction in
23	existing financial protections for producers; and
24	5. States' abilities to fund operations and inventory transactions, liquidity, and
25	maintain "weights-and-measures" regulations are protected.
26	We further urge that each state provide supplemental guarantees beyond any federal
27	maximum. Congress should take whatever action is necessary to ensure that stored
28	commodities remain the property of those persons who delivered them for storage.
29	Warehouses should be required to issue negotiable warehouse receipts upon request, at a cost
30	not to exceed the dump charge.
31	ii. Ag Merchandiser or Supplier Bankruptcies and Receiverships

Farmers should be given first position priority in ag merchandiser or supplier bankruptcies
 and receiverships, including commodities prices under deferred price and delayed payment
 contracts.

4 iii. Commodity Basis 5 Basis should reflect the cost of transportation and storage from the point of local delivery to 6 the point of terminal delivery and it is not to be used as a risk mitigation tool for the grain 7 merchandiser. We call for oversight by the appropriate federal agency and/or the Commodity 8 Futures Trading Commission to investigate abuses of the basis levels. 9 4. AGRICULTURAL CENSUS 10 Census data are useful in designing farm programs and defending and promoting the 11 interests of family-sized farm operations. The census of agriculture provides data that show 12 the trends in the agricultural economy of each county and state and for the nation. NFU 13 encourages the following relative to the census of agriculture: 14 i. Continue to be conducted every five years; 15 ii. Opposition to efforts to increase the minimum financial criteria for classifying 16 agricultural operations; 17 iii. Continued collection of needed data relating to corporate involvement in 18 agriculture and coordination with information collected on foreign involvement 19 in the purchase of farmland and agricultural enterprises to more clearly reflect 20 the pattern of ownership and management of U.S. agriculture; and 21 iv. Opposition to USDA using information obtained in the census in a manner that is 22 detrimental to family farmers and ranchers. 23 5. NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL STATISTICS SERVICE (NASS) 24 We support adequate funding levels for National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) 25 and recognize the importance of surveys. As USDA uses NASS information for multiple 26 programs, NASS should work with the farmers to record the needed information instead of 27 using another data source. 28 5. 29 -We encourage producers to recognize the importance of filling out National 30 Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) data, which is used by USDA to determine price and yield information for multiple USDA programs; and 31

Formatte

Formatte

1	ii.	We support adequate funding levels for NASS, allowing them to complete
2		surveys and work in an expeditious fashion.
3		6. Commodity Research and Promotion Programs
4	We suj	pport a voluntary checkoff, with producer participation determined at the point
5	of sale. Ou	r support for producer-financed commodity research and promotion programs is
6	determine	ed by the extent to which producers who are actively involved in production
7	agricultur	e control the programs.
8	NFU w	ill support programs financed from the proceeds of sales by producers of
9	agricultur	al commodities, only if the following criteria are met:
10	i.	Research and promotion programs are for the sole financial benefit of domestic
11		family farmers;
12	ii.	Disbursement of funds collected is controlled solely by boards of non-processing
13		domestic producers elected by the domestic producers assessed, and the
14		operations of the program are solely controlled by those domestic producer
15		boards;
16	iii.	Members of national producer-funded boards shall be nominated and elected by
17		producers, with the election process supervised by FSA;
18	iv.	It shall be mandatory that all eligible producers be provided with a ballot for all
19		elections and referendums;
20	V.	Each producer of an agricultural product to be covered under any multi-
21		commodity checkoff shall have one vote in any referendum to determine
22		whether that checkoff program should be created and the board so created
23		should adequately represent independent family farm producers;
24	vi.	Approval is by 60 percent of producers voting in a referendum prior to
25		implementation of the order, with spouses allowed to vote individually, and no
26		bloc voting allowed;
27	vii.	The outcome of producer referendums should be determined solely on the basis
28		of one vote per person;
29	viii.	Changes in levies and administrative and operational procedures should be
30		submitted to producers affected and subject to approval by a simple majority
31		vote;

1	ix.	Periodic review referendums should be financed and conducted by the federal
2		government every fifth year, with no producer funds used to influence the
3		voters. A simple majority of producers voting in a referendum shall be able to
4		recall a commodity checkoff program. USDA shall make available the total
5		number of producers;
6	Х.	When an assessment is collected from U.S. producers of a commodity, an equal,
7		non-refundable fee should continue to be assessed on foreign imports of that
8		commodity, in either raw or manufactured form. Any special provisions
9		extended to U.S. regions, remote states or territories should not be extended to
10		imported products;
11	xi.	The assessment should also be collected from those integrators who are
12		currently exempted by virtue of being in a vertically integrated operation;
13	xii.	Periodic independent, outside evaluations and audits should be conducted to
14		ensure that the benefits of the program outweigh the costs to producers, with
15		copies of the audits available to all who pay the assessments;
16	xiii.	Procedures should be provided to enable producers to immediately obtain the
17		refunds of the research and promotion funds they were assessed;
18	xiv.	Research funds generated through producer assessments should not be used as
19		a substitute for publicly generated research funding;
20	XV.	Farmers and ranchers have the right to designate the use of the checkoff dollars
21		he or she contributes for research, promotion, expanded cooperative
22		development, or nutrition programs and food banks;
23	xvi.	Prohibiting the use of dairy producers' checkoff money to conduct research into
24		the use of casein and/or MPC's in the making of cheese and other dairy products,
25		or to promote anything other than U.Sproduced natural dairy products;
26	xvii.	Mandatory producer assessments should not go to organizations that engage in
27		lobbying. No funds should be donated or contracts provided to organizations
28		that carry out political or lobbying activities or to their shared staff, even if
29		records are kept which separate their activity. No checkoff programs/events
30		shall be held in concert or conjunction with any policy organization's
31		programs/events. Severe criminal penalties should be assessed for using funds
32		for personal, political, or lobbying activities;

1	xviii.	The payment of a mandatory commodity checkoff must not constitute
2		membership in a producer organization; and
3	xix.	Producer-funded research should remain the property of the producers. Patents
4		granted as a result of the research should also belong to the producers. Royalties
5		collected should be returned to the producers' research fund.
6		7. GRAIN STANDARDS
7	Our na	ation's grain standards fail to reward producers for production of clean, higher-
8	quality gr	ain. The current standards provide a wide margin for manipulation by grain
9	buyers an	d processors through blending and other devices. The grade limitations are
10	arbitrary,	permitting buyers to establish large discounts of factors that are not necessarily
11	related to	real differences in the value of a given commodity. Our grain standards also fail to
12	identify m	nany quality characteristics related to the actual end-use value of the commodity.
13	We su	pport:
14	I.	Producers receiving a premium for higher quality grain;
15	II.	Regulation or legislation that provides a consistent grading and moisture
16		discount scale that is monitored and enforced at the local elevator or mill; and
17	III.	A periodic review of our nation's grain standards so our producers can more
18		effectively compete in world markets based on the quality of their production.
19	Revise	ed standards should:
20	i.	Reward positive actions taken by producers, such as genetic improvement and
21		sound grain-handling practices;
22	ii.	Establish grade and non-grade factors that can be commonly understood and
23		mutually determined by producers and end-users;
24	iii.	Adopt dry-matter grading by the grain trade as a better way of compensating the
25		power producer for the grain delivered to the elevator;
26	iv.	Be implemented in such a manner that the grade determined at the time of the
27		initial sale is consistent with the grade received by the end user;
28	v.	Ensure testing standards that reflect actual grain quality; and
29	vi.	Establish standardized tests that are accurate and reproducible.
30		8. GRAIN INSPECTION
31	We rea	ffirm our position for the high standards in grain inspection and support the
32	weighing	system as authorized under the original Federal Grain Inspection Act.

1	To protect and improve our reputation as exporters of U.S. commodities, we support						
2	legislation that would:						
3	I. Prohibit and penalize exporters adding foreign material or moisture to any						
4	commodity for overseas shipment;						
5	II. Require export customers to pay for shipments on a clean-grain basis, just as						
6	farmers are paid on a clean-grain basis;						
7	III. Provide grain inspection personnel to spot check U.S. grain at foreign ports to						
8	determine whether it is of the same kind, class, quantity and condition that was						
9	certified upon shipment;						
10	IV. Prohibit the imposition of user fees for the inspection and grading of agricultural						
11	commodities. Federal inspection and grading of such commodities is in the public						
12	interest and should not be charged to the producer;						
13	V. Continue to investigate grain companies as to the total pricing system and any						
14	quality discounts such as those for protein schedules, test schedules, DON						
15	(vomitoxin) levels, falling numbers and scab; and						
16	VI. Prohibit privatization of grain export inspections.						
17	9. USDA'S INFORMATION MANDATE						
18	USDA should provide accurate income statistics for farmers and ranchers. Separation of						
19	income levels for producers, landlords and integrators would permit more accurate net						
20	farm incomes in USDA's farm projections.						
21	Aerial land maps are vital to producers for proximate land use, sale and productivity.						
22	2. These maps should be made available by USDA to the public for the gain of the producer.						
23	We oppose charging user fees for formerly free USDA reports and information or						
24	supplying them only on a paid basis by computer.						
25	10. National Organic Standards (<i>Also see Article I.D. – Labeling of</i>						
26	Commodities and Commodity Products)						
27	NFU recognizes the growing importance of organic family farming. Organic farming is a						
28	management-intensive method of production <u>, not merely a list of acceptable or prohibited</u>						
29	materials, designed to achieve a balance in the agricultural and livestock system similar to						
30	that found in natural systems.						
31	We support:						

1	i.	The enforcement and monitoring of the national organic standards promulgated					
2		by USDA;					
3	ii.	Ensuring accreditation and certification costs do not discriminate against small					
4		producers, including support and funding for the National Organic Certification					
5		Cost-Share Program;					
6	iii.	Requiring USDA to maintain the role of the National Organic Standards Board					
7		(NOSB) as the official source of developing policies and procedures to interpret					
8		and implement the federal organic standards. Adequate staffing must be					
9		provided to enable the NOSB to fulfill its obligation to organic producers;					
10	iv.	Maintaining organic livestock production standards that are uniform and					
11		account for feeding and animal health care practices for continuous or					
12		transitional organic management;					
13	v.	Prohibiting genetically modified organisms, irradiation, and the use of sewage					
14		sludge that contains heavy metals;					
15	vi.	Protecting organic producers from chemical and/or genetic pollution and					
16		provide reasonable redress for any damage cause by this drift;					
17	vii.	USDA negotiating trade arrangements to eliminate the need for National Organic					
18		Program (NOP)-certified U.S. farmers to certify through multiple international					
19		agencies; and					
20	viii.	The continued development of risk management tools for organic-certified crops					
21		(also see Article I.G.2 – Crop Insurance and Article X.B.1 – Public Research).					
22		11.PLANT BREEDING					
23		We support the modification of the Plant Variety Protection Act of 1994 in the	Formatte 0.25"				
24	<u>area of ro</u>	valty fees, taking into consideration a reasonable period of time for specific	0.23				
25	commodities and based on scientific methods.						
26		We support immunity from legal action for grain handlers from consequences of					
27	7 <u>the Plant Variety Protection Act when handling grain without a fee.</u>						
28		We support precision breeding innovations that do not move genetic material					
29	from one s	species to another. Varieties developed by these innovations should not be subject					
30	to any new regulations.						
31	12.Plant Genetic Resources						
32	<u>We su</u>	<u>pport:</u>					

1	a. Enhancing and diversifying the genome and plant genetic resources pools;
2	b. Recognizing farmers' contributions to the development and conservation of
3	plant genetic resources by protecting farmers' rights, including the right to save
4	seed; and
5	c. Keeping public research and research results in the public domain and protected
6	from acquisition by corporations or other private entities attempting to develop
7	their own products derived from public research genetic pools.
8	

1		∢ -	·	Formatte	
2	ARTICLE II – FAMILY FARMERS AND TECHNOLOGY	4 -		bullets or	
3	With the continued development of technology, we as an organization are invested in	∢ -	·	Formatte	
4	agriculture and excited about these opportunities. Technology will offer farmers, ranchers and			Line spaci	
5	fishers many advantages but there are possible risks that need to be considered.				
6	11. <u>A.</u> Genetically Modified Organisms and Biotechnology	 -+	·	Formatte	
7	The use of genetically modified organisms (GMOs) has raised some ethical,			Level: 1 + at: 1 + Ali	
8	environmental, food safety, legal, market, and structural issues that impact everyone in the			Indent at:	
9	food chain. At the same time, many of our producers are incorporating GMO varieties in				
10	their farming operations. The rights of both genetically modified organisms (GMO) and				
11	non-GMO producers should be respected as appropriate regulatory agencies continue to				
12	research and evaluate these <u>GMO</u> concerns including but <u>All</u> should not limit the freedom				
13	of agricultural producers should have the right and processors to accurately advertise, label				
14	and promote products as hormone-free, antibiotic-free, non-genetically altered or				
15	exceeding national organic standards				
16	We acknowledge concerns that biotechnology is being used as a trade barrier. We				
17	respect all nations' sovereignty and food policies and thus urge open dialogue, cooperation				
18	and understanding in trade negotiations relating to biotechnology.				
19	We support:				
20	i. The development release of new GMO traits when after issues of cross-				
21	pollination, liability, commodity and seed stock segregation and market				
22	acceptance are objectively addressed and fairly resolved for the protection of all				
23	producers and consumers. While biotech traits are under patent, the patent				
24	holder should be prepared to indemnify its trait users against financial burdens				
25	caused by claims;				
26	ii. Research conducted in an environmentally secure facility being exempt from the				
27	above requirements. Research conducted in open fields production should be				
28	subject to mandatory public disclosure of: persons or entities initiating the				
29	research, location of test sites, specific species and traits involved and the				
30	characteristics of the intended resultant genetically modified plant to be created;				
31	iii. Legislation to prohibit the patenting of heritage seed and animal and biological				
32	genetics;				

1	iv.	Legislation to prohibit the development release of terminator seed technology-in
2		any form ;
3	v.	The right of farmers to plant seed derived from proprietary organisms on their
4		own land;
5	vi.	New products involving GMOs be certified as safe by the FDA in testing done
6		independently of the patent holder, before being allowed on the market. Such
7		testing is to be done at the expense of the specific patent holders seeking to
8		market such products;
9	vii.	Legislation requiring that patent holders or owners of GMO technology be held
10		strictly liable for damages cause by genetic trespass including safety, health,
11		economic, and environmental effects. Farmers are not to be held liable for food
12		safety, human health or environmental problems, including cross-pollination,
13		related to the use of GMOs as long as generally accepted crop production
14		practices are followed;
15	viii.	Congressional action to regulate the biotech industry's technology agreements.
16		Farmers should not have to sign away their fundamental rights, including but
17		not limited to a jury of their peers in court, in exchange for the privilege of
18		growing biotech crops. Grievances should be settled in the home state of the
19		farmer, not the state of the biotech corporation;
20	ix.	Any damages caused to farmers through lower prices, lost markets, or
21		contamination shall be fully reimbursed to farmers, including legal fees, by the
22		company producing the genetically modified product;
23	Х.	All data used in the analysis of the health and environmental effects of GMOs
24		being public record, and that criminal penalties be established for the willful
25		withholding or altering of such data;
26	xi.	Prohibiting government regulatory agencies from licensing genetically modified
27		products that are not acceptable for both human consumption and animal feed;
28	xii.	USDA and FDA improving oversight and regulation of pharma crops. NFU does
29		not endorse or support pharma farming based on economic, environmental,
30		food safety, and liability risks to producers and consumers;

1	xiii.	Requiring governmental regulatory agencies and input suppliers ensuring					
2	farmers are informed of all potential market risks and segregation requirements						
3		associated with planting any licensed genetically modified crop;					
4	xiv. Requiring USDA to further investigate and research the effects of GMO feeds on						
5		livestock;					
6	xv. Government regulatory agencies considering domestic and foreign consumer						
7		acceptance of the product when licensing;					
8	xvi.	Requiring all GMO seed to be clearly labeled with the following information:					
9		1. Markets (foreign or domestic) where the product is not accepted; and					
10		2. All planting restrictions;					
11	xvii.	Development of a verification system and a storage, transportation and					
12		marketing plan to aid farmers with non-GMO grains;					
13	xviii.	Identity-preserved systems and insist they receive protection from cross-					
14		contamination; and					
15	xix.	The development and implementation of patent rules, legislation (i.e. the Hatch-					
16		Waxman Act for pharmaceuticals) or regulations, which promote and maintain					
17		free market competition in regard to generic production.					
18	12. PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT						
19	We support the modification of the Plant Variety Protection Act of 1994 in the area of						
20	royalty fees, taking into consideration a reasonable period of time for specific commodities						
21	and based on scientific methods.						
22	We support immunity from legal action for grain handlers from consequences of the						
23	Plant Variety Protection Act when handling grain without a fee.						
24	13.U	N TREATY ON PLANT GENETIC RESOURCES FOR FOOD AND AGRICULTURE	Formatte				
25	We urge U.S. ratification of the International Treaty of Plant Genetic Resources for Food						
26	and Agriculture. We support three key tenets of the treaty, including:						
27	I. Enhancing and diversifying the genome and plant genetic resources pools;						
28	II. Recognizing farmers' contributions to the development and conservation of plant						
29	genetic resources by protecting farmers' rights, including the right to save seed;						
30	and						
I							

1	III. Keeping public research and research results in the public domain and protected	
2	from acquisition by corporations or other private entities attempting to develop	
3	their own products derived from public research genetic pools.	
4	14- <u>B. Nebraska Tractor Test LaboratoryAgricultural Technology</u>	Formatte
5	We support the testing of all agricultural tractors above 40 horsepower (HP) in	
6	approved Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) laboratories	
7	for sale in the United States. We support <u>:</u>	
8	<u>i.</u> _ <u>-T</u> ŧhe Nebraska Tractor Test Laboratory, the only U.Sapproved O <u>rganization for</u> ←-	 Formatte
9	E <u>conomic</u> C <u>ooperation</u> D <u>evelopment</u> laboratory and the unbiased, third-party	Alignment
10	testing information that it provides at little or no cost to U.S. farmers so they can	
11	make informed buying decisions.	
12		
13	15. Agriculture and the First-Sale Doctrine	Formatte 0.25", Nur
14	<u>ii. NFU supports-T</u> the first-sale doctrine <u>,</u> - This measure provides that <u>whereby</u> an	Style: i, ii, Right + Al
15	individual who knowingly purchases a copyrighted work from the copyright	Formatte
16	holder receives the right to sell, display, or otherwise dispose of that particular	Numbering Alignment
17	copy and protections not otherwise - NFU believes the purchasers should be	at: 1"
18	provided protections that would not otherwise be available for licensees.	
19	i. <u>iii. National Farmers Union supports Fair Repair and Right to Repair legislation that</u>	
20	would allow farmers and independent mechanics access to diagnostic software,	
21	information, and other tools in order to repair modern equipment.	
22	J.C. INFORMATION COLLECTION AND PROTECTION	
23	<u>Detailed field data should only be collected with the producer's consent in a manner so as</u>	
24	<u>to:</u>	
25	1. <u>Ensure data collected remain the property of the producer;</u>	
26	2. <u>Protect privacy</u> ;	
27	3. <u>Avoid consolidation of market power;</u>	
28	4. <u>Maintain competition; and</u>	
29	<u>5. Prevent manipulating markets.</u>	
30	5.—	Formatte bullets or
31	4-	Formatte

1	D. UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES (DRONES)
2	We support: Formatted: N
3	1. The use of unmanned aerial vehicles (drones) for agricultural purposes, only
4	after landowner or land operator approval; and
5	2. <u>Opening up the National Airspace System to allow drones for agricultural</u>
6	purposes
7	We oppose the use of drones for covert surveillance of agricultural operations.
8	

<			Formatted:	Ν
---	--	--	------------	---

3

1

ARTICLE III - AGRICULTURE COMPETITION AND CONCENTRATION

4 Inadequate market competition is one of the most pressing issues facing producers 5 across the country. As evidenced by the sharp decline in the number of family farms in 6 the past decade and the increasing trend toward horizontal and vertical concentration 7 in the agriculture and food sector, independent producers cannot succeed in the 8 absence of protection from unfair, anti-competitive practices. Competitive provisions 9 should be established that ensure fairness, transparency, protection and bargaining 10 rights for producers, and restore and enhance competition for agricultural markets. 11 Packers have always had the ability in most states to own animals for their 12 company's personal use when the spot/open market for live animals from independent 13 producers was higher than they wanted to pay. By slaughtering their own animals for 14 days and even up to weeks, the supply of livestock from independent producers would 15 escalate, causing the price on the spot/open market to drop. To further decrease the 16 competition in the packing industry, the top four packers in 20154 controlled 17 approximately 75-85 percent of the market of cattle, 66 percent of hogs, 51 percent of 18 broilers, and 57 percent of turkeys. Economists state any concentrated market power 19 over 40 to 60 percent would demonstrate a lack of competition in commerce within the 20 respected industry.

21

A. COMPETITION AND ANTITRUST

We support the following initiatives to achieve true competition for producers in themarketplace:

- Implementation of a temporary moratorium on large agricultural mergers to
 provide Congress with time to review and strengthen current laws as
 appropriate;
- 27 2. Requiring USDA to collect and publish concentration information;
- 28 3. Clarification of the Packers and Stockyards Act to allow individual producers
 29 to seek recourse for abuse of market power without having to prove
 30 competitive injury to the entire marketplace;

1	4.	Requiring the Justice Department (DOJ), Federal Trade Commission (FTC),
2		and the Surface Transportation Board (STB) where applicable to require
-3		firms to submit information on joint ventures and alliances between firms
4		above a certain size. In many cases, firms that are participating in joint
5		venture arrangements behave just like firms that have merged and should be
6		subject to the same level of antitrust scrutiny as mergers. The disclosure
7		requirement should be set at a threshold sufficient to include firms that
		•
8	F	account for a significant percentage of market share at a regional level;
9	5.	Requiring the DOJ, FTC, or STB to publicly disclose why a merger subject to
10		antitrust review is approved;
11	6.	Expanding the role of USDA to initiate and/or participate in the review of
12		proposed mergers in the agricultural sector;
13	7.	Requiring economic and environmental impact statements detailing the
14		impact of a proposed merger on farmers, ranchers, and consumers prior to
15		approval;
16	8.	Establishing an Office of Special Counsel on Competition within USDA to
17		streamline and increase the effectiveness of USDA investigation and
18		enforcement of competition laws;
19	9.	Establishing a level of concentration that triggers a presumption of a
20		violation of antitrust law to make it easier for the DOJ, FTC, or STB to prevent
21		high levels of concentration;
22	10	. Congressional action to change the Illinois Brick doctrine, to The right
23		allowof producers to hold retailers, distributors and manufacturers
24		responsible for price gouging;
25	11	. Prohibiting slotting fees that provide windfall profits to retailers and create a
26		barrier for new firms and products;
27	12	. A target price program on a limited volume of production as automatic
28		compensation for livestock producers when a lack of antitrust enforcement
29		or unfair imports damage their markets;
30	13	. Congress repealing statutory provisions that exempt railroads from the
31		antitrust injunctive actions, as well as the judicially developed Keogh
32		doctrine that limits antitrust damage remedies;
54		aben me mat mints antici ust damage remedies,

1	14	. Supporting state anti-corporate and contract producer protection legislation;
2		and
3	15	. Providing strong protection to employees that report non-competitive
4		practices.
5	B.	LIVESTOCK MARKET REFORM
6	We su	pport the following initiatives to reduce livestock market concentration and
7	enhance c	ompetition:
8	1.	Restriction of all forms of direct and indirect ownership or control of
9		agricultural products by agribusinesses, including the prohibition of
10		ownership, control and feeding of livestock by packing companies;
11	2.	Encouraging development of farmer-owned cooperatives for marketing and
12		processing;
13	3.	Placing restrictions on the percentage of captive supply and that firm-bid
14		pricing be established in forward contracts as directed in the Captive Supply
15		Reform Act;
16	4.	Making permanent the mandatory price reporting law;
17	5.	Efforts to increase transparency of mandatory price reporting information,
18		including but not limited to:
19		a. Eliminating or lowering the kill capacity reporting exemption;
20		b. Regular reporting after the fact, even when a proprietary exemption
21		precludes price and volume reporting on a daily basis; and
22		c. Enhancing the reported date to be user friendly.
23	6.	Protecting the livestock producers from unfair competition and monopolistic
24		practices by strengthening the definition of the Packers and Stockyards Act.
25		All livestock producers should have equal access to markets that do not
26		discriminate against family-farm livestock producers; and
27	7.	Enacting legislation that clearly defines and prohibits volume-based price
28		discrimination in livestock markets
29	C.	Poultry Market Reform
30	We su	pport enacting legislation to give USDA's Grain Inspection, Packers, and
31	Stockyard	s Administration (GIPSA) full enforcement over poultry. We also recommend
32	for the pro	otection of poultry growers:

1	1.	Extension of the protection of the Packers and Stockyards Act to producers
2	1.	who grow and care for breeder hens, pullets and commercial eggs, not just
3		broilers;
	2	
4	2.	Modifications to regulations under the Packers and Stockyards Act that
5		govern integrator fair-trade practices and strengthen the enforcement
6		mechanisms therein, including, but not limited to, regulations to:
7		a. Prohibit companies from retaliating against producers for speaking
8		out about problems in the industry or about their contracts, or for
9		attempting to organize other producers to negotiate as a group for
10		better contract terms;
11		b. Prohibit companies from requiring producers to make unnecessary
12		upgrades to their facilities unless the company pays for the costs of
13		those upgrades;
14		c. Reform the system used to pay producer, i.e. the ranking system, to
15		assure that producers are not penalized for inputs controlled by the
16		company, and that there is full transparency in the factors used to
17		calculate the producers' payment;
18		d. Prohibit companies from cancelling a producer's contract or reducing
19		the number of livestock units placed on their farm based solely on the
20		failure of the producer to make equipment changes, so long as
21		existing equipment is in good working order; and
22		e. Require the production contracts be long enough in term to allow
23		producers to recoup their investments.
24	3.	Enactment of state legislation which better defines contract production for
25		growing arrangements; and
26	4.	Requiring integrators to provide an accurate cash-flow analysis to new
27		poultry contract operations.
28	D.	PRODUCTION CONTRACTING
29	Curren	t law falls short of ensuring fairness and protection for producers under
30	contract. W	Ve support the following initiatives/legislation to enhance contract producer
31	protection	:

1	1. Strengthe	ning the Agricultural Fair Practices Act to provide improved
2	C	n for contract producers;
3	2. Implemen	tation of all GIPSA provisions including disclosure of contract
4	clauses fo	r farmers who contract, the right to discuss the contract with their
5	lawyer, fir	nancial advisor or family member;
6	3. Requiring	contracts and contract rights to be written in plain language and
7	disclose ri	isks to producers;
8	4. Providing	contract producers three days to review and cancel production
9	contracts;	
10	5. Providing	producers with a first-priority lien for payments due under
11	contracts;	
12	6. Protecting	g producers from contract termination or price reduction because
13	of:	
14	a. Re	taliation purposes,
15	b. Ina	adequate or faulty inputs/services provided by contractor, and
16	c. De	nying opportunity to remediate problems related to production
17	sp	ecifications.
18	7. Making it	an unfair practice for processors to retaliate or discriminate
19	against pr	oducers who exercise rights under the proposed legislation;
20	8. Authorizi	ng producer bargaining to encourage contract producers to form
21	collective	bargaining units to negotiate with integrators;
22	9. Prohibitin	g the use of mandatory arbitration clauses in livestock and poultry
23	contracts	to assure that farmers have adequate access to justice in the event
24	of fraud, n	nisrepresentation, breach of contract or other contract disputes
25	with a pro	cessor or integrator. Arbitration should be a voluntary
26	mechanis	m for dispute resolution agreed to by both parties after a dispute
27	arises;	
28	10. Prohibitin	g contracts involving ag producers from containing language that
29	prohibits	a trial by jury; and
30	11. Publicizin	g and widely distributing educational materials regarding the
31	rights of c	ontract producers.
32	E. PROFIT-TA	KING BY MANUFACTURERS OF FARM INPUTS

We call for all farmers and member-driven and -controlled cooperatives to place
 pressure and influence on manufacturers of farm inputs, whose to reduce the
 manufacturers' level of profitability comes at the expense of farmers.

4

5

F. VOLATILE FOOD AND FIBER PRICES

Farmers are often incorrectly blamed for rising food and fiber prices. Retail prices

6 are more often determined by forces outside the control of farmers, ranchers, and

7 <u>fishermenfishers</u>. We support efforts to increase the farmer's share of the consumer's

8 dollar.

ARTICLE HILD - INTERNATIONAL TRADE, COOPERATION, AND THE FAMILY FARM

2

Future trade agreements must be designed to promote rural livelihoods by ensuring fair market returns for producers and production of safe, quality food for consumers. Thus, future trade agreements must not be limited to regulating domestic support levels, export subsidies, and market access. Rather, every future trade agreement must address differences in labor standards, environmental standards, health standards, and the trade-distorting effect of currency manipulation and cartelization of agriculture markets.

10 The measure of the success of a trade agreement has to be its benefit to U.S. Formatted: For 11 agriculture and specifically of its producers' net income. Vague promises of "market 12 access" to foreign markets do not offset opening our borders for even larger amounts of 13 foreign-produced goods to enter our markets. Market access does not equal market 14 share. NFU supports the federal government conducting a formal and thorough analysis 15 of current agricultural trade agreements to determine their success at meeting their 16 promised goals before any new trade agreements are negotiated or proposed, Formatted: For 17 Companies who repeatedly send banned products to countries with specified 18 requirements and standards for imports should be held liable for market losses by 19 producers resulting from the shipment.

Our trade negotiators need to recognize that food security is non-negotiable for
many trading partners, and that they will never agree to give us full market access.

22 A. AGRICULTURAL TRADE NEGOTIATIONS

Fair trade, not free trade, holds the potential to increase family farm profitability and U.S. food security, but trade by itself is only one tool. In creating a fair agricultural trade environment, we support the inclusion of the following goals, objectives, and provisions in U.S. trade policy and in international trade negotiations and agreements that:

Require all countries to meet health, environmental, food sovereignty,
 working conditions, and labor rights standards equal to those of producers
 in the United States;

1	2.	Allow U.S. producers the right to distinguish their products from those of
2		other countries. U.S. products should not be categorized just as North
3		American products;
4	3.	Call for a formal and thorough analysis of current agricultural trade
5		agreements to determine their success at meeting their stated goals before
6		any new bilateral or regional trade agreements are negotiated or approved;
7	4.	Address domestic food safety, security, and inadequate economic returns to
8		producers resulting from market failure, lack of market competition, and an
9		imbalance in supply and demand;
10	5.	Ensure global food security and safety, including the elimination of unilateral
11		sanctions on agricultural and pharmaceutical products;
12	6.	Enhance producer returns, economic development, and individual standards
13		of living;
14	7.	Foster the economic and resource sustainability and efficiency of food
15		production and distribution systems;
16	8.	Achieve an equitable distribution and balance of the costs/benefits of
17		agricultural trade among all participants, including producers and
18		consumers;
19	9.	Acknowledge and accommodate the multi-functionality of agriculture,
20		including non-economic considerations of value to producers and
21		consumers;
22	10	. Diminish poverty and hunger;
23	11	. Do not undermine U.S. laws, jurisdiction or sovereignty of a country and its
24		political subdivisions;
25	12	. Are negotiated and enacted through a transparent democratic process;
26	13	. Provide consumers with an adequate, high-quality, safe and affordable
27		supply;
28	14	. Generate reasonable rates of return to agricultural producers by
29		coordinating efforts to reduce dumping, balance supply and demand, share
30		responsibility to provide nutrition assistance and maintain an optimal level
31		of buffer stocks for food security;

1	15. Allow the United States to impose trade remedies against nations using	
2	currency manipulation to gain an unfair trade advantage;	
3	16. Have a speedy and fair method of resolving disputes among trading	
4	partners;	
5	17. Allow flexibility for individual nations to provide economic safety net	
6	programs and address unforeseen production, market, and trade	
7	circumstances;	
8	18. Encourage a balance of increased and transparent market competition, limits	
9	on the concentration of market power and coordinated public competition	
10	policy to ensure the efficient and appropriate allocation of resources within	
11	all agricultural sectors;	
12	19. Maximize the opportunity for individual and cooperative participation in all	
13	segments of agriculture;	
14	20. Create an effective, efficient, timely and transparent implementation,	
15	compliance, and dispute resolution process; and	
16	21. Prevent further conversion of the Amazonian and other tropical rainforests	
17	to production agriculture to preserve their essential biodiversity and their	
18	vital role in carbon sequestration and the global climate system: $\frac{1}{2}$	
19	21.22. Publishing meaningful, current, and standardized reports on imports of	
20	dairy products, quantities and types, and a USDA report on the impact of the	
21	WTO on dairy producers;	
22	22.23. Establishing tariffs on foreign imports of all dairy ingredients that	
23	displace domestically produced milk usage including animal feed	
24	ingredients;	
25	24. Usinge GSM-102 export credit guarantees; and	
26	<u>23.</u> ≪-	Formatted: In
27	24. 25. Further utilizatione of the Market Access Program (MAP) and Foreign	
28	<u>Market Development (FMD) program.</u>	
29		
30	We support the following current negotiating topics:	
31	1. Unified, worldwide elimination of export subsidies;	
32	2. Increased transparency and market disciplines of state trading enterprises;	

1	3.	Greater equity and balance in agricultural tariff and tariff rate quota regimes;
2	4.	Development of an improved and more inclusive methodology for measuring
3		the level and impact of domestic support programs, including green box
4		supports and effective subsidies conveyed through monetary policy and
5		labor and environmental regulation;
6	5.	The rights of countries to address the circumvention of tariffs and tariff rate
7		quotas by trading partners;
8	6.	The need to provide consumer information (labeling) on agricultural
9		products as a means to address food safety concerns and enhance market
10		access;
11	7.	National flexibility in the design and implementation of domestic support
12		programs within reasonable negotiated limits such as the Trade Adjustment
13		Assistance program;
14	8.	Cooperative development, implementation and enforcement of competition
15		policies;
16	9.	Utilization of end-use certificates to monitor the flow of all agricultural
17		imports; and
18	10.	Allowing countries to restrict the import of agricultural commodities that are
19		contaminated or infected with disease or other toxic or noxious organisms
20		that threaten domestic production and/or food safety.
21	We op	pose:
22	1.	Elimination of tariffs, tariff rate quotas and domestic trade remedies utilized
23		to counter the effects of dumping and other unfair trade practices, including
24		the use of monetary, labor, and environmental regulations that create
25		competitive trade advantages;
26	2.	Elimination of "credit" for supply management programs (blue box); and
27	3.	Requirements that domestic support programs be de-coupled.
28	В.	TRADE PROMOTION AUTHORITY (FAST-TRACK)
29	We sup	pport the immediate repeal of Trade Promotion Authority (TPA).
30	We op	pose:
31	1.	Fast-track negotiating authority for the president; and

2. The fast-track system of ratification of trade agreements in which the entire
 trade package must be approved without amendment or rejected in total by
 Congress.

Congress should have full opportunity to review and amend provisions of a trade
agreement, consistent with the authority and power endowed by the U.S. Constitution.
Because agriculture is only one area considered in the trade agreement negotiation,
fast-track could easily sweep agricultural concerns aside.

8

C. FAIR AND TRANSPARENT TRADE PRACTICES

9 U.S. products entering into international trade are subject to various potential
10 exclusionary mechanisms, impediments, and manipulations. These barriers to fair trade
11 include tariffs, unnecessary phyto-sanitary requirements, arbitrarily adjusted exchange
12 rates, prejudicially applied border and value-added taxes, as well as selectively applied
13 local taxes and regulations specifically designed to prevent fair and equitable treatment
14 of our products. These unfair trade practices are a significant economic burden on
15 domestic producers. Therefore, we support:

- 16
 - 1. Anti-dumping petitions on behalf of all U.S. producers;
- Creation and implementation of a "green tariff" to be imposed on all
 imported goods and services produced or created under less restricting
 environmental constraints than those originating from U.S. sources;
- 3. A step-by-step auditing process to identify sources of, and seek solutions to,
 uncompetitive practices that influence price to the final consumer;
- 22 4. Re-establishment of the Byrd Amendment;
- 5. The U.S. Trade Representative investigating countries for revocation of
 Generalized System of Preferences (GSP) benefits from countries that may
 no longer qualify for programming and supporting the U.S. Congress looking
 into the way that other countries subsidize agriculture and its detrimental
 effects on U.S. farmers. .
- 28

D. HEALTH AND INSPECTION STANDARDS FOR FOOD AND FIBER IMPORTS

We believe that food imports pose a much greater food safety threat to American
consumers than domestic food. Only a minimal amount of food imports are physically
inspected, and of those which are inspected, many are rejected for reasons ranging from

1	mislabeling of residues to pesticides banned for use in this country. We support the		
2	following initiatives to ensure consumer protection:		
3	1.	Increased funding and number of inspectors for the Agriculture Quarantine	
4		Inspections Program and transfer inspectors back to USDA from Department	
5		of Homeland Security (DHS);	
6	2.	Legislation to pass "circle of poison," pProhibiting the export of chemicals	
7	I	not registered for food and fiber uses in the United States for food and fiber	
8		uses in other countries;	
9	3.	Strict monitoring of imports to prevent importation of residues of chemicals	
10		banned in the United States for food and fiber;	
11	4.	Requiring all imported food, feed, fiber, milk protein concentrate (MPC) and	
12		animal products and by-products to meet the same health and inspection	
13		standards as those required for domestic products;	
14	5.	Processing facilities for such imported products should be inspected at least	
15		annually. Food products from that facility should be labeled as such, even if	
16		the product originated in the U.S.;	
17	6.	Requiring inspection be continuous and thorough, not just an occasional,	
18		minor sampling. Products that fail inspection should be condemned and not	
19		allowed a second opportunity to enter our country; and	
20	<u>7.</u>	_Expenses for all inspections coming from fees on the imported products paid	
21		by the exporter at the point of origin <u>; and</u> -	
22	<u>7.</u> 8	<u>8. Implementing increased USDA, Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and</u>	
23		customs inspection and regulation of casein, milk derivatives and milk	
24		adhesives imported for food use.:	
25	8.	_	
26	E.	CHINA TRADE	
27	We su	pport annual reviews of the impact of the Permanent Normal Trade Relations	
28	(PNTR) for China to document its effect on U.S. farmers. Such reviews should also		
29	address whether China:		
30	1.	Ratifies and enforces all pending United Nations covenants on human rights;	
31	2.	Develops a history of actually complying with international trade	
32		agreements;	

1	3.	Enacts and enforces rules that protect individual rights, establishes
2		appropriate environmental standards and fosters fair trade; and
3	4.	-Enacts and enforces food and product safety standards equal to those
4		require <u>d</u> of producers in the United States.
5	We op	pose trade provisions that pit the agricultural sector against the industrial or
6	manufactu	uring sectors (also see Article III.A – Agricultural Trade Negotiations).
7	F.	INTERNATIONAL FOOD ASSISTANCE
8	Every	one should have the right to have access to safe and nutritional food. We
9	support th	ne following provisions to enhance international food assistance:
10	1.	Funding for food aid programs be used to purchase U.Sproduced food when
11		in-country local food is unavailable;
12	2.	Food and developmental aid for those here and abroad who are poor, and
13		certainly for those endangered by famine, to assure their survival and well-
14		being;
15	3.	Providing available means and agencies to supply the necessary food;
16	4.	Emphasizing distribution of food once it reaches recipients' country;
17	5.	Providing donations of high-quality commodities instead of using poverty-
18		stricken nations as a dumping ground for poor-quality grains;
19	6.	Adequate funding of the McGovern-Dole International Food for Education
20		and Child Nutrition program;
21	7.	Continued work with CARE and other hunger and agricultural organizations
22		to support economic development opportunities for people in less-
23		developed countries.
24	8.	Forfeited grain from Non-recourse Marketing Assistance Loans be reverted
25		back to the USDA to be used for overseas relief packages to third world
26		countries;
27	9.	Development of a world food/grain reserve that is structured as to not
28		depress prices or discourage food production in developing countries; and
29	10	. Continue our support of P.L. 480 as long as food aid is not used for political
30		objectives.
31	We op	pose the monetization of U.S. food aid to purchase food commodities for
32	developin	g countries when adequate supplies of U.Sproduced foods are available.

G. WORLD FARMERS ORGANIZATION (WFO)

-	u.		
2	As a founding member of the World Farmers Organization (WFO), we believe that		
3	WFO plays a vital role in providing the world's farmers with a forum in which to		
4	exchange	ideas and information, not only about farming techniques, but policies that	
5	affect farm	ners' economic well-being and daily lives.	
6	We ur	ge WFO to be an active advocate for the world's farmers.	
7	H.	Policies Toward Developing Nations	
8	We su	pport:	
9	1.	The use of the United States' economic strength, in cooperation with our	
10		private sector and other nations, to promote the economic development of	
11		less-developed nations;	
12	2.	Ending the embargo and establishing fair trade relations with Cuba;	
13	3.	Respecting the food sovereignty of developing countries by not undercutting	
14		the price of local staples; and	
15	4.	The adequate compensation of indigenous peoples for the consumption of	
16		their resources.	
17	We oppose:		
18	1.	The dumping of agricultural products in developing countries which puts	
19		local farmers out of business and destabilizes local economies;	
20	2.	The forced removal of indigenous peoples from their traditional homelands;	
21		and	
22	3.	The exploitation of developing countries through forcing them to abandon	
23		their own domestic food security policies and/or indigenous seed stocks and	
24		foods.	
25	I.	FARMERS AND FARM YOUTH EDUCATIONAL EXCHANGE PROGRAM <u>s</u>	
26	Since a better understanding of agriculture and trade can help promote a more		
27	peaceful and prosperous world, we believe that educational exchange programs		
28	including farmers, farm leaders, and farm youths should be encouraged.		

1		ARTICLE IV – CREDIT AND THE FAMILY FARM		
2				
3	We red	We recognize that agricultural debt will continue to be a problem until there is a		
4	genuine ai	nd lasting improvement in farm income opportunities. We support:		
5	1.	The efforts of the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau to monitor and act		
6		on availability of loans and transparency of loan servicing activities;		
7	2.	Policies that ensure equal access to credit, regardless of gender, race, or age;		
8	3.	A farm credit policy that is adequately financed and that includes the use of		
9		third-party lending agencies to help re-establish America's family farms and		
10		provide special assistance to beginning and socially disadvantaged farmers;		
11	4.	The Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation (Farmer Mac) to continue as		
12		a viable source of long-term, fixed-rate credit for family farmers. Farmer Mac		
13		should be monitored to ensure that it follows the intent of Congress and		
14		helps family farmers, rather than transferring the ownership of land to		
15		corporate investors. Farmer Mac should not be used to weaken state		
16		borrower protection laws or penalize borrowers who make prepayments.		
17		Congress should amend the statute to streamline the operating structure and		
18		eliminate provisions that reduce Farmer Mac's efficiency in providing loan		
19		products with competitive interest rates to family farmers and ranchers;		
20	5.	The Federal Financing Bank, to expedite insured and guaranteed loan		
21		programs and oppose any attempts to reduce the volume of the bank, which		
22		would push borrowers into private sector credit;		
23	6.	Family farmers being eligible for Small Business Administration loans that		
24		are available to other businesses at low interest rates;		
25	7.	Cooperative credit unions, initiatives to allow farmer investments into credit		
26		unions and allowing farmers to obtain agricultural credit from credit unions;		
27	8.	Farmers Union organizations aggressively forming and furthering credit		
28		unions and promoting legislation on their behalf;		
29	9.	"Aggie Bond" programs allowed under federal law being enacted by state		
30		and local governments. These programs should not be used for loans for		
31		contract production unless the contract is approved as a USDA model		
32		contract;		

1	10. The continuation, funding, and expansion of the Certified Agriculture
2	Mediation Program (CAMP), and extension of the program authorizing
3	matching grants to states with qualified mediation programs;
4	11. Maintaining Chapter 12 as part of permanent bankruptcy law, reforming the
5	filing process and encouraging educational efforts to farmers about their
6	rights under Chapter 12;
7	12. Tax amnesty for taxes imposed on family-sized farms and ranch operators
8	who face tax liabilities after restructuring or forced sales; protect the
9	interests and rights of borrowers, lenders, and taxpayers through the proper
10	and consistent implementation of existing legislation; prohibiting a debtor to
11	reorganize into a larger-than-family-farm-size unit; and encouraging all
12	options be made available for FSA borrowers with shared appreciation
13	agreements, due to artificially inflated land values;
14	13. Special attention given to the credit needs of surviving spouses of farmers
15	who want to continue farming; <u>and</u>
16	14. Re-regulation of the financial services industry and reinstating provisions of
17	the Glass-Steagall Act of 1933, which would prohibit commercial banks from
18	engaging in speculative investments using bank depositor funds; and
19	15. Requiring banks, credit unions, and other financial institutions to publish
20	and identify, independently of interest rate, any additional discounts,
21	whether additional points or credit rate decrease or increase based on other
22	business with the institution, including crop insurance.
23	A. FARM SERVICE AGENCY (FSA) CREDIT PROGRAMS
24	Guaranteed loan programs have not and cannot become a viable substitute for
25	direct lending. We support the following actions by FSA:
26	1. Emphasizing adequate funding for direct-lending programs for farm
27	ownership and operating expenses to beginning and socially-disadvantaged
28	family farmers (also see Article I.B.5. – Beginning Farmers and Ranchers)
29	2. A consistent, sufficient funding mechanism ensuring loan funding allocations
30	are available to all approved FSA loans in a timely fashion;
31	3. Increasing emergency funding so that it is available on a timely basis;

1	4.	Encouraging the secretary of agriculture to seek the necessary authority to
2		increase the maximum level of federal emergency farm operating loans that
3		may be made available to producers who have suffered multiple years of
4		weather-related disasters;
5	5.	Educating borrowers on sound farm management principles in an effort to
6		reduce foreclosure rates. Borrower training should be provided by existing
7		programs, including Farm Business Management and Cooperative Extension;
8	6.	Permitting the guarantee of loans for stock purchases in the farmer-owned,
9		value-added cooperative, as long as the cooperative can demonstrate its
10		feasibility;
11	7.	Allowing producers who have used debt restructuring to be eligible for all
12		federal loans, including FSA and emergency loans;
13	8.	Processing applications for credit and appeals in a timely manner to meet
14		production demands;
15	9.	Not garnishing federal farm program payments to pay delinquent farm credit
16		payments except in cases of delinquency in excess of 3 years without loan
17		restructuring;
18	10.	Streamlining loan programs and/or appeals process;
19	11.	Implementing debt restructuring, including debt forgiveness, as equitably as
20		possible and allowing additional restructuring to be considered;
21	12.	Continuing to enable the USDA National Appeals Division (NAD) to be an
22		independent and fair forum for agricultural producers, as intended by
23		Congress, and be the final decision on producer appeals cases;
24	13.	Increasing consistency and education on the county and state appeals
25		process and FSA servicing responsibilities to the borrower;
26	14.	Prohibiting the use of private collection agencies and offsets of income tax
27		refunds to recover outstanding debt from borrowers who voluntarily
28		liquidate their assets;
29	15.	Elimination of term limits for non-delinquent borrowers;
30	16.	Prohibiting the imposition of long-term or permanent wildlife or
31		conservation easements on land acquired by FSA foreclosures; and

1	17.	Establishing a revolving loan pool for all Farm Service Agency (FSA) loans to
2		ensure loan repayment is credited to the FSA budget.
3	B.	FARM CREDIT SYSTEM
4	The Fa	rm Credit System (FCS) should follow its original purpose, keeping the family
5	farmer on	the land, by actively providing credit to all family farms within their district,
6	regardless	of size, and maintain farmer-elected control of FCS boards.
7	We suj	pport:
8	1.	Prohibiting differential interest rates for FCS member-borrowers because
9		they are contrary to cooperative principles;
10	2.	Encouraging Aan investigation of the discriminatory effects of differential
11		interest rates;
12	3.	Ensuring Llocal control and participation of all FCS associations and banks
13		while remaining on the forefront of good governance practices to keep the
14		system viable for producers in the future;
15	4.	$\underline{\text{Encouraging } \underline{A}}$ all FCS directors, officers, and bondholders to take the lead in
16		advocating improved farm income as the basic means of repaying farm debt
17		and securing the FCS;
18	5.	Encouraging FCS to enforcement of regulations governing the borrowers'
19		rights sections of the Agricultural Credit Act of 1987, including the use of
20		cease-and-desist powers when necessary;
21	6.	$\underline{\mbox{Encouraging-Congress-to}}$ givinge past and present FCS borrowers the right to
22		pursue litigation against FCS institutions they have done business with;
23	7.	The banks of the FCS continuinge to be the primary source for financial
24		services for farmer cooperatives and their associated businesses. We call
25		upon member cooperatives to ensure that these institutions remain farmer-
26		controlled;
27	8.	Preventing FCS institutions from being sold to outside entities, thereby
28		exiting the system. The ability to exit the system negates the benefits of
29		farmer control and makes it difficult to replace the services mandated by the
30		Agricultural Credit Act;

1	9. Expanding FCS lending authority only to the extent it directly benefits family
2	farmers, ranchers, and rural communities. Expanded lending authority must
3	support domestic investments and operations;
4	10. Ensuring the FCS maximizinges theat patronage and dividend distribution to
5	its borrower-members so they have access to the FCS capital they helped to
6	create;
7	11. Full access to rural credit for farmers, ranchers, fishermenfishers, and the
8	communities in which they live. We are particularly concerned about the
9	lack of available credit in rural areas with high unemployment, including, but
10	not limited to Native American Reservations;
11	12. Competition in lending to allow credit options for our members;
12	13. Jurisdiction of the FCS remaining under the authority of the U.S. House and
13	Senate Agriculture Committees; and
14	14. Farmers and ranchers given first choice to purchase any foreclosed or
15	financially distressed farmland under FCS jurisdiction.
16	C. Cooperative Financing
17	Cooperatives are special business entities, which are unique in nature and have
18	unique needs. Cooperative financing institutions , such as the FCS, CoBank, and the
19	National Rural Utilities Cooperative Finance Corporation, need to provide financial
20	services and investment financing necessary to assist established cooperatives in re-
21	tooling to meet changing times in the markets, and to participate in new opportunities
22	to service rural communities and their family farmer and rancher owners.
23	These cooperative financing institutions need to establish a program to assist
24	farmers and ranchers and their rural communities by providing risk capital to start new
25	cooperative ventures. The program should also provide financial grants to new
26	cooperatives for in-depth training of their respective board of directors.
27	The National Cooperative Bank is an important financial resource for rural and
28	urban consumer cooperatives and we encourage the bank to place greater emphasis on
29	rural lending. We oppose any effort by CoBank to lend money to non-cooperative
30	agribusinesses.
31	ARTICLE VI – FARM COOPERATIVES AND THE FAMILY FARM
32	

Farmer-owned cooperatives are an effective institution through which the farmer
 can reduce costs of production, maintain a reliable source of inputs, and effectively
 market and process farm products.

4 NFU encourages its members and organizations to provide leadership in the
5 patronage, direction, operation, development of cooperative enterprises, and in the
6 education of members and the public as to cooperative philosophy and principles.

7 A. COOPERATIVE LAW

Federal law provides a fundamental economic right to individual farmers, to join
together in cooperatives associations for the purposes of pricing, processing, marketing,
transporting, and selling their products, and bargaining with processors and handlers
for prices and other terms of sale, without being subject to prosecution under antitrust
laws. This is a right for farmers as individuals and not the cooperatives that they
establish.

14 The basic cooperative authorities are set forth in the Clayton Act of 1914, the 15 Capper-Volstead Act of 1922 (allowing agricultural producer associations), the 16 Cooperative Marketing Agreement Act of 1926, the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1929, 17 the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1937, and other statutes, including those that deal 18 with the status of cooperatives under the federal tax system. These laws are vital. 19 Government policies and programs should help to better develop, protect, advance, 20 and promote farmer cooperatives' role in assembling, processing, selling, marketing, 21 and distributing farm commodities and services. We oppose any attempt to revise 22 cooperative laws, administratively or legislatively, that would diminish or jeopardize 23 the democratic nature of cooperatives, their unique governance structure and ability to 24 maintain financial and ethical integrity. 25 We oppose new state laws that allow supposed "cooperatives" to structure ventures

with non-producers in ways that give the outsider voting control of the combined
entity. We support the efforts of the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform
State Laws (NCCUSL) to fashion a limited cooperative association act that, while
allowing outside investment, still protects the democratic nature and interests of
producers and consumers involved in the cooperative association.

B. ROCHDALE PRINCIPLES

1	We reaffirm our belief in the basic Rochdale Principles of cooperation that were		
2	designed to ensure democratic control of the business by its members and that the		
3	members receive the primary benefits of their cooperative enterprise, including:		
4	1.	One vote per member, regardless of the volume of business done by the	
5		member, with no proxy voting;	
6	2.	Elimination of bloc voting;	
7	3.	Directors elected by active members;	
8	4.	Savings/earnings of the cooperative distributed back to the member-users in	
9		proportion to the members' patronage volume;	
10	5.	Limited interest/dividends on invested capital;	
11	6.	Sales at competitive prices, and trading normally conducted on a cash basis;	
12	7.	Open membership to all who share the common bond and objective of the	
13		co-op;	
14	8.	A continuous cooperative education program to teach cooperative	
15		philosophy, principles and operation, funded by five percent of a	
16		cooperative's net margin; and	
17	9.	Cooperatives working for the sustainable development of their communities	
18		through policies accepted by their members.	
19	C.	Additional Principles	
20	To fur	ther the cooperative movement, we support:	
21	1.	Encouraging <u>C</u> eooperatives to returning to the original intention of Capper-	
22	I	Volstead, which allows cooperatives to collectively process, prepare for the	
23		market, handle, and market in interstate commerce;	
24	2.	Prohibiting Prohibition of cooperatives from engaging in domestic and	
25	I	foreign agricultural production activities, including land ownership in direct	
26		competition with agricultural producers;	
27	3.	Continuing t <u>T</u> he rights of cooperative members to organize and operate	
28	I	regional and interregional cooperatives (marketing agencies in common) or	
29		to merge with other cooperative associations and not be restricted by law or	
30		government regulation;	

1	4.	Exhausting all options of maintaining local control, including merger or joint
2		ventures with a nearby cooperative, before a local co-op is absorbed by a
3		regional cooperative;
4	5.	Encouraging local members or nearby cooperative associations to
5		repurchase a local facility that has been absorbed by a regional cooperative;
6	6.	Encouraging regional boards to work with local cooperatives to help them
7		operate for the benefit of members;
8	7.	Opposing mergers or joint ventures between regional cooperatives and
9		multinational corporations unless such a merger or joint venture would
10		benefit local cooperative members;
11	8.	Requiring business entities to provide members with "due diligence"
12		information that is timely and adequate before voting on a merger between
13		two or more cooperatives;
14	9.	Cooperative members giving serious consideration to the long-term
15		consequences of selling a cooperative to private entities for short-term
16		gains;
17	10	. Individual Farmers Union members taking responsibility to be full
18		participants and patrons in the cooperative movement and to build closer
19		relationships between their farm organization and their cooperatives;
20	11	. Encouraging cooperatives benefitting from nontraditional income in events,
21		such as litigation, to expeditiously distribute the proceeds to all relevant
22		parties;
23	12	. Discouraging the practice of allowing individuals, other than "at risk"
24		producers, to acquire directional status in a producer cooperative. Status of
25		such "other individuals" should be limited to non-voting and advisory roles;
26	13	. Traditional farmer-owned cooperatives lending their experience and
27		cooperation in building new value-added cooperatives that will enhance
28		their local communities and increase the profitability of their farmer-
29		owners;
30	14	. Cooperatives working to return the cost of production and reasonable profit.
31		In addition, they should support federal farm policy that enables producers
32		to receive profitable farm prices;

1	15. Cooperatives being of sufficient size and strength to be effective in
2	representing their farmer-members without competing with family farmers;
3	16. Increased funding for Rural Business Cooperative Services (RBCS) to focus
4	its primary efforts on working directly with farmers in the organization and
5	development of cooperatives, including providing on-the-ground services to
6	producers such as feasibility studies and organizational assistance to
7	farmers, as well as start-up and development grants;
8	17. Funding for the Rural Cooperative Development Grant (RCDG) Program;
9	RCDG grants of up to three years should be given to centers that have
10	previously received funding and have demonstrated success in starting
11	businesses. Remaining funding should be made available for new centers to
12	apply for annual grants;
13	18. Revisions in rules and regulations to allow loans to producers who choose to
14	purchase stock in established agricultural processing cooperatives and new
15	cooperatives formed for the purpose of adding value to agricultural
16	commodities;
17	19. Deferral of capital gains taxes when a refining or processing facility is sold to
18	a farmer-owned cooperative if the benefit is passed onto family farm
19	cooperative members;
20	20. Strengthening the ability of rural citizens to establish new member-owned
21	enterprises that enhance farm income and quality of life in rural America, by
22	continuing our work with the network of cooperative development centers;
23	21. Focusing on a national school/training program that both identifies
24	opportunities and trains cooperators in the formation and operation of
25	value-added cooperatives. NFU could serve as the coordinator of such a
26	project;
27	22. Rejection of government efforts to dictate the time and manner for returning
28	cooperative patronage earnings;
29	23. Membership in state Farmers Union organizations being required of all
30	Farmers Union cooperative board and company members; and
31	24. Prohibiting the use of net savings of a cooperative to be used to invest in
32	production agricultural operations by the cooperative.

1	25. Either spouse should be able to represent the family farm in voting at		
2	cooperative meetings. We encourage both spouses to become voting		
3	members of cooperatives.		
4	D. TEAMWORK OF FARMERS UNION AND COOPERATIVES		
5	The link between NFU, Farmers Union cooperatives and all other cooperatives		
6	strengthens all entities. The farm-income improvement measures and strategies		
7	advocated by Farmers Union deserve the interest and support of farm cooperatives		
8	genuinely concerned about the well-being of their member families.		
9	E. CHS		
10	We urge CHS not to lose sight of the fundamental cooperative principles upon which		
11	it was founded.		
12	We oppose CHS owning farmland in other countries and producing crops that will		
13	be in direct competition with farmers in the United States.		
14	We do not support:	(Formatted: In	
15	1. Giving the CHS board of Directors the flexibility to approve non-member		
16	patronage arrangements; and		
17	2. Modifying the CHS membership definition to allow non-producers the rights		
18	granted to members currently.		
19			

ARTICLE VII - WATER AND LAND POLICY, CONSERVATION AND THE FAMILY FARM

2

3 A. OBJECTIVES OF A COMPREHENSIVE LAND POLICY

4 Family farmers and ranchers have historically been our best soil and water 5 conservationists when given the economic incentives and flexibility necessary to do so. 6 Our policy encourages a well-balanced, sensible environmental policy that protects the 7 public and the environment without unduly burdening family farmers through 8 excessive regulation or economic hardship. 9 We call on all federal and state regulatory agencies to act in accordance with the 10 above principles and use the least intrusive, economically practical and scientifically-11 based methods to accomplish sound environmental quality goals, with consideration 12 given to all of these factors and not any one exclusively. We support a national land 13 policy that: 14 1. Recognizes multiple use values of public lands while striving for sustainable 15 use in all areas: 16 2. Emphasizes agriculture as the most productive use of existing high-quality 17 farmland; 18 3. Recognizes the right of private landowners to be compensated for 19 government land-use restrictions that amount to takings of property; 20 4. Recognizes the value of independently owned and operated family farms to 21 stewardship of natural resources; 22 5. Develops education and outreach materials for the public to achieve land use 23 goals for the benefit of future generations; 24 6. Encourages entry into the business of farming or ownership of agricultural 25 lands by farm families, as opposed to non-farm interests or larger-than-26 family-sized corporations; 27 7. Allows states to prohibit corporate interests from circumventing corporate 28 farm laws by contracting with individual producers; 29 8. Strengthens and enforces state laws to protect family-owned and –operated 30 farms; 31 9. Prohibits concentration of farmland ownership by off-farm corporations, 32 associations, and interests. These laws must be closely monitored and

1	strengthened so they may continue to preserve production agriculture for		
2	family farmers;		
3	10. Discourages the concentration of land ownership and off-farm ownership via		
4	state programs of graduated land taxes and similar disincentives or		
5	limitations;		
6	11. Recognizes the importance of wetlands (<i>also see Article VI.T.6 – Wetlands</i>);		
7	and		
8	12. Respects existing farming operations and practices, especially under the		
9	pressures of urban encroachment.		
10	B. PROHIBITION OF FOREIGN OWNERSHIP OF FARMLAND, COMMERCIAL FISHING		
11	Privileges and Disclosure		
12	Foreign interests, including those acting through U.Sregistered entities (except		
13	families or individuals seeking U.S. citizenship), should be prohibited from acquiring		
14	U.S. agricultural lands, holding federal grazing allotments or commercial fishing		
15	privileges. We respect the right of other nations to put similar limitations on		
16	agricultural lands in their nations.		
17	Foreign individuals, corporations or governments should be required to disclose to		
18	appropriate agencies their ownership of any U.S. business, financial, energy or real		
19	estate assets, in a manner comparable to procedure in the Agricultural Foreign		
20	Investment Disclosure Act of 1978.		
21	Citizens of the United States have a right to know the extent of such ownership or		
22	control so that remedial steps may be taken.		
23	C. LAND TRANSFER		
24	We support:		
25	1. Establishing a joint federal-state cooperative effort to assist beginning and		
26	socially disadvantaged farmers to acquire an economically viable family farm		
27	enterprise;		
28	2. Establishing income tax incentives for landowners and retiring farmers who		
29	sell farmland to beginning or socially disadvantaged farmers and veterans.		
30	Incentives should be promoted to provide for the orderly transfer of land,		
31	prior to the seller's death, and should be complementary to estate planning		
32	and estate and gift tax policies;		

1	3.	Encouraging religious, educational, charitable and similar nonprofit		
2	institutions that obtain farmland to ensure that such lands are operated or			
3	sold in a manner which preserves and promotes family farm units and does			
4		not disrupt land values with reasonable time limits being placed on holdings		
5		in order to return the land to local tax rolls as quickly as possible;		
6	4.	Maintaining existing effective conservation practices when land is		
7		transferred;		
8	5.	Requiring FSA to hold public hearings before it is allowed to acquire land		
9		easements and automatically impose conservation easements; and		
10	6.	Holding the party responsible for contamination of private land liable, after		
11		environmental audits reveal conclusive scientific evidence of contamination,		
12		whether they are the current or former owners. Current or new individual		
13		family agricultural operators should not be held liable for contamination that		
14		did not occur during their ownership.		
15	D.	PUBLIC LANDS		
16	We re	commend that Congress maintain its commitment to the responsible multiple		
17	use of pub	lic lands. The federal land stewardship agencies should improve their land		
18	management techniques on all public lands.			
19	Livest	ock production in the 17 western public lands states is highly dependent upon		
20	public lan	d grazing and local government services. The health of wildlife habitat is		
21	dependen	t on well-managed livestock grazing. Government actions that drastically		
22	change th	e way livestock grazing is conducted on public lands could greatly damage the		
23	economy	in rural America.		
24	We su	pport:		
25	1.	The current Public Rangeland Improvement Act (PRIA) formula as a fair and		
26		equitable method for determining appropriate grazing fees. Grazing fees		
27		represent the dividends of stewardship of the federal land, not the value of		
28		the forage on the rangeland;		
29	2.	Utilization of the local Resource Advisory Council (RAC) to set standards and		
30		guidelines for grazing tailored to the local area;		
31	3.	Reinstatement of local grazing committees;		

1	4.	Public-land managers assisting public-land permittees to develop their own
2		goals and grazing plans that would be utilized in managing their public-land
3		grazing allotments;
4	5.	Linking the holding of grazing permits with actual ownership of grazing
5		animals by resident family farmers and ranchers;
6	6.	A certified mediation service for all disputes regarding grazing with the U.S.
7		Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management;
8	7.	Public-land grazing legislation to require that in disputes between public-
9		land managers and permittees, the permittees be advised of their rights
10		under Section 8 of the PRIA, which authorizes land-grant university staff to
11		provide assistance and advocacy services to permittees;
12	8.	Efforts to establish grass banks on public lands;
13	9.	Efforts to establish and preserve plantings of heirloom seeds and native
14		plants on public lands for the purpose of ensuring biodiversity and the
15		protection of native flora;
16	10.	Allowing ranchers to travel by motorized vehicles, control predatory animal
17		populations, continue range improvements and develop water resources;
18	11.	Requiring the federal and state governments to pay the full amount of the "in
19		lieu of property tax" (PILT) payments on property that it owns or acquires. If
20		full payment is not made, there should be a moratorium on any further land
21		acquisition by the agency that fails to meet its responsibility;
22	12.	Requiring the Bureau of Land Management to follow through with the
23		required annual surveys and management plans to control wild horse and
24		burro populations in order to minimize damage to private and public lands.
25		We also support the USDA-inspected horse and burro adoption program
26		while opposing the release of non-adopted animals on public lands;
27	13.	Reimbursing family farmers and ranchers by the appropriate government
28		agency, at a fair market value, for expenses incurred in the acquisition,
29		development and improvement of public grazing lands in the event permits
30		are revoked;
31	14.	Public access to federal and state lands being only on designated routes;

1	15 Encouraging public land managers to support and facilitate the formation of	1 Г
1	15. Encouraging public-land managers to support and facilitate the formation of	15.
2	locally owned cooperatives and businesses to harvest and process forest	
3	products;	
4	16. All federal agencies charged with wildlife management taking steps to	16.
5	eradicate diseases, such as brucellosis and chronic wasting disease, from all	
6	wildlife under their control on federal lands;	
7	17. Involving permittees in the process of revising, updating, creating and	17.
8	otherwise modifying plans that govern use of public lands in advance of	
9	public hearings, including draft plans;	
10	18. The use of public lands for the development of energy production and	18.
11	transmission with priority given to renewable energy except where existing	
12	livestock grazing permits are negatively impacted; and	
13	19. Decisions made by the administration that support input from livestock	19.
14	producers on best management practices and range conditions while	
15	achieving a strong balance between resources on public lands that include	
16	grazing.	
17	We oppose:	We op
18	1. The full force and effect provisions in the new grazing regulations and	1.
19	proposed legislation that requires permittees to remove their livestock while	
20	they appeal a decision of a public-land manager;	
	they appeal a decision of a public-land manager;2. Permitting non-grazers to acquire so-called "conservation allotments" that	2.
20		2.
20 21	2. Permitting non-grazers to acquire so-called "conservation allotments" that	2.
20 21 22	 Permitting non-grazers to acquire so-called "conservation allotments" that result in the bidding up of the price of an allotment, thus denying access of 	
20 21 22 23	 Permitting non-grazers to acquire so-called "conservation allotments" that result in the bidding up of the price of an allotment, thus denying access of grazing permits low- and moderate-income families; 	
20 21 22 23 24	 Permitting non-grazers to acquire so-called "conservation allotments" that result in the bidding up of the price of an allotment, thus denying access of grazing permits low- and moderate-income families; Any swaps of public lands with private developers that would adversely 	
20 21 22 23 24 25	 Permitting non-grazers to acquire so-called "conservation allotments" that result in the bidding up of the price of an allotment, thus denying access of grazing permits low- and moderate-income families; Any swaps of public lands with private developers that would adversely impact the agricultural community. Hearings regarding such swaps must be 	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	 Permitting non-grazers to acquire so-called "conservation allotments" that result in the bidding up of the price of an allotment, thus denying access of grazing permits low- and moderate-income families; Any swaps of public lands with private developers that would adversely impact the agricultural community. Hearings regarding such swaps must be held within 50 miles of the proposed land to be swapped. Cultural and 	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	 Permitting non-grazers to acquire so-called "conservation allotments" that result in the bidding up of the price of an allotment, thus denying access of grazing permits low- and moderate-income families; Any swaps of public lands with private developers that would adversely impact the agricultural community. Hearings regarding such swaps must be held within 50 miles of the proposed land to be swapped. Cultural and economic impact studies must be done to assess the impact of such proposed 	3.
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	 Permitting non-grazers to acquire so-called "conservation allotments" that result in the bidding up of the price of an allotment, thus denying access of grazing permits low- and moderate-income families; Any swaps of public lands with private developers that would adversely impact the agricultural community. Hearings regarding such swaps must be held within 50 miles of the proposed land to be swapped. Cultural and economic impact studies must be done to assess the impact of such proposed swaps; 	3.
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	 Permitting non-grazers to acquire so-called "conservation allotments" that result in the bidding up of the price of an allotment, thus denying access of grazing permits low- and moderate-income families; Any swaps of public lands with private developers that would adversely impact the agricultural community. Hearings regarding such swaps must be held within 50 miles of the proposed land to be swapped. Cultural and economic impact studies must be done to assess the impact of such proposed swaps; Taking private water rights and private structures on public lands; Reintroduction of species detrimental to livestock production and 	3.
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	 Permitting non-grazers to acquire so-called "conservation allotments" that result in the bidding up of the price of an allotment, thus denying access of grazing permits low- and moderate-income families; Any swaps of public lands with private developers that would adversely impact the agricultural community. Hearings regarding such swaps must be held within 50 miles of the proposed land to be swapped. Cultural and economic impact studies must be done to assess the impact of such proposed swaps; Taking private water rights and private structures on public lands; 	3. 4. 5.

1	7.	Legislation that would allow the buyout of grazing permits on federal lands;
2		and
3	<u>8.</u>	_Grazing of cattle not born and raised in the United States on public lands <u>;</u>
4		and-
5	<u>8.9</u>	The transfer of federal public lands to state control.
6	E.	NATIONAL GRAZING LANDS COALITION
7	The Na	ational Grazing Lands Coalition (NatGLC), formerly known as the Grazing
8	Lands Con	servation Initiative (GLCI), seeks to provide high-quality technical assistance
9	on private	ly owned grazing lands on a voluntary basis, and to increase awareness of the
10	importanc	e of grazing land resources through a coalition of individuals and
11	organizati	ons functioning at the local, state, regional and national levels.
12	We suj	pport:
13	1.	Working cooperatively with the NatGLC to promote benefits of sustainable
14		grazing practices and their continued line-item funding; and
15	2.	Restoring NRCS annual appropriations for conservation technical assistance
16		at the field office level to adequately meet the needs of America's farmers,
17		ranchers, and landowners.
18	F.	Forest Health
19	We suj	pport:
20	1.	Maintaining Categorical Exclusion capability in federal forest management
21		plans to address forest health issues, so the U.S. Forest Service can accelerate
22		the harvest of small timber stands in western U.S. forests;
23	2.	Federal and state forests being accessible to the renewable energy industry
24		to allow the use of devastated wood product in environmentally beneficial
25		value-added products; and
26	3.	Implementation and full funding of efforts to minimize the effects of the $\frac{bark}{bark}$
27		beetleinsect epidemics on the national and state forests throughout the
28		western United States. Federal, state, and private programs must be
29		approved immediately to protect open space, wildlife habitat, watersheds,
30		clean air and other natural resources as well as infrastructure such as roads
31		and utility lines for public safety.
32	G.	WATER QUANTITY AND QUALITY

7

1. WATER QUANTITY DISTRIBUTION

2 Laws impacting water distribution should not favor industrial, wildlife, and 3 recreational uses over those of agricultural producers. NFU believes the order of 4 preference for water distribution should be domestic and municipal consumption; 5 farming, including groundwater recharge; hydroelectric uses; navigation; industrial 6 consumption; and wildlife and recreation.

We support:

8	i.	States recognizing domestic and livestock water usage in neighboring
9		states when issuing irrigation permits on shared tributaries;
10	ii.	The use of interstate water compacts between federal, state, and tribal
11		governments for regulating water sharing between neighboring states;
12	iii.	The use of water storage through impoundment structures and
13		conservation measures as a primary tool for water development;
14	iv.	Adoption of legislation to protect agricultural water rights through state
15		water rights in order to prevent future power and energy plants from
16		consuming water to the detriment of agriculture;
17	V.	Requiring new energy plants to return water to a level of quality capable
18		of use by agriculture;
19	vi.	Subjecting new large enterprises that will use a significant quantity of
20		water to a permitting process to assess the environmental and
21		community impacts of the proposed use;
22	vii.	Enforcement of the limitations on the size of farm operations eligible for
23		federally subsidized irrigation water;
24	viii.	Deferred implementation of the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation regulation on
25		the use of federally developed water supplies and facilities and the
26		practice known as "water spreading." The economic impact of any policy
27		changes on family farmers and rural communities should be completed
28		before implementation:
29		a. Water leasing instead of buy and dry policies; and
30		b. The United Nations adding a 31^{st} article to the 1948 Universal
31		Declaration of Human Rights, establishing access to clean and
32		potable water as a fundamental human right.

1	We op	pose:
2	i.	The movement of any water for the purpose of a coal slurry pipeline or
3		similar venture, unless a method can be developed to return water of
4		equal quantity and quality to the original area from which it was taken.
5		Prior to the exportation of any water, an environmental and economic
6		impact statement should be completed to determine its effect on
7		agriculture;
8	ii.	Any efforts by the federal government through the usage of a national
9		water policy to usurp the rights and prerogatives of the individual states;
10	iii.	An outright ban on "water spreading," as currently defined which would
11		have devastating impacts on agricultural producers in the Columbia
12		Basin and other Bureau of Reclamation irrigation projects throughout
13		the West;
14	iv.	Producers bearing the cost of taking inventory of irrigated lands and any
15		mandated renegotiation of bureau contracts through their irrigation
16		district. We believe that in most cases, it is the development of new
17		equipment, technologies and methods which have dramatically increased
18		the efficiency of irrigation systems and provided a situation in which
19		more acres can be irrigated with the use of the same or smaller amount
20		of water. Agricultural producers should be credited, and not penalized,
21		for these increased efficiencies; and
22	v.	The condemnation of agricultural water rights.
23		2. WATER QUALITY PROTECTION
24	The pi	rotection of our groundwater resources is critical not only to continuing
25	farm oper	rations, but as a source of drinking water for the vast majority of rural
26	residents.	
27	We su	pport:
28	i.	The creation of a national database on the extent of water quality
29		problems, including nationwide voluntary well-testing programs that
30		include cost sharing of landowners;
31	ii.	Legislation or regulations affecting groundwater balancing these
32		interests in an effort to keep groundwater from becoming contaminated

	in the first place, and to move quickly to clean up already contaminated
	sources of drinking water;
iii.	Continued implementation of the Safe Drinking Water Act;
iv.	The uniform administration of Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
	policies throughout the nation. Adding to the list of contaminants that
	municipalities and rural water districts are required to test for, as
	mandated by EPA, must be backed by science. We encourage EPA to stop
	its practice of targeting specific regions with stricter standards than
	applied in non-targeted regions. Failure to curb this practice will likely
	result in exodus of sensitive industries, including family farms, thereby
	jeopardizing the level of economic activity within the targeted region;
v.	Requiring inspectors be public employees, rather than employees of the
	companies who are being regulated;
vi.	Information and education concerning the present condition of our
	underground water supply and what we can and should do to prevent
	any further contamination;
vii.	Efforts in research that clarify the issue of point source pollution and
	non-point source pollution;
viii.	Programs to protect and improve the quality of surface waters, such as
	the Chesapeake Bay Program, and urge that all who use the resource,
	such as boaters and <i>fishermenfishers</i> , be included in the effort;
ix.	Producers participating in-the <u>s</u> State Ww atershed <u>a</u> Assessment
	<u>p</u> Program <u>s</u> (SWAP);
Х.	Confined Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs) being required to post the
1	appropriate bonds to cover the cost of cleaning up any contamination of
	land and water resources. When posting these bonds, CAFOs should also
	be required to develop and submit waste storage closure plans;
xi.	National minimum guidelines, or standards, that give primacy for
	implementation and flexibility in regional planning to the states. A
	national policy should discourage polluters from "shopping" among the
	states for the lowest environmental standards and encourage states and
	localities to establish standards beyond the federal minimums;
	iv. v. vi. vii. vii. vii. x.

1	xii.	Cost-share provisions targeted to small and medium-sized farmers.
2		Responsibility for submitting a waste management plan and complying
3		with the waste management provisions should be shared by the owner of
4		the livestock and the operator of the facility;
5	xiii.	The application of best management practices (BMP) established by
6		agencies of the USDA for disposal of poultry waste and animal manure,
7		and recommend such manure be classified as soil supplements and plant
8		food, and not toxic or hazardous waste. If BMP is subject to regulation,
9		we urge the appropriate state department to be assigned as the
10		regulator;
11	xiv.	Research to determine the environmental impact of animal-feed content
12		on animal waste, which in turn affects the soil and groundwater;
13	XV.	Family farmers being appointed to serve as advisers to any federal
14		agency when a national waste standard is developed; and
15	xvi.	Targeting water subsidies to family-sized farm operations to conserve
16		water and taxpayer dollars.
17	We oppos	se:
18	i. Tł	ne method of deep-well injection of hazardous waste because of the risk of
19	CO	ontaminating our water resources;
20	ii. Le	egislation that restricts livestock grazing in pastures where running
21	st	reams or ponds are located . We oppose <u>and</u> mandatory fencing of rivers,
22	st	reams and ponds;
23	iii. In	situ leach mining;
24	iv. Aı	ny infrastructure or resource development that jeopardizes the health,
25	sa	fety and quality of the Ogallala and other f reshwater aquifer resources;
26	an	nd
27	v. Ar	ny EPA policy directive that would mandate the testing and/or certification
28	of	water or farmland unless a federal fund is established to pay necessary
29	CO	osts of meeting certification requirements.
30		3. CLEAN WATER ACT
31	Clean	Water Act rulemaking proposed by the EPA would require Total Maximum
32	Daily Loa	d (TMDL) be established for 303(d) listed watershed, i.e., streams that are

2 TMDL regulations will create a hardship on family agricultural producers for 3 compliance with state and federal water quality standards. 4 We support: 5 i. Voluntary compliance and incentive-based, cost-sharing programs 6 currently working to minimize production agriculture's impact on our 7 nation's water quality; 8 ii. The desirability of pProtecting the quality of our water and assuring its 9 suitability for beneficial uses; 10 iii. Regulations for the process to identify waters protected under the Clean 11 Water Act (CWA) need to be made clearer, simpler, and faster; 12 iv. Exemptions for normal agricultural activities need to be included in 13 v. Agricultural producers and representatives of local governmental 14 entities from all agricultural areas of the US need to be included in 15 discussions to address the issues concerning the proposed changes to 16 expand jurisdiction of the CWA, and its definitions of tributaries and 17 adjacent water; 18 vi. Extensive monitoring of water quality to accurately assess progress 19 toward clear goals; <t< th=""><th>1</th><th>impacted</th><th>by pollution. Compliance with mandatory state and federal watershed</th></t<>	1	impacted	by pollution. Compliance with mandatory state and federal watershed	
 We support: i. Voluntary compliance and incentive-based, cost-sharing programs currently working to minimize production agriculture's impact on our nation's water quality; ii. The desirability of pProtecting the quality of our water and assuring its suitability for beneficial uses; iii. Regulations for the process to identify waters protected under the Clean Water Act (CWA) need to be made clearer, simpler, and faster; iv. Exemptions for normal agricultural activities need to be reaffirmed; v. Agricultural producers and representatives of local governmental entities from all agricultural areas of the US need to be included in discussions to address the issues concerning the proposed changes to expand jurisdiction of the CWA, and its definitions of tributaries and adjacent water; vi. Extensive monitoring of water quality to accurately assess progress toward clear goals; viii. Ongoing evaluation and recalibration of nutrient reduction and conservation efforts; and ix. Employment of agronomic practices, conservation efforts and funds, with a focus on those that have the largest positive impact toward reaching clean water goals. We oppose: i. Broadening the definition of what waters are considered jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act; ii. The deletion of the word "navigable" waters from the federal Clean 	2	TMDL reg	TMDL regulations will create a hardship on family agricultural producers for	
 i. Voluntary compliance and incentive-based, cost-sharing programs currently working to minimize production agriculture's impact on our nation's water quality; ii. The desirability of pProtecting the quality of our water and assuring its suitability for beneficial uses; iii. Regulations for the process to identify waters protected under the Clean Water Act (CWA) need to be made clearer, simpler, and faster; iv. Exemptions for normal agricultural activities need to be reaffirmed; v. Agricultural producers and representatives of local governmental entities from all agricultural areas of the US need to be included in discussions to address the issues concerning the proposed changes to expand jurisdiction of the CWA, and its definitions of tributaries and adjacent water; twi. Extensive monitoring of water quality to accurately assess progress toward clear goals; vii. Proper appropriation of funding to fully implement clean water efforts; viii. Ongoing evaluation and recalibration of nutrient reduction and conservation efforts; and a focus on those that have the largest positive impact toward reaching clean water goals. We oppose: i. Broadening the definition of what waters are considered jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act; ii. The deletion of the word "navigable" waters from the federal Clean 	3	complian	ce with state and federal water quality standards.	
6currently working to minimize production agriculture's impact on our nation's water quality;8ii.The desirability of pProtecting the quality of our water and assuring its suitability for beneficial uses;10iii.Regulations for the process to identify waters protected under the Clean Water Act (CWA) need to be made clearer, simpler, and faster;12iv.Exemptions for normal agricultural activities need to be reaffirmed;13v.Agricultural producers and representatives of local governmental entities from all agricultural areas of the US need to be included in discussions to address the issues concerning the proposed changes to adjacent water;18vi.Extensive monitoring of water quality to accurately assess progress toward clear goals;20vii.Proper appropriation of funding to fully implement clean water efforts;21viii.Ongoing evaluation and recalibration of nutrient reduction and conservation efforts; and23ix.Employment of agronomic practices, conservation efforts and funds, with a focus on those that have the largest positive impact toward reaching clean water goals.26We oppose:27i.Broadening the definition of what waters are considered jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act;29ii.The deletion of the word "navigable" waters from the federal Clean	4	We su	ipport:	
7nation's water quality;8ii.The desirability of pProtecting the quality of our water and assuring its suitability for beneficial uses;10iii.Regulations for the process to identify waters protected under the Clean Water Act (CWA) need to be made clearer, simpler, and faster;12iv.Exemptions for normal agricultural activities need to be reaffirmed;13v.Agricultural producers and representatives of local governmental entities from all agricultural areas of the US need to be included in discussions to address the issues concerning the proposed changes to expand jurisdiction of the CWA, and its definitions of tributaries and adjacent water;18vi.Extensive monitoring of water quality to accurately assess progress toward clear goals;20vii.Proper appropriation of funding to fully implement clean water efforts;21viii.Ongoing evaluation and recalibration of nutrient reduction and conservation efforts; and23ix.Employment of agronomic practices, conservation efforts and funds, with a focus on those that have the largest positive impact toward reaching clean water goals.26We oppose:27i.Broadening the definition of what waters are considered jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act;29ii.The deletion of the word "navigable" waters from the federal Clean	5	i.	Voluntary compliance and incentive-based, cost-sharing programs	
 8 ii. The desirability of pProtecting the quality of our water and assuring its suitability for beneficial uses; iii. Regulations for the process to identify waters protected under the Clean Water Act (CWA) need to be made clearer, simpler, and faster; iv. Exemptions for normal agricultural activities need to be reaffirmed; v. Agricultural producers and representatives of local governmental entities from all agricultural areas of the US need to be included in discussions to address the issues concerning the proposed changes to expand jurisdiction of the CWA, and its definitions of tributaries and adjacent water; iv. Extensive monitoring of water quality to accurately assess progress toward clear goals; vii. Proper appropriation of funding to fully implement clean water efforts; viii. Ongoing evaluation and recalibration of nutrient reduction and conservation efforts; and ix. Employment of agronomic practices, conservation efforts and funds, with a focus on those that have the largest positive impact toward reaching clean water goals. 26 We oppose: 27 i. Broadening the definition of what waters are considered jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act; 29 ii. The deletion of the word "navigable" waters from the federal Clean 	6		currently working to minimize production agriculture's impact on our	
 9 suitability for beneficial uses; 10 11. Regulations for the process to identify waters protected under the Clean Water Act (CWA) need to be made clearer, simpler, and faster; 12 14. Exemptions for normal agricultural activities need to be reaffirmed; 13 v. Agricultural producers and representatives of local governmental 14 entities from all agricultural areas of the US need to be included in discussions to address the issues concerning the proposed changes to expand jurisdiction of the CWA, and its definitions of tributaries and adjacent water; 18 vi. Extensive monitoring of water quality to accurately assess progress toward clear goals; 20 vii. Proper appropriation of funding to fully implement clean water efforts; 21 viii. Ongoing evaluation and recalibration of nutrient reduction and conservation efforts; and 23 ix. Employment of agronomic practices, conservation efforts and funds, with a focus on those that have the largest positive impact toward reaching clean water goals. 26 We oppose: 27 i. Broadening the definition of what waters are considered jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act; 29 ii. The deletion of the word "navigable" waters from the federal Clean 	7		nation's water quality;	
 10 10 11. Regulations for the process to identify waters protected under the Clean 12 14. Water Act (CWA) need to be made clearer, simpler, and faster; 12 14. Exemptions for normal agricultural activities need to be reaffirmed; 13 v. Agricultural producers and representatives of local governmental 14 entities from all agricultural areas of the US need to be included in 15 discussions to address the issues concerning the proposed changes to expand jurisdiction of the CWA, and its definitions of tributaries and adjacent water; 18 vi. Extensive monitoring of water quality to accurately assess progress toward clear goals; 20 vii. Proper appropriation of funding to fully implement clean water efforts; 21 viii. Ongoing evaluation and recalibration of nutrient reduction and conservation efforts; and 23 ix. Employment of agronomic practices, conservation efforts and funds, with a focus on those that have the largest positive impact toward reaching clean water goals. 26 We oppose: 27 i. Broadening the definition of what waters are considered jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act; 29 ii. The deletion of the word "navigable" waters from the federal Clean 	8	ii.	The desirability of pProtecting the quality of our water and assuring its	
11Water Act (CWA) need to be made clearer, simpler, and faster;12iv.Exemptions for normal agricultural activities need to be reaffirmed;13v.Agricultural producers and representatives of local governmental14entities from all agricultural areas of the US need to be included in15discussions to address the issues concerning the proposed changes to16expand jurisdiction of the CWA, and its definitions of tributaries and17adjacent water;18vi.Extensive monitoring of water quality to accurately assess progress19toward clear goals;20vii.Proper appropriation of funding to fully implement clean water efforts;21viii.Ongoing evaluation and recalibration of nutrient reduction and22conservation efforts; and23ix.Employment of agronomic practices, conservation efforts and funds, with24a focus on those that have the largest positive impact toward reaching25clean water goals.26We oppose:27i.Broadening the definition of what waters are considered jurisdictional28under the Clean Water Act;29ii.The deletion of the word "navigable" waters from the federal Clean	9		suitability for beneficial uses;	
12iv.Exemptions for normal agricultural activities need to be reaffirmed;13v.Agricultural producers and representatives of local governmental14entities from all agricultural areas of the US need to be included in15discussions to address the issues concerning the proposed changes to16expand jurisdiction of the CWA, and its definitions of tributaries and17adjacent water;18vi.Extensive monitoring of water quality to accurately assess progress19toward clear goals;20vii.Proper appropriation of funding to fully implement clean water efforts;21viii.Ongoing evaluation and recalibration of nutrient reduction and22conservation efforts; and23ix.Employment of agronomic practices, conservation efforts and funds, with24a focus on those that have the largest positive impact toward reaching25clean water goals.26We oppose:27i.Broadening the definition of what waters are considered jurisdictional28under the Clean Water Act;29ii.The deletion of the word "navigable" waters from the federal Clean	10	iii.	Regulations for the process to identify waters protected under the Clean	
 Agricultural producers and representatives of local governmental entities from all agricultural areas of the US need to be included in discussions to address the issues concerning the proposed changes to expand jurisdiction of the CWA, and its definitions of tributaries and adjacent water; toward clear goals; vi. Extensive monitoring of water quality to accurately assess progress toward clear goals; vii. Proper appropriation of funding to fully implement clean water efforts; viii. Ongoing evaluation and recalibration of nutrient reduction and conservation efforts; and a focus on those that have the largest positive impact toward reaching clean water goals. We oppose: i. Broadening the definition of what waters are considered jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act; ii. The deletion of the word "navigable" waters from the federal Clean 	11		Water Act (CWA) need to be made clearer, simpler, and faster;	
14entities from all agricultural areas of the US need to be included in15discussions to address the issues concerning the proposed changes to16expand jurisdiction of the CWA, and its definitions of tributaries and17adjacent water;18vi.Extensive monitoring of water quality to accurately assess progress19toward clear goals;20vii.Proper appropriation of funding to fully implement clean water efforts;21viii.Ongoing evaluation and recalibration of nutrient reduction and22conservation efforts; and23ix.Employment of agronomic practices, conservation efforts and funds, with24a focus on those that have the largest positive impact toward reaching25clean water goals.26We oppose:27i.Broadening the definition of what waters are considered jurisdictional28under the Clean Water Act;29ii.The deletion of the word "navigable" waters from the federal Clean	12	iv.	Exemptions for normal agricultural activities need to be reaffirmed;	
 discussions to address the issues concerning the proposed changes to expand jurisdiction of the CWA, and its definitions of tributaries and adjacent water; vi. Extensive monitoring of water quality to accurately assess progress toward clear goals; vii. Proper appropriation of funding to fully implement clean water efforts; viii. Ongoing evaluation and recalibration of nutrient reduction and conservation efforts; and ix. Employment of agronomic practices, conservation efforts and funds, with a focus on those that have the largest positive impact toward reaching clean water goals. We oppose: i. Broadening the definition of what waters are considered jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act; ii. The deletion of the word "navigable" waters from the federal Clean 	13	V.	Agricultural producers and representatives of local governmental	
16expand jurisdiction of the CWA, and its definitions of tributaries and17adjacent water;18vi.Extensive monitoring of water quality to accurately assess progress19toward clear goals;20vii.Proper appropriation of funding to fully implement clean water efforts;21viii.Ongoing evaluation and recalibration of nutrient reduction and22conservation efforts; and23ix.Employment of agronomic practices, conservation efforts and funds, with24a focus on those that have the largest positive impact toward reaching25clean water goals.26We oppose:27i.Broadening the definition of what waters are considered jurisdictional28under the Clean Water Act;29ii.The deletion of the word "navigable" waters from the federal Clean	14		entities from all agricultural areas of the US need to be included in	
17adjacent water;18vi.Extensive monitoring of water quality to accurately assess progress19toward clear goals;20vii.Proper appropriation of funding to fully implement clean water efforts;21viii.Ongoing evaluation and recalibration of nutrient reduction and22conservation efforts; and23ix.Employment of agronomic practices, conservation efforts and funds, with24a focus on those that have the largest positive impact toward reaching25clean water goals.26We oppose:27i.Broadening the definition of what waters are considered jurisdictional28under the Clean Water Act;29ii.The deletion of the word "navigable" waters from the federal Clean	15		discussions to address the issues concerning the proposed changes to	
 18 vi. Extensive monitoring of water quality to accurately assess progress 19 toward clear goals; 20 vii. Proper appropriation of funding to fully implement clean water efforts; 21 viii. Ongoing evaluation and recalibration of nutrient reduction and conservation efforts; and 23 ix. Employment of agronomic practices, conservation efforts and funds, with a focus on those that have the largest positive impact toward reaching clean water goals. 26 We oppose: 27 i. Broadening the definition of what waters are considered jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act; 29 ii. The deletion of the word "navigable" waters from the federal Clean 	16		expand jurisdiction of the CWA, and its definitions of tributaries and	
 toward clear goals; vii. Proper appropriation of funding to fully implement clean water efforts; viii. Ongoing evaluation and recalibration of nutrient reduction and conservation efforts; and ix. Employment of agronomic practices, conservation efforts and funds, with a focus on those that have the largest positive impact toward reaching clean water goals. We oppose: i. Broadening the definition of what waters are considered jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act; ii. The deletion of the word "navigable" waters from the federal Clean 	17		adjacent water;	
 vii. Proper appropriation of funding to fully implement clean water efforts; viii. Ongoing evaluation and recalibration of nutrient reduction and conservation efforts; and ix. Employment of agronomic practices, conservation efforts and funds, with a focus on those that have the largest positive impact toward reaching clean water goals. We oppose: i. Broadening the definition of what waters are considered jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act; ii. The deletion of the word "navigable" waters from the federal Clean 	18	vi.	Extensive monitoring of water quality to accurately assess progress	
 viii. Ongoing evaluation and recalibration of nutrient reduction and conservation efforts; and ix. Employment of agronomic practices, conservation efforts and funds, with a focus on those that have the largest positive impact toward reaching clean water goals. We oppose: i. Broadening the definition of what waters are considered jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act; ii. The deletion of the word "navigable" waters from the federal Clean 	19		toward clear goals;	
 conservation efforts; and ix. Employment of agronomic practices, conservation efforts and funds, with a focus on those that have the largest positive impact toward reaching clean water goals. We oppose: 8 Broadening the definition of what waters are considered jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act; ii. The deletion of the word "navigable" waters from the federal Clean 	20	vii.	Proper appropriation of funding to fully implement clean water efforts;	
 ix. Employment of agronomic practices, conservation efforts and funds, with a focus on those that have the largest positive impact toward reaching clean water goals. We oppose: 8 Broadening the definition of what waters are considered jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act; ii. The deletion of the word "navigable" waters from the federal Clean 	21	viii.	Ongoing evaluation and recalibration of nutrient reduction and	
 a focus on those that have the largest positive impact toward reaching clean water goals. We oppose: Broadening the definition of what waters are considered jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act; ii. The deletion of the word "navigable" waters from the federal Clean 	22		conservation efforts; and	
 25 clean water goals. 26 We oppose: 27 i. Broadening the definition of what waters are considered jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act; 29 ii. The deletion of the word "navigable" waters from the federal Clean 	23	ix.	Employment of agronomic practices, conservation efforts and funds, with	
 26 We oppose: 27 i. Broadening the definition of what waters are considered jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act; 29 ii. The deletion of the word "navigable" waters from the federal Clean 	24		a focus on those that have the largest positive impact toward reaching	
 i. Broadening the definition of what waters are considered jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act; ii. The deletion of the word "navigable" waters from the federal Clean 	25		clean water goals.	
 28 under the Clean Water Act; 29 ii. The deletion of the word "navigable" waters from the federal Clean 	26	We op	opose:	
29 ii. The deletion of the word "navigable" waters from the federal Clean	27	i.	Broadening the definition of what waters are considered jurisdictional	
	28		under the Clean Water Act;	
30 Water Act which would expand the taking of private property rights and	29	ii.	The deletion of the word "navigable" waters from the federal Clean	
	30		Water Act which would expand the taking of private property rights and	
31 provide additional intrusiveness;	31		provide additional intrusiveness;	

2 TMDLs for family agricultural producers; and 3 iv. The broadening of the federal government's jurisdiction over a group of 4 waters such as the entire prairie pothole region simply because the 5 bodies of water are near each other. 6 4. DROUGHT MONITORING 7 We support continued federal support of the National Drought Mitigation 8 Center. 9 H. AIR QUALITY 10 Production agriculture should be exempt from the regulating of particulate matter. 11 Agriculture should be a stakeholder in research and education about the role of 12 agricultural production in particulate matter emissions. Agricultural producers should 13 follow best management practices when practical to help reduce particulate matter and 14 improve air quality. 15 I. ANIMAL FEEDING OPERATIONS (AFOS) 16 NFU recognizes that family farm agriculture and good sound environmental 17 practices need to work together. Our policy encourages a well-balanced, sensible 18 environmental policy that protects the public and the environment without unduly 19 burdening family farmers through excessive regulation or economic hardship. 20 when properly managed,	1	iii.	Current rulemaking by EPA that would establish regulatory-based
4waters such as the entire prairie pothole region simply because the5bodies of water are near each other.6 4. DROUGHT MONITORING7We support continued federal support of the National Drought Mitigation8Center.9 H. AIR QUALITY 10Production agriculture should be exempt from the regulating of particulate matter.11Agriculture should be a stakeholder in research and education about the role of12agricultural production in particulate matter emissions. Agricultural producers should13follow best management practices when practical to help reduce particulate matter and14improve air quality.15 1. ANIMAL FEDING OPERATIONS (AFOS)16NFU recognizes that family farm agriculture and good sound environmental17practices need to work together. Our policy encourages a well-balanced, sensible18environmental policy that protects the public and the environment without unduly19burdening family farmers through excessive regulation or economic hardship.20When properly managed, livestock waste is a valuable resource that provides21essential nutrients to cropland and lessens the need for commercial fertilizer. We22oppose the defining of animal manure, waste or nutrients as being considered23hazardous and regulated under federal "Superfund" law, the Comprehensive24Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) and the25sharing for regulatory compliance should be made available and targeted to family-26sharing	2		TMDLs for family agricultural producers; and
5bodies of water are near each other.64. DROUGHT MONITORING7We support continued federal support of the National Drought Mitigation8Center.9H. AIR QUALITY10Production agriculture should be exempt from the regulating of particulate matter.11Agriculture should be a stakeholder in research and education about the role of12agricultural production in particulate matter emissions. Agricultural producers should13follow best management practices when practical to help reduce particulate matter and14improve air quality.15I. ANIMAL FEEDING OPERATIONS (AFOS)16NFU recognizes that family farm agriculture and good sound environmental17practices need to work together. Our policy encourages a well-balanced, sensible18environmental policy that protects the public and the environment without unduly19burdening family farmers through excessive regulation or economic hardship.20When properly managed, livestock waste is a valuable resource that provides21essential nutrients to cropland and lessens the need for commercial fertilizer. We22oppose the defining of animal manure, waste or nutrients as being considered23hazardous and regulated under federal "Superfund" law, the Comprehensive24Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) and the25Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) and the26sharing for regulatory compliance should be made available and targeted to family-27	3	iv.	The broadening of the federal government's jurisdiction over a group of
64. DROUGHT MONITORING7We support continued federal support of the National Drought Mitigation8Center.9H. Air QUALITY10Production agriculture should be exempt from the regulating of particulate matter.11Agriculture should be a stakeholder in research and education about the role of12agricultural production in particulate matter emissions. Agricultural producers should13follow best management practices when practical to help reduce particulate matter and14improve air quality.15I. ANIMAL FEEDING OPERATIONS (AFOS)16NFU recognizes that family farm agriculture and good sound environmental17practices need to work together. Our policy encourages a well-balanced, sensible18environmental policy that protects the public and the environment without unduly19burdening family farmers through excessive regulation or economic hardship.20When properly managed, livestock waste is a valuable resource that provides21essential nutrients to cropland and lessens the need for commercial fertilizer. We22oppose the defining of animal manure, waste or nutrients as being considered23hazardous and regulated under federal "Superfund" law, the Comprehensive24Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) and the25Environmental Response Compensation and Liability act of 1980 (CERCLA) and the26sharing for regulatory compliance should be made available and targeted to family-27sized producers.28We support standard	4		waters such as the entire prairie pothole region simply because the
7We support continued federal support of the National Drought Mitigation8Center.9H. Air QUALITY10Production agriculture should be exempt from the regulating of particulate matter.11Agriculture should be a stakeholder in research and education about the role of12agricultural production in particulate matter emissions. Agricultural producers should13follow best management practices when practical to help reduce particulate matter and14improve air quality.15I. ANIMAL FEEDING OPERATIONS (AFOS)16NFU recognizes that family farm agriculture and good sound environmental17practices need to work together. Our policy encourages a well-balanced, sensible18environmental policy that protects the public and the environment without unduly19burdening family farmers through excessive regulation or economic hardship.20When properly managed, livestock waste is a valuable resource that provides21essential nutrients to cropland and lessens the need for commercial fertilizer. We22oppose the defining of animal manure, waste or nutrients as being considered23hazardous and regulated under federal "Superfund" law, the Comprehensive24Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) and the25Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) and the26sharing for regulatory compliance should be made available and targeted to family-27sized producers.28We support standards that are on a graduated system of at least thre	5		bodies of water are near each other.
8Center.9H. Air QUALITY10Production agriculture should be exempt from the regulating of particulate matter.11Agriculture should be a stakeholder in research and education about the role of12agricultural production in particulate matter emissions. Agricultural producers should13follow best management practices when practical to help reduce particulate matter and14improve air quality.15I. ANIMAL FEEDING OPERATIONS (AFOS)16NFU recognizes that family farm agriculture and good sound environmental17practices need to work together. Our policy encourages a well-balanced, sensible18environmental policy that protects the public and the environment without unduly19burdening family farmers through excessive regulation or economic hardship.20When properly managed, livestock waste is a valuable resource that provides21essential nutrients to cropland and lessens the need for commercial fertilizer. We22oppose the defining of animal manure, waste or nutrients as being considered23hazardous and regulated under federal "Superfund" law, the Comprehensive24Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) and the25sharing for regulatory compliance should be made available and targeted to family-26sized producers.27We support standards that are on a graduated system of at least three tiers: small,38medium and large. They should be implemented with a sliding scale of standards that31address each size of operation. <td>6</td> <td></td> <td>4. DROUGHT MONITORING</td>	6		4. DROUGHT MONITORING
9H. Arr QUALITY10Production agriculture should be exempt from the regulating of particulate matter.11Agriculture should be a stakeholder in research and education about the role of12agricultural production in particulate matter emissions. Agricultural producers should13follow best management practices when practical to help reduce particulate matter and14improve air quality.15I. ANIMAL FEEDING OPERATIONS (AFOS)16NFU recognizes that family farm agriculture and good sound environmental17practices need to work together. Our policy encourages a well-balanced, sensible18environmental policy that protects the public and the environment without unduly19burdening family farmers through excessive regulation or economic hardship.20When properly managed, livestock waste is a valuable resource that provides21essential nutrients to cropland and lessens the need for commercial fertilizer. We22oppose the defining of animal manure, waste or nutrients as being considered23hazardous and regulated under federal "Superfund" law, the Comprehensive24Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) and the25Environmental Response Compensation and Liability act of 1980 (CERCLA) and the26Sharing for regulatory compliance should be made available and targeted to family-28sized producers.29We support standards that are on a graduated system of at least three tiers: small,30medium and large. They should be implemented with a sliding scale of standards that </td <td>7</td> <td>We su</td> <td>apport continued federal support of the National Drought Mitigation</td>	7	We su	apport continued federal support of the National Drought Mitigation
10Production agriculture should be exempt from the regulating of particulate matter.11Agriculture should be a stakeholder in research and education about the role of12agricultural production in particulate matter emissions. Agricultural producers should13follow best management practices when practical to help reduce particulate matter and14improve air quality.15I. ANIMAL FEEDING OPERATIONS (AFOS)16NFU recognizes that family farm agriculture and good sound environmental17practices need to work together. Our policy encourages a well-balanced, sensible18environmental policy that protects the public and the environment without unduly19burdening family farmers through excessive regulation or economic hardship.20When properly managed, livestock waste is a valuable resource that provides21essential nutrients to cropland and lessens the need for commercial fertilizer. We22oppose the defining of animal manure, waste or nutrients as being considered23hazardous and regulated under federal "Superfund" law, the Comprehensive24Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) and the25Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA).26Animal feeding operations should be regulated beginning at the local level. Cost27sharing for regulatory compliance should be made available and targeted to family-28sized producers.29We support standards that are on a graduated system of at least three tiers: small,30medium and large. They should be implement	8	Center.	
Agriculture should be a stakeholder in research and education about the role ofagricultural production in particulate matter emissions. Agricultural producers shouldfollow best management practices when practical to help reduce particulate matter andimprove air quality.improve air qual	9	H. A	IR QUALITY
12agricultural production in particulate matter emissions. Agricultural producers should follow best management practices when practical to help reduce particulate matter and improve air quality.15I. ANIMAL FEEDING OPERATIONS (AFOS)16NFU recognizes that family farm agriculture and good sound environmental practices need to work together. Our policy encourages a well-balanced, sensible environmental policy that protects the public and the environment without unduly burdening family farmers through excessive regulation or economic hardship.20When properly managed, livestock waste is a valuable resource that provides essential nutrients to cropland and lessens the need for commercial fertilizer. We oppose the defining of animal manure, waste or nutrients as being considered hazardous and regulated under federal "Superfund" law, the Comprehensive21Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) and the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA).23Animal feeding operations should be regulated beginning at the local level. Cost sharing for regulatory compliance should be made available and targeted to family- sized producers.24We support standards that are on a graduated system of at least three tiers: small, medium and large. They should be implemented with a sliding scale of standards that address each size of operation.	10	Productio	on agriculture should be exempt from the regulating of particulate matter.
13follow best management practices when practical to help reduce particulate matter and14improve air quality.15I. ANIMAL FEEDING OPERATIONS (AFOS)16NFU recognizes that family farm agriculture and good sound environmental17practices need to work together. Our policy encourages a well-balanced, sensible18environmental policy that protects the public and the environment without unduly19burdening family farmers through excessive regulation or economic hardship.20When properly managed, livestock waste is a valuable resource that provides21essential nutrients to cropland and lessens the need for commercial fertilizer. We22oppose the defining of animal manure, waste or nutrients as being considered23hazardous and regulated under federal "Superfund" law, the Comprehensive24Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) and the25Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA).26Animal feeding operations should be regulated beginning at the local level. Cost27sharing for regulatory compliance should be made available and targeted to family-28sized producers.29We support standards that are on a graduated system of at least three tiers: small,30medium and large. They should be implemented with a sliding scale of standards that31address each size of operation.	11	Agriculture s	hould be a stakeholder in research and education about the role of
14improve air quality.15I. ANIMAL FEEDING OPERATIONS (AFOS)16NFU recognizes that family farm agriculture and good sound environmental17practices need to work together. Our policy encourages a well-balanced, sensible18environmental policy that protects the public and the environment without unduly19burdening family farmers through excessive regulation or economic hardship.20When properly managed, livestock waste is a valuable resource that provides21essential nutrients to cropland and lessens the need for commercial fertilizer. We22oppose the defining of animal manure, waste or nutrients as being considered23hazardous and regulated under federal "Superfund" law, the Comprehensive24Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) and the25Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA).26Animal feeding operations should be regulated beginning at the local level. Cost27sharing for regulatory compliance should be made available and targeted to family-28sized producers.29We support standards that are on a graduated system of at least three tiers: small,30medium and large. They should be implemented with a sliding scale of standards that31address each size of operation.	12	agricultural p	production in particulate matter emissions. <u>Agricultural producers should</u>
15I. ANIMAL FEEDING OPERATIONS (AFOS)16NFU recognizes that family farm agriculture and good sound environmental17practices need to work together. Our policy encourages a well-balanced, sensible18environmental policy that protects the public and the environment without unduly19burdening family farmers through excessive regulation or economic hardship.20When properly managed, livestock waste is a valuable resource that provides21essential nutrients to cropland and lessens the need for commercial fertilizer. We22oppose the defining of animal manure, waste or nutrients as being considered23hazardous and regulated under federal "Superfund" law, the Comprehensive24Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) and the25Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA).26Animal feeding operations should be regulated beginning at the local level. Cost27sharing for regulatory compliance should be made available and targeted to family-28sized producers.29We support standards that are on a graduated system of at least three tiers: small,30medium and large. They should be implemented with a sliding scale of standards that31address each size of operation.	13	<u>follow best m</u>	nanagement practices when practical to help reduce particulate matter and
16NFU recognizes that family farm agriculture and good sound environmental17practices need to work together. Our policy encourages a well-balanced, sensible18environmental policy that protects the public and the environment without unduly19burdening family farmers through excessive regulation or economic hardship.20When properly managed, livestock waste is a valuable resource that provides21essential nutrients to cropland and lessens the need for commercial fertilizer. We22oppose the defining of animal manure, waste or nutrients as being considered23hazardous and regulated under federal "Superfund" law, the Comprehensive24Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) and the25Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA).26Animal feeding operations should be regulated beginning at the local level. Cost27sharing for regulatory compliance should be made available and targeted to family-28sized producers.29We support standards that are on a graduated system of at least three tiers: small,30medium and large. They should be implemented with a sliding scale of standards that31address each size of operation.	14	<u>improve air c</u>	<u>quality.</u>
 practices need to work together. Our policy encourages a well-balanced, sensible environmental policy that protects the public and the environment without unduly burdening family farmers through excessive regulation or economic hardship. When properly managed, livestock waste is a valuable resource that provides essential nutrients to cropland and lessens the need for commercial fertilizer. We oppose the defining of animal manure, waste or nutrients as being considered hazardous and regulated under federal "Superfund" law, the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) and the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA). Animal feeding operations should be regulated beginning at the local level. Cost sharing for regulatory compliance should be made available and targeted to family- sized producers. We support standards that are on a graduated system of at least three tiers: small, medium and large. They should be implemented with a sliding scale of standards that address each size of operation. 	15	I. A	NIMAL FEEDING OPERATIONS (AFOS)
 environmental policy that protects the public and the environment without unduly burdening family farmers through excessive regulation or economic hardship. When properly managed, livestock waste is a valuable resource that provides essential nutrients to cropland and lessens the need for commercial fertilizer. We oppose the defining of animal manure, waste or nutrients as being considered hazardous and regulated under federal "Superfund" law, the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) and the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA). Animal feeding operations should be regulated beginning at the local level. Cost sharing for regulatory compliance should be made available and targeted to family- sized producers. We support standards that are on a graduated system of at least three tiers: small, medium and large. They should be implemented with a sliding scale of standards that address each size of operation. 	16	NFU reco	gnizes that family farm agriculture and good sound environmental
 burdening family farmers through excessive regulation or economic hardship. When properly managed, livestock waste is a valuable resource that provides essential nutrients to cropland and lessens the need for commercial fertilizer. We oppose the defining of animal manure, waste or nutrients as being considered hazardous and regulated under federal "Superfund" law, the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) and the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA). Animal feeding operations should be regulated beginning at the local level. Cost sharing for regulatory compliance should be made available and targeted to family- sized producers. We support standards that are on a graduated system of at least three tiers: small, medium and large. They should be implemented with a sliding scale of standards that address each size of operation. 	17	practices nee	ed to work together. Our policy encourages a well-balanced, sensible
20When properly managed, livestock waste is a valuable resource that provides21essential nutrients to cropland and lessens the need for commercial fertilizer. We22oppose the defining of animal manure, waste or nutrients as being considered23hazardous and regulated under federal "Superfund" law, the Comprehensive24Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) and the25Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA).26Animal feeding operations should be regulated beginning at the local level. Cost27sharing for regulatory compliance should be made available and targeted to family-28sized producers.29We support standards that are on a graduated system of at least three tiers: small,30medium and large. They should be implemented with a sliding scale of standards that31address each size of operation.	18	environment	al policy that protects the public and the environment without unduly
 essential nutrients to cropland and lessens the need for commercial fertilizer. We oppose the defining of animal manure, waste or nutrients as being considered hazardous and regulated under federal "Superfund" law, the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) and the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA). Animal feeding operations should be regulated beginning at the local level. Cost sharing for regulatory compliance should be made available and targeted to family- sized producers. We support standards that are on a graduated system of at least three tiers: small, medium and large. They should be implemented with a sliding scale of standards that address each size of operation. 	19	burdening fa	mily farmers through excessive regulation or economic hardship.
 oppose the defining of animal manure, waste or nutrients as being considered hazardous and regulated under federal "Superfund" law, the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) and the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA). Animal feeding operations should be regulated beginning at the local level. Cost sharing for regulatory compliance should be made available and targeted to family- sized producers. We support standards that are on a graduated system of at least three tiers: small, medium and large. They should be implemented with a sliding scale of standards that address each size of operation. 	20	When pro	operly managed, livestock waste is a valuable resource that provides
 hazardous and regulated under federal "Superfund" law, the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) and the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA). Animal feeding operations should be regulated beginning at the local level. Cost sharing for regulatory compliance should be made available and targeted to family- sized producers. We support standards that are on a graduated system of at least three tiers: small, medium and large. They should be implemented with a sliding scale of standards that address each size of operation. 	21	essential nut	rients to cropland and lessens the need for commercial fertilizer. We
 Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) and the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA). Animal feeding operations should be regulated beginning at the local level. Cost sharing for regulatory compliance should be made available and targeted to family- sized producers. We support standards that are on a graduated system of at least three tiers: small, medium and large. They should be implemented with a sliding scale of standards that address each size of operation. 	22	oppose the d	efining of animal manure, waste or nutrients as being considered
 Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA). Animal feeding operations should be regulated beginning at the local level. Cost sharing for regulatory compliance should be made available and targeted to family- sized producers. We support standards that are on a graduated system of at least three tiers: small, medium and large. They should be implemented with a sliding scale of standards that address each size of operation. 	23	hazardous ar	nd regulated under federal "Superfund" law, the Comprehensive
 Animal feeding operations should be regulated beginning at the local level. Cost sharing for regulatory compliance should be made available and targeted to family- sized producers. We support standards that are on a graduated system of at least three tiers: small, medium and large. They should be implemented with a sliding scale of standards that address each size of operation. 	24	Environment	al Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) and the
 sharing for regulatory compliance should be made available and targeted to family- sized producers. We support standards that are on a graduated system of at least three tiers: small, medium and large. They should be implemented with a sliding scale of standards that address each size of operation. 	25	Emergency P	lanning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA).
 sized producers. We support standards that are on a graduated system of at least three tiers: small, medium and large. They should be implemented with a sliding scale of standards that address each size of operation. 	26	Animal fe	eding operations should be regulated beginning at the local level. Cost
 We support standards that are on a graduated system of at least three tiers: small, medium and large. They should be implemented with a sliding scale of standards that address each size of operation. 	27	sharing for re	egulatory compliance should be made available and targeted to family-
 30 medium and large. They should be implemented with a sliding scale of standards that 31 address each size of operation. 	28	sized produc	ers.
31 address each size of operation.	29	We supp	ort standards that are on a graduated system of at least three tiers: small,
	30	medium and	large. They should be implemented with a sliding scale of standards that
32 J. CONFINED ANIMAL FEEDING OPERATIONS (CAFOS)	31	address each	size of operation.
	32	J. Co	ONFINED ANIMAL FEEDING OPERATIONS (CAFOS)

1 We support requiring permits for large-scale feeding operations, i.e., those confined 2 feeding operations that require National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System 3 (NPDES) permits as defined under the February 2003 EPA rule change. Under the rule 4 change, all large CAFOs require permits and all other CAFOs that discharge pollutants 5 into surface water require a permit. The issue of scale is critical in determining the level 6 of risk associated with waste management. Therefore, we support the following 7 standards: 8 1. Holding animal owners and/or contractors responsible for spills and manure 9 disposal in contract feeding operations; 10 2. Using best available technology to reduce the environmental impact of waste 11 and setting higher standards for waste storage, including open-air lagoons; 12 3. Requiring a CAFO to have a setback distance from an existing residence, 13 business, church, school, public use area or riparian area; 14 4. Permit applications must prepare and submit a nutrient management plan 15 containing detailed information regarding proposed methods of distribution. 16 Aerial (irrigation) spraying of animal waste should be monitored by the 17 states' departments of health and should adhere to the agronomically sound, 18 best management practices adopted by the state in which the facility exists. 19 No waste should be applied to ice, to highly erodible slopes, or where the 20 ground is frozen; 21 5. Including sound, science-based guidelines for using phosphorus and 22 nitrogen as limiting factors on the gallons of liquid livestock waste and tons 23 of solid waste to be applied per acre as a part of the nutrient management 24 plan; 25 6. Permit applications for a large-scale facility must serve notice in a timely 26 fashion for public comment describing the type of facility to be constructed, 27 including information on the type of waste to be generated; 28 7. Permit holders must disclose the number of animal units within a facility 29 upon request by the appropriate regulatory agency; 30 8. A livestock owner whose permit has been revoked in one jurisdiction not 31 being allowed a permit in another jurisdiction;

9.	Facilities that close being required to use proper cleanup, including re-
	vegetation, within three years of closure;
10.	. Existing operations being required to comply with permit requirements at
	the time of permit approval;
11.	. Permits requiring financial assurance including proof of liability insurance,
	equity or adequate bonding;
12.	. Appropriate penalties for those who fail to comply with permitting
	requirements;
13.	. Implementing a temporary moratorium on the establishment of CAFOs
	based on issues of health until local control is re-established; and
14	. CAFOs processing animal waste nutrients into renewable energy resources.
К.	PESTICIDE REGULATION
We suj	pport pesticide regulations that include:
1.	Protection for producers of non-targeted crops that incur damage due to
	drift from pesticides onto their property;
2.	Liability insurance requirements for commercial licensed pesticide
	applicators;
3.	An exemption from liability through EPA for groundwater cleanup for
	farmers who applied pesticides according to label directions;
4.	Provisions emphasizing protection of uncontaminated groundwater and
	providing means to keep residue levels from increasing;
5.	Access to health and safety information for farmers, farm laborers and
	emergency medical personnel;
6.	Greater cooperation between EPA and USDA to help ensure that pesticide
	regulations and disposal do not unnecessarily interfere with normal farming
	practices and land transfers, such as requiring a mandatory buffer strip
	around productive farmland;
7.	Legislation designed to eliminate U.S. production of pesticides not registered
	for use in the United States, or for which a pesticide residue tolerance has
	not been set;
	10 11 12 13 14 K. We suy 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.

1	8.	Restrictions on the import of food products produced with such pesticides,
2		with more frequent inspections at borders to protect consumers from unsafe
3		pesticide use;
4	9.	The agriculture secretary developing regulations implementing authorized
5		programs for less chemically intensive farming practices. Regulations should
6		allow farmers to protect our natural resources without economic
7		disadvantage. Full funding for these farm programs and for pest-control
8		research initiatives should be included;
9	10). Cooperation among farmers, chemical companies and governmental
10		agencies to reduce pollution hazards , until by using integrated pest
11		management, biological controls or other effective alternatives to pesticides
12		when are available;
13	11	. The creation and implementation of safety standards protecting people from
14		exposure to pesticides;
15	12	2. Continued prudent use of approved chemicals for crops, including expedited
16		registrations for minor use pesticides and requiring EPA to use peer-
17		reviewed, third-party science, such as land-grant universities, in their
18		registration review process;
19	13	3. Chemical manufacturers increasing the use of reusable, pre-measured,
20		water-soluble and bulk containers;
21	14	. Federal and state assistance for recycling containers and in establishing
22		central locations for disposal of chemical containers;
23	15	5. Standardization of farm chemical prices and regulations between the United
24		States and other countries;
25	16	5. Fair pricing of chemicals and pesticides not tied to commodity prices;
26	17	7. Full funding for the IR-4 minor crop pesticide registration program;
27	18	3. The Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) as the primary
28		regulatory act for applications of pesticides by farmers and ranchers. Any
29		additional permitting required by EPA under court mandate should mirror
30		existing recordkeeping, education and training requirements and any
31		increases in training requirements should carry provisions for the expenses
32		associated with such training; and

1	19	. Extensive research on new technologies and their applications regarding
2		their impact on non-targeted organisms.
3	L.	FERTILIZER REGULATIONS
4	The fu	ture of agricultural producers worldwide depends to a great extent on
5	developin	g and implementing the best use of chemical f ertilizers.
6	We su	pport:
7	1.	No hazardous waste being included in fertilizers;
8	2.	Manufacturers labeling all ingredients in fertilizers and providing
9		notification to buyers;
10	3.	Anhydrous ammonia continuing to be classified as non-hazardous for the
11		purposes of transporting nurse tanks on public roadways;
12	4.	Privately owned anhydrous ammonia facilities and equipment to meet the
13		same safety requirements and standards as that of licensed dealers. We
14		encourage private owners to attend anhydrous ammonia safety training
15		classes;
16	5.	The federal government stepping up enforcement of the illegal production of
17		methamphetamines and fully fund measures to prevent the theft of
18		agricultural materials and cleanup of confiscated methamphetamine labs;
19	6.	Sludge generated from human waste should be spread or composted in a
20		timely fashion with a nutrient and pathogen management plan. Farmers
21		must be provided with a complete nutrient and contaminant analysis of the
22	I	sludge; and
23	7.	-Sufficient consideration be given before OSHA implements changes to the
24	1	enforcement of Process Safety Management of Highly Hazardous Chemicals
25		Standards for Retail Facilities.
26	М.	Invasive Species Control
27	Much	of the current infestation problem is attributed to insect or other invasive
28	species po	pulation growth on public lands. Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service
29	(APHIS) n	eeds increased funding so it can properly inspect all imported and interstate
30	movemen	ts of agricultural products, monitor insect hatch and damage, and coordinate
31	measures	in states affected by infestations. Federal grants or cost sharing on both

1 public and private lands should be made available for dealing with widespread

2 infestation of insects.

3 Increased monitoring and inspection of domestic and foreign imported products to 4 prevent introduction of invasive species. Vigorous control and eradication measures are 5 needed to ensure that invasive species be eliminated. 6 Where needed and requested, the Agricultural Research Service (ARS) should 7 provide adequate standing funding for practical, applied research and Integrated Pest 8 Management (IPM) programs to help farmers implement rapid, highly organized and 9 cooperative IPM responses to infestations. It is especially important that the additional 10 cost of such programs be wholly or partially underwritten for small-scale farms to 11 enable them to participate effectively in them. 12 Coordinated efforts and adequate funding are urgently needed for improved control 13 of noxious weeds on public lands and all road rights of way. We are concerned that 14 farmers are expected to control weeds on their land while nearby public land goes 15 without weed control. Whenever this responsibility is not being met, there should be a 16 moratorium on any further land acquisition by the appropriate agency. 17 **N. PRESCRIBED BURNING** 18 Prescribed burning is a viable farming practice. 19 We support: 20 1. The right to safe burning when necessary; and 21 2. Ongoing research to discover economically viable alternatives to burning. 22 **O. LANDOWNER RIGHTS** 23 We support: 24 1. Private property rights, including ownership of land and all its attributes 25 above, on, and below the surface, unless otherwise marketed; 26 2. Land lessees' rights: 27 3. Just compensation to farmers and ranchers who suffer losses as a result of 28 any state or federal agency ruling; 29 4. Local control; 30 5. The expiration (null and void) of any pipeline or utility right-of-way grant 31 five years after abandonment or period of non-use for the original purpose 32 or use of the grant; and

1	6.	Trespassers being held liable for any damages done to private property,	
2		including along public accesses.	
3	We op	pose:	
4	1. The "big open concept," and the resulting confiscation of private property		
5	2.	Any agency or individual entering into a mineral lease or granting a permit	
6		for the extraction of minerals without the consent of the land surface owner,	
7		including just compensation to the agricultural operation; and	
8	3.	Landowners being held liable for injuries obtained by a trespasser.	
9	Р.	Eminent Domain (also see Article VIII.F – IRS Tax Code 1031 Exchanges)	
10	Abuse	s of eminent domain occurring across the country raise serious concern to the	
11	rights of private property owners. While government entities are permitted to seize		
12	private property for public use via eminent domain, it is contrary to American values to		
13	seize property from one private owner and give to another for purposes of increasing		
14	tax revenue.		
15	The rights of private property owners need to be protected against parties with		
16	condemna	tion rights and due process should be applied to all proceedings involving	
17	eminent d	omain. Every effort should be made to preserve farmer ownership.	
18	In all public and private projects where eminent domain proceedings may		
19	eventually	v be used, we support:	
20	1.	Individual notifications and public hearings being held prior to project	
21		implementation;	
22	2.	Public hearings held in the county where the project is slated for;	
23	3.	Negotiations between the property owner and utility to include yearly	
24		royalty fees to be paid to the owner for each occurrence of current or future	
25		use;	
26	4.	Severance damages including payment for the diminution of remaining land	
27		values, increased expenses and inconvenience suffered by affected	
28		landowners and operators;	
29	5.	All initial court expenses, including attorney and appraiser fees, being borne	
30		by the constructing agency in condemnation proceedings;	
31	6.	Values being determined by appraisers agreed upon by all parties;	

7.	Easements for utilities being for the life of the utility only. If the new
	equipment is installed on an existing easement, the landowner should be
	compensated at fair market value of the land, and for surface damage, at the
	time of installation. The landowner should receive an ongoing cash payment
	from the project. If the entity is for profit it should not fall under the eminent
	domain law;
8.	Owners being compensated with appropriate annual payments or a lump
	sum of no less than three times the appraised value;
9.	Federal and/or state legislation that would strengthen private property
	rights against the use of eminent domain to transfer property to any private
	person, non-governmental entity or other public-private business entity;
10	. Restricting the use of eminent domain by state and local governments for the
	purpose of "economic development" when it involves taking private
	property from one owner and giving it to another private entity;
11	. Offering seized property back to the original property owner if property is
	not used in a timely manner or if property is not used for its original public
	use intent; and
12	. The right of a landowner to receive a tax shelter, and/or 1031 like-kind
	exchange against capital gains from the sale of properties taken by eminent
	domain.
We op	ppose:
1.	The use of eminent domain without the developer putting into place
	environmental safeguards, maintaining conservation and drainage practices,
	and assuming liability for damages;
2.	The acquisition of productive farmland through use of the eminent domain
	process to extend wildlife habitat; and
3.	Granting eminent domain authority to any foreign entity.
Q.	Zoning
We su	pport:
1.	The preservation of farm units in any rezoning efforts. Any state and federal
	legislation should recognize that agricultural land must be preserved for the
	8. 9. 10 11 12 We op 1. 2. 3. Q. We su

1		future. Family farmers should be represented in all relevant zoning
2		deliberations;
3	2.	Farmland near areas already converted to urban or other uses, which may be
4		appreciating in speculative value, being taxed as agricultural land as long as
5		the land continues to be farmed by a family farmer;
6	3.	Requiring economic and environmental studies to determine the effects on
7		future water supplies of changing land-use designations from agriculture to
8		some other use;
9	4.	Federal and state funds for flood control projects to protect communities and
10		agricultural land. We favor zoning laws prohibiting development of areas
11		that flood frequently;
12	5.	Legislation to strengthen the rights of local government units to protect the
13		health, safety and welfare interests of their residents, including improved
14		governance provisions for regulating concentrated feeding operations and
15		future land use requirements; and
16	6.	Zoning regulations describing borders, setbacks and bonding for
17		reclamation.
18	R.	CLIMATE CHANGE AND CARBON SEQUESTRATION
19	NFU is	s concerned about the effects of climate change and believes further research
20	and analy	sis is necessary to determine its actual and potential impacts.
21	We su	pport:
22	1.	Farmers and ranchers being consulted as the United States moves forward to
23		reduce its emission of greenhouse gases;
24	2.	Carbon sequestration being an innovative way to enhance income for
25		producers and protect our environment. Therefore, the trading of carbon
26		credits with the inclusion of carbon sequestration as an agricultural
27		conservation practice for fair and equitable carbon offset payments should
28		be encouraged;
29	3.	Carbon sequestration research not being biased toward a single practice,
30		such as no-till, and instead encompassing all agricultural practices, including
31		grazing lands, energy feedstock production, organic cropping, wood lots, the
32		Conservation Reserve Program and other proven conservation methods;

1	4.	The continuation and expansion of a carbon trading exchange as a way to
2		compensate farmers and ranchers for sequestering carbon;
3	5.	A national mandatory carbon emission tax or fee and dividend system to
4		reduce non-farm greenhouse gas emissions that:
5		a. Grants USDA control, verification and administration of the
6		agriculture offset program, rather than EPA;
7		b. Does not place an artificial cap on domestic offset allowance;
8		c. Bases carbon sequestration rates upon science;
9		d. Recognizes early actors; and
10		e. Allows producers to stack credits.
11	6.	Agriculture being uncapped in any climate change legislation;
12	7.	The inclusion of provisions that are advantageous to agriculture while
13		minimizing potential negative effects to agriculture and rural communities
14		such as increased input costs, elevated electricity costs and decreased global
15		competitiveness;
16	8.	All nations participating to reduce carbon emissions as climate change is a
17		global responsibility;
18	9.	Exempting small domestic refiners (producing 150,000 barrels per day or
19		less) from an emission cap;
20	10	. Research and development of carbon capture and storage (CCS) facilities,
21		with the understanding that landowners will not share in the risks
22		associated with CCS; and
23	<u>11</u>	_Research and promotion of resilient farming practices, such as the NRCS Soil
24	l	Health Initiative that mitigate and adapt to the potential effects of climate
25		change <u>; and</u> -
26	11	<u>-12. Efforts to preserve rainforest land and convert cleared rainforest land</u>
27		back into diversified agroforestry.
28	We op	pose:
29	1.	Any attempt to regulate carbon by the EPA through Section 111(d) of the
30		Clean Air Act through state implementation plans that do not include
31		economic safety-valves to protect rural economies;

1	2. Any plan that does not cover carbon emissions from all sectors of the
2	economy; and
3	3. Considering international indirect land use changes when determining U.S.
4	carbon and energy policy.
5	S. CONSERVATION
6	Strong conservation efforts promote healthy soils. Conservation programs should be
7	fully funded to benefit the environment, reward stewardship of land and water
8	resources and marine habitat, discourage speculative development of fragile land
9	resources, strengthen family farming, and enhance rural communities. Conservation
10	assistance should be at a level designed to meet the needs as shown in the federal land
11	conservation inventory, the appraisals under the Resource Conservation and Recovery
12	Act of 1976, and other federal studies.
13	We support:
14	1. The development of a one-stop conservation planning system for agriculture
15	through the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) with
16	conservation program decisions being made by locally elected conservation
17	entities, where appropriate;
18	2. A single conservation plan jointly developed by the farm operator and
19	approved by NRCS being established to fulfill the requirements for the
20	current maze of land and water regulations of various governmental
21	agencies.as recommended. Such a This conservation planning system should
22	replace the existing sodbuster, swampbuster, Corps of Engineers flood plain
23	and other regulations which affect agricultural lands. The plan should be
24	supervised and approved by the USDA committee process, with the technical
25	assistance of the NRCS;
26	3. The objectives of the conservation plan being used to reduce and control
27	wind and water erosion, prevent non-point source pollution, and enhance
28	the soil and water capacities of the land;
29	4. Designation of which highly erodible soils should not be tilled and which
30	may be tilled with approved conservation practices;
31	5. Programs that promote soil health and sustainable farming practices;

1	6.	Accurate mapping and documentation of both existing and drained wetlands,
2		as well as any drains and channels. The plan should outline the conservation
3		of wetlands, as well as the maintenance of drains and channels;
4	7.	A payment system that moves toward an outcome-based approach where
5		real changes and environmental benefits are tracked and rewarded;
6	8.	Programs being based on voluntary continuous signup and preclude the use
7		of a bidding system;
8	9.	Basing a producer's conservation plan on relevant, locally identified priority
9		resources of concern, in addition to addressing the various resources on the
10		farm. OnceWhen a conservation the plan is filed with NRCS and
11		implemented, a producer should be deemed to be in compliance with all
12		federal agencies. Producers should be allowed to remedy inadvertent or
13		unavoidable failures to carry out conservation plan practices <u>without</u>
14		automatically being penalized. , and penalties should be based on the degree
15		of the violation. Loss of full federal farm program benefits should be imposed
16		only in cases of purposeful destruction of conservation practices- <u>;</u> Current
17		conservation compliance requirements allow too few options to account for
18		local involvement, climatic conditions and geography, which are beyond
19		producer control. If a producer is working with a government agency to
20		remedy a specific environmental problem, the producer should not be
21		penalized for any other problems that are discovered, but rather, the agency
22		should work with the producer to solve the problems;
23	10	. Federal financing to meet clean water and air standards of the
24		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) being available to farmers from
25		funds appropriated by Congress for this purpose, and that such funds be
26		administered through the farmer-elected committees;
27	11	. Adequate funding to enable the small watershed programs to provide for the
28		maintenance and rehabilitation of existing structures built under P.L. 534
29		and P.L. 566, as well as to build additional structures to provide flood
30		control, livestock water, irrigation water, and recreational benefits to rural
31		America;

1	12. State effor	rts to create cost-share programs for soil and water conservation
2	practices;	
3	13. The contin	nuation of NRCS snow surveys and SNOW TELEMETRY (SNOTEL)
4	measuring	g stations; and
5	14. The contin	nuation and expansion of the Environmental Quality Incentives
6	Program (EQIP), which provides federal cost-share and technical assistance
7	to enable	farmers to comply with environmental requirements, and urge full
8	appropria	tion of existing authorized funding and an increase in future
9	funding.	
10	We su	pport:
11	a.	Appropriate caps on funding levels to assure funds are being
12		directed to family farmers and ranchers;
13	b.	Preference and priority given to family farmers below a 1,000
14		animal waste unit threshold;
15	С.	The agriculture secretary prioritizing the use of EQIP funds for
16		family farmers and ranchers, taking into account the geographical
17		differences in farming and ranching operations;
18	d.	EQIP program eligibility and cost-share levels being consistent
19		with commodity program eligibility and payment limits; and
20	e.	USDA funding public and private research and development of
21		composting and that composting be eligible for cost sharing
22		under EQIP as an enhancement of pollution control.
23	We oppose conse	rvation program provisions which exclude or penalize producers
24	who are early adopte	ers of stewardship practices and those that penalize producers for
25	non-compliance with	program requirements resulting from "Acts of God" such as
26	drought, fire, and floo	ods.
27	1. LA	ND RETIREMENT AND EASEMENT PROGRAMS
28	We urge the f	ull funding of the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) and the
29	Agricultural Cons	ervation Easement Program (ACEP).
30	We support a	djusting enrollment requirements to allow native prairie
31	grasslands as an	eligible class for ACEP or similar programs.

1	Greate	Greater emphasis should be placed on improved farm management techniques.		
2	We believ	We believe that assisting farmers in becoming the best possible stewards of natural		
3	resources	resources is a better long-term approach to sustainability than simple land		
4	retiremen	t.		
5		2. CONSERVATION RESERVE PROGRAM (CRP)		
6	We su	pport:		
7	i.	CRP contracts and contract extensions which maintain CRP lands in		
8		private ownership of resident family farm and ranch operators;		
9	ii.	Program payments being competitive with local land values and/or		
10		rental rates so as not to discourage participation;		
11	iii.	A 25-percent-per-county acreage limit for CRP, unless it is determined		
12		that lifting the cap would address local endangered species concerns and		
13		not have a negative economic impact on rural communities;		
14	iv.	Adequate funding to ensure close monitoring by the Natural Resources		
15		Conservation Service (NRCS) and the Farm Service Agency (FSA) to		
16		enforce contract requirements for adequate weed, erosion, insect and		
17		fire control;		
18	v.	Enrollees being allowed to manage permanent, vegetative cover to		
19		enhance wildlife habitat and ecosystem health;		
20	vi.	Landowners' rights to collect hunting or recreational use fees on land		
21		enrolled in the Conservation Reserve Program;		
22	vii.	When extending CRP contracts, p <u>The p</u> rograms being better focused to		
23	I	serve the needs of family farmers and ranchers and to protect highly		
24		erodible land and other environmentally sensitive lands;		
25	viii.	Land enrolled in CRP shall have an end-of-contract plan in place for use		
26		of the land following the contract expiration date that takes into account		
27		erodibility, soil type and conservation practices;		
28	ix.	Voluntary participation to transfer CRP lands that qualify for ACEP to		
29		that program;		
30	Х.	Evaluation of all CRP lands currently or previously enrolled in the		
31		program for contract re-enrollment. The most environmentally sensitive		
32		land should be given first opportunity for contract. Land enrolled in CRP		

1		that is critical habitat for endangered species should be given an
2		extension of up to 15 years. CRP lands diverted into long-term timber
3		and forestry conservation projects should be given a high priority for
4		contract re-enrollment;
5	xi.	Financial and technical assistance being provided to producers in
6		preparing CRP acreage for sustainable agricultural systems that will
7		meet established conservation standards;
8	xii.	Land managed with appropriate organic standards while enrolled in CRP
9		being eligible for organic certification upon leaving the program;
10	xiii.	Land that was farmed prior to being enrolled in CRP being eligible for
11		crop insurance upon contract expiration;
12	xiv.	In times of extended drought conditions or weather disasters,
13		<u>authorization of haying or grazing on CRP acres being allocated to all</u>
14		livestock producers based on need, with up to one-third of CRP acres
15		being used to replenish feed supplies. Haying and grazing of CRP by a
16		producer in a disaster declared county should not be restricted to land in
17		the disaster-declared county or state. The FSA farmer-elected county
18		committees should be given the authority to set the date of harvest in
19		order to maximize the feed value of hay and forage. These regulations
20		should be in place so the procedures are known in advance. The
21		maximum landowner income from the haying and grazing should not
22		exceed the annual CRP contract amount from that farm;
23	XV.	No further reductions in total acres enrolled in CRP;
24	xvi.	Incentives to aid beginning farm and ranch families being offered on land
25		that was previously enrolled in CRP, but is not environmentally sensitive
26		under the new rules and will not be re-enrolled; The CRP Transition
27		Incentives Program (TIP) that allows CRP payments to continue to
28		landowners that transfer the land to beginning, veteran and/or socially
29		disadvantaged farmers;
30	xvii.	Planting property to shelterbelts o <u>r</u> f other conservation measures being
31		encouraged through reduced property taxes on those acres. Producers

1		who destroy shelterbelts or wooded areas should establish the same
2		number of acres of new trees for a minimum of 10 years;
3	xviii.	An expedited process to adopt rules and regulations to re-enroll or to
4		extend Conservation Reserve Program contracts; and
5	xix.	Allowing approved CRP conservation cover crops to be used for biomass
6		production in areas where needed until the biomass industry evolves to a
7		more economically viable level. CRP payments would be paid on these
8		acres in years where biomass is harvested.
9		3. NATIONAL BUFFER STRIP INITIATIVE
10	The m	nission of the National Buffer Strip Initiative is to support development and
11	adoption	of agricultural conservation systems in all U.S. watersheds.
12	We su	ipport:
13	i.	Development of new markets for products of perennial systems, such as
14		biofuels and feedstock crops; and
15	ii.	Enterprise research to evaluate and develop new plant material
16		selections along with associated production, harvesting and processing
17		technologies to discover and develop new uses for products of perennial
18		systems.
19		4. CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM (CSP)
20	We su	ipport:
21	i.	Full funding and implementation of the Conservation Stewardship
22		Program;
23	ii.	CSP acreage levels included in the 2008 Farm Bill;
24	iii.	Implementation of the CSP in a manner which recognizes conservation
25		practices appropriate to different climatic regions and provides for such
26		agricultural production practices to be adequately scored by the
27		Conservation Management Tool (CMT);
28	iv.	Allowing new conservation enhancements made to land in an existing
29		CSP contract to count as credit on subsequent CSP contracts as a way of
30		rewarding early-adapters; and
31	V.	Consistent interpretation of the rules and guidance documents among all
32		NRCS offices.

1		5. SODBUSTER AND SWAMPBUSTER PROVISIONS
2	We su	ipport:
3	i.	Provisions that give the secretary of agriculture greater discretion in
4		handling sodbuster and swampbuster violations. FSA should be the
5		single regulatory agency;
6	ii.	The goal of soil conservation practices being to reduce soil losses to
7		tolerable levels, or "T-levels;"
8	iii.	Alternative conservation systems being used only in cases of financial
9		hardship, after recommendation of local conservation officials; and
10	iv.	A federal sodsaver provision, which disallows the payment of farm and
11		crop insurance subsidies for crops planted on land without any previous
12		cropping history.
13		6. WETLANDS
14	We su	ipport:
15	i.	Producers being provided full opportunity to participate in the
16		development and review of a single, coordinated approach to wetlands
17		protection. Requiring recertification of wetlands at 5-year intervals
18		creates a moving target for producers in compliance efforts;
19	ii.	Making the NRCS and FSA the lead agencies in wetlands delineation on
20		agricultural land, but oppose the use of special interest groups making
21		wetland determinations;
22	iii.	Any and all wetlands determinations throughout the United States
23		relying on the presence of all three of the following mandatory wetland
24		criteria simultaneously appearing on the same site year round:
25		a. Hydrology,
26		b. A predominance of hydric soil, and
27		c. A prevalence of hydrophytic vegetation;
28	iv.	All existing wetland determinations being re-evaluated under the
29		proposed manual's uniform definitions and producers with the
30		elimination of buffer zones, with determination made in 60 days or less;

1	v.	The federal government consulting with the state and local governments
2		to develop a unified, mutually agreeable management program to protect
3		our nation's wetlands;
4	vi.	Wetlands management programs balancing wetland values and the
5		needs of the various states and their political subdivisions and individual
6		property rights;
7	vii.	Any leaseholder, renter or owner being compensated equitably for the
8		taking of lands through the classification of wetlands;
9	viii.	The final interagency manual being revised with greater consideration
10		for the food and fiber producers of the United States;
11	ix.	Wetlands of less than one acre not falling under any jurisdiction of state
12		or federal agencies;
13	х.	When dealing with farmland, consideration being given to the economic
14		and environmental impact on agriculture;
15	xi.	In cases where adjacent landowners are not affected, landowners being
16		able to move water within the contiguous boundaries of their own
17		property without regulation, interference, or easements;
18	xii.	Water outside the boundary of a wetland being considered sheetwater
19		and not subject to jurisdiction by the state or federal agencies; and
20	xiii.	NRCS and FSA cooperating with state and local agencies on wetland
21		mitigation.
22	T. Su	STAINABLE AGRICULTURE
23	We suppo	ort programs that promote soil health and sustainable farming practices as
24	defined by th	e USDA definition of sustainable agriculture.
25	U. Pr	REDATOR AND RODENT CONTROL
26	We suppo	ort:
27	1. Re	estoring the original intent of the 1931 Animal Damage Control Act (ADC),
28	w	nich mandates the federal government protect the livestock industry from
29	pr	edatory loss. To the extent that an adequate ADC program is not available
30	to	farmers, we recommend that a federally financed indemnity program be
31	in	stituted to pay for crop and livestock losses;

1	2.	Judicious use of control practices being continued on federal and state lands
2		to control coyotes, feral hogs and other damaging species. Control practices
3		on private lands must be done with landowners' permission;
4	3.	Farmers and ranchers being allowed to defend against predatory animals,
5		including those on the endangered species list, on their land by using the
6		most effective, safe, economical and humane means; and
7	4.	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service assuming full responsibility for any livestock
8		losses resulting from the reintroduction of non-domesticated animals.
9	We op	pose the reintroduction of predatory animals.
10	V.	Endangered Species
11	We su	pport:
12	1.	All impacted industries and individuals being compensated for actual annual
13		losses as a result of critical habitat designation;
14	2.	Federal policy establishing meaningful incentives for landowners in the
15		business of farming and ranching who provide habitat for endangered
16		species;
17	3.	Requiring federal agencies to continue cooperative public/private efforts
18		designed to increase the population of candidate species since such efforts
19		have proven successful;
20	4.	Candidate conservation agreements as a tool to lessen impacts to agriculture
21		producers from candidate species that are warranted-but-precluded or
22		listed; and
23	5.	Amending the law by specifying that no species may be listed as endangered
24		or threatened and no critical habitat may be so designated unless the
25		following conditions exist:
26		a. Site-specific studies have been done that find the species actually
27		occupies or has occupied within the last 15 years, habitat that is
28		declared critical;
29		b. Cultural and economic impact studies have been done which
30		determine what impact the declaring habitat or listing of species will
31		have on rural economies and rural cultures;

1		c. Treaty law has been observed by the development of mutual
2		agreements between federal agencies and tribes and other
3		indigenous peoples protected by treaties; and
4		d. Hearings are held within a 50-mile radius of the proposed critical
5		habitat, with all public land permittees provided written notice; and
6		e. Managing any species that are threatened or listed under the
7		Endangered Species Act (ESA) to prevent detrimental effects on
8		working agricultural crops, lands and livestock.
9	We oppose ef	forts to list the Greater Sage Grouse and Lesser Prairie Chicken as
10	endangered o	r threatened.
11	W. Ar	RMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
12	We urge t	hat the authority of the Army Corps of Engineers be limited to its historic
13	role of mainta	aining navigable waterways, dams and facilities on public lands. We urge
14	the corps to d	esignate flood control and rebuilding levees destroyed by floods a priority.
15	X. Nu	ICLEAR, RADIOACTIVE AND TOXIC WASTE
16	We suppo	rt:
17	1. En	actment and vigorous enforcement of legislation, both federal and state, to
18	pr	ohibit dumping of nuclear, radioactive, toxic and other hazardous wastes
19	wi	thout detoxification;
20	2. De	evelopment of hazardous waste encapsulation and disposal methods with
21	ctr	
	50	ricter enforcement of laws on transporting, handling, and disposal;
22	50	ricter enforcement of laws on transporting, handling, and disposal; a. The current practices of disposing hazardous wastes in existing
22 23	511	
	511	a. The current practices of disposing hazardous wastes in existing
23	51	a. The current practices of disposing hazardous wastes in existing landfills and surface mine sites, spreading hazardous wastes on land
23 24	511	 a. The current practices of disposing hazardous wastes in existing landfills and surface mine sites, spreading hazardous wastes on land surfaces, and injecting hazardous wastes in deep-well sites should be
23 24 25	511	 a. The current practices of disposing hazardous wastes in existing landfills and surface mine sites, spreading hazardous wastes on land surfaces, and injecting hazardous wastes in deep-well sites should be discontinued;
23 24 25 26	511	 a. The current practices of disposing hazardous wastes in existing landfills and surface mine sites, spreading hazardous wastes on land surfaces, and injecting hazardous wastes in deep-well sites should be discontinued; b. Alternative disposal sites should be identified which eliminate the

1		c. Final decision-making authority for determining disposal sites and	
2		methods must take into consideration the citizens who are directly	
3		affected by the site;	
4	3.	Disposal of chemical weapons that insures the health of nearby communities,	
5		agricultural markets and the environment; and	
6	4.	Research into thorium or other fuels to replace uranium in nuclear plants.	
7	Y.	SURFACE MINING	
8	With p	proper enforcement of the law, energy and other resources can be provided	
9	without permanently damaging one of the nation's most important resources, namely		
10) agricultural land.		
11	We su	pport:	
12	1.	Strong enforcement of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act	
13		including hard rock;	
14	2.	Ensuring that land stripped to recover underground resources is returned to	
15		its original or better condition;	
16	3.	Public involvement in the monitoring and enforcement of the surface mining	
17		law;	
18	4.	Mandatory public disclosure of chemicals used in the mining process; and	
19	5.	Adequate bonding for post-mining reclamation.	

1	ARTICLE VIII – ENERGY AND THE FAMILY FARM	
2		
3	A. ENERGY OBJECTIVES	
4	We support a balanced energy policy that seeks energy independence by 2025 for	
5	the United States and, at the same time, protects our nation's environment and	
6	recognizes the special energy needs of America's agricultural sector and its potential	
7	contributions.	
8	We urge Congress and the Administration to address additional elements of a	
9	national energy policy including:	
10	1. Making the development of renewable sources of energy our number one	
11	priority in reducing our dependence on fossil fuels;	
12	2. Ambitious mandates for renewable energy production;	
13	3. Equitable distribution and efficient development of energy while assuring	
14	appropriate production of food and fiber;	
15	4. Incentives for environmentally safe domestic exploration, drilling and	
16	development to assure a reasonable degree of self-sufficiency and mitigate	
17	all negative economic consequences incurred by farmers, fishers and fishing	
18	businesses by such exploration and subsequent drilling resulting from said	
19	exploration;	
20	5. A balance of energy needs with a sustainable environment;	
21	6. Concern for the survival of independent oil producers through the	
22	elimination of the oil depletion allowance on all but domestic production;	
23	7. Opposition to federal deregulation of the electric utilities industry;	
24	8. Supporting the establishment of a stand-alone Energy Efficiency Resource	
25	Standard that will achieve, by the end of 2020, electricity savings of 15	
26	percent and natural gas savings of 10 percent (using 2010 levels as the	
27	baseline), including savings from new building codes and equipment	
28	efficiency standards;	
29	9. The right of farmers and property owners to feed back into the commercial	
30	energy system power produced in excess of farm needs, at equitable rates.	
31	Farmers should be encouraged to utilize both agricultural crops and	
32	agricultural byproducts in the production of energy;	

4	10.0	
1		ountry-of-origin labeling for fuels, requiring the secretary of energy to
2		pplement country-of-origin disclosure requirements with respect to fuels;
3	11. Tł	ne impacts on our rural economy of eliminating existing power plants
4	be	efore they achieve their maximum intended lifecycle while transitioning to
5	a	clean power economy;
6	12. Ac	cceleration of development of fusion energy technology; and
7	13. Al	bility of <u>states using mass-based</u> and rate-based states <u>emissions</u> reduction
8	<u>st</u>	andards to trade carbon emissions.
9	B. D	ISTRIBUTION
10		1. Petroleum Supply
11	In ord	ler to ensure an uninterrupted flow of petroleum products for priority
12	uses, we	call for:
13	i.	The U.S. government to ensure a necessary level of refining to process
14		the crude oil that would be available in a petroleum-supply emergency;
15	ii.	Crude oil at competitive prices to be made available during an emergency
16		to refineries so the resulting petroleum products will be provided to the
17		agricultural sector;
18	iii.	Strategic Petroleum Reserve supplies being allocated to cooperative and
19		other independent domestic refineries at equitable prices at the early
20		stages of any supply disruption;
21	iv.	The reserve being used only in shortfall of stock, not price, to avoid price
22		manipulation; and
23	v.	The creation of reserves in other fuel sources, including ethanol.
24	We op	opose:
25	i.	Efforts to force conservation through excise taxes, and support a
26		continuation of the farm-use exemption from such taxes;
27	ii.	Excise taxes on gasoline for deficit reduction purposes; and
28	iii.	Efforts to curtail or eliminate the Strategic Petroleum Reserve.
29		2. Electricity and Deregulation
30	We su	ipport:
31	i.	Local regulation of power to ensure all U.S. residents have access to
32		affordable, high-quality electric service;

4		
1		a. We urge the EPA to place more emphasis on preliminary planning
2		assessment with the states and tribes expanding the Visibility
3		Protection Program through the addition of the regional haze
4		concept and to propose a rule that addresses a more reasonable
5		and realistic approach to the issues of regional haze.
6		b. We encourage the EPA to use actual air quality monitoring data
7		and improved computer modeling to determine if a state is in
8		compliance with EPA to air quality requirements for Class 1 areas
9		such as national parks and other designated natural treasures.
10	ii.	Federal policy that would provide nonprofit power groups with the
11		necessary credit and financial support to set up needed generating and
12		transmitting facilities;
13	iii.	Electric utilities providing rate structures that offer an incentive to
14		consumers to use off-peak power;
15	iv.	The development of wind, solar, and other alternative sources of energy
16		in community-based and individually owned systems where viable;
17	V.	The development of a national electrical grid designed with the capacity
18		to carry renewable energy from the production source to the areas
19		where it is needed;
20	vi.	Federal and state electric distribution studies on rural electric
21		cooperative (REC) and municipal utility lines and substations to identify
22		necessary improvements and available capacity for renewable energy
23		production;
24	vii.	A national Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) of 25 percent by 2025
25		that includes a strong local ownership component;
26	viii.	When <u>sighting locating</u> lines "cross country," citizen input should be a
27		first priority in the <u>sighting siting process;</u>
28	ix.	When new transmission lines and substations are placed, a yearly rental
29		payment to the landowner should be established; and
30	<u>X.</u>	_The use of the best available clean air technology when using fossil fuels
31		to even out the production of electricity from renewable sources. The use
32		of fossil fuels in conjunction with renewable energy sources is necessary

1		given today's level of technology to produce reliable and affordable
2		electricity <u>; and</u> -
3	x. xi.	To consider and mitigate the negative impacts on our economy of
4		eliminating coal-fired power plants before they achieve their maximum
5		intended lifecycle
6	We op	ppose:
7	i.	Infringement upon the existing service areas of RECs by investor-owned
8		companies;
9	ii.	Federally mandated restructuring of the electric utilities industry;
10	iii.	Development of local transmission lines, which do not ensure financing
11		for low-voltage upgrades, which will be needed for many community
12		energy projects to reach these larger grids;
13	iv.	NEPA studies on public lands for energy transmission corridors without
14		local notification of landowners and local governments at the scoping
15		process; and
16	v.	Granting eminent domain authority to or on behalf of foreign
17		corporations, state or federal governments for merchant transmission or
18		non-public utility projects, and any new nuclear plants in the United
19		States until safety issues are reviewed and confirmed.
20		3. Economic Assistance
21	We su	ipport:
22	i.	Utilization and adequate funding of the USDA Rural Utilities Service
23		(RUS) to provide direct low-interest loans to rural electric cooperatives
24		and their members to carry out renewable energy projects and energy
25		conservation measures;
26	ii.	Expanding RUS's role to provide funding for financing renewable energy
27		transmission and substations to community-based projects;
28	iii.	Assistance to landowner associations or groups to conduct renewable
29		energy resource assessments and environmental impacts studies; and
30	iv.	Full disclosure of all classifications of subsidies received by private
31		utilities.

1	4. PUBLIC OWNERSHIP OF POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATION AND POWER
2	GENERATORS
3	Public ownership has been an essential element in providing reasonably priced
4	hydroelectric power to rural areas in the United States. Access to federally produced
5	and subsidized power must be guaranteed to new and existing public or cooperative
6	electric utilities. We oppose the sale of Power Marketing Administrations (PMA), the
7	Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA), state or tribally owned dams or other publicly
8	owned power generators.
9	We oppose:
10	i.— The sale of one or more of these Power Marketing Administration (PMA).
11	The sale of these public assets would threaten consumer-owned utilities,
12	state institution and federal installations with an uncertainty of power
13	supply and would significantly increase wholesale power costs for large
14	segments of rural America; the sale of the PMAs would negatively impact
15	control of the reservoirs and rivers and will eliminate existing
16	environmental laws and regulations;
17	ii. The sale of state-owned dams and other publicly owned power
18	generators; and
19	iii. Any efforts or attempts to sell or privatize the Tennessee Valley
20	Authority (TVA) or any of its power functions.
21	5. Hydroelectric Power
22	NFU recognizes that hydroelectric power is an important renewable energy
23	source.
24	We support:
25	i. Continued development of hydroelectric power sites, where they are
26	cost-effective and ecologically and environmentally compatible, and
27	upgrading of current sites for better efficiency;
28	ii. Amending the Federal Power Act to provide preference to rural electric
29	cooperatives in the licensing and re-licensing of water power projects;
30	iii. Inclusion of small hydro as an eligible project/technology for USDA and
31	DOE incentive programs;

1	iv. iii.	_Inclusion of small hydro and existing hydro in national renewable energy
2	1	legislation; and
3	∀. iv.	_Funds received from sale of public water that displaces hydro generation
4	I	being returned to the generating authority.
5		6. PIPELINES
6	We su	ipport:
7	i.	An understandable process that clarifies when and how eminent domain
8		can be used, who has what liability where there are damages from
9		pipeline failure, siting standards and routing criteria, environmental
10		considerations, and decommissioning expectations and costs. The
11		process should provide for transparency in the planning and routing
12		process including public input, fair compensation to landowners and a
13		process to deal with landowner and public complaints and conflicts;
14	ii.	Pipeline developers being barred from using non-disclosure agreements
15		prior to, during, and after contract negotiations;
16	iii.	Privately owned pipeline companies building and operating a pipeline
17		maintaining insurance/bonds to cover liability for pipeline failure costs
18		associated with environmental damage, health and public safety issues,
19		infrastructure costs and maintenance, emergency response situations
20		and costs if the pipeline developers are no longer in business or lack the
21		financial resources to cover these liabilities, including in the case of
22		bankruptcy;
23	iv.	Regulations mandating that soil disturbed during pipeline construction
24		be returned to its previous condition and farmland returned to its
25		previous level of production; and
26	v.	Adequate compensation for landowners for loss in value of a farm
27		crossed by a pipeline, for any future loss to land value associated with
28		the pipeline or its construction.
29	We op	opose:
30	i.	The classification of a private, foreign-owned pipeline as a public utility;
31	ii.	The classification of a foreign-owned pipeline as a common carrier unless
32		it can be demonstrated that the majority of the transported material is

1	from domestic sources and that majority of the transported material is
2	for domestic consumption;
3	iii. Pipeline construction across farmland without permission of all affected
4	landowners; and
5	iv. Forcing additional rights of ways or leases upon landowners where a
6	lease or right of way currently exists, as this would further devalue the
7	land for agricultural use.
8	C. DEVELOPMENT OF RENEWABLE ENERGY AND FUELS FROM THE FARM
9	1. Priorities
10	NFU should promote, expand, and ensure localized farmer ownership to the
11	fullest degree possible in renewable/alternative energy development including
12	wind, solar, biofuels and other technologies. Policies that can achieve this goal are
13	feed-in tariffs, incentives and mandates for locally owned facilities. We support the
14	efforts of "host" communities and municipalities to accurately calculate the income-
15	generating capacity of potential renewable energy projects, regardless of ownership
16	type, and to establish feeds, property tax provisions, or impact assessments to
17	ensure that a reasonable amount of the economic benefits are shared by all of the
18	local communities and residents without deterring smaller-scale, distributed,
19	community-based and individually owned projects.
20	NFU should lead efforts to educate family farmers, ranchers and rural
21	communities about how to adapt to the effects of climate change on their own
22	respective operations, as well as the enormous economic benefits that renewable
23	energy brings to our rural areas.
24	We support:
25	i. Expanded research and development dollars and full funding for-renewable
26	energy <u>programs and</u> technology . At all levels of government, a commitment
27	is required to truly become energy independent, including full funding of
28	renewable energy programs within the current and future farm bills;
29	ii. The development and promotion of renewable energy sources appropriate
30	to their location- Special emphasis should be placed on wind, solar, biomass,
31	geothermal energy, biodiesel and ethanol research<u>and</u> directed toward

	developing self-sufficient units suitable for farm, home, small industry and
	business use;
iii.	Farmer-owned cooperatives pursuing the development and marketing of
	<u>renewable wind, solar, biomass, geothermal, biodiesel and ethanol energy</u>
	through their networks of local and regional cooperatives;
iv.	Availability of early stage development funds for feasibility studies,
	resource assessments and wildlife and other studies. Adequate funding
I	levels for feasibility studies under the Rural Energy for America Program
	should be reinstated and cover all pre-development activities involved,
	including allowing adequate time for completion of t horough wildlife
I	studies by public agencies;
v.	Incentives for local development and ownership of renewable energy
	production in all forms, to support all agricultural sectors and regions, such
	as the Rural Energy for America Program of the farm bill;
vi.	Development of new markets for cellulosic products from perennial
	systems;
vii.	Enterprise research to evaluate and develop new plant material selections
	along with associated production, harvesting and processing technologies
	to discover and develop new uses for products of perennial systems;
viii.	Aggressive <u>R</u> research <u>and development</u> on utilizing biomass for energy of
	all forms, with emphasis on efficient use and positive energy conversion,
	and research supporting perennial energy crops that provide these
	efficiencies along with multiple environmental benefits;
ix.	A robust biomass energy crop development program, such as t ${ m T}$ he Biomass
	Crop Assistance Program and other such programs, to develop a domestic
	and sustainable source of biomass materials for multiple uses and markets;
Х.	Economic assistance for family farmers to make agriculture more self-
	sufficient through increased application of alternative forms of energy and
	energy efficiency;
xi.	Reversing the trend toward concentration of the ownership or control of
	sources, production and distribution of energy;
	iv. v. vi. vii. viii. x.

1	xii.	Targeting funds to encourage diversified, community-based and
2		individually owned energy systems that create jobs and new wealth in rural
3		areas of our country;
4	xiii.	Reducing, toward the goal of <u>E</u> eliminating , the importation of foreign
5		sources of biofuels;
6	xiv.	An import fee on non-renewable foreign-produced energy, to fund the
7		development of domestic renewable energy;
8	XV.	A phased-in moratorium on the export of domestically produced energy
9		until energy independence is reached;
10	xvi. xv.	_ No local, state and/or federal tax dollars, nor tax exemptions, apply to
11		renewable fuels that are not imported or derived from imported
12		commodities;
13	xvii.	No local, state and/or federal tax dollars, nor exemptions, apply to foreign-
14		owned companies that produce renewable fuels;
15	xviii. xvi.	_Creation of a Strategic Biofuels Feedstock Reserve;
16	xix. xvii.	_A program to tackle the lack of infrastructure in the expansion of renewable
17		energy. We support many increases in research and development,
18		particularly in the areas of:
19		a. Pipelines designated or altered for the transport of biofuels;
20		b. Solidifying the railroads in this country to move renewable fuels
21		to each coast;
22		c. Expanded use of flex/blender pumps;
23		d. Establishing E85 filling stations/pumps; and
24		e. Expanding and creating a network of transmission lines
25		throughout the country to aid in the movement of renewable
26		energy from sources such as wind and solar;
27	<u>xviii.</u>	Extension and expansion of renewable energy tax credits, incentives
28		(including the Section 1603 30 percent U.S. Treasure grant, effective in
29		2011) and loan guarantee programs including a permanent renewable
30		energy production tax credit (PTC) that includes nonprofit organizations as
31		qualifying entities;

1	xx. xix.	<u>The use of production tax credits to provide financial incentives for wind</u>	
2	<u> </u>	and solar energy development. Such production tax credits should:	
2		a. <u>Be long-term, 20 years at minimum;</u>	
4		b. <u>Include active, not just passive income tax credits;</u>	
5		c. <u>Encourage local ownership of wind turbine manufacturing</u> ,	
6		development and operations; and	
7		d. <u>Set the production and other tax credit levels for local community</u>	
8		and farmer-owned wind systems at higher levels and allow them	
9		<u>to be refundable;</u>	
10		XXI.	Formatted: In numbering
11	xxii.<u></u>xx.	_Biodiesel and ethanol blenders' tax credits and the cellulosic production	
12	,	loan guarantees;	
13	xxiii. xxi.	_Policies to create greater domestic production of biofuel <u>plants facilities</u>	
14	I	and other renewable energy components, such as wind turbines and solar	
15		panels;	
16	xxiv. xxii.	_Fully funding the Biopreferred Program, as well as ensuring the federal	
17	I	government is a leader in procuring these products;	
18	xxv. xxiii.	_Expanded energy conservation research and development as well as	
19	ļ	implementation of programs that encourage the conservation of energy	
20		inputs by the agricultural and food sectors;	
21	xxvi. xxiv.	_Working with landowners and other groups to develop a landowner's bill of	
22	l	rights for renewable energy;	
23	xxvii. xxv.	Research and development on the production of fuels and fertilizers from	
24	l	renewable electricity;	
25	«xviii. xxvi.	Expanding the utilization of anaerobic digesters in a complimentary effort	
26	l	to produce energy, participate in carbon offset markets, and manage waste	
27		on livestock operations; and	
28	xxvii.	_Funding for research and technical advancement of energy storage systems	
29		allowing for reliable and consistent availability of renewable energy:-	
30	<u>xxviii.</u>	<u>The government purchasing and using flex-fuel vehicles and fueling them</u>	
31		with renewable fuels; -	

1	xxix. A national net metering standard for systems up to 100kW in order to help		
2	expand the use of smaller wind and solar energy systems; and		
3	xxix.xxx. The Community Based Energy Development (CBED) ownership model		
4	created in Minnesota and Nebraska.		
5		+	Formatted: In 0.25", No bulle
6	We oppose <u>:</u>		
7	<u>i. E</u> -efforts by power utilities to discourage distributed solar and other	+	Formatted: Numbering Styl
8	renewable power generation, including but not limited to, raising base		Alignment: Right at: 1"
9	monthly rates, either specifically to individual renewable energy-		
10	producing customers or to all customers in general <u>; and-</u>		
11	i. <u>No local, state and/or federal tax dollars, nor tax exemptions, apply to</u>		
12	<u>renewable </u> <u>F</u> fuels that are not imported or derived from imported		
13	commodities being eligible for any tax incentives or exemptions.;		
14	xxx.<u>ii.</u>	+	Formatted: N
15	2. Ethanol		Numbering Styl Alignment: Righ at: 1"
16	We support:		
17	ii. <u>i.</u> <u>Congress and the administration launching A</u> an alcohol fuels <u>ethanol</u>	+	Formatted: Ni Numbering Styl
18	program to include:		Alignment: Right at: 1"
19	a. Renewable resources that should be established through low-	}	Formatted: Ni
20	interest federal loans to farmer-owned cooperatives;		Numbering Styl Alignment: Left
21	b. Further federal legislation to provide for conversion of farm		at: 1.5"
22	products and byproducts into alcohol_ethanol_emphasizing on-		
23	farm and local cooperative site development;		
24	c. Federal legislation to extend permanently the federal gasoline		
25	excise tax exemption on ethanol-enhanced fuels;		
26	d. Immediate action by the Department of Energy to place ethanol		
27	production on its priority list for rapid commercialization;		
28	e. <u>d.</u> State legislation granting tax credits on each gallon of ethanol-		
29	enhanced fuel sold within each state;		
30	f. An expanded Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) for requiring		
31	increased usage of ethanol fuels within the United States;		
32	including a separate standard for cellulosic ethanol and biodiesel;		
I			

1	g.<u>e.</u>Promote research on usage and marketing of dry distillers grain	
2	for feed and other value-added uses;	
3	h. <u>f.</u> An aggressive and ambitious cellulosic program, geared to rapidly	
4	developing necessary technology, to compliment traditional	
5	ethanol supplies of motor fuel;	
6	i. Adequate infrastructure to improve pipeline distribution and	
7	accessibility to filling stations/pumps of biofuels across the	
8	country;	
9	j.gExpansion of available gasoline blends to E15 or higher, as	
10	supported by scientific data;	
11	k. <u>h.</u> Flexibility for new fuel systems to accommodate advances in	
12	ethanol blend utilization technology; and	
13	l. Maintaining the ethanol import tariff. Biofuels should not be	
14	exported or imported until energy independence is achieved;	
15	m. <u>i.</u> Research and production of engines designed to run solely on	
16	ethanol <u>.</u> ;	
17	n. Funding for ethanol infrastructure; and	
18	o. Tax credits or other federal incentives for retailers installing flex	
19	pumps.	
20	iii. <u>ii.</u> The extension of the ethanol fuel tax incentive to include the ethanol	Formatted: N
21	portion of ethyl tertiary butyl ether (ETBE);	Numbering Styl Alignment: Righ
22	iv. <u>iii.</u> Allowing ETBE refiners the ability to claim the ethanol excise tax	at: 1"
23	exemption at the blend point;	
24	<u>v.iv.</u> The use of ethanol as a fuel additive for gasoline formulations to enhance	
25	octane levels, with an expanded role of replacing aromatics, in	
26	accordance with the Clean Air Act;	
27	<u>vi.v.</u> Urge all vehicle manufacturers to actively produce and market flex-fuel	
28	options in all market classes; and	
29	vii.vi. The government purchasing and using flex-fuel vehicles and fueling them	
30	with renewable fuels.	
31	We oppose:	
32	i. Any future efforts to eliminate ethanol tax incentives;	

1	ii.	Liability protection for MTBE producers; and
2	iii.	Any further use of methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE) in gasoline.
3		3. RENEWABLE FUEL STANDARD
4	We su	ipport:
5	i.	Expanding the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) to set an ambitious
6		mandate for production of biofuels to make up one-third of the nation's
7		fuel supply as soon as possible, consistent with grain availability for
8		livestock production;
9	ii.	Separate mandates of production for each form of biofuel, including
10		cellulosic ethanol and biodiesel;
11	iii.	Full implementation of RFS legislation that will:
12		a. Supply clean-burning ethanol to reduce air pollution,;
13		b. Provide strict anti-backsliding requirements in the RFS;
14		c. Ensure that air quality gains from the reformulated gasoline
15		programs are preserved;
16		d. Provide incentives to expand use of other biofuels, and encourage
17		the use of eligible feedstocks such as, but not limited to, grain
18		sorghum, millet, barley, oats, sugar beets and wheat to meet the
19	ļ	requirements for other advanced biofuels under the RFS; and
20		e. Establishing a separate requirements for a national non-gas
21		fuels<u>RFS</u> for_such as biodiesel use in transportation fuels; ;
22	iv.	Bipartisan Llegislation to ensure that American farmers, not ethanol
23	I	importers, would benefit from the RFS; and
24	v.	Legislation that would amend the Caribbean Basin Initiative by limiting
25		ethanol imports that are only partially produced in the Caribbean Basin
26		and qualify for duty-free status.
27	We oj	ppose:
28	i.	Ethanol import schemes that would thwart ethanol import tariffs;
29	ii.	The importation of renewable fuels; and
30	iii.	Changes to the RFS mandate that are not based on the best available
31		science and subject to public review.
32		4. BIODIESEL

1	We su	pport:
2	i.	Farmer-owned cooperatives and others providing higher blends of
3		biodiesel fuels to their customers and urge marinas to offer
4		biodegradable biodiesel fuels to their customers;
5	ii.	Increased research funding through USDA and the U.S. Department of
6		Energy (DOE) to demonstrate the effectiveness of biodiesel as a
7	I	transportation fuel, for generating electricity, and as a replacement for
8		home heating oil;
9	iii.	Research and development of oilseed crops and animal byproducts for
10		use as biodiesel alternative fuels;
11	iv.—	The government's purchase and use of flex-fuel vehicles and fueling them
12		with renewable fuels;
13	v. iv.	_DOE developing a rapid commercialization program for biodiesel;
14	vi. v.	_Legislation to provide for the recycling of used cooking oils and waste
15	I.	greases generated at government facilities to be processed into biodiesel
16		for use in government vehicle fleets, wherever feasible; and
17	vii.	Establishing a separate requirement for a national RFS for biodiesel use
18		in transportation fuels; and
19	viii. vi.	_ASTM D6751 as the biodiesel industry's quality standards to meet the
20	I.	requirements of the railroads, military and other heavy industry.
21		5. Compressed Natural Gas
22	We su	pport the development of infrastructure to permit use of compressed
23	natural ga	as as a transportation fuel.
24		6. WIND ENERGY
25	We su	pport:
26	i.	Development and distribution of electric generation from wind, including
27		a reasonable timeline for approval for interconnection to the electric
28		grid;
29	ii.	Efforts to educate our farmers and ranchers about their wind rights and
30		other related issues;

1	iii.	Federal legislation to require all utilities to allow community-based wind
2		projects access to the electric grid by actively pursuing power purchase
3		agreements;
4	iv.	The use of production tax credits to provide financial incentives for wind
5		energy development. Such production tax credits should:
6		a. Be long-term, 20 years at minimum;
7		b. Include active, not just passive income tax credits;
8		c. Encourage local ownership of wind turbine manufacturing,
9		development and operations; and
10		d. Set the production and other tax credit levels for local community
11		and farmer-owned wind systems at higher levels and allow them
12		to be refundable;
13	v.	Ownership models that provide the most economic and social benefit
14		while providing an economic base for further rural economic
15		development. We recommend that the Community Based Energy
16		Development (CBED) ownership model created in Minnesota and
17		Nebraska be adopted by other states and used by Congress in developing
18		wind energy-related policies;
19	vi.	A national net metering standard for systems up to 100kW in order to
20		help expand the use of smaller wind energy systems;
21	vii. vi.	_Account balancing of small wind generators on an annual basis; and
22	viii. vii.	_ Congress creating <u>Creating</u> a grant program for local RECs who will
23		upgrade their system to monitor the flow of energy both ways within
24		their system to accept net-metered energy produced by a local REC
25		customer.
26		7. Solar Energy
27	We su	ipport:
28	i.	The development of solar energy, including solar thermal;
29	ii.	Community solar garden models to allow for greater participation in
30		solar projects;
31	iii.	Educating our landowners about solar rights and other related issues;

1	iv. The use of production tax credits to provide financial incentives for solar	
2	energy development. Such production tax credits should:	
3	a. Be long-term, 20 years at minimum;	
4	b. Include active, not just passive income tax credits;	
5	c. Encourage local ownership of solar manufacturing, development	
6	and operations; and	
7	d.—Set the production and other tax credit levels for local community	
8	and farmer-owned solar energy systems at higher levels and	
9	allow them to be refundable;	
10	v.—Provide net metering for solar systems;	
11	vi.iv. Research into concentrated solar and other developing solar	
12	technologies;	
13	vii.v. Replacing fossil fuel-powered heating and drying applications with solar	
14	powered systems; and	
15	viii.vi. The development of community and commercial solar farms in areas	
16	with no current value-added use.	
17	8. Renewable Energy Storage	
1/		
18	We support development of storage technology for electricity produced by	Formatted: Li
		Formatted: Li 0.25"
18	We support development of storage technology for electricity produced by	
18 19	We support development of storage technology for electricity produced by •-• intermittent renewable sources, including but not limited to hydroelectric pump-	
18 19 20	We support development of storage technology for electricity produced by intermittent renewable sources, including but not limited to hydroelectric pump- back, battery technologies, fuel cell technology and others.	
18 19 20 21	We support development of storage technology for electricity produced by intermittent renewable sources, including but not limited to hydroelectric pump- back, battery technologies, fuel cell technology and others. 9. COOPERATIVE DEMONSTRATIONS	
 18 19 20 21 22 	We support development of storage technology for electricity produced by intermittent renewable sources, including but not limited to hydroelectric pump- back, battery technologies, fuel cell technology and others. 9. COOPERATIVE DEMONSTRATIONS We support:	
 18 19 20 21 22 23 	We support development of storage technology for electricity produced by intermittent renewable sources, including but not limited to hydroelectric pump- back, battery technologies, fuel cell technology and others. 9. COOPERATIVE DEMONSTRATIONS We support: i. Consumer-owned utilities uniting to develop and demonstrate the	
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	 We support development of storage technology for electricity produced by intermittent renewable sources, including but not limited to hydroelectric pump- back, battery technologies, fuel cell technology and others. 9. COOPERATIVE DEMONSTRATIONS We support: Consumer-owned utilities uniting to develop and demonstrate the economic feasibility of renewable and other alternate energy systems. 	
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	 We support development of storage technology for electricity produced by intermittent renewable sources, including but not limited to hydroelectric pump- back, battery technologies, fuel cell technology and others. 9. COOPERATIVE DEMONSTRATIONS We support: Consumer-owned utilities uniting to develop and demonstrate the economic feasibility of renewable and other alternate energy systems. The economic viability of those cooperative utilities must be taken into 	
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	 We support development of storage technology for electricity produced by intermittent renewable sources, including but not limited to hydroelectric pump- back, battery technologies, fuel cell technology and others. 9. COOPERATIVE DEMONSTRATIONS We support: Consumer-owned utilities uniting to develop and demonstrate the economic feasibility of renewable and other alternate energy systems. The economic viability of those cooperative utilities must be taken into consideration; 	
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	 We support development of storage technology for electricity produced by intermittent renewable sources, including but not limited to hydroelectric pump- back, battery technologies, fuel cell technology and others. 9. COOPERATIVE DEMONSTRATIONS We support: Consumer-owned utilities uniting to develop and demonstrate the economic feasibility of renewable and other alternate energy systems. The economic viability of those cooperative utilities must be taken into consideration; 	
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	 We support development of storage technology for electricity produced by intermittent renewable sources, including but not limited to hydroelectric pump- back, battery technologies, fuel cell technology and others. 9. COOPERATIVE DEMONSTRATIONS We support: Consumer-owned utilities uniting to develop and demonstrate the economic feasibility of renewable and other alternate energy systems. The economic viability of those cooperative utilities must be taken into consideration; 	
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 	 We support development of storage technology for electricity produced by intermittent renewable sources, including but not limited to hydroelectric pump- back, battery technologies, fuel cell technology and others. 9. COOPERATIVE DEMONSTRATIONS We support: Consumer-owned utilities uniting to develop and demonstrate the economic feasibility of renewable and other alternate energy systems. The economic viability of those cooperative utilities must be taken into consideration; Cooperatives providing consumers price incentives for using ethanol- or biodiesel enhanced fuels; and The secretary of energy continuing the Department of Energy's support 	
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 	 We support development of storage technology for electricity produced by intermittent renewable sources, including but not limited to hydroelectric pump- back, battery technologies, fuel cell technology and others. 9. COOPERATIVE DEMONSTRATIONS We support: Consumer-owned utilities uniting to develop and demonstrate the economic feasibility of renewable and other alternate energy systems. The economic viability of those cooperative utilities must be taken into consideration; Cooperatives providing consumers price incentives for using ethanol- or biodiesel enhanced fuels; and The secretary of energy continuing the Department of Energy's support of the long-term operation of Continued federal funding for the Great 	

1	energy policy objectives, which rely on the long-term operation of the	
2	project to convert America's abundant lignite coal into clean-burning fuel	
3	and profitable byproducts, including anhydrous ammonia, which is very	
4	important to agricultural production.	
5	10.Flex/Blender Pumps ↔-	Formatted: L
6	We encourage our cooperative members to lead the nation in serving our	Level: 1 + Nun at: 1 + Alignm Indent at: 1"
7	customers by <u>P</u>p lacing alternative marketing devices for alternative fuels such as	
8	E85/biodiesel and flex/blender pumps at every cooperative retailer in the nation.	
9	D. Environmental Precaution in Energy Production and Use	
10	We support:	
11	1. The Clean Air Act;	
12	2. Regulations that emphasize achieving the greatest amount of pollution	
13	control through the most cost-effective measures available;	
14	3. Diesel emissions being reduced in the most cost-effective manner possible,	
15	consistent with good fuel economy; and	
16	4. EPA avoiding excessive sulfur requirements, and not mandating the	
17	production of a third diesel fuel for a light-duty diesel market.	
18	1. FUEL STORAGE TANKS	
19	We support:	
20	i. Rules for underground fuel storage tanks that provide cost sharing to	
21	mitigate the financial impact of compliance on farmer-owned, locally	
22	owned and cooperatively owned outlets;	
23	ii. An exemption for farm and ranch use in the EPA rule pertaining to	
24	existing above-ground fuel storage tanks; and	
25	iii. Above-ground fuel storage tanks being monitored and replaced on an as-	
26	needed basis as the condition of the tanks change and leaking becomes	
27	detectable.	
28	2. WASTE PRODUCTS	
29	We support:	
30	i. Recycling as a socially responsible activity;	

1	ii.	Federal assistance to help establish an effective recycling system
2		throughout the country for agricultural, post-consumer and industrial
3		waste;
4	iii.	Only the environmentally safe use of solid wastes in the production of
5		energy; and
6	iv.	Composting of food and organic fiber waste, and the opening of markets
7		for the sale and distribution of the composed products, especially for
8		schools and other public institutions.
9		3. Hydraulic Fracturing and Wastewater Injection
10	We su	ipport:
11	i.	Holding energy companies that use the hydraulic fracturing process <u>and</u>
12		<u>wastewater injection well owners liable for any and all expenses or</u>
13		resulting from environmental damage, earthquakes and health impacts
14	I	incurred as a result of the activity and handling of wastewater and other
15		byproducts associated with the extraction process;
16	ii.	A complete Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), with assessments of
17		health and economic impacts, baseline water quality and quantity and
18		the effect of a diversion of water that may currently be assigned to
19		agricultural, private and municipal use or under contracted obligations;
20	iii.	Local oversight and regulation of the fracking process and wastewater
21		disposal;
22	iv.	Mandatory disclosure of the chemicals, including percentages, used in
23		fracking and drilling fluids and wastewater injection. Such chemicals
24		must be identified as environmentally safe before use <u>or injection;</u>
25	v.	Mandatory monitoring of possible groundwater contamination and
26		oversight of saltwater disposal systems <u>wastewater injection wells</u> ; and
27	vi.	Compliance with the Federal Right to Know Act (Emergency Planning
28		and Community Right to Know Act). Oil and gas well operators must
29		report all hazardous chemicals in use or stored at drilling sites to the
30		State Emergency Response Commission (SERC). The SERC shall maintain
31		a current database with this information that is easily accessible to first
32		responders and the public.

1	E.	LANDOWNER RIGHTS IN NATURAL RESOURCES PROJECT DEVELOPMENT (WIND, SOLAR,
2		FRACKING, OIL, ETC.)
3	We su	pport a comprehensive policy that protects landowners from speculation and
4	unfair con	tracts in the development of natural resources. We support the following
5	landowne	rs' rights:
6	1.	Prohibition of non-disclosure or secrecy clauses in leases. Landowners
7		should be allowed to review leases with attorneys, lenders and other holders
8		of leases to ascertain the relative value of a lease offer;
9	2.	Establishment of a registry of current standard natural resources leases that
10		is accessible to the public;
11	3.	Prohibiting mandatory arbitration clauses to ensure the right of civil
12		litigation for landowners in lease disputes and help balance the legal
13		interests of landowners and developers;
14	4.	Limiting length of lease options to encourage the use of lease options for
15		actual development instead of speculation; wind and solar power leases to
16		terminate after five years if the project is not developed;
17	5.	Private property rights, including ownership of land and all its attributes
18		above, on, and below the surface, unless otherwise marketed;
19		a. We support a regulatory process that ensures the ending spot and
20		direction of directional drilling, and
21		b. We urge legislation to apportion the present real estate tax between
22		the surface owner and the mineral owner;
23	6.	Authorizing collective bargaining of leases to encourage fairness in the
24		application of lease terms among multiple landowners;
25	7.	Bonding and reclamation protections to encourage responsible energy
26		development and transmission at outset of the lease by providing funds up
27		front for reclamation of land after equipment, tower or project life has
28		expired;
29	8.	Prohibiting prior investment as a condition of lease or option of fulfillment;
30	9.	Prohibiting farmland ownership by energy development or generation
31		companies to ensure that agricultural land remains in the hands of

1	producers and retains the agricultural value of the land used in energy
2	development;
3	10. Prohibiting right of first refusal by developers, which allows a developer to
4	tie up land, and/or reduce marketability of landowner's land without
5	purchasing an option;
6	11. Disclosure of actual lease payments in contracts;
7	12. Three day cooling-off period after a lease agreement is signed to allow a
8	landowner to have a window to reconsider if, for example, his or her
9	attorney has an objection to the contract language;
10	13. Ownership of wind, solar, and mineral rights should not be severed from
11	surface rights and ownership of land;
12	14. Ownership of wind rights should extend up to a minimum of 100 feet above
13	the height of the wind turbine;
14	15. Enacting a moratorium on industrial wind siting in federal waters until an
15	open public process is developed for siting industrial wind power
16	generation;
17	16. Land owners sharing in percentage of energy revenues transmitted through
18	transmission lines sited on their property;
19	17. Landowner models for developing transmission associations that will create
20	transmission corridors and receive compensation on an annual basis
21	through royalties rather than one-time payments; and
22	18. An annual tax credit for landowners with renewable energy transmission
23	based on value of land impacted by development.
24	

1	ARTICLE VIII IX - ECONOMIC REGULATION AND THE FAMILY FARM
2	
3	A. FEDERAL BUDGET REFORM
4	The federal budget process is not working effectively. We urge Congress to revise
5	the entire budget process to prevent understating the true deficit problem and to
6	provide realistic reduction goals.
7	To cure the structural failings, we support:
8	1. Congress imposing the same Generally Accepted Accounting Principles
9	(GAAP) on the federal government as it has imposed on private financial
10	institutions;
11	2. Maintaining the system of sStatic scoring by the Congressional Budget Office
12	(CBO) and Joint Committee on Taxation;
13	3. All long-term credit programs, permanent and long-term improvements,
14	multipurpose conservation, dam and watershed projects, public buildings,
15	highways and inland waterways, be placed in a "capital budget," distinct
16	from the annual operation budget;
17	4. Farmers assessments or trust fund revenues not being used for deficit
18	reductions;
19	5. Congress demonstrating fiscal responsibility by the mandatory review of all
20	currently implemented legislation, and new legislation containing
21	mandatory sunset clauses to ensure timely review;
22	6. Programs that fail to meet their intended objectives to be reviewed and
23	restructured or terminated; and
24	7. Not punishing the farm bill baseline budget for savings generated through
25	the safety net.
26	We oppose:
27	1. Using budget sequestration in an attempt to reduce the federal deficit;
28	2. Any proposed agricultural cuts to relieve the federal deficit; and
29	<u>3.</u> Attempts to reduce funding for farm bill programs through budget
30	reconciliation <u>; and</u> .
31	<u>3.4.Dynamic scoring.</u>
32	B. MONEY AND CREDIT POLICY (ALSO SEE ARTICLE IV – CREDIT AND THE FAMILY FARM)

1	We support:		
2	1. Consumer, farmer and small business representation on the Federal Reserve		
3	Board (Fed);		
4	2. Congress providing for allocation of credit;		
5	<u>3-2.</u> Requiring the Fed to comply with provisions of the Humphrey-Hawkins Full		
6	Employment and Balanced Growth Act of 1978;		
7	4.3. Congress immediately authorizing a nonpolitical outside entity to conduct a		
8	thorough audit and investigation of the Fed and its policies; and		
9	5.4. To allow cannabis and cannabis-related businesses that are state regulated		
10	to have access to the normal banking system.		
11	C. BANK REGULATION		
12	From the beginnings of this country, public policy has favored a decentralized		
13	banking system, avoiding the abuses that would come from a highly concentrated		
14	financial structure.		
15	We are concerned about recent trends in bank regulation that have accelerated the		
16	loss of independent community banks and have decreased the banks' desire to service		
17	agricultural credit needs. It has also reduced community reinvestment.		
18	We support:		
19	1.— <u>Re-regulation of the financial services industry and reinstating provisions of</u>		
20	the Glass-Steagall Act of 1933, which would prohibit commercial banks from		
21	engaging in speculative investments using bank depositor funds; Passage of		
22	a banking reform bill that mirrors the Glass-Steagall Act and Dodd-Frank;		
23	1. The consumer protection provisions and easing the regulatory requirements		
24	on small banks within Dodd-Frank;		
25	2. Maintaining individual Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC)		
26	coverage of at least \$250,000, as gross sales transactions in agriculture have		
27	increased over the past number of years;		
28	3. Making all financial institutions aware that "too big to fail" will not be		
29	tolerated in the future; and		
30	4. Vigorous investigation and prosecution of criminal activity in our financial		
31	institutions.		
32	D. CREDIT UNIONS		

1 We support: 2 1. Maintaining the National Credit Union Share Insurance Fund as a separate 3 and independent agency from other federal deposit insurance systems; 4 2. The credit union movement in its efforts to combat the anti-competitive regulatory tactics undertaken by other segments of the financial services 5 6 industry; and 7 3. The right of all Americans to choose how and where they deposit their 8 earnings and transact their personal financial business. 9 We oppose any proposal that seeks to curtail services by credit unions to their 10 members under the false guise of regulatory reform or financial soundness. Such 11 proposals are especially discriminatory against rural credit unions that provide 12 agricultural credit services. 13 E. ESTATE AND GIFT TAX POLICY 14 In lieu of estate tax repeal, we support estate tax relief for family-owned farms, 15 ranches and small businesses in order to facilitate the transfer of those enterprises to 16 the next generation. We also support: 17 1. Estate tax relief for family-owned farms, ranches and small businesses in 18 order to facilitate the transfer of those enterprises to the next generation; 19 1.2. Creating policy that taxes agriculture estates based on production value and 20 not on potential development value so long as the estate and its property 21 remain in agriculture production; 22 2.3. Permanently maintaining the federal estate tax exemption per individual at 23 \$10 million, \$20 million per couple, indexed for inflation, with an additional 24 \$5 million exemption if the estate continues to be operated by a family 25 member or transfers to a beginning farmer; 26 <u>3.4.</u> Simplifying the exemption qualification rules and requirements; and 27 4.5. Implementing graduated rates with a base rate of 35 percent. 28 We oppose shifting tax liability from the estate tax to the capital gains tax through the elimination of the "step-up" in basis provision. 29 30 F. IRS TAX CODE 1031 EXCHANGES 31 We support:

1	1.	A study on the impact of IRS Tax Code 1031 Exchanges (Starker Exchange)
2		on farmland values;
3	2.	The return to a stricter interpretation of like kind property exchanges, i.e.
4		agricultural land for agricultural land; and
5	3.	Allowing property owners a minimum of 18 months to identify and complete
6		a 1031 exchange if the property was lost through eminent domain (also see
7		Article VI.Q – Eminent Domain)
8	G.	INCOME TAX REFORMS
9	We su	pport:
10	1.	A more progressive tax structure and oppose a flat tax;
11	2.	A simplified tax code;
12	3.	The full deductibility for the individual payment of premiums for health,
13		disability and long-term care. Premiums on life insurance benefits up to
14		\$500,000, or as required to be carried by creditors, should also be
15		deductible;
16	4.	A limited refundable federal income tax credit equal to all or a percentage of
17		the state and local real estate taxes paid by farmers and ranchers on
18		farmland utilized for commercial agriculture production;
19	5.	Income from a farm sale being put into a tax-deferred individual retirement
20		account (IRA);
21	6.	Annual gift tax limits of \$25,000 per individual;
22	7.	The concept of family savings accounts, the saver's credit for low-income
23		families with net incomes of \$40,000 or less, and other state and federal
24		programs known as an Individual Deposit Account (IDA) that are targeted at
25		low-income savers; <u>and</u>
26	8.	Permanent tax legislation for deductions of expenses accrued on the farm
27		and ranch under section 179 of the tax code of no less than \$500,000; and
28	<u>9.</u> 2	3. Tax expensing tools that allow family farmers to elect to deduct part or all of
29		the cost of qualifying farm assets (including machinery, equipment, and
30		special use of single-purpose buildings) in the year they are placed in
31		service. Such tools include adequately high limits of the accelerated
32		depreciation deduction and bonus depreciation deductions.

H. TAXATION

We support:

1

2	wesu	pport.
3	1.	Legislation that would hold multinational and off-shore corporations
4		responsible for their full tax burden, including user fees to cover the cost of
5		import inspections;
6	2.	Closing tax loopholes for corporations and individuals to balance the tax
7		burden for funding the federal government;
8	3.	The right of state governments to tax production of nonrenewable resources
9		such as coal, oil, natural gas and minerals . These taxes are the means by
10		which a state can recover its costs from social, economic and environmental
11		impacts and provide compensation for resource depletion;
12	4.	Reforming U.S. capital gains tax laws to allow tax-free conversion of farm
13		assets , i.e. breeding livestock, equipment, real estate, grower quota rights
14		and other farm investments in exchange for investments in all categories
15		available to the general public and other business entities;
16	5.	A \$500,000 capital gains exemption tax on farm real estate sales comparable
17		to the current residential sales exemption; and
18	6.	Studying the inclusion of a federal mechanism to equalize the effects of
19		foreign consumption taxes on trade.
20	We op	pose:
21	1.	Multinational corporations moving to tax havens to avoid tax liabilities;
22	2.	Legislation and court action that would prohibit states from taxing
23		multinational corporations based on the volume of business done in the
24		state;
25	3.	Any taxation for the use of the internet;
26	4.	Enactment of a national sales tax;
27	5.	Unfunded federal mandates being imposed on state and local jurisdictions;
28		and
29	6.	Efforts to prohibit the cash method of income and expense accounting.
30	I.	TAX CREDIT

	A prop	perly designed tax credit can encourage new enterprises in rural communities,			
2	be a stimulus for encouraging new family farms and family fishing businesses and be an				
3	opportunity for retiring farmers to sell their operations to beginning family farmers.				
4	We su	pport:			
5	1.	A tax credit, targeted to the seller of farm land, who sells to a beginning or			
6		young farmer;			
7	2.	Credit being extended to a sale of land or a small business from one			
8		generation to the next;			
9	3.	Continuation of the investment tax credit;			
10	4.	A federal tax credit for approved conservation practices for non-deductible			
11		expenses; and			
12	5.	An investment in tax credit allowance to farmer-owned cooperatives that			
13		build facilities in rural America. The investment tax credit should be			
14		required to be allocated back to the patron-members of the co-op.			
15	We op	pose the forced sale of assets under receivership of bankruptcy resulting in			
16	tax liabilit	ies that exceed the capacity of asset liquidation funds to meet those tax			
17	obligation	IS.			
18	J.	Commodity Futures			
18 19		Соммодіту Futures sure that the objectives of the commodity futures regulatory statutes are			
	To ens				
19	To ens	sure that the objectives of the commodity futures regulatory statutes are			
19 20	To ens	sure that the objectives of the commodity futures regulatory statutes are at, we support:			
19 20 21	To ens	sure that the objectives of the commodity futures regulatory statutes are at, we support: Oversight and authorization jurisdiction for the Commodity Futures Trading			
19 20 21 22	To ens	sure that the objectives of the commodity futures regulatory statutes are at, we support: Oversight and authorization jurisdiction for the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) being maintained by the Senate and House Agriculture			
19 20 21 22 23	To ens	sure that the objectives of the commodity futures regulatory statutes are at, we support: Oversight and authorization jurisdiction for the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) being maintained by the Senate and House Agriculture Committees; efforts to merge the CFTC with the Securities and Exchange			
19 20 21 22 23 24	To ens carried ou 1.	sure that the objectives of the commodity futures regulatory statutes are at, we support: Oversight and authorization jurisdiction for the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) being maintained by the Senate and House Agriculture Committees; efforts to merge the CFTC with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) should be defeated;			
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	To ens carried ou 1.	sure that the objectives of the commodity futures regulatory statutes are at, we support: Oversight and authorization jurisdiction for the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) being maintained by the Senate and House Agriculture Committees; efforts to merge the CFTC with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) should be defeated; Increased farm owner-operator representation on exchange boards,			
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	To ens carried ou 1. 2.	sure that the objectives of the commodity futures regulatory statutes are at, we support: Oversight and authorization jurisdiction for the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) being maintained by the Senate and House Agriculture Committees; efforts to merge the CFTC with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) should be defeated; Increased farm owner-operator representation on exchange boards, specifically on those committees responsible for rulemaking relating to new			
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	To ens carried ou 1. 2.	sure that the objectives of the commodity futures regulatory statutes are at, we support: Oversight and authorization jurisdiction for the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) being maintained by the Senate and House Agriculture Committees; efforts to merge the CFTC with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) should be defeated; Increased farm owner-operator representation on exchange boards, specifically on those committees responsible for rulemaking relating to new agricultural commodity contracts;			
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	To ens carried ou 1. 2.	sure that the objectives of the commodity futures regulatory statutes are at, we support: Oversight and authorization jurisdiction for the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) being maintained by the Senate and House Agriculture Committees; efforts to merge the CFTC with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) should be defeated; Increased farm owner-operator representation on exchange boards, specifically on those committees responsible for rulemaking relating to new agricultural commodity contracts; Establishing appropriate contract and aggregate position limits for all			
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	To ens carried ou 1. 2.	sure that the objectives of the commodity futures regulatory statutes are at, we support: Oversight and authorization jurisdiction for the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) being maintained by the Senate and House Agriculture Committees; efforts to merge the CFTC with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) should be defeated; Increased farm owner-operator representation on exchange boards, specifically on those committees responsible for rulemaking relating to new agricultural commodity contracts; Establishing appropriate contract and aggregate position limits for all commodities in all price discovery markets with input from agricultural			
19 20 21 22 23 24	To ens carried ou 1.	sure that the objectives of the commodity futures regulatory statutes are at, we support: Oversight and authorization jurisdiction for the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) being maintained by the Senate and House Agriculture Committees; efforts to merge the CFTC with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) should be defeated;			

1	5.	Requiring over-the-counter (OTC) trades be cleared by a CFTC-regulated		
2		clearing organization and reported publicly;		
3	6.	Increased transparency between domestic and foreign boards of trade; and		
4	<u>7.</u>	_Clearly defining hedgers and the hedger exemption to only include those		
5		with a legitimate commercial interest in the physical commodity <u>; and</u> -		
6	7.	_		
7	<u>8.</u>	_NFU supports increased funding for public agricultural research. We are		Formatted: N
8		concerned of the reductions in state and federal funds for crop and livestock		Numbering Sty Alignment: Left 0.75"
9		research. The resulting increase in private research has reduced the sharing		0.75
10		of information and increased costs of production inputs. We encourage		
11		s <u>S</u> tudy <u>ing</u> and <u>development developing of</u> new research revenue streams		
12		such as an assessment on agricultural commodity futures contracts. These		
13		revenues could be allocated to new or ongoing research products.		
14	We op	pose the adoption of policies that shift agricultural risk to individual		
15	producers	and force producers to depend on the commodity exchanges for risk		
16	managem	ent protection.		
17	Accordingly, CFTC should:			
18	1.	Guard against insider trading by individuals or firms -that possess		
19		foreknowledge of significant changes due to large market transactions;		
20	2.	Examine and investigate the role of increasing market power <u>of</u> funds, the		
21		connections between -the funds and large commercial interests , and the		
22		ability of these organizations to exchange resources and information and the		
23		effects on the commodities market that create excessive market volatility and		
24		"economic bubbles" which are detrimental to producers in the short or long		
25		term;		
26	3.	Ensure there <u>is are</u> an adequate number of delivery points for hedging		
27		participants;		
28	4.	Work in cooperation with other federal and state securities enforcement		
29		agencies to crack down on "boiler room" operations and other investigate		
30		and prosecute violators of the Commodities Exchange Act;		
31	5.	Monitor with special vigilance any market movements that indicate a		
32		deliberate accumulation of excessive speculative positions that exceeds the		

1		limited number of positions an individual speculator may hold and to
2		exercise, when necessary, the emergency powers granted by the Act;
3	6.	Monitor and guard against proposals by the commodity futures exchanges
4		impacting trading rules and trading limits that would increase market
5		volatility to the detriment of agricultural producers;
6	7.	Continue to monitor off-exchange-traded agricultural options and ensure
7		they are operated in a manner that benefits family farmers;
8	8.	Monitor activity on so-called "dark markets;" and
9	9.	Have a minimum of three of the five commissioners with agricultural
10		backgrounds.

1 2			ARTICLE IX – RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND THE FAMILY FARM
2 3	•	Dup 44	
	А.		COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
4			pport a comprehensive rural development policy that includes:
5		1.	Coordination and cooperation of the various government agencies involved
6		-	to better utilize existing programs and funds;
7		2.	Consideration of social needs, such as a living wage, health care, education
8			and human resource development, as well as venture capital, transportation
9			and telecommunications;
10		3.	Research and development of additional and alternative uses for existing
11			agricultural and seafood commodities and new alternative crops and fish
12			stocks, with a commitment to marketing and processing these products;
13		4.	Development of local expertise, to make the best use of available programs
14			and local talent;
15		5.	Low-interest loan and grant programs that foster the development of value-
16			added products_ and promote the production of renewable energy ;
17		<u>6.</u>	Low-interest loan and grant programs that foster energy efficiency and
18			renewable energy production;
19		6. 7	Creation of agricultural opportunities for a new generation of beginning
20	I		farmers as a central component of rural development;
21	ĺ	7. <u>8</u>	The organization of cooperative enterprises that retain equity, control and
22	I		ownership within rural communities as a proven self-help, home-grown
23			rural development mechanism which builds needed economic infrastructure
24			for rural people;
25		<u>8.9</u>	Access to credit, technical expertise and markets as essential ingredients in
26	I		securing opportunities for rural and agricultural enterprises;
27		<u>10</u>	<u>. Utilizing cC</u> ompetitive grants and producer opportunity payments to
28	I		stimulate research, education, market development and farm innovation that
29	ĺ		increase the farm and ranch share of food system profit .
30		<u>9.1</u>	<u>1. We also support rR</u> evitalization of agricultural communities through
31			entrepreneurship, enhance <u>d</u> food security by offering consumers greater
32	I		choice and access to a diversity of agricultural products;

1	<u>10.12. Expeditious The approval, adequate federal funding and construction of</u>
2	water projects and waste systems for rural communities and Indian
3	reservations;
4	<u>11.13.</u> The development of farmer-owned cooperative facilities;
5	<u>14. TheIncreased funding -development of emergency of loans and grants to</u>
6	rural communities forand the expansion of rural access to advanced
7	telecommunications to improve -schools, medical facilities, judicial systems
8	and other essential services;
9	<u>12.15</u> . Increased funding for loans and grants for rural essential services
10	infrastructure; that will allow for the financing of "star school" and "medical
11	link" programs in rural communities. This program should be combined with
12	the lower loan rate provisions for rural low-income areas by USDA Rural
13	Development (RD). Requiring RD to apply more liberal rural hospital loan
14	restructuring standards will assist rural communities to maintain needed
15	health care standards;
16	13.16. The Rural Utilities Service (RUS), Rural Business-Cooperative Service
17	<u>(RBS), and Rural Housing Service (RHS) expanded role of the Rural Utilities</u>
18	Service (RUS)using local investment revolving funds, grants and technical
19	assistance; in rural development. We call for the close monitoring of pilot
20	programs on "local investment revolving funds" and "rural economic
21	development review panels" to all rural areas;
22	<u>14.17.</u> Continuation and full funding for the The Resource Conservation and
23	Development (RC&D) program to encourage and improve the capability of
24	volunteers, locally elected officials and civic leaders; and
25	<u>15.18.</u> Incentives for rural business opportunities for veterans.
26	We oppose rural development grants that encourage the establishment or
27	expansion of larger non-family farms or open ocean aquaculture.
28	B. TRANSPORTATION
29	An integrated intermodal transportation system of waterways, railways and roads is
30	of crucial importance to America's farmers. Maintenance of a viable, competitive
31	transportation network within the United States ensures the free flow of farm products
32	to the market.

1	We support federal transportation policy that:
2	1. Fosters a balanced competition between all modes of transportation and
3	maintains protections for transportation users in those areas where such
4	competition does not exist;
5	2. Addresses deregulation of the nation's transportation system that has
6	reduced the quality of, or eliminated altogether, public transportation
7	services for small cities and rural communities. This trend has been evident
8	in airline services for several years and is now being felt in reduced or
9	eliminated bus service;
10	3. Extends the hazardous materials exemption for transportation of
11	agricultural production materials to family farmers and/or end-users, as it
12	relates to the Department of Transportation's HM-200 rule;
13	4. Exempts farm machinery operators from Commercial Driver's License
14	(CDL) requirements;
15	5. Exempts farm operations that are delivering their own product from CDL
16	requirements; and
17	6. Encourages development of alternative transportation systems including
18	electric, CNG, and other alternative fuels.
19	We oppose:
20	<u>1. New fF</u> ederal budget cuts that could further damage the transportation
21	services remaining in our rural communities:-
22	<u>1-2.</u> Proposals to terminate funding <u>or privatize</u> Amtrak rail service , or to
23	privatize it now that it is making money, would hurt hundreds of rural
24	communities without alternative transportation services; and
25	2.3. The use of gas tax funds for deficit reduction. Federal, state and local support
26	must be supplied to provide an integrated transportation system to serve
27	America's farmers and other rural residents.
28	1. U.S. HIGHWAY TRUST FUND
29	Money raised by highway excise taxes and interest earned on such revenues
30	accumulates in the Highway Trust Fund and can only be used for highway purposes,
31	with the exception that Congress has allowed part of such funds to be diverted to

1	mass transit construction and subsidies. We support using a portion of the trust			
2	fund to repair county and rural bridges that are structurally deficient.			
3	2. TRUCK TRANSPORTATION			
4	NFU believes that regulation of the trucking industry should focus on high			
5	quality, energy-efficient and reliable service for rural areas. Honest competition			
6	should be encouraged and rates should be regulated to prevent unfair practices by			
7	trucking companies.			
8	We support:			
9	i. Trucking industry regulations that permit cooperative-owned trucks to			
10	haul up to 30 percent of non-member, general merchandise;			
11	ii. Review of backhaul restrictions on trucking, while maintaining an			
12	emphasis on food safety, to save energy and lower shipping costs;			
13	iii. Legislation to provide for uniform maximum gross truck weights and			
14	measures, along with harmonized safety, license and operational			
15	regulations across all states; grandfather provisions for the longer			
16	lengths and higher gross weights presently authorized in certain states			
17	should be maintained;			
18	iv. Exclusion of farm vehicles, used exclusively to transport products of the			
19	farmer owner-operator, from federal highway-use taxes collected by the			
20	IRS, and exemption from any unnecessary federal regulation regarding			
21	the transportation of hazardous substances being used by farmers in the			
22	course of their own farming operations. Farm machinery and farm			
23	vehicles used for off-road purposes , which are being moved from one			
24	field to another, should be exempt from using taxable highway fuel; and			
25	v. Increased law enforcement to ensure commerce shipping is subject to			
26	traffic law so that community residents enjoy adequate protection of			
27	their safety.			
28	The above-listed regulations, as well as U.S. safety standards, must apply to all			
29	members of NAFTA.			
30	We believe family-farm operations hauling their own commodities should be			
31	exempt from mileage limitations, commercial driver's licenses, and commercial			

1	truck licensing requirements, including International Fuel Tax Association (IFTA)		
2	tax requirements and issues relative to the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Act.		
3	3. RAIL TRANSPORTATION		
4	i. Rail Service		
5	Federal rail transportation policy should recognize that deregulation of		
6	railroads cannot be treated in the same manner as deregulation of other		
7	industries. Continuing rail mergers result in elimination of rail service to many		
8	communities and the establishment of a single railroad service to entire portions		
9	of the country. Federal policy must provide for government regulation in		
10	instances where there is no rail competition.		
11	We support:		
12	1. The Surface Transportation Board (STB) addressing the problems of		
13	captive shippers, including:		
14	a. Ensuring that common carrier obligations are adhered to;		
15	b. Providing oversight of branch-line abandonment, in addition		
16	to transferring jurisdiction of branch-line abandonment to		
17	state regulatory agencies from the federal government;		
18	c. Ensuring that reasonable notice of rate changes is continued;		
19	d. Establishing trackage rights in order to encourage rail-to-rail		
20	competition;		
21	e. Establishing reciprocal switching within, and for an		
22	appropriate distance outside of terminals in order to		
23	encourage rail-to-rail competition;		
24	f. Authorizing a maximum rate for a movement to a captive		
25	shipper;		
26	g. Authorizing, when petitioned, the removal of agreement		
27	provisions that prevent short-line railroads from delivering		
28	traffic to any railroad;		
29	h. Enacting a policy that would hold railroads responsible for		
30	losses due to delayed delivery of rail cars; and		
31	i. Reasonable rates for less than unit train pricing.		

1	2. Taking action to avoid a rail car shortage for transporting grain and
2	other perishable commodities;
3	3. Expansion of regional railroads where local residents deem
4	appropriate, using a route that spares productive farmland;
5	4. Continued implementation of the Railroad Regulatory Reform Act of
6	1980 (Staggers Act): intended to provide protection to rail
7	transportation users who have been determined to be captive
8	shippers;
9	5.4. Legislation that would provide adequate bulk commodity and
10	intermodal shipping facilities;
11	6. <u>5.</u> Funds to finance a survey to determine the most desirable location of
12	subterminals as well as adequate financing of subterminals and
13	equipment, including rolling stock;
14	7. <u>6.</u> Provisions to assure continued local control over the movement and
15	storage of farm commodities;
16	8.7. Federal legislation that would create rural transportation
17	cooperatives and finance programs, patterned after the rural electric
18	cooperative program, for railroad cooperatives seeking to preserve
19	rail service in rural areas;
20	9.8. Careful consideration of proposals to create utility corridors or
21	federalize the railroad beds, thereby improving railroad efficiency
22	and promoting more competition among conventional and
23	alternative railroads;
24	<u>10.9.</u> "Unit train" loading that provides for pooling of grain shipments
25	and is not limited to one-stop terminal loading, in addition to stricter
26	regulations and better enforcement of laws to require companies to
27	provide proportionately equal service to elevators in the allocation of
28	rail cars;
29	<u>11.10.</u> Rate regulations that incorporate provisions to protect smaller
30	shippers from rate discrimination;
31	<u>12.11.</u> Continued regulation of freight rates and commodities shipped by
32	rail;

1	<u>13.12.</u> Statutory provisions to govern mergers or reorganizations of	
2	railroad lines facing financial difficulty to assure that such mergers do	
3	not destroy competition or necessary service;	
4	<u>14.13.</u> Allowing adjacent landowners or existing businesses leasing the	
5	property to be given first option to purchase abandoned railway	
6	rights-of-way, including mineral acres, at fair appraisal value;	
7	<u>15.14.</u> Legislation to prevent companies or railroad property owners	
8	from charging unreasonable prices for railroad property and lease	
9	sites;	
10	16.15. A moratorium on all rail-line abandonments, until a formula for	
11	abandonment determination is enacted by Congress that will weigh	
12	all economic and social costs prior to abandonment approval;	
13	17. Prohibition of railroad companies from forming holding companies	
14	or subsidiaries for the purpose of hiding assets originally received	
15	from land grants . Congress should insist that statutes barring	
16	acquisition of competing transportation lines be upheld;	
17	18.16. Opposition to any merger between major railroad carriers that	
18	will result in the decreased competition of the rail industry; and	
19	17. The expansion of high-speed rail, including in rural areas.	
20		
21	Opposition-We oppose to any merger between major railroad carriers that will	
22	result in the decreased competition of the rail industry; and	
23	19.	Formatted: Ir numbering
24	ii. Certificates of Transportation (COT)	Indifibering
25	Deregulation of the rail industry consolidated power among a small number	
26	of railroads and dramatically decreased competition. The subsequent Certificate	
27	of Transportation (COT) system is discriminatory, anti-competitive, and violates	
28	the intent of Congress in its adoption of the Staggers Act. Ultimately, the	
29	producers pay the increased freight costs that the COT injects into the	
30	marketing-transportation system. The greatest danger to producers and their	
31	local grain elevators is the additional concentration of economic power that the	
32	COT system would allow within the nation's grain-marketing system.	

1	NFU urges Congress to: the STB to	
2	1. Conduct an immediate investigation into review the use of COTs and the ◄-	Formatted: Ir
3	impact on grain producers and their local elevators ; and<u>.</u>	0.25", No bulle
4	2. Amend the Staggers Act to prohibit the use of such devices that force	
5	shippers to compete against each other for rail service.	
6	iii. Safety	
7	We support:	
8	1. Continued improvements to the safety mechanisms on railcars and	
9	railways to better protect our rural citizens, to include:	
10	a. Requiring reflective stripes to be placed and maintained on all	
11	railroad cars so they may be seen at night at rail crossings;	
12	b. Enforcing lower speed limits going through communities;	
13	c. Requiring railroads to erect warning light and arm signals on	
14	all crossings near schools; and	
15	d. Enhanced tank car standards and an expedited phase-out of	
16	older model tank cars in the transportation of flammable	
17	liquids and other hazardous materials.	
18	2. The Surface Transportation Board enacting a policy that will force	
19	railroads to upgrade the railway infrastructure as a first step in	
20	protecting the public and ensuring the safe transport of commodities;	
21	and	
22	3. The rail authority being held liable for expenses incurred by local fire	
23	and emergency response departments for updating equipment and	
24	training to deal with potential rail accidents.	
25	C. PORT DEVELOPMENT, SHIPPING POLICY	
26	We support:	
27	1. Adequate funding to improve our inland waterway transportation system,	
28	including funding to repair our system of locks. We are particularly	
29	concerned with needed lock renovation on the Mississippi River;	
30	2. The lowest possible user fee for the use of locks on inland rivers;	

1	0	
1	3.	Keeping the Great Lakes shipping channels, including the St. Lawrence
2		Seaway and the twin ports of Duluth and Superior, open while such lanes are
3		navigable, to maximize this nation's export capabilities;
4	4.	Restricting the management of our nation's port system to U.Sowned and –
5		based companies;
6	5.	Congress repealing the Jones Act; and
7	6.	-Efforts to deepen and maintain ports in the U.S., especially those that load
8		agricultural commodities.
9	We op	opose:
10	1.	Legislation that requires agricultural interests to pay a disproportionate fee
11		for operation, maintenance and construction of deep-draft channels and
12		ocean and Great Lakes ports, in relation to the benefits derived from such
13		activities; and
14	2.	Any excessive increases in the inland Waterways Fuel Tax-affecting the
15		transportation of agricultural commodities by barges.
16	D.	AIR TRANSPORTATION
17	We su	pport:
18	1.	Maintaining feeder and commuter airline services to farm/rural
19		communities to ensure air mail and passenger service;
20	2.	The continuation of federal regulation of all airline services to protect public
21		safety;
22	3.	Regulations that would assure that rural areas are not penalized in airline
23		rate structures; and
24	4.	Full funding and implementation of the Essential Air Service (EAS)-which
25		was created to assure rural Americans access to air transportation.
26	We op	ppose airline fees imposed at airports, after ticket purchase, such as a
27	surcharge	e for checked luggage.
28	E.	Rural Utilities
29	We su	pport:
30	1.	The RUS loans, loan guarantees, and economic development programs for
31		The ability of rural electric and rural telephone cooperatives to continue
32		supplying reliable and affordable services to farms and other sparsely

1	populated areas of the nation through loans, loan guarantees and economic	
2	development programs made available by the Rural Utilities Service (RUS) at	
3	levels adequate to meet capital requirements;; and	
4	2. Policies that allow for distributed generation that would enable family	
5	farmers to provide <u>electric electricity</u> generation f or themselves and their	
6	communities <u>.; and</u>	
7	3.—The continuation of technical standards and other assistance provided by	
8	RUS, provided at minimal cost to the taxpayer.	
9	We oppose termination or privatization of RUS. suggestions that the loan programs	
10	and other important services provided by RUS be terminated or in some way	
11	"privatized."	
12	1. TELEPHONE DEREGULATION SERVICES	
13	We support:	
14	—— <u>The Universal Service Fund</u> ;In 1985, the Federal Communications	Formatted: O Numbering Styl
15	Commission (FCC) proceeded along the path of deregulation with little	Alignment: Left 0.75"
16	interference from Congress. Congress should reaffirm its role in the	
17	formulation of communications policy by establishing transitional rules for	
18	the competitive telecommunications era, which will preserve universal	
19	telephone service.	
20	<u>i)</u>	
21	 Telephone service in rural regions will be jeopardized unless Congress 	
22	directs the Universal Service Fund, established in the FCC's Access Charge	
23	Decision, to provide adequate support for high-cost, rural-area telephone	
24	service.	
25	 We support c<u>C</u>ontinued access, maintenance and improvement to landline 	
26	(including metal wire) telephone service:-	
27	<u>ii)</u>	
28	— <u>Reduced regulatory burdens</u> Congress should provide safeguards for rural	
29	local exchange companies<u>telephone providers</u>,; and which are beset with	
30	increased regulatory burdens.	
31	<u>iii)</u>	

1	<u>iv) We support offering eEnhanced 911 emergency</u> telephone service in rural
2	areas.
3	2. Electric Services
4	We support the continuation of Low density is a major criterion for rural electric
5	cooperatives, and we urge continuation of a low-interest-loan pool program <u>for</u>
6	rural electric cooperatives.
7	<u>We oppose The established right of rural electric cooperatives to serve patrons</u>
8	other than farmers in their service areas should be fully protected from
9	encroachment on rural electric cooperative service areas by private and municipal
10	power companies.
11	3. TELECOMMUNICATIONS
12	Access to information, education and entertainment programming in an
13	information age is increasingly important to the quality of life in rural communities.
14	We support:
15	i. A ban on cross-ownership of media that - Deregulation has fostered
16	emergence of news and entertainment monopolies, result<u>s</u>ing in higher
17	telephone rates and rapid escalation of <u>subscription</u> cable television
18	rates;
19	ii. The continuation of the e-rate program , authorized in the
20	Telecommunications Act of 1996 to help make telecommunications
21	services affordable for school, libraries and health care facilities in rural
22	areas ;
23	iii. The development of cooperative cable television systems to serve both
24	rural and urban sectors;
25	iv. Adoption of FCC policies that encourage sound technical standards for
26	rural radio and television service;
27	v. Legislation to allow citizens to participate in advisory boards and
28	committees via the use of secured t elecommunications;
29	vi. Greater transparency in billing for telecommunications services; and
30	vii. Congress reinstating the Fairness Doctrine.
31	We oppose <u>:</u>

1	i) <u>F</u> -federal, state or local governments imposing taxes and fees on services	Formatted: 0 Numbering Sty		
2	such as satellite subscription television or internet; and-			
3	ii) Proposals that would weaken or eliminate radio and television farm news,			
4	public service broadcast time and other services.			
5	4. RURAL ACCESS TO TECHNOLOGY AND INFORMATION			
6	NFU should work with its rural advocacy partners to ensure policymakers in			
7	Congress, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and USDA understand the			
8	importance of robust broadband deployment in rural America.			
9	We support:			
10	i. Efforts to ensure competitively priced, high-speed broadband <u>and</u>			
11	wireless access to the internet for rural America, which should remain			
12	free of censorship and not interfere with other frequencies;			
13	ii. Collaborative efforts and public/private initiatives that leverage internet-			
14	based technology and use the internet to improve communications,			
15	reduce costs, increase access and grow farm business for producers and			
16	their cooperatives;			
17	iii. Legislative action and efforts by the administration to encourage robust			
18	broadband and wireless deployment in rural America to drive economic			
19	development, better serve farmers and ranchers and to prevent a digital			
20	divide between rural and urban citizens;			
21	iv. <u>iii.</u> Net neutrality; and			
22	$\forall \underline{\forall v}$. The further advancement of the cell tower and fiber optic networks to			
23	cover all rural communities to support:			
24	a. New technology in agriculture that involves the use of cell service,			
25	b. Faster, more improved emergency services, and			
26	c. <u>Carriers Access forto</u> emerging technologies.			
27	National Farmers Union supports Fair Repair and Right to Repair legislation that			
28	would allow farmers and independent mechanics access to diagnostic software,			
29	information, and other tools in order to repair modern equipment.			
30	F. SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT			
31	1. INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT BONDS			

1	We su	ipport:
2	i.	Each state being allowed a minimum base allocation in addition to the
3		per-capita allocation allowed industrial development bonds, in order to
4		provide equity among states;
5	ii.	Judicious use of these bonds to ensure priority is given to long-term,
6		locally based economic development projects within the community; and
7	iii.	States using industrial development bonds to finance beginning or
8		socially disadvantaged farmer programs.
9		2. Small Business Policy
10	We su	ipport:
11	i.	Federal policy that <u>protects</u> fosters and encourages-small businesses ,
12		$rac{\mathrm{protecting them}}{\mathrm{from predatory encroachment}} \mathrm{of} \underline{\mathrm{by}} \mathrm{monopolistic} \mathrm{big}$
13	I	business;
14	ii.	Small businesses being given a fair opportunity to bid on government
15		contracts;
16	iii.	Continuation of the Small Business Administration (SBA);
17	iv.	<u>Ample sS</u> mall-business loan funds being available through the SBA to
18	I	meet credit-worthy applications; and
19	v.	Requiring government $rRegulations$ and paperwork to be administered
20	I	in ways that do not place an undue burden on small businesses.
21		3. ENTERPRISE FACILITATION
22	We er	ncourage family farmers, ranchers and small boat fishermen <u>fishers</u> and
23	their loca	l communities to research and utilize various USDA Rural Development
24	technical	assistance and funding programs that exist to create and support
25	economic	and co-op development, enterprise facilitation, and foster the
26	developm	ent of new products and markets through the National Sustainable
27	Agricultu	re Information Service, Rural Cooperative Development Grants (RCDG),
28	Value-Ad	ded Producer Grants (VAPG), the Agricultural Marketing Resource Center
29	(AgMRC),	Agricultural Innovation Centers (AIC), and regional food hubs, as well as
30	others.	

1		ARTICLE XI - QUALITY OF LIFE IN RURAL AMERICA		
2	I			
3	NFU urges the adoption of national policies that address the difficulty and greater			
4	cost of providing necessary health, education, consumer protection, public and			
5	emergency s	ervices for our children, sick, needy, handicapped and elderly.		
6	A. HEALTH C	ARE		
7	NFU stro	ngly affirms the right of all Americans to have access to affordable, quality		
8	health care, v	with emphasis on disease prevention and access to nutritional ly sound		
9	food s .			
10	I	1. HEALTH CARE COVERAGE, ACCESS AND CARE		
11	We su	apport:		
12	i.	A continued effort toward an improved national comprehensive health		
13		plan, which includes a public option that allows citizens to choose their		
14		own doctors, that provides universal, affordable and accessible coverage		
15		and elder care for all Americans , regardless of their health status,		
16		employment, gender or financial situation;		
17	ii.	Adoption of a single-payer national health insurance program with no		
18		deductible and minimal co-pays that provides comprehensive health care		
19		services that would include physical, mental and dental care to all		
20		Americans. Government funds to operate such a system, similar to		
21		Medicare, should be raised in a manner based on ability to pay;		
22	iii.	Emphasizing pPreventive care and retention of choice of doctors;		
23	iv.	Including health promotion and education in long-term policy and		
24		planning;		
25	v.	Consumer education with special emphasis on childhood education on:		
26		a. The benefits of nutritionally sound foods;		
27		b. Health dangers of harmful products; and		
28		c. Education about the benefits and risks of immunization.		
29	vi.	Increased funding for mental health and addiction treatment services;		
30	vii.	Research and education, including increased publicly-funded research, to		
31		prevent the spread of, and to find a cure for, life-threatening diseases;		

1	viii.	The development, research and use of licensed alternative medicines and
2		practices. Such remedies should be eligible for reimbursement;
3	ix.	Expedited access to promising treatments for terminal illnesses,
4		including those in trial phase;
5	Х.	Increased access to, and use of, palliative care for patients in end-of-life
6		situations to relieve pain, help make them more comfortable, and
7		improve their quality of life in their last remaining days;
8	xi.	Third-party reimbursement for advanced health care professionals to
9		allow nurses, resident caregivers, nurse practitioners, and physician's
10		assistants to set up clinics and to allow improved access to home health
11		care, health promotion, and health maintenance in rural America;
12	xii.	Long-term care, end-of-life care, and expanded in-home care coverage
13		being included in any universal coverage reform. In addition, asset
14		spend-down limits should be increased;
15	xiii.	Continuation of the National Health Service Corps. Funds should also be
16		allocated to communities to provide training and equipment for
17		emergency health care;
18	xiv.	Self-employed farmers having the same access to dependent care
19		services as those in other industries;
20	XV.	Assistance to families struggling to provide care to their dependents,
21		including children, handicapped persons and the elderly;
22	xvi.	Adoption of a comprehensive program of federal aid for depended care,
23		including the use of tax credits;
24	xvii.	Congress opposing tort reform that precludes consumers' ability to
25		receive adequate compensation for wrongful actions;
26	xviii.	Implementation and full funding of the Farm and Ranch Stress
27		Assistance;
28	xix.	The elimination of payment caps and benefits available to those with
29		preexisting conditions;
30	XX.	That the decision-making power <u>Decisions regarding</u> for patient care
31		reside lies with the physician and patient, not with the insurance
32		company or government;

1	xxi.	Rural areas being included in I implementation of Health Information
2		Technology (HIT) systems in rural areas, and which occurs as a means to
3		use computers, computer network systems to store, protect, retrieve and
4		transfer clinical, administrative and financial information electronically.
5		We urge federal legislation to eliminate gaps in incentive funding for
6		Rural Health Clinics (RHCs) and Community-Funded Safety Net Clinic
7		(CSNCs) to obtain funds to establish the systems necessary to fully serve
8		their patients with new information technology; and
9	xxii.	-Full implementation of provisions that will end discrimination by
10		limiting or denying benefits due to pre-existing conditions; and full
11		implementation of recently adopted legislation to remove limits on
12		benefits;
13	xxiii.	Rural Health Clinics (RHCs) and Community-Funded Safety Net Clinics
14		(CSNCs) as critical parts of rural health care safety net systems. We urge
15		these entities be provided the same access to funding that is available to
16		other safety net providers. We urge the inclusion of rural and
17		community-funded safety net providers in the programs and services
18		included in the Affordable Care Act passed by Congress;
19	xxiv. xxii.	<u>States craftingUniform</u> health insurance exchange legislationsystem, a
20		state-based marketplace where individuals and businesses will be able to
21		compare and purchase health insurance and establish a system that is
22		feasible, promotes access and care for rural citizens and addresses their
23		needs ;
24	xxv. xxiii.	_States expanding Medicaid coverage to additional low-income citizens;
25	xxvi. xxiv.	_The reauthorization and expansion of the State Children's Health
26		Insurance Program (SCHIP); and
27	xxvii. xxv.	The establishment of cooperative-based health insurance companies.
28		2. PRESCRIPTION DRUGS
29	We su	ipport:
30	i.	Immediately addressing discriminatory pricing policies, the ability of
31		providers to negotiate the price of drugs, access to generic drugs and an
32		adequate prescription drug benefit for all Americans;

1	ii.	Providing rural access to prescription drugs and pharmacy services.
2		Retail pharmacies must have equal access to pharmaceutical
3		manufacturers' discounts, and state and federal legislative efforts are
4		encouraged to ensure equal access;
5	iii.	The clear labeling of all prescription drugs' purposes;
6	iv.	Permitting tThe general re-importation of prescription drugs from other
7	l	countries and repeal the federal law that prohibits it; and
8	v.	Expedited cConsumer access to safe and proven generic prescription
9	l	drugs and an end to extended delays by drug companies or the FDA.
10		3. MEDICARE AND MEDICAID
11	We su	ipport:
12	i.	Medicare, Medicaid and a prescription drug program that allows the
13		$\frac{Medicare \ Program \ tT}{D}$ he ability to negotiate the price of drugs for a
14	l	prescription drug program;
15	ii.	Expediting Medicare reimbursement to health care providers. All health
16		care providers should be reimbursed at a rate no lower than the
17		providers' actual cost as determined by independent audit;
18	iii.	Extension of the Medicare program to include the treatment of long-term
19		illness as a covered benefit;
20	iv.	Reducing the Medicare entry age to 55;
21	v.	Accelerating the eElimination of the "donut hole" (coverage gap) in
22	I	Medicare;
23	vi.	The reform of Medicare and Medicaid to enable and encourage doctors
24		and dentists to serve all Medicare and Medicaid patients, especially in
25		rural areas; and
26	vii.	Payment reform efforts and continued cost-based reimbursement for
27		rural hospitals and Critical Access Hospitals (CAH) for Medicare services.
28	We op	opose:
29	i.	Any cuts to Medicare and Medicaid;
30	ii.	Privatizing Medicare;
31	iii.	Proposals to block-grant Medicaid and strip its status as an entitlement;
32		and

1	iv.	Increased use by hospitals of keeping people overnight for observation	
2		care status instead of admitting patients to hospitals.	
3		4. VETERANS' RIGHTS	
4	We su	ipport:	
5	i.	Increases in the VA's medical care operating budget;	
6	ii.	Redoubling efforts to ensure all veterans receive timely and adequate	
7		care, as they were promised;	
8	iii.	Preservation of veterans' rights and benefits;	
9	iv.	Rejecting any proposal that would close VA hospitals to balance the	
10		federal budget;	
11	v.	Continuation of federal and state funding for existing rural hospitals and	
12		nursing homes to provide for the health needs of veterans , while	
13		allowing them to stay close to their families;	
14	vi.	Increasing emphasis of programs to provide assistance to individuals	
15		suffering from post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and all mental	
16		health issues; and	
17	vii.	Agricultural rehabilitation and vocational training programs for military	
18		veterans, such as the Farmer-Veteran Coalition.	
19	B. Ec	DUCATION	
20	Our great	ϵ est wealth lies in an educated and informed society. The value of targeted \star -	Formatted: Li
21	federal invest	tment in education has been demonstrated through establishment of the	
22	land-grant co	ollege system in 1862, the GI Bill of Rights, and the National Defense	
23	Education Ac	t. Schools need more time, money and a more equitable way of assessing	
24	school and st	udent achievement. We support:	
25	1. Ma	aintaining the U.S. Department of Education and establishing an assistant	
26	se	cretary for rural education;	
27	2. Co	ontinuation and full funding of the Perkins Act and that career technical	
28	ed	lucation remains under the umbrella of the Department of Education and	
29	CO	ontinues to be treated as an educational entity;	
30	3. Eli	imination of excessive testing requirements incumbent to such programs	
31	as	"Common Core";	

1	4. A strong national educational set of standards designed to maintain
2	consistency when students transfer schools;
3	5. No unfunded mandates from state or federal governments on schools;
4	6. Congress to fully fund the federal mandated Individuals with Disabilities
5	Education Act to assist all districts (especially rural districts) in meeting the
6	needs of all students;
7	7. Ensuring that all youth and young adults are aware of the relevance of
8	agriculture, cooperatives and family farming in their society through
9	adequate funding for coursework and/or other activities required by
10	education institutions;
11	8. Voluntary Bible-reading and prayer in our public schools;
12	9. States finding more equitable ways other than property tax to fund public
13	education;
14	10. Prohibiting the use of vouchers;
15	11. Continued involvement with, and active support of, Organizations Concerned
16	with Rural Education (OCRE);
17	12. Expansion of grants and lower "low-interest" student loans to assist students
18	of all ages with the cost of higher education;
19	13. Prohibiting the requirement of using credit ratings in determining loan
20	eligibility;
21	14. Prohibiting the privatization of state and federal student loan programs;
22	15. The interest rate level on student loans should be equal to, or less than the
23	10-year Treasury rate that is enjoyed by the large banking institutions;
24	16. Revising financial aid forms to ensure a more equitable system for both
25	urban and rural farm students;
26	17. Establishment of a federal student loan forgiveness program for students
27	who attend college and subsequently return to rural communities, based on
28	years of service to those communities;
29	18. The inclusion of agricultural producers in a federal and/or state student loan
30	forgiveness program based on years of service to rural communities;
31	19. Rural schools being assured their fair share of both federal and state
32	resources and support;

1	20. Ensuring all adults have the opportunity to participate throughout life in
2	meaningful educational and vocational training programs;
3	21. Prohibiting cuts in student aid;
4	22. The federal Qualified Zone Academy Bonds (QZAB) program;
5	23. Farmers Union members monitoring the implementation of the Vocational
6	Education Act in their local schools and state vocational technical programs
7	to be sure that the interests of agriculture are not slightedmet;
8	24. Involvement in local, state and national 4-H, FFA, and other vocational
9	education organizations;
10	25. Unemployed workers having the opportunity for retraining and upgrading
11	their skills as part of their unemployment benefits;
12	26. The continuation of federal or state-funded retraining programs for
13	displaced farmers and ranchers and their spouses;
14	27. Teaching animal welfare, as opposed to animal rights, through efforts
15	including, but not limited to, the "Agriculture in the Classroom" program;
16	28. Adequate funding to enable public schools in rural areas to provide our
17	children with a well-rounded education that will enable them to be
18	productive citizens;
19	29. Schools that have developed courses in entrepreneurship as a means of
20	encouraging young people to stay in their rural communities;
21	30. Encouraging rural schools to explore all educational possibilities to enhance
22	the curriculum, such as distance-learning courses, whichand serve as an
23	alternative to school consolidation or closure;
24	31. The development and retention of remote learning centers to provide a
25	broader range of educational opportunities; and
26	32. Consumer and regulator education on the benefits of healthy soils in relation
27	to our physical health, particularly as related to the Food Safety
28	Modernization Act (FSMA).
29	We oppose mandatory consolidation of rural schools.
30	1. Public Research (<i>Also see Article VIII.J – Commodity Futures</i>)
31	Land-grant colleges and universities helped create the technological revolution
32	in agriculture. We support:

1	i.	These institutions focusing on research to increase family farm net
2		income, specialty crops and commodity prices;
3	ii.	Farmers Union state organizations scrutinizing relationships between
4		USDA grants and the land-grant colleges and universities and large
5		agribusiness corporations to ensure that research by those colleges and
6		universities is in the best interests of family farmers;
7	iii.	Full financial disclosure of funding sources for land-grant university
8		research projects must be made a part of the published research;
9	iv.	The continuation and additional funding for all federal formula
10		allocations, such as Hatch Act and Smith-Lever Act funds;
11	V.	Increased funding supporting land-grant colleges for research into
12		alternative agricultural technologies which would benefit small and
13		specialized family farmers by reducing input costs and by developing a
14		system of sustainable agriculture;
15	vi.	Funding targeted for the development of risk management tools for
16		organic producers (also see article I.G.2 – Crop Insurance and Article I.I.9 –
17		National Organic Standards);
18	vii. vi.	_Targeted research specifically designed to explore innovative production,
19		processing and marketing topics that enhance small or family-sized farm
20		operations;
21	viii. vii.	_Amending the Internal Revenue Code to create a new type of 401(c)(3)
22		organization, as an agriculture research organization (ARO), to conduct
23		agricultural research and increase funding to advance agriculture;
24	ix. viii.	_Publicly funded research, findings and by-products of the research
25		remaining in the public domain and benefiting family-sized farms; and
26	x. ix.	_Consideration to authorize the distribution of federal agriculture
27		research funds to both land-grant universities and other post-secondary
28		agricultural educational institutions.
29		2. NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF FOOD AND AGRICULTURE
30	The N	ational Institute of Food and Agriculture (NIFA) was established with the
31	mandate t	to help the public learn about and apply to everyday activities, the latest
32	technolog	y and management knowledge. This valuable rural information delivery

1	system's role must be reassessed and strengthened to meet the demands of a		
2	rapidly changing, highly sophisticated technology delivery system now available in		
3	this country.		
4	We support:		
5	i. Land-grant universities re-envisioning agricultural extension training so		
6	that it respects and utilizes the experience of farmers and ranchers and		
7	the significant role this experience plays in the science of agriculture;		
8	ii. Farmers and ranchers being part of the research team;		
9	iii. Extension training respecting and utilizing the agricultural practices of		
10	traditional native peoples and acknowledge the significant role these		
11	practices play in sustainable agriculture;		
12	iv. Agricultural extension educators mentoring low- and moderate-income		
13	families to improve agricultural economies by adding value to		
14	agricultural products; and		
15	v. No funds utilized by NIFA being used to carry out political or lobbying		
16	activities.		
17	C. Social Security		
18	We support:		
19	1. Active participation in developing a plan to help ensure the solvency for		
20	Social Security for future years;		
21	2. Prohibition of using Social Security funds for anything other than their		
22	intended use;		
23	3. Preserving a major portion of any budget surplus for Social Security;		
24	4. Opposition to a freeze on the Social Security cost-of-living allowance for all		
25	recipients;		
26	5. Social Security tax being applicable to all earnings by removal of the income		
27	cap;		
28	6. Opposition to any part of Social Security being invested in non-government-		
29	insured investments;		
30	7. Social Security being a mandatory, universal system to assure benefits in the		
31	future;		
32	8. Continued strengthening and protecting of the Social Security program;		

1	9.	Opposition to proposals that would privatize the system;
2	10	. Continuation of efforts made to correct an inequity in Social Security benefits
3		for recipients born during "notch" years, which results in reduced
4		entitlements for basically the same level of contributions;
5	11	. Congress changing laws so that a husband and wife who are equal business
6		partners in a farming operation are able to collect equally on the Social
7		Security tax that was paid as a result of that business; and
8	12	. Congress changing the eligibility requirements for individuals who haven't
9		worked off the farm long enough to qualify for benefits.
10	D.	People with Disabilities
11	We su	pport:
12	1.	Public and private programs aimed at providing development, therapy and
13		rehabilitation of Americans with developmental, physical and mental
14		challenges; and
15	2.	Equal and gainful employment for individuals with disabilities and the
16		development of special supports for farmers who are disabled and who want
17		to continue to farm.
18	E.	Employment, <u>A-A</u> NATIONAL PRIORITY
19	We ree	cognize the need to prepare a skilled workforce that will be required for a
20	healthy, co	ompetitive, full-employment economy.
21	We su	pport:
22	1.	Expanding present policy to further training and employment opportunities
23		for all ages of men and women who want to work;
24	2.	Assistance being targeted to retraining dislocated workers and displaced
25		farmers;
26	3.	A preference for training with agriculture or agricultural related industries;
27	4.	Directing special emphasis to stimulating economic growth and increasing
28		research and development of technology that will generate productive jobs
29		with fair wages and benefits; and
30	5.	The elimination of the Multiemployer Pension Reform Act of 2014 (MPRA).
31	F.	Immigration Policy <i>(also see Article I.I.1 – Farm Labor)</i>

1	We be	lieve the growing consequences of a broken immigration system must be
2	addressed	in a bipartisan effort that considers the following principles:
3	<u>1.</u>	Our immigration system must be flexible enough to address the needs of
4		businesses while protecting the interests of workers;
5	<u>-1-2</u>	Immigration is a federal issue that should be addressed at the federal level.
6		We therefore oppose programs such as E-Verify at the state level only;
7	2. 3	We support adoption of a mandatory E-Verify program only in conjunction
8		with a coherent and viably effective agricultural worker program. The E-
9		Verify system must allow communication among federal agencies for
10		determining status in order to protect the integrity of the worker and of the
11		employer;
12	3	-We support improvements to the H-2A program to help the agricultural
13		<u>community by allowing a more flexible and reliable visa program; We</u>
14		support simplifying the H-2A program by removing overly burdensome
15		requirements to better serve the needs of family farmers and ranchers;
16	4.	As we are best served by a free-market philosophy that maximizes individual
17		freedom and opportunity, our immigration system must be flexible enough
18		to address the needs of businesses while protecting the interests of workers.
19		This includes an effective visa system responsive to geographic proximity
20		and economic and cultural factors, which acknowledge the beneficial
21		contributions immigrants make as workers, taxpayers and consumers;
22	5.	As strong families are critical to developing successful individuals and
23		cohesive communities, our immigration policies should prioritize keeping
24		families together to most enable supportive home environments for all
25		children;
26	6.	Our immigration enforcement strategy should focus on public safety and
27		consider consequences to businesses, workers and consumers. Furthermore,
28		our broader immigration reform effort should include a path to reliably and
29		affordably determine who is permitted to work, ensuring an adequate labor
30		force for a growing economy;

1	7.	Immigrants are part of both our rural and urban communities. We must
2		adapt to this reality and recognize the critical role immigration has played in
3		our nation's history and economy;
4	8.	Immigration policies must provide a sensible path for those who are here
5		without legal status, are of good character, pay taxes and are committed to
6		becoming fully participating members of our society. The legalization of
7		undocumented workers may occur after paying appropriate fines and
8		incurring penalties for illegal entry; and
9	9	–Encouraging any people seeking permanent residence in the United States to
10	I	apply for citizenship with all the rights and responsibilities that accompany
11		it <u>.; and</u>
12	10	<u>9. Moving forward with a worker visa program for immigrants who are</u>
13		working on farms and ranchers, including both seasonal and full time year-
14		round workers. Eligibility for visa renewal should be based on work history.
15	We als	so support:
16	1.	I mplementing t<u>T</u>he DREAM Act;
17	2.	A fast track toward U.S. citizenship for those immigrants of upstanding
18		character who seek citizenship and serve with honor in a branch of the U.S.
19		military service; and
20	3.	A pathway to citizenship or legal residency for undocumented agricultural
21		workers that does not restrict their employment to any specific farm or
22		group of farms.
23	We op	pose the indentured servitude of a captive workforce.
24	G.	EXPANDING OPPORTUNITIES FOR SENIOR CITIZENS
25	In kee	ping with the traditional American concept of individual dignity in our
26	democrati	c society, all older Americans are entitled to enjoy an active involvement in
27	our societ	y. The number of older Americans continues to increase, and efforts must be
28	expanded	to continue to make use of their experience, skills and energy to fill the needs
29	of our soc	iety through citizen involvement, employment and volunteer activities.
30	We su	pport:
31	1.	The energies and talents of retired Farmers Union members and employees
32		being used to strengthen and expand our organization;

1	2. 4	Reauthorization of and enhanced funding for <u>T</u> the Older Americans Act
2	((OAA);
3	3. I	Experience Works, formerly Green Thumb, an Internal Revenue Code section
4	Ę	501(c)(3) nonprofit organization was conceived by NFU because older
5	I	Americans who had the ability and desire to work were not given the
6		opportunity to do so. Experience Works exists today because it has never
7	1	ost sight of its original purpose, to serve those most in need of its benefits;
8	4. (Continuation and expansion of <u>T</u> the Senior Community Service Employment
9	I	Program (SCSEP) <u>, as a separate categorical program under the</u>
10	f	administration of the Department of Labor, providing full funding at
11	1	authorized levels and opposing block-granting; and
12	5. 1	The development of policies to allow our seniors to age in place-if they so
13	e	lesire .
14	H. I	Food and Nutrition Programs
15	It is imp	erative that our national nutrition policy addresses both the quantity and
16	quality of fo	ood available to needy Americans. Nutrition programs should place an
17	emphasis of	n fresh and local foods to ensure that Americans of all income levels have
18	access to he	ealthy, nutritious foods.
19		1. Administration of Food and Nutrition Programs
20	Wes	support:
21	i.	Reauthorization and full funding of federal nutrition programs under the
22		auspices of USDA;
23	ii.	Expansion of nutrition programs to include farm-to-school, senior
24		project-fresh, WIC and Senior Farmers Market Nutrition Programs,
25	1	programs that allow SNAP and other federal nutrition program
26		beneficiaries to double their benefits at farmers markets, and others;
27	iii.	Congress continuing federal responsibility for nutrition programs;
28	iv.	Maintaining federal standards as well as the USDA's authority for
29		commodity donations to nutrition programs;
30	v.	Requiring comprehensive and unbiased research precede any official
31		dietary advice regarding the relationship between diet and health;

1	vi.	The United States Dietary Guidelines to utilize the abundant and
2		thorough evidence suggesting that Americans should consume a
3		balanced diet rich in nutrients which includes lean meats and dairy
4		products;
5	vii.	All federally funded nutrition programs following the US Dietary
6		Guidelines;
7	viii.	Periodic reviews of federally funded nutrition programs to assess their
8		effectiveness; and
9	ix.	Expansion of nutrition feeding programs for the elderly, including the
10		distribution of excess commodities when available.
11	We op	ppose:
12	i.	The privatization of the administration of federally-funded nutrition
13		programs;
14	ii.	The shifting of nutrition programs to state block grants; and
15	iii.	Separating nutrition title programs from the Farm Bill.
16		2. FOOD ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS
17	We su	ipport:
17 18	We su i.	ipport: The Food Stamp Act of 1964;
18	i.	The Food Stamp Act of 1964;
18 19	i. ii.	The Food Stamp Act of 1964; The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP);
18 19 20	i. ii.	The Food Stamp Act of 1964; The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP); Outreach efforts to extend services to the increasing number of hungry
18 19 20 21	i. ii.	The Food Stamp Act of 1964; The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP); Outreach efforts to extend services to the increasing number of hungry people who should be served, particularly in rural areas where access is
18 19 20 21 22	i. ii. iii.	The Food Stamp Act of 1964; The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP); Outreach efforts to extend services to the increasing number of hungry people who should be served, particularly in rural areas where access is a problem;
 18 19 20 21 22 23 	i. ii. iii. iv.	The Food Stamp Act of 1964; The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP); Outreach efforts to extend services to the increasing number of hungry people who should be served, particularly in rural areas where access is a problem; Exclusion of farm loans as income in determining eligibility for SNAP;
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	i. ii. iii. iv.	The Food Stamp Act of 1964; The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP); Outreach efforts to extend services to the increasing number of hungry people who should be served, particularly in rural areas where access is a problem; Exclusion of farm loans as income in determining eligibility for SNAP; Continued development of the Electronic Benefits Transfer (EBT)
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	i. ii. iii. iv. v.	The Food Stamp Act of 1964; The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP); Outreach efforts to extend services to the increasing number of hungry people who should be served, particularly in rural areas where access is a problem; Exclusion of farm loans as income in determining eligibility for SNAP; Continued development of the Electronic Benefits Transfer (EBT) Program;
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	i. ii. iii. iv. v. v.	The Food Stamp Act of 1964; The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP); Outreach efforts to extend services to the increasing number of hungry people who should be served, particularly in rural areas where access is a problem; Exclusion of farm loans as income in determining eligibility for SNAP; Continued development of the Electronic Benefits Transfer (EBT) Program; Prohibiting efforts to substitute cash payment for SNAP;
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	i. ii. iii. iv. v. v.	The Food Stamp Act of 1964; The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP); Outreach efforts to extend services to the increasing number of hungry people who should be served, particularly in rural areas where access is a problem; Exclusion of farm loans as income in determining eligibility for SNAP; Continued development of the Electronic Benefits Transfer (EBT) Program; Prohibiting efforts to substitute cash payment for SNAP; Programs which allow SNAP users to purchase food directly from
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	i. ii. iii. iv. v. v.	The Food Stamp Act of 1964; The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP); Outreach efforts to extend services to the increasing number of hungry people who should be served, particularly in rural areas where access is a problem; Exclusion of farm loans as income in determining eligibility for SNAP; Continued development of the Electronic Benefits Transfer (EBT) Program; Prohibiting efforts to substitute cash payment for SNAP; Programs which allow SNAP users to purchase food directly from farmers markets, community supported agriculture (CSAs) and local
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 	i. ii. iii. iv. v. v. vi. vii.	The Food Stamp Act of 1964; The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP); Outreach efforts to extend services to the increasing number of hungry people who should be served, particularly in rural areas where access is a problem; Exclusion of farm loans as income in determining eligibility for SNAP; Continued development of the Electronic Benefits Transfer (EBT) Program; Prohibiting efforts to substitute cash payment for SNAP; Programs which allow SNAP users to purchase food directly from farmers markets, community supported agriculture (CSAs) and local producers;

1	ix.	USDA making healthy surplus foods readily available to food banks and
2		emergency kitchens, bearing the cost of transportation and storage; and
3	х.	Federal law that requires commodities distributed for nutrition
4		programs be domestically produced.
5		3. Child Nutrition Programs
6	We su	ipport:
7	i.	Full funding and expansion of the child nutrition programs such as the
8		School Lunch Program, School Breakfast Program, Child and Adult Care
9		Food Program (CACFP), Summer Food Service Program, the farm-to-
10		school program, WIC, and other USDA nutrition assistance programs;
11	ii.	Congress to redirect USDA to support a school meal program at the
12		federal level that provides flexibility and reduces the federal regulations
13		while encouraging a healthy diet, the use of local foods and local food
14		preparation;
15	iii.	Free lunches under the School Lunch Program for all elementary
16		students;
17	iv.	The Special Milk Program for children;
18	v.	Congress to strengthen and expand farm to school programs by
19		supporting an increase of annual mandatory funding for the USDA Farm
20		to School Grant Program. We support expansion of the USDA Farm to
21		School Grant Program to include preschools, summer food service
22		program sites, and before and after school programs. We encourage farm
23		to school partnership to increase consumption of nutritious and/or
24		traditional foods while also supporting farmers and ranchers;
25	vi.	Increased emphasis on the use of locally produced foods in all
26		government nutrition programs , such as the farm-to-school program,
27		and that such programs be fully funded; and
28	vii.	The removal of soda sales in public schools during lunch hours.
29	I. Nu	J TRITION MONITORING (ALSO SEE ARTICLE I.D – LABELING OF COMMODITIES AND
30	Сс	ommodity Products)
31	The Natio	nal Nutrition Monitoring and Related Research Act creates a national
32	system for m	onitoring the nutritional status of the U.S. population and, for this reason,

1	is of great im	portance to those concerned with hunger, malnutrition and the broad	
2	planning for adequate food and farm policy. We urge continued effort to establish such		
3	mandated in	formation collection as a basis for sound national policy.	
4	J. F	OOD SAFETY (ALSO SEE ARTICLE III.D – HEALTH AND INSPECTION STANDARDS FOR FOOD	
5	Al	ND FIBER IMPORTS AND ARTICLE I.D – LABELING OF COMMODITIES AND COMMODITY	
6	P	RODUCTS)	
7		1. REGULATORY AUTHORITY	
8	Curre	ent U.S. laws are not sufficient to address the complexities of our modern	
9	food sup	ply. As such, Congress should develop a new body to regulate food safety	
10	that will	oversee the U.S. food system and is adequately funded to carry out its	
11	mandate	. Therefore, we support:	
12	i.	The creation of a single food safety agency within USDA to regulate the	
13		U.S. food supply as a whole, including imported <u>and domestic</u> food- and	
14		foods that are domestically produced;	
15	ii.	Congress providing sufficient funding for safety regulation of the U.S.	
16		food supply; and	
17	iii.	The authority of the regulatory agency to require a recall in the event of	
18		an outbreak of unsafe food.	
19		2. Food Safety Standards	
20	It is imperative that we maintain the high quality of our food supply. This means		
21	ensuring	high standards for production, processing and transportation.	
22	We s	upport:	
23	i.	Vigorous action by U.S. regulatory agencies to prevent the introduction of	
24		bovine spongiform encephalopathy, tuberculosis , foot and mouth, and	
25		other communicable diseases into the food systembovine spongiform	
26		encephalopathy (BSE) into U.S. livestock and livestock products;	
27	ii.	A moratorium on mechanical de-boning until the process can be	
28		improved to ensure that no undesired portions of the carcass are present	
29		in the final product;	
30	iii.	Opposition to the transportation of food in containers that have carried	
31		incompatible substances;	

1	iv.	Protecting our nation's food supply and the rigorous inspection of all
2		imported food, fiber, milk protein concentrate (MPC), animal products
3		and by-products to ensure they meet our nation's sanitary and phyto-
4		sanitary standards including safe pesticide levels. USDA inspection
5		stamps/seals should be placed only on the individual items inspected;
6	V.	The development of fairly administered Good Agricultural Practices
7		(GAPs) for field-grown vegetable crops which support the biodiversity of
8		farming operations and which do not discriminate against smaller
9		operations. These GAPs should be administered by the USDA, in
10		cooperation with state departments of agriculture. Further, it should be
11		recognized that the most effective method of preventing foodborne
12		illnesses is for rigorous measures instituted at the time that field-grown
13		crops enter processing, packaging and subsequent transportation and
14		storage;
15	vi.	Permitting states to implement food safety regulations more stringent
16		than comparable federal regulations where states deem consumer health
17		and safety to be at risk or when individual agricultural producers strive
18		to set a higher bar for the safety of food products destined for specialty
19		or export markets;
20	vii.	National food safety policies that can and should protect consumers
21		without limiting farmers, ranchers or small food processors who sell into
22		local and regional markets. Regulations should be size- and risk-
23		appropriate;
24	viii.	FDA ensuring Food Safety Modernization Act rules are science-based,
25		have size-appropriate regulatory flexibility, and do not conflict with the
26		National Organic Program;
27	ix.	A continued evaluation of the regulations for the Food Safety
28		Modernization Act (FSMA) to rationally address the practice of organic,
29		natural and diversified farm operations vital to the local food movement,
30		to scale requirements and fees with an understanding of the differences
31		between corporate and family agriculture, and remove from the
32		regulations the needless elements that serve the purposes of corporate

1		agriculture at the expense of family farms, sovereign nations, growers of
2		local foods, and consumers; and request that Congress provide
3		appropriate funding to support the implementation of FSMA;
4	Х.	Allowing interstate shipment of state-inspected meat that complies with
5		federal standards and providing assistance to processors who wish to
6		participate in such a program;
7	xi.	Permitting cross-utilization of meat inspectors and meat graders in all
8		federally and state-inspected meat processing plants which meet federal
9		inspection standards; and
10	xii.	When tracking foodborne illnesses, the utilization of the epidemiological
11		model as pioneered by the University of Minnesota.
12		3. INSPECTION OF PERISHABLE COMMODITIES
13	NFU r	ecognizes the need for integrity and accountability in the federal
14	inspection	n services. Federal agencies must maintain food inspection credibility
15	without a	dding to grower or packers costs by implementing the following:
16	i.	Checks and balances to discover and address infractions that interferes
17		with transaction fairness;
18	ii.	Stiff penalties on violators;
19	iii.	Improved supervision and auditing; and
20	iv.	Identification and prosecution of violators.
21		4. Agri-Terrorism
22	With i	ncreased attention and focus on potential agri-terrorism attacks on our
23	nation's f	ood chain, rural America must be educated, prepared and vigilant of all
24	potential	circumstances.
25	We su	pport:
26	i.	The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) and USDA immediately
27		developing mechanisms to combat agri-terrorism with full funding
28		provided by DHS. Such mechanisms should ensure the safety of the
29		consumer and agricultural industry;
30	ii.	Increased cooperation between USDA, DHS, Department of Health and
31		Human Services (HHS) and the Federal Emergency Management Agency

1		(FEMA) to establish, expand and continue to determine vulnerabilities
2		within the agricultural and food industries;
3	iii.	Establishing a USDA public awareness and education campaign for
4		producers;
5	iv.	Providing federal guidance and funding to states and localities to develop
6		and implement plants for agricultural disease prevention, recovery and
7		response, based upon already established state animal response
8		activities; and
9	v.	A requirement of representatives of federal, state and county agencies to
10		notify landowners prior to non-emergency access of their private
11		property. Representatives and vehicles used for access should also
12		display appropriate agency signage and identification.
13	K. W	orld Food Day
14	NFU urges	s participation in World Food Day as proclaimed by the United Nations'
15	Food and Agr	iculture Organization.
16	L. Ho	DUSING
17	We suppo	rt:
18	1. Inc	creased support for affordable housing, with allocation of units to rural
19	are	eas in proportion to need;
20	2. De	evelopment of housing options for senior citizens and disabled veterans to
21	all	ow them to continue living in or near their communities and families;
22	3. Ac	celeration of rural, cooperative, farm-labor housing programs, self-help,
23	an	d building-site programs; and
24	4. Ex	pansion, continuation and full federal commitments to Title V housing
25	pr	ograms administered through the Rural Housing Service (RHS) of USDA.
26	M. LIA	ABILITY INSURANCE
27	We urge a	study into the rapid escalation of officers' and directors' liability
28	insurance cos	ts, especially as they affect our farm cooperatives and nonprofit
29	businesses.	
30	Because o	f the high costs to taxpayers and the reduced availability of liability
31	insurance, we	e urge that liability against all local units of government be limited to cases
32	of gross negli	gence.

N. CONSUMER PROTECTION

_	
2	As one of the largest consumers of goods and services, farm producers are critically
3	affected by legislation to protect consumers.
4	We support:
5	1. Vigorous enforcement of consumer protection laws, including Truth-in-
6	Labeling, Truth-in-Lending, and Truth-in-Advertising and oppose exempting
7	agricultural lending from Truth-in-Lending;
8	2. Loan institutions carrying insurance to protect the borrower against failures
9	of the lending institutions, as the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
10	(FDIC) protects the depositor; and
11	3. Continued cooperation with other consumers and organizations of
12	consumers to protect our common interests.
13	O. CAMPAIGN FINANCE AND ELECTIONS
14	1. CAMPAIGN FINANCE
15	We support comprehensive campaign finance reform, including:
16	i. Public financing;
17	ii. Caps on total spending;
18	iii. Caps on total contributions;
19	iv. Prohibition of unreported soft money;
20	v. Full reporting of all types of contributions;
21	vi. Eventual elimination of all political action committees;
22	vii. Elimination of "527" organizations;
23	viii. Legislation overturning the Supreme Court's decision in the Citizens
24	United v. Federal Election Commission case that allows corporations to
25	make unlimited campaign contributions; and
26	ix. Full disclosure of contributors to political issues and candidate
27	campaigns
28	Until the time this is achieved, we support participation in the NFU Political
29	Action Committee (NATFARMPAC) as a means for our voice to be heard.
30	2. ELECTIONS AND ELECTED OFFICIALS
31	We support:

1	i.	The federal government's assistance to local government units to offset
2		the cost of election voting machines that were are mandated by the
-3		federal government;
4	ii.	States adopting standards to avoid uncertainty in voting and counting
5	11.	ballots and also provide a paper trail that makes reviews and recounts
6		possible;
7	iii.	Barring TV and radio news reports of national elections and exit polls
8	111.	until all voting stations are closed in the 48 contiguous states;
9	iv.	Programs that encourage youth involvement in the voting process;
10		Legislation that states Congress shall pass no law that applies to a citizen
10	v.	of the United States that it does not apply to itself as an institution or to
11		individual senators or representatives, or vice versa, except as it relates
12		to national security issues and/or their personal security;
14	vi.	Voting, vote counting, and post-election auditing conducted with
14	۷1.	electronic tabulation equipment must ensure reported results reflect
16		
		votes cast and not be reliant on proprietary software inaccessible to
17		bipartisan election oversight;
18	vii.	Legislation requiring political campaigns and issue-based interest groups
19		to adhere to the "do not call" list. Furthermore, this provision should roll
20		over into a "do not text" list; and
21	viii.	Broad enforcement of the equal-time rule.
22	-	opose:
23	i.	Term limitations; and
24	ii.	Practices that lower voter participation. We urge candidates who choose
25		to use negative campaigning be required to appear in the commercials
26		they authorize.
27		S. FREEDOMS AND LIBERTIES
28	-	much has been accomplished to ensure freedom and equal opportunity for
29		egardless of race, gender, ethnicity, age, sexual orientation or religion,
30	much remain	
31	We suppo	ort:

1	3.	Efforts to provide equality of rights for all in every aspect of life. These rights
2		shall not be denied or abridged by the United States or any state within;
3	4.	Vigorously defending the right of privacy;
4	5.	Elimination of the abuse of federal agency powers and surveillance of law-
5		abiding citizens;
6	6.	The right of reporters to keep their news sources confidential as inherent in
7		the "citizens' right to know";
8	7.	Proper display and respect of the U.S. flag;
9	8.	The usage of "God" on government buildings, legal documents and legal
10		tender and we oppose the removal of existing references;
11	9.	The men and women of the U.S. armed services for their contributions
12		around the world;
13	10	. The use of unmanned aerial vehicles (drones) for agricultural purposes, only
14		after landowner or land operator approval; and
15	11	. Opening up the National Airspace System to allow drones for agricultural
16		purposes
17	We op	pose the use of drones for covert surveillance of agricultural operations.
18	Q.	Postal Service
19	It is th	e specific intent of Congress that effective postal service be assured to
20	residents	of both urban and rural communities. Rural America has the right to expect
21	the U.S. Po	ostal Service to adhere to the policy of the Postal Reorganization Act of 1971.
22	We su	pport:
23	1.	Raising First Class postage rates consistently and concurrently with bulk
24		mailing or Second Class rates;
25	2.	Congress correcting the difficult situation it has imposed on the U.S. Postal
26		Service by requiring excessive advance funding of pension funds;
27	3.	Re-establishment of the Postal Savings Bank; and
28	4.	Appointing a blue-ribbon panel to investigate the expansion and creation of
29		new services offered by the USPS to facilitate economic growth and
30		development in rural and inner city communities.
31	We op	pose:
32	1.	Closing small post offices solely because they are operating at a deficit;

1	2.	Changes in postal policy that will result in reduced, less frequent or
2		insufficient mail services for rural areas including the elimination or
3		reduction in parcel post delivery for rural areas or increased rural postal
4		rates; and
5	3.	Privatization of the U.S. postal system, including the establishment of
6		contracted rural routes.
7	R.	RURAL EMERGENCY SERVICES AND MANAGEMENT PLANNING
8	Agricu	ltural communities face potential threats and emergencies (i.e. medical,
9	biological,	natural and environmental disasters); therefore, we support:
10	1.	The implementation of federal, state and local emergency management
11		plans;
12	2.	Opportunities for citizens to become informed about and implement
13		preventative steps;
14	3.	The dedicated volunteers who serve as emergency medical technicians,
15		firefighters, and law enforcement reserves;
16	4.	Training schedules that recognize the time commitments of the volunteers;
17	5.	Current laws that allow pre-hospital providers to perform services under a
18		doctor's written or verbal protocol;
19	6.	Development, preservation and expansion of the rural 911 emergency
20		response systems; and
21	7.	Prohibiting cuts and the elimination of any useful programs that benefit first
22		responders in rural areas.
23	S.	VOTING DISTRICTS
24		1. Redistricting
25	We	e support:
26		i. A nonpartisan redistricting process when voting districts are redrawn;
27	i	i. The establishment of a nonpartisan redistricting process by states that
28		utilizes computer software to generate a redistricting map that does not
29		take into account any political information;
30	ii	i. The removal of politics from any redistricting process; and
31	iv	v. Voting districts being drawn according to the following criteria:
32		a. Population equality,

2c. Unity of counties and municipalities, and3d. Compactness4We oppose:5i. Current legislators drawing district lines; and6ii. Gerrymandering of voting districts to dilute rural representation or give advantage to any particular party.7advantage to any particular party.8 2. STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS 9We support efforts to allow for the apportionment of one of the two legislative bodies of bicameral state legislatures based upon scientific geographical areas and the other body apportioned based upon population.12The U.S. Congress follows this approach to determining the composition of the Senate and the House of Representatives and the same method should be allowed for state legislatures. This method would duplicate the system defined in the U.S. Constitution for the Congress.16Since the Supreme Court ruling in Reynolds v. Sims in 1964, state legislatures have been forced to apportion both bodies in a bicameral legislature based solely upon population under the "one person, one vote" theory. This has led to a decline in the ability of citizens in rural areas to be effectively represented in the state10legislatures. Declining rural populations have significantly changed the composition of most state legislatures to the detriment of rural citizens, with the interests of citizens in rural areas being underrepresented in the legislative process.13Throwmmor Collisctrow with Protections Obtailed field data chould only be collected with the producer's consent in a manner consents146. Ensure data collected remain the property of the producer, 7. Protect privacy,156. Ensure data collected remain	1	b. Contiguity,
4We oppose:5i. Current legislators drawing district lines; and6ii. Gerrymandering of voting districts to dilute rural representation or give7advantage to any particular party.8 2. STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS 9We support efforts to allow for the apportionment of one of the two legislative10bodies of bicameral state legislatures based upon scientific geographical areas and11the other body apportioned based upon population.12The U.S. Congress follows this approach to determining the composition of the13Senate and the House of Representatives and the same method should be allowed14for state legislatures. This method would duplicate the system defined in the U.S.15Constitution for the Congress.16Since the Supreme Court ruling in Reynolds v. Sims in 1964, state legislatures17have been forced to apportion both bodies in a bicameral legislature based solely18upon population under the "one person, one vote" theory. This has led to a decline in19the ability of citizens in rural areas to be effectively represented in the state20legislatures. Declining rural populations have significantly changed the composition21of most state legislatures to the detriment of rural citizens, with the interests of22citizens in rural areas being underrepresented in the legislative process.23T. Information Collection AND PROTECTION24Decleted data should only be collected with the producer's consent in a manner25so stor266. Ensure data	2	c. Unity of counties and municipalities, and
5i.Current legislators drawing district lines; and6ii.Gerrymandering of voting districts to dilute rural representation or give7advantage to any particular party.8 2. 9We support efforts to allow for the apportionment of one of the two legislative10bodies of bicameral state legislatures based upon scientific geographical areas and11the other body apportioned based upon population.12The U.S. Congress follows this approach to determining the composition of the13Senate and the House of Representatives and the same method should be allowed14for state legislatures. This method would duplicate the system defined in the U.S.15Constitution for the Congress.16Since the Supreme Court ruling in Reynolds v. Sims in 1964, state legislatures17have been forced to apportion both bodies in a bicameral legislature based solely18upon population under the "one person, one vote" theory. This has led to a decline in19the ability of citizens in rural areas to be effectively represented in the state20legislatures. Declining rural populations have significantly changed the composition21of most state legislatures to the detriment of rural citizens, with the interests of22citizens in rural areas being underrepresented in the legislative process.23T. INFORMATION COLLECTION AND PROTECTION24Detailed field data should only be collected with the producer's consent in a manner25cose tox266. Ensure data collected remain the property of the produc	3	d. Compactness
6ii. Gerrymandering of voting districts to dilute rural representation or give advantage to any particular party.7advantage to any particular party.8 2. STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS 9We support efforts to allow for the apportionment of one of the two legislative bodies of bicameral state legislatures based upon scientific geographical areas and the other body apportioned based upon population.12The U.S. Congress follows this approach to determining the composition of the Senate and the House of Representatives and the same method should be allowed for state legislatures. This method would duplicate the system defined in the U.S. Constitution for the Congress.16Since the Supreme Court ruling in Reynolds v. Sims in 1964, state legislatures have been forced to apportion both bodies in a bicameral legislature based solely upon population under the "one person, one vote" theory. This has led to a decline in the ability of citizens in rural areas to be effectively represented in the state legislatures. Declining rural populations have significantly changed the composition of most state legislatures to the detriment of rural citizens, with the interests of citizens in rural areas being underrepresented in the legislative process.23T. INFORMATION COLLECTION AND PROTECTION Detailed field data should only be collected with the producer's consent in a manner so as to:246. Ensure data collected remain the property of the producer; 7. Protect privacy; 28288. Avoid consolidation of market power; 9. Maintain competition; and	4	We oppose:
7 advantage to any particular party. 8 2. STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS 9 We support efforts to allow for the apportionment of one of the two legislative 10 bodies of bicameral state legislatures based upon scientific geographical areas and 11 the other body apportioned based upon population. 12 The U.S. Congress follows this approach to determining the composition of the 13 Senate and the House of Representatives and the same method should be allowed 14 for state legislatures. This method would duplicate the system defined in the U.S. 15 Constitution for the Congress. 16 Since the Supreme Court ruling in Reynolds v. Sims in 1964, state legislatures 17 have been forced to apportion both bodies in a bicameral legislature based solely 18 upon population under the "one person, one vote" theory. This has led to a decline in 19 the ability of citizens in rural areas to be effectively represented in the state 20 legislatures. Declining rural populations have significantly changed the composition 21 of most state legislatures to the detriment of rural citizens, with the interests of 22 citizens in rural areas being underrepresented in the legislative process. 23 T. Inronwarron Collectorion wave Protectio	5	i. Current legislators drawing district lines; and
8 2. STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS 9 We support efforts to allow for the apportionment of one of the two legislative 10 bodies of bicameral state legislatures based upon scientific geographical areas and 11 the other body apportioned based upon population. 12 The U.S. Congress follows this approach to determining the composition of the 13 Senate and the House of Representatives and the same method should be allowed 14 for state legislatures. This method would duplicate the system defined in the U.S. 15 Constitution for the Congress. 16 Since the Supreme Court ruling in Reynolds v. Sims in 1964, state legislatures 18 upon population under the "one person, one vote" theory. This has led to a decline in 19 the ability of citizens in rural areas to be effectively represented in the state 20 legislatures. Declining rural populations have significantly changed the composition 21 of most state legislatures to the detriment of rural citizens, with the interests of 22 citizens in rural areas being underrepresented in the legislative process. 23 T. INFORMATION COLLECTION AND PROTECTION 24 Detailed field data should only be collected with the producer's consent in a manner 25 co as to:	6	ii. Gerrymandering of voting districts to dilute rural representation or give
9We support efforts to allow for the apportionment of one of the two legislative10bodies of bicameral state legislatures based upon scientific geographical areas and11the other body apportioned based upon population.12The U.S. Congress follows this approach to determining the composition of the13Senate and the House of Representatives and the same method should be allowed14for state legislatures. This method would duplicate the system defined in the U.S.15Constitution for the Congress.16Since the Supreme Court ruling in Reynolds v. Sims in 1964, state legislatures17have been forced to apportion both bodies in a bicameral legislature based solely18upon population under the "one person, one vote" theory. This has led to a decline in19the ability of citizens in rural areas to be effectively represented in the state20legislatures. Declining rural populations have significantly changed the composition21of most state legislatures to the detriment of rural citizens, with the interests of22citizens in rural areas being underrepresented in the legislative process.23T. Iwrommentor Courternow Theoremain24Detailed field data should only be collected with the producer's consent in a manner25so as to:266. Ensure data collected remain the property of the producer,277. Protect privacy;288. Avoid consolidation of market power;299. Maintain competition; and	7	advantage to any particular party.
10bodies of bicameral state legislatures based upon scientific geographical areas and11the other body apportioned based upon population.12The U.S. Congress follows this approach to determining the composition of the13Senate and the House of Representatives and the same method should be allowed14for state legislatures. This method would duplicate the system defined in the U.S.15Constitution for the Congress.16Since the Supreme Court ruling in Reynolds v. Sims in 1964, state legislatures17have been forced to apportion both bodies in a bicameral legislature based solely18upon population under the "one person, one vote" theory. This has led to a decline in19the ability of citizens in rural areas to be effectively represented in the state20legislatures. Declining rural populations have significantly changed the composition21of most state legislatures to the detriment of rural citizens, with the interests of22citizens in rural areas being underrepresented in the legislative process.23T. Iwrommtrow Collection AND PROTECTION24Detailed field data should only be collected with the producer's consent in a manner25co as to:266. Ensure data collected remain the proporty of the producer,277. Protect privacy,288. Awoid consolidation of market power,299. Maintain competition, and	8	2. STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS
11the other body apportioned based upon population.12The U.S. Congress follows this approach to determining the composition of the13Senate and the House of Representatives and the same method should be allowed14for state legislatures. This method would duplicate the system defined in the U.S.15Constitution for the Congress.16Since the Supreme Court ruling in Reynolds v. Sims in 1964, state legislatures17have been forced to apportion both bodies in a bicameral legislature based solely18upon population under the "one person, one vote" theory. This has led to a decline in19the ability of citizens in rural areas to be effectively represented in the state20legislatures. Declining rural populations have significantly changed the composition21of most state legislatures to the detriment of rural citizens, with the interests of22citizens in rural areas being underrepresented in the legislative process.23T. INFORMATION COLLECTION AND PROTECTION24Detailed field data should only be collected with the producer's consent in a manner25coas to:266. Ensure data collected remain the property of the producer,277. Protect privacy,288. Avoid consolidation of market power,299. Maintain competition, and	9	We support efforts to allow for the apportionment of one of the two legislative
12The U.S. Congress follows this approach to determining the composition of the13Senate and the House of Representatives and the same method should be allowed14for state legislatures. This method would duplicate the system defined in the U.S.15Constitution for the Congress.16Since the Supreme Court ruling in Reynolds v. Sims in 1964, state legislatures17have been forced to apportion both bodies in a bicameral legislature based solely18upon population under the "one person, one vote" theory. This has led to a decline in19the ability of citizens in rural areas to be effectively represented in the state20legislatures. Declining rural populations have significantly changed the composition21of most state legislatures to the detriment of rural citizens, with the interests of22citizens in rural areas being underrepresented in the legislative process.23T. INFORMATION COLLECTION AND PROTECTION24Detailed field data should only be collected with the producer's consent in a manner25co. Ensure data collected romain the property of the producer,277. Protect privacy,288. Avoid consolidation of market power,299. Maintain competition, and	10	bodies of bicameral state legislatures based upon scientific geographical areas and
 Senate and the House of Representatives and the same method should be allowed for state legislatures. This method would duplicate the system defined in the U.S. Constitution for the Congress. Since the Supreme Court ruling in Reynolds v. Sims in 1964, state legislatures have been forced to apportion both bodies in a bicameral legislature based solely upon population under the "one person, one vote" theory. This has led to a decline in the ability of citizens in rural areas to be effectively represented in the state legislatures. Declining rural populations have significantly changed the composition of most state legislatures to the detriment of rural citizens, with the interests of citizens in rural areas being underrepresented in the legislative process. T. INFORMATION COLLECTION AND PROTECTION Detailed field data should only be collected with the producer's consent in a manner so as tox 6. Ensure data collected remain the property of the producer, 7. Protect privacy, 8. Avoid consolidation of market power, 9. Maintain competition, and 	11	the other body apportioned based upon population.
14for state legislatures. This method would duplicate the system defined in the U.S.15Constitution for the Congress.16Since the Supreme Court ruling in Reynolds v. Sims in 1964, state legislatures17have been forced to apportion both bodies in a bicameral legislature based solely18upon population under the "one person, one vote" theory. This has led to a decline in19the ability of citizens in rural areas to be effectively represented in the state20legislatures. Declining rural populations have significantly changed the composition21of most state legislatures to the detriment of rural citizens, with the interests of22citizens in rural areas being underrepresented in the legislative process.23T. INFORMATION COLLECTION AND PROTECTION24Detailed field data should only be collected with the producer's consent in a manner25co as to:266. Ensure data collected remain the property of the producer;277. Protect privacy;288. Avoid consolidation of market power;299. Maintain competition; and	12	The U.S. Congress follows this approach to determining the composition of the
15Constitution for the Congress.16Since the Supreme Court ruling in Reynolds v. Sims in 1964, state legislatures17have been forced to apportion both bodies in a bicameral legislature based solely18upon population under the "one person, one vote" theory. This has led to a decline in19the ability of citizens in rural areas to be effectively represented in the state20legislatures. Declining rural populations have significantly changed the composition21of most state legislatures to the detriment of rural citizens, with the interests of22citizens in rural areas being underrepresented in the legislative process.23T. INFORMATION COLLECTION AND PROTECTION24Detailed field data should only be collected with the producer's consent in a manner25so as to:266. Ensure data collected remain the property of the producer,277. Protect privacy;288. Avoid consolidation of market power;299. Maintain competition; and	13	Senate and the House of Representatives and the same method should be allowed
 Since the Supreme Court ruling in Reynolds v. Sims in 1964, state legislatures have been forced to apportion both bodies in a bicameral legislature based solely upon population under the "one person, one vote" theory. This has led to a decline in the ability of citizens in rural areas to be effectively represented in the state legislatures. Declining rural populations have significantly changed the composition of most state legislatures to the detriment of rural citizens, with the interests of citizens in rural areas being underrepresented in the legislative process. T. INFORMATION COLLECTION AND PROTECTION Detailed field data should only be collected with the producer's consent in a manner co as to: 6. Ensure data collected remain the property of the producer; 7. Protect privacy; 8. Avoid consolidation of market power; 9. Maintain competition; and 	14	for state legislatures. This method would duplicate the system defined in the U.S.
17have been forced to apportion both bodies in a bicameral legislature based solely18upon population under the "one person, one vote" theory. This has led to a decline in19the ability of citizens in rural areas to be effectively represented in the state20legislatures. Declining rural populations have significantly changed the composition21of most state legislatures to the detriment of rural citizens, with the interests of22citizens in rural areas being underrepresented in the legislative process.23T. INFORMATION COLLECTION AND PROTECTION24Detailed field data should only be collected with the producer's consent in a manner25co as to:266. Ensure data collected remain the property of the producer;277. Protect privacy;288. Avoid consolidation of market power;299. Maintain competition; and	15	Constitution for the Congress.
 18 upon population under the "one person, one vote" theory. This has led to a decline in 19 the ability of citizens in rural areas to be effectively represented in the state 20 legislatures. Declining rural populations have significantly changed the composition 21 of most state legislatures to the detriment of rural citizens, with the interests of 22 citizens in rural areas being underrepresented in the legislative process. 23 T. INFORMATION COLLECTION AND PROTECTION 24 Detailed field data should only be collected with the producer's consent in a manner 25 so as to: 26 6. Ensure data collected remain the property of the producer; 27 7. Protect privacy; 28 8. Avoid consolidation of market power; 29 9. Maintain competition; and 	16	Since the Supreme Court ruling in Reynolds v. Sims in 1964, state legislatures
 the ability of citizens in rural areas to be effectively represented in the state legislatures. Declining rural populations have significantly changed the composition of most state legislatures to the detriment of rural citizens, with the interests of citizens in rural areas being underrepresented in the legislative process. T. INFORMATION COLLECTION AND PROTECTION Detailed field data should only be collected with the producer's consent in a manner so as to: 6. Ensure data collected remain the property of the producer; 7. Protect privacy; 8. Avoid consolidation of market power; 9. Maintain competition; and 	17	have been forced to apportion both bodies in a bicameral legislature based solely
 legislatures. Declining rural populations have significantly changed the composition of most state legislatures to the detriment of rural citizens, with the interests of citizens in rural areas being underrepresented in the legislative process. T. INFORMATION COLLECTION AND PROTECTION Detailed field data should only be collected with the producer's consent in a manner so as to: 6. Ensure data collected remain the property of the producer; 7. Protect privacy; 8. Avoid consolidation of market power; 9. Maintain competition; and 	18	upon population under the "one person, one vote" theory. This has led to a decline in
21of most state legislatures to the detriment of rural citizens, with the interests of22citizens in rural areas being underrepresented in the legislative process.23T. INFORMATION COLLECTION AND PROTECTION24Detailed field data should only be collected with the producer's consent in a manner25so as to:266. Ensure data collected remain the property of the producer;277. Protect privacy;288. Avoid consolidation of market power;299. Maintain competition; and	19	the ability of citizens in rural areas to be effectively represented in the state
 citizens in rural areas being underrepresented in the legislative process. T. INFORMATION COLLECTION AND PROTECTION Detailed field data should only be collected with the producer's consent in a manner so as to: 6. Ensure data collected remain the property of the producer; 7. Protect privacy; 8. Avoid consolidation of market power; 9. Maintain competition; and 	20	legislatures. Declining rural populations have significantly changed the composition
23T. INFORMATION COLLECTION AND PROTECTION24Detailed field data should only be collected with the producer's consent in a manner25so as to:266. Ensure data collected remain the property of the producer;277. Protect privacy;288. Avoid consolidation of market power;299. Maintain competition; and	21	of most state legislatures to the detriment of rural citizens, with the interests of
 24 Detailed field data should only be collected with the producer's consent in a manner 25 so as to: 26 6. Ensure data collected remain the property of the producer; 27 7. Protect privacy; 28 8. Avoid consolidation of market power; 29 9. Maintain competition; and 	22	citizens in rural areas being underrepresented in the legislative process.
 25 so as to: 26 6. Ensure data collected remain the property of the producer; 27 7. Protect privacy; 28 8. Avoid consolidation of market power; 29 9. Maintain competition; and 	23	T. INFORMATION COLLECTION AND PROTECTION
 26 6. Ensure data collected remain the property of the producer; 27 7. Protect privacy; 28 8. Avoid consolidation of market power; 29 9. Maintain competition; and 	24	Detailed field data should only be collected with the producer's consent in a manner
 27 7. Protect privacy; 28 8. Avoid consolidation of market power; 29 9. Maintain competition; and 	25	so as to:
 28 8. Avoid consolidation of market power; 29 9. Maintain competition; and 	26	6. Ensure data collected remain the property of the producer;
29 9. <u>Maintain competition; and</u>	27	7. Protect privacy;
L ,	28	8. Avoid consolidation of market power;
30 <u>10. Prevent manipulating markets.</u>	29	9. Maintain competition; and
	30	10. Prevent manipulating markets.
31	31	

1		ARTICLE XII – FAMILY FARMERS AND THEIR ORGANIZATION	Formatted
2	l		
3	Realiz	ation of democratic aims and ideals requires citizen participation in the	
4	processes	of government as individuals and as members of people-oriented movements	
5	and organ	lizations.	
6	NFU s	erves its members by providing an organizational structure in which they can	
7	participat	e more effectively in the processes of our society. The objectives of the	
8	Farmers U	Jnion challenge us to make the organization strong and effective. To	
9	accomplis	h these goals, we must:	
10	1.	Require dedicated efforts of officers, leaders and grassroots members;	
11	2.	Encourage participation of family members in this effort;	
12	3.	Encourage gender balance on all committees, boards and in all offices, from	
13		the local to the national level, within our organization;	
14	4.	Encourage qualified individuals, regardless of race, gender, age, ethnicity,	
15		sexual orientation or religion to serve on all committees, boards, and in all	
16		offices;	
17	5.	Urge members to become more active in their own organization and local	
18		cooperatives, and in state, regional and national public life. Active	
19		participation can best be inspired when attention is paid to:	
20		a. The study of issues;	
21		b. Decision-making within the organization;	
22		c. Carrying out group efforts to implement policy;	
23		d. Attracting more members into the organization; and	
24		e. Promoting the use of the business services associated with Farmers	
25		Union.	
26	NFU n	nust take the lead on educating policymakers and the public on the real cost of	
27	corporate	welfare.	
28	NFU s	hould initiate and work with other organizations to educate the public on	
29	the impo	rtant issues of our National Farmers Union policy. NFU should allow for	
30	each state	e to continue to teach the history of National Farmers Union, their state	
31	Farmers	Union and agriculture in their respective state.	
32	A.	EDUCATIONAL ACTIVITIES	

1 **1. SEMINARS AND WORKSHOPS** 2 Special sessions should be held at all Farmers Union levels on issues that are of 3 special concern at a particular time. Seminars should also be directed to special 4 groups interested in farm cooperatives or particular farm commodities. 5 State organizations are encouraged to include among their educational 6 activities: camps for youth and adults, legislative workshops, leadership training 7 institutes, and other events, especially on timely issues. 8 2. FARMERS UNION YOUTH PROGRAMS 9 Its strong national and state youth programs make the Farmers Union unique 10 among farm organizations. The highest priority and support should be given by 11 national and state Farmers Union leadership to the Farmers Union youth programs. 12 Farmers Union youth must be prepared to assume eventual leadership of our 13 organization through the following methods: 14 i. Greater encouragement for Farmers Union youth to attain positions of 15 responsibility within the organization; 16 ii. Special emphasis given to ongoing study programs, camps, All-States 17 Camps and seminars; 18 iii. Increased involvement of youth in the organization's decision-making 19 process, group action and conventions; 20 Frequently updated Farmers Union educational materials and texts for iv. 21 youth leaders; 22 Recognition of state and national youth leaders who have given of v. 23 themselves in building the organization's youth programs as well as the 24 youth that are involved in the organization's youth programs; 25 vi. Action from state organizations to encourage young people to remain 26 active in Farmers Union as they become adults; and 27 vii. Formation of a collegiate Farmers Union chapters in each member state 28 and hold a national collegiate conference no less than once annually. We 29 support more activities for young adults to inform them on involvements 30 in Farmers Union. 31 **3. FARMERS UNION YOUNG FARMER PROGRAM**

Formatted: In

Through its education program, the national organization, in conjunction with state +
 Farmers Unions, should continue to develop its <u>beginning farmer</u> leadership
 programs upon the principles of cooperation, <u>education and legislation to assist</u>
 <u>those interested in and family agriculture and to develop</u>. Farmers Union should
 strive to bring a broad understanding of humanities and the land to the public in
 order to perpetuate the spirit of cooperation, education and community
 development upon which the organization was founded.

8

4. FARMERS UNION EDUCATION CENTER

9 It is imperative that we maintain our ownership of the Farmers Union Education 10 Center at Bailey, Colorado. We encourage upgrading the facility. All-States Camps at 11 Bailey have not only been highlights of our youth program, but also represent the 12 time that young adults have become true participants in NFU. We urge that every 13 effort be made to continue this opportunity at the facilities.

14

B. COMMUNICATIONS

Communications within the organization, and with the media and public, are vital to
promote and support Farmers Union activities and to call attention to the organization's
goals. We encourage members and others to utilize all NFU communications tools.

18

C. FARMERS UNION LEGISLATIVE BUDGET FUND

The NFU Legislative Budget Fund is an important source of support for the overall
legislative staff effort. Individual Farmers Union members, local and county units,
affiliated cooperatives and other interested groups should give increasing support to
this cause.

23

D. POLITICAL EFFECTIVENESS

24 Political education should be continuous.

Discussions of relevant political issues should be carried on throughout the
 organization with maximum opportunity for members to participate in efforts to

27 influence political decisions.

The financial aspects of political action should not be ignored. Members should
consider being more supportive of NATFARMPAC. Additionally, as a collective effort, we
encourage state organizations to help collect a dollar per member per year. Candidates

31 should be given support if, in the judgment of members, they regard Farmers Union

32 recommendations favorable.

Members have a right to know the voting records of members of state legislatures
 and Congress on issues which Farmers Union has clearly indicated a position, and,
 therefore, the national organization and state Farmers Unions should continue to
 supply such information to their members.
 In view of the steady decline in rural populations and of those directly involved in
 agriculture, it has become even more important for our national and state organizations

7 to build alliances with consumer groups, other agricultural organizations, urban

8 legislators, non-farmer rural residents and leaders so as to leverage our political9 effectiveness.

10

E. MEMBERSHIP EXPANSION

Growing our organization should be our number one priority. The best hope forfamily agriculture is for producers to join together to build the Farmers Union.

13 We encourage the expansion of our organization and affiliate memberships for like-

14 minded organizations and ventures. (Additional information can be found at

15 <u>www.nfu.org</u>)

We encourage individual state organizations to make membership growth a
priority. Each state should establish a specific membership plan of action. Farmers
Union members should be encouraged to sign up new members. A special emphasis
should be made to ensure that all cooperative patrons and board members are
members of Farmers Union.

We are encouraged by the efforts of national and state Farmers Union organizations in development of new programs and services. Special emphasis should be made to ensure that participants in these programs and services are members in their respective state Farmers Union organization.

25

F. MEMBERSHIP BUDGET INFORMATION

For information on the Farmers Union budget, members are encouraged to contact
their state president. A complete comparative written financial report shall be given to
delegates at the start of the NFU Convention.

29

G. FARMERS UNION RELATED SERVICES

Farmers Union business services, whether in marketing or providing inputs and
 services, are important to the members and the organization. Cooperatives which work

1 with the Farmers Union in its program for agriculture deserve the loyalty of the

2 members in the areas served.

Expansion and improvement of the NFU Insurances and business services will be
important to agriculture in the time ahead. Therefore, close working relationships are
essential.

6 The affiliation of Farmers Union cooperatives and insurances to the Farmers

7 Educational and Cooperative Union of America is paramount to any other affiliations

8 because such activities have been built by Farmers Union members as components of an

- 9 organization of, by and for rural families.
- 10

H. COOPERATION WITH RELIGIOUS ORGANIZATIONS

11 NFU has a long history of cooperation with religious organizations on many issues12 of common concern.

We believe our nation is strong because its many diverse religious bodies bring their
moral viewpoints to bear on public policy. We pledge our continuing cooperation this
common purpose.

16

I. COOPERATION WITH OTHER ORGANIZATIONS

17 NFU should continue to seek and explore opportunities to work with other

organizations at the local, state and national levels to advance the economic situation offamily-scale operators.

20 We have worked aggressively to further build alliances and coalitions with diverse

21 interests including commodity, rural interest, consumer, labor, minority,

22 environmental, conservation, sustainable agriculture, health and nutrition, energy and

23 other groups not typically involved in the nation's farm policy debate.

24 The information shared in those coalitions and alliances has shown that we often

25 have much more in common with those primarily concerned with consumer,

26 environmental and social matters than we have differences.

It is in the best interest of family farmers that NFU continues this practice of seekingallies among those who may not be directly associated with agriculture.

29 We support the development of both state and national contract grower

30 associations to improve the welfare of contract growers. Benefits will be realized as a

31 result of this mutually supportive relationship, including the establishment of a far-

- 1 reaching precedent likely to impact future contract production of poultry, hogs, cattle
- 2 and other commodities.
- 3

1	FAMILY FARMING AND THE CURRENT FARM BILL
2	2017 Special Order of Business
3	
4 5 6	Over the last several years producers have been subjected to dramatic reductions in commodity and livestock prices. The projected price outlook of commodities shows
6 7	no sign of strengthening. The primary objectives of national agricultural policy are to enable farmers to protect net farm income in troubling times, improve the quality
8	of rural life, and increase the number of family farmers, so that family farmers may
9	continue to provide a reliable supply of food, fuel and fiber and serve as stewards of
10 11	our nation's resources.
12	Farmers depend on the programs offered in the farm bill in order to provide for the
13	needs of the country. National Farmers Union (NFU) believes the deal that was
14	struck when passing the 2014 Farm Bill should be honored and will vehemently
15	oppose any cuts until the next Farm Bill is passed.
16 17	While opposing cuts, we are concerned over established programs ability to quickly
18	respond to the decline in the farm economy. NFU calls for:
19	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	 Timely payments of the Agricultural Risk Coverage (ARC) program, Price Loss Coverage (PLC) program, and Dairy Margin Protection program (MPP); Consistent, reliable, and verifiable data used for ARC payment calculations; Robust funding for Farm Service Agency's Farm Loan programs; Innovative tools and safety net options for young and beginning farmers who are being adversely impacted due to their lack of equity; Cotton being a covered commodity for the purposes of Farm Bill programs; Legislation to convert generic acres to cottonseed acres and provide additional flexibility to restore a producer's base acreage in line with historical plantings; Absent congressional action, the secretary of agriculture designating cottonseed as an "other oilseed"; Financial relief for struggling dairy farmers; and The establishment of a dairy safety-net program rooted in the cost of production for small and medium-sized family farms.
35 36 37 38 39 40	In summary, NFU will advocate for policies that support family farmers, like those contained, in part, in the 2014 Farm Bill, timely payments to producers, and stronger safety nets for cotton and dairy producers. NFU asks congress and the administration to fully explore and understand the dire situation facing farmers and work with them to ensure their sustainability during these difficult financial times.

1	FAMILY FARMING AND FUTURE FARM BILLS
2	2017 Special Order of Business
29 30	• Payments made to farmers to reduce crop acreage when prices fall below the cost of production;
	global market;
	-
31	 A permanent disaster program on a per county basis; and
32	• Investments in rural development and agricultural research.
33	- myestments in rurar development and agriculturar research.
34	

1	FAMILY FARMING AND LEADING THE WAY ON CLIMATE CHANGE
2	2017 Special Order of Business
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Climate change jeopardizes food security and the livelihoods of American family farmers, ranchers and rural residents. Producers and rural Americans have much to contribute reducing the greenhouse gas emissions exacerbating the negative consequences of climate change through the development of renewable energy, implementation of carbon sequestration and climate-smart production and conservation practices. NFU will continue to lead in educating family farmers, ranchers and rural communities on climate change adaptation and mitigation.
12 13 14	NFU supports policies that expand renewable energy, especially assistance for rural power cooperatives.
15 16	NFU supports research funding for soil health and climate-smart production.
17 18 19	NFU supports the promotion of voluntary conservation practices that focus on water quality and quantity concerns.
20 21 22	NFU supports development of crop systems designed for biofuel and biomass production.
23 24 25 26 27	NFU should lead and support efforts for the advancement of carbon storage in the soils of family farmers, ranchers, and agro-foresters, with new agriculture-based markets that reward those that practice and implement conservation techniques scientifically proven to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

1	FAMILY FARMING AND THE RENEWABLE FUEL STANDARD (RFS)
2	2017 Special Order of Business
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Biofuels have created a path for farmers who help address environmental problems. In the late 1970s and into the 1980s, the expansion of ethanol cooperatives added value to corn. Family farmers continue to struggle due to volatile corn prices. The ethanol industry creates a price-stabilizing mechanism and encourages much- needed reinvestment in our rural communities. Today, biofuels contribute significantly to net farm income and the rural economy as a whole. In 2015, the ethanol industry provides roughly 86,000 direct jobs and supported roughly 270,000 indirect jobs nationwide.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the form of lower greenhouse gas emissions. The Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) is the main policy driver of biofuels. Originally put in place in 2005, the RFS has been expanded, and now calls for the nation's fuel supply to include 36 billion gallons of renewable fuel by the year 2022. Of these 36 billion gallons, 15 billion will be comprised of conventional biofuels and the rest will be filled by cellulosic and other advanced biofuels.
23 24 25 26	The RFS is under attack on a variety of fronts. In Congress, the oil industry and other interest groups have targeted the RFS because the industry views increased biofuels consumption as a threat to its market share.
27 28 29 30 31	Despite the pressure on the RFS, NFU remains adamantly opposed to changing the statute legislatively and insists that EPA set annual volume targets that match those in the enacting statute. Waiver authority is far more limited than EPA has recently exercised.
32 33 34 35 36 37 38	NFU recognizes that the oil industry has put up barriers to the increased consumption of biofuels in the United States. The Energy Independence Security Act (EISA) requires retailers to make more biofuels available to consumers. Therefore, NFU calls for the retention of the RFS, increased availability of blender pumps nationwide, and the expansion of production and use of flexible-fuels vehicles.

1	FAMILY FARMING AND TRADE POLICY
2	2017 Special Order of Business
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Access to global markets is critical for family farm agriculture. U.S. farmers and ranchers rely heavily on strong export markets to maintain commodity prices and farm revenues. However, the objectives and enforcement of free trade agreements frequently fails to adequately protect family farmers and ranchers from unfair trade practices. The current attitude toward trade agreements does not prioritize the interest of family farmers.
11 12 13 14 15	Each year for the past forty years, the United States has amassed a huge trade deficit. Every \$1 billion increase in imports causes of loss of over 4,500 jobs. In 2016, the total trade deficit totaled \$XXX.X billion. Eliminating the trade deficit could create as many as 2.3 million new jobs across the United States.
16 17 18 19 20 21	Agricultural typically accounts for 7-10% of total U.S. exports and the surplus in agricultural trade helps reduce the trade deficit. Negotiators often use agriculture as bait to achieve favorable trade agreements for other sectors of the economy. However, our deeply flawed trade agenda has opened domestic markets to cheap, often low-quality food, fuel and fiber products. The agricultural trade balance dropped over \$26 billion from 2011 to 2016.
 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	International trade is an important part of successful family farming in the United States, but trade is not an end unto itself. Decreasing our national trade deficit will bring greater economic prosperity to U.S. agriculture and the broader economy. Current trade agreements do not appropriately prioritize eliminating the trade deficit and fail to address unfair practices, such as currency manipulation.
29 30 31 32 33 34 35	NFU encourages the federal government to conduct a formal and thorough analysis of current agricultural trade agreements to determine their success at meeting their promised goals. NFU urges prioritization of eliminating the trade deficit in future trade agreements. To that end, future trade negotiations should focus on increasing agricultural exports while limiting imports of cheap, low-quality agricultural products.
36	

- 1 **GLOSSARY**
- 2

3 **1031 exchange** – also known as a Starker exchange or a tax-deferred exchange;

4 permits investment property owners to sell a property and defer tax payments by

5 reinvesting the proceeds into a "like-kind" investment property or properties. A 1031

- 6 exchange is enabled by Section 1031 in the Internal Revenue Code
- 7 **527 organization** tax-exempt organizations primarily utilized to influence political
- 8 elections. The structure of a 527 allows unlimited financial contributions and are
- 9 typically not regulated by the Federal Election Commission or state elections
- 10 commissions
- 11 **AFO** animal feeding operation; agricultural enterprise where animals are kept and
- 12 raised in a confined situation. An AFO congregates animals, feed, manure and urine,
- 13 dead animals, and production operations on a small land area
- 14 **Aggie Bonds** state agriculture loan program based on the use of tax-exempt bonds to
- 15 assist beginning farmers and first-time farmers
- AgJOBS legislation aimed at reforming labor and immigration laws specifically for
 agriculture
- 18 **AGR/AGR-Lite** whole-farm crop insurance that provides producers with protection
- 19 against low revenue from natural causes and market fluctuations; covers income from
- 20 agricultural commodities, as well as income from animals, animal products and
- 21 aquaculture species reared in a controlled environment
- 22 Agricultural Conservation Easement Program (ACEP) Administered by NRCS,
- 23 it provides financial and technical assistance to help conserve agricultural lands and
- 24 wetlands and their related benefits
- 25 American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) An international standards
- 26 organization that develops and publishes voluntary consensus technical standards
- 27 for a wide range of materials, products, systems, and services
- 28 **AMS** Agricultural Marketing Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture; administers
- 29 programs that facilitate the efficient, fair marketing of U.S. agricultural products,
- 30 including food, fiber and specialty crops
- 31 **APHIS** Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service of the U.S. Department of
- 32 Agriculture; a multi-faceted Agency with a broad mission area that includes protecting

- 1 and promoting U.S. agricultural health, regulating genetically engineered organisms,
- 2 administering the Animal Welfare Act and carrying out wildlife damage management
- 3 activities
- 4 **Average Crop Revenue Election Program (ACRE)** implemented as a result of the
- 5 2008 Farm Bill, ACRE provides an option for farmers to forgo receiving direct and
- 6 countercyclical payments in exchange for a state-level revenue guarantee. ACRE's
- 7 funding expires in 2012
- 8 **Base** labor that provides significant support, including material day-to-day
- 9 operational support, for a family farm, business, etc.
- 10 **Basis** in commodities, the difference between a local cash price and the relevant
- 11 futures contract price for a specific time period
- 12 **BFP** basic formula price
- 13 **Biobased Markets Program** Authorized in the 2002 Farm Bill and expanded in the
- 14 2008 Farm Bill, it establishes a process for determining eligibility criteria for federal
- 15 purchase of biobased products
- 16 Biodiesel (ASTM D6751) the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM)-
- 17 approved standard for biodiesel, which covers blends between 5 and 20 percent
- 18 biodiesel by volume blended with petroleum diesel fuel; also known as B100
- 19 Brucellosis livestock disease, which causes cows to abort calf
- 20 **BSE** bovine spongiform encephalopathy; a progressive neurological disorder of cattle
- 21 that results from infection by an unusual transmissible agent called a prion
- 22 Byrd Amendment legislation that provides for the annual distribution of
- 23 antidumping (AD) and countervailing duties (CVD). The distribution is available to
- 24 "affected domestic producers for qualifying expenditures." An "affected domestic
- 25 producer" is defined as a manufacturer, producer, farmer, rancher, or worker
- 26 representative (including associations of such persons) that 1) was a petitioner or
- 27 interested party in support of a petition with respect to which an AD or CVD order was
- 28 in effect and 2) remains in operation. It was repealed in 2005
- 29 **CAFO** concentrated (confined) animal feeding operation; an AFO that meets one of the
- 30 EPA's regulatory definitions of large CAFOs, medium CAFOs, and small CAFOs

- 1 **Cap and trade** a regulatory program that sets a cap level of permissible emissions and
- 2 allows firms to buy credits if they exceed the limit and sell credits if they are under the
- 3 limit
- 4 **Capper-Volstead Act** the 1922 law, which allows producers to organize into
- 5 cooperatives without violating antitrust laws
- 6 **Carbon sequestration** the storage of carbon from the atmosphere in soil organic
- 7 matter through agricultural practices. The producer/landowner is paid an amount of
- 8 money for implementing this practice
- 9 **Casein/caseinates** milk protein that is manufactured from skim milk and used in
- 10 processed foods and in industrial products
- 11 **CBO** Congressional Budget Office; produces independent analyses of budgetary and
- 12 economic issues to support the Congressional budget process
- 13 **CBOT** Chicago Board of Trade
- 14 **CCC** Commodity Credit Corporation of the U.S. Department of Agriculture;
- 15 government-owned and operated entity that was created to stabilize, support, and
- 16 protect farm income and prices; also helps maintain balanced and adequate supplies of
- 17 agricultural commodities and aids in their orderly distribution
- 18 **Certificate of Transportation (COT)** a futures contract issued by railroads to grain
- 19 customers as a guarantee to present empty covered hoppers for loading at a specific
- 20 location with an option to lock in price
- 21 **CFTC** Commodity Futures Trading Commission, which has regulatory oversight of U.S.
- 22 futures trading
- 23 **CHS** the forerunner of CHS Inc., Cenex Harvest States was formed in 1998 by a merger
- 24 between two regional cooperatives, Centx Inc. and Harvest States Cooperative. Cenex
- 25 Harvest States Cooperatives changed its legal name to CHS Inc. effective August 5, 2003
- 26 **Circle of Poison** the circle created by chemicals produced in the United States,
- 27 banned for use here, exported to other countries, and then returned as residue on
- 28 imported food
- 29 **Codex Alimentarius** a commission created in 1963 by FAO and WHO to develop food
- 30 standards, guidelines and related texts such as codes of practice under the Joint
- 31 FAO/WHO Food Standards Programme

1	Community-supported agriculture (CSA) – a farm in which members or
2	"shareholders" of the farm or garden pledge in advance to cover the anticipated costs of
3	the farm operation and farmer's salary and receive shares in the form of food in return
4	Comprehensive Land Policy – a land policy that includes and considers all elements or
5	aspects of land use and conservation methods, and which considers valid methods
6	which can be observed, measured and evaluated in an objective manner
7	Contract grower – a person who will grow or raise a commodity owned by a processor
8	or meatpacker. The grower provides the labor and facility; other production inputs
9	including feed, medicine and the animals are provided by the
10	integrator/processor/meatpacker
11	Cooperative development centers – regional centers set up to further the cooperative
12	movement
13	Countervailing duties (CVD) – specific duties imposed on imports to offset the
14	benefits of subsidies to producers or exporters in the exporting country. The executive
15	branch of the U.S. government has been legally empowered since the 1890s to impose
16	countervailing duties in amounts equal to any "bounties" or "grants" reflected in
17	products imported into the United States
18	CRP – USDA's Conservation Reserve Program, administered by the FSA; the long-term
19	goal of the program is to re-establish valuable land cover to help improve water quality,
20	prevent soil erosion, and reduce loss of wildlife habitat
21	CSP – USDA's Conservation Stewardship Program; helps agricultural producers
22	maintain and improve their existing conservation systems and adopt additional
23	conservation activities to address priority resources concerns
24	CSREES – Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service
25	Dark market – secretive, unregulated (though often technically legal)trading in
26	commodity futures
27	Decoupling – the removal of the link between the receipt of a direct payment and the
28	production of a specific product
29	\mathbf{DFO} – direct farm ownership loans administered by FSA. Loans can be used to purchase
30	farmland, construct or repair buildings and other fixtures, and promote soil and water
31	conservation

- 1 **E15** gasoline blend consisting of 15 percent ethanol, approved for use in 2001 model
- 2 year and newer vehicles
- 3 **E85 filling station** a station capable of handling up to an 85 percent ethanol blend
- 4 **EPA** U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- 5 **EQIP** USDA's Environmental Quality Incentive Program; voluntary program that
- 6 provides financial and technical assistance to agricultural producers through contracts
- 7 up to a maximum term of ten years in length, that help plan and implement
- 8 conservation practices
- 9 **ESA** Endangered Species Act; administered by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and
- 10 the Commerce Department's National Marine Fisheries Service, with the purpose of
- 11 protecting and recovering imperiled species and the ecosystems upon which they
- 12 depend
- ETBE ethyl tertiary butyl ether, renewable gasoline oxygenate which uses ethanol as a
 feedstock
- 15 **Fairness Doctrine** a tenet of licensed broadcasting that ensures a reasonable
- 16 opportunity for the airing of conflicting viewpoints on controversial issues
- 17 **Farmer Mac** Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation; government-sponsored
- 18 enterprise with the mission of providing a secondary market for agricultural real estate
- 19 mortgage loans, rural housing mortgage loans, and rural utility cooperative loans
- 20 **Farmers market** a public, recurring assembly of farmers or their representatives
- 21 selling local agricultural products that they have grown, raised or produced, directly to
- 22 the consumer. Such markets may include other products, which reasonably serve the
- 23 public or enhance the market's diversity
- **FAS** Foreign Agricultural Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture; links U.S.
- 25 agriculture to the world to enhance export opportunities and global food security
- 26 **FCIC** Federal Crop Insurance Corporation this has been reorganized to become the
- 27 Risk Management Agency (RMA) of the U.S. Department of Agriculture
- 28 **FDIC** Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation; independent agency created by the
- 29 Congress to maintain stability and public confidence in the nation's financial system
- 30 Fed Federal Reserve Board
- 31 **FIFRA** Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act, which provides for federal
- 32 regulation of pesticide distribution, sale, and use

- 1 **Finfish** a true fish, distinguished from shellfish
- 2 **Flex pump** a filling station fuel pump that allows consumers to select the desired
- 3 blend of gasoline and ethanol (also known as a blender pump)
- 4 **Flex-fuel vehicles** vehicles which contain engines that are capable of running on
- 5 either gasoline or fuel blends containing mostly ethanol like the E85 blend
- 6 Fluoroquinolones a class of antimicrobials that kill bacteria or prevent their growth
- 7 **Food hub** a centrally located facility with a business management structure
- 8 facilitating the aggregation, storage, processing, distribution, and/or marketing of
- 9 locally/regionally produced food products
- 10 **FSA** Farm Service Agency of the U.S. Department of Agriculture
- 11 GAO General Accounting Office
- 12 **Gender** the socially constructed roles, behaviors, activities, and attributes that a given
- 13 society considers appropriate for men and women
- 14 **Generalized System of Preferences (GSP)** a program designed to promote economic
- 15 growth in the developing world by providing preferential duty-free entry for about
- 16 4,800 products from 131 designated beneficiary countries and territories
- 17 **Genetically modified organism (GMO)** an organism whose genetic material has
- 18 been altered using genetic engineering techniques
- 19 **GIPSA** Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration of the U.S.
- 20 Department of Agriculture
- 21 **Glass-Steagall Act** a law passed by Congress in 1933, which prohibited commercial
- 22 banks from engaging in the investment business. The law was repealed by the Gramm-
- 23 Leach-Bliley Act of 1999
- 24 **Global climate change, aka global warming** changes to the earth's climate that are
- 25 caused by human activity
- **Green Thumb** a training and employment program established in 1965 by NFU for
- 27 the purpose of providing jobs for low-income people who were age 55 or older and
- 28 wanted to work; the program is authorized in the Older Americans Act and funded
- 29 through the Department of Labor
- 30 **GRP** USDA's Grasslands Reserve Program; voluntary conservation program that
- 31 emphasized support for working grazing operations, enhancement of plant and animal
- 32 biodiversity, and protection of grassland under threat of conversion to other uses

- 1 **GSM-102** short-term export credit guarantees. This commercial loan program,
- 2 administered through the USDA's Commodity Credit Corporation, leverages financing
- 3 from the private sector to support and encourage U.S. agricultural exports to foreign
- 4 markets. On average, CCC's credit guarantee covers 95 percent of the combined
- 5 principle and interest in GSM-102 transactions
- 6 **GSM-103** intermediate-term (3-10 year) export credit guarantees
- 7 Hatch Act limits certain political activities of most executive branch employees
- 8 Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (HACCP) A management system in which
- 9 food safety is addressed through the analysis and control of biological, chemical, and
- 10 physical hazards from raw material production, procurement and handling, to
- 11 manufacturing, distribution and consumption of the finished product.
- 12 **Humphrey-Hawkins Full Employment Act** an act of legislation that explicitly
- 13 instructs the nation to strive toward four ultimate goals: full employment, growth in
- 14 production, price stability, and balance of trade and budget, by setting requirements
- 15 and goals for the federal government to attain
- 16 **Hydraulic fracturing** the process by which a specially blended liquid is pumped
- 17 down a well into a formation under pressure high enough to cause the formation to
- 18 crack open, forming passages through which oil can flow into the well bore
- 19 **Integrator** a processor or meatpacker that provides animals, feed, medicine and other
- 20 production inputs to an individual as outlined in a production contract
- 21 **Ionophore** feed additive that enhances feed efficiency in cattle by altering ruminal
- 22 fermentation
- 23 IRA Individual Retirement Account
- 24 **ITC** Investment Tax Credit (not to be confused with the USITC, the U.S. International
- 25 Trade Commission)
- 26 Johne's Disease chronic disease affecting domestic animals, especially cattle and
- 27 sheep, caused by bacterium
- 28 Jones Act legislation that regulates maritime commerce between U.S. cities; requires
- 29 that goods and passengers transported by water between U.S. ports be done in U.S.-
- 30 made ships, owned by U.S. citizens and crewed by U.S. citizens

1	Keogh Doctrine – also known as the Filed Rate Doctrine; precludes an award of
2	damages under the antitrust laws when a plaintiff seeks a recovery measured by
3	payments made according to rates approved by a regulatory agency
4	Land operator – person who runs the farm, making day-to-day management decisions.
5	The operator could be an owner, hired manager, cash tenant, share tenant and/or a
6	partner
7	Large-scale family farm – defined by the USDA's Economic Research Service as annual
8	sales of \$250,000 or more
9	Leach mining – the recovery, by chemical leaching, of the valuable components of an
10	orebody without physical extraction of the ore from the ground
11	Livestock – in this policy document, the term includes cattle, swine, sheep, poultry,
12	goats, horses, buffalo and farmed cervidae (deer family) that are produced for food,
13	fiber or feed
14	Livestock Compensation Program – A suite of USDA programs that include: the
15	Livestock Indemnity Program (LIP), the Livestock Forage Disaster Program (LFP), the
16	Emergency Assistance for Livestock, Honeybees, and Farm-Raised Fish Program (ELAP)
17	and the Tree Assistance Program (TAP)
18	Make allowance – a factor used in a formula for determining the price of milk. In the
19	formula, an allowance is given for what it costs to turn raw milk into cheese and nonfat
20	dry milk
21	Margin Protection Program – an insurance program run by the U.S. Department of
22	Agriculture to protect dairy producers during times of low margins
23	McGovern-Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition Program – a
24	global school feeding program that promotes education, child development, and food
25	security for some of the world's poorest children, through donations of agricultural
26	commodities and financial and technical assistance for school feeding and maternal and
27	child nutrition projects in low-income countries
28	MPC – milk protein concentrate
29	NAFTA – North American Free Trade Agreement, an international trade agreement
30	linking Mexico, the United States, and Canada; enacted in November of 1993
31	NASS – National Agricultural Statistics Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture
32	NATFARMPAC – the National Farmers Union Political Action Committee

- 1 National Grazing Lands Coalition (NatGLC) Formerly the Grazing Lands
- 2 Conservation Initiative or GLCI, the National Grazing Lands Coalition was founded to
- 3 provide technical assistance on privately owned grazing lands on a voluntary basis
- 4 and to increase the awareness of the importance of grazing land resources.
- 5 National Rural Utilities Cooperative Finance Corporation a member-owned,
- 6 nonprofit cooperative and the premier lender for electric cooperatives, including Rural
- 7 Utilities Service (RUS) borrowers and non-RUS borrowers
- 8 National Sustainable Agriculture Information Service the National Sustainable
- 9 Agriculture Information Service, formerly known as Appropriate Technology Transfer
- 10 to Rural Areas (ATTRA), which provides sustainable agriculture information to those
- 11 engaged in or serving commercial agriculture
- 12 **Neonicotinoids** a class of insecticides with a common mode of action that affects the
- 13 central nervous system of insects, causing paralysis and death
- 14 **NEPA** National Environmental Policy Act, which requires federal agencies to integrate
- 15 environmental values into their decision-making processes by considering the
- 16 environmental impacts of their proposed actions and reasonable alternatives to those
- 17 actions
- 18 **Net neutrality** a principle that states internet service providers may not discriminate
- 19 between different kinds of content and applications online. It guarantees a level playing
- 20 field for all websites and internet technologies
- 21 NIFA National Institute of Food and Agriculture, formerly the Cooperative State
- 22 Research, Education and Extension Service (CSREES); provides leadership and funding
- 23 for programs that advance agriculture-related sciences
- 24 **Nonrecourse loan** a commodity loan that gives the borrower the options of repaying
- 25 the loan in cash or forfeiting the commodity (collateral) to the lender who accepts said
- 26 collateral to satisfy payment of the loan, without recourse against the borrower
- 27 NPDES National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit program authorized
- 28 by the Clean Water Act to control water pollution by regulating point sources that
- 29 discharge pollutants into waters of the United States
- 30 NRCS Natural Resources Conservation Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture;
- 31 provides America's farmers and ranchers with financial and technical assistance to
- 32 voluntarily make conservation improvements

- 1 **OMB** Office of Management and Budget; oversees the performance of federal agencies,
- 2 and administers the federal budget
- 3 **Organic –** the use of organic claims shall be defined by USDA under the Organic Foods

4 Production Act and the National Organic Program (NOP)

- 5 **Packer Consent Decree of 1921** an agreement struck between packers and the
- 6 attorney general's office that allowed the packers to avoid antitrust prosecution in
- 7 exchange for agreeing to: 1) sell holdings in stockyards, railroads, market newspapers
- 8 and public warehouse, 2) abandon all retail meat business, 3) abandon control of
- 9 transportation facilities, and 4) dissolve any conspiracies with other packers

10 **Parity index** – originally, the price per bushel, pound or bale that would be necessary

- 11 for a bushel today to buy the same quantity of goods (from a standard list) that a bushel
- 12 would have bought in the 1910-14 base period at the price then prevailing. In 1948, the
- 13 parity price formula was revised to make parity prices dependent on the relationship of
- 14 farm and nonfarm prices during the most recent 10-year period for non-basic
- 15 commodities. Basic commodities, including wheat, corn, rice, peanuts, and cotton, use
- 16 the higher of the historical formula or the new formula (referred to by USDA as the
- 17 "prices paid index." Current information can be found at
- 18 <u>http://usda.mannlib.cornell.edu/MannUsda/viewDocumentInfo.do?documentID=1002</u>
- 19

)

- 20 Particulate matter solid or liquid particles less than 10 microns in diameter
- 21 suspended in the air
- 22 **Pesticide** a chemical that is used to kill or control animals, insects, plants, or other
- 23 organisms. The purpose of a pesticide is to reduce or eliminate damage to crops or
- 24 livestock. Includes insecticides, herbicides, miticides, rodenticides, fungicides, etc.
- Pharma crops crops grown specifically for use in pharmaceuticals such as vaccines
 and medicine
- 27 **PL-480** law that authorizes the United States to provide food aid to needy in other
- 28 countries
- 29 **Poultry** any domesticated bird being processed for human consumption, according to
- 30 the Poultry Products Inspection Act of 1957
- 31 **Pugh clause** a clause added to an oil lease to limit holding non-producing lands or
- 32 depths beyond the primary term of the lease

- 1 **Pulse crop** legumes that are harvested exclusively for dry grain such as peas, lentils,
- 2 beans, and chickpeas
- 3 **Raw** any food in its natural state
- 4 **RBS** Rural Business Cooperative Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture
- 5 **rBST** Recombinant bovine somatotropin, a synthetic hormone injected in dairy cows
- 6 to increase milk production
- 7 **REC** rural electric cooperative
- 8 **Recourse loan** a commodity loan that must be repaid in cash, plus interest
- 9 **Retail wheeling** allowing utilities to abandon current service territories and sell
- 10 power in an open market to the highest bidder
- 11 **RMA** Risk Management Agency of the U.S. Department of Agriculture
- 12 **Rochdale Principles** a set of guidelines for cooperatives, established by a group of
- 13 artisans in Rochdale, England, who formed the first modern cooperative business in
- 14 1844. These principles are known today as: 1) voluntary and open membership; 2)
- 15 democratic member control; 3) member economic participation; 4) autonomy and
- 16 independence; 5) education, training and information; 6) cooperation among
- 17 cooperatives; and 7) concern for community
- 18 **RPS** Renewable Portfolio Standard, a policy that requires retail energy suppliers to
- 19 provide energy from renewable sources as part of their electricity portfolio
- 20 **RUS** Rural Utilities Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture; administers
- 21 programs that provide much-needed infrastructure or infrastructure improvements to
- 22 rural communities
- 23 SBA Small Business Administration; delivers loans, loan guarantees, contracts,
- 24 counseling sessions and other forms of assistance to small businesses
- 25 **Section 22** a section of the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1933 (P.L. 73-10) that
- 26 authorizes the president to restrict imports by imposing quotas or fees on imports that
- 27 interfere with federal price support programs or substantially reduce U.S. production of
- 28 agricultural products
- 29 **Sexual orientation** a person's sexual identity in relation to the gender to which they
- 30 are attracted; the fact of being heterosexual, homosexual, or bisexual
- 31 **Slotting fee** a fee charged to companies or manufacturers by retailers in order to have
- 32 their products placed on shelves

1 Small family farm – defined by USDA's Economic Research Service as annual sales of

2 less than \$250,000

3 **Small wind** – a residential wind turbine system, installed on top of a tall tower with

4 100kW capacity or less, which collects kinetic energy from the wind and converts it to

5 electricity that is compatible with a home's electrical system. Extra power generated is

6 typically sold to local utility

7 Smith-Lever Act – established a national Cooperative Extension Service that extended

- 8 outreach programs through land-grant universities to educate rural Americans about
- 9 advances in agricultural practices and technology

10 Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children (WIC) –

11 a federally funded health and nutrition program that helps families by providing checks

12 for buying healthy supplemental foods from WIC-authorized vendors, nutrition

13 education, and help finding health care and other community services

14 **Staggers Act** – law that significantly deregulated the rail industry, passed in 1980

15 Star School/Medical Link – telecommunications used to link research hospitals to

16 community hospitals

17 **Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP)** – formerly known as food

18 stamps; a federal aid program administered by the USDA that provides financial

19 assistance for purchasing food to low- and no-income people living in the United States

20 Supplemental Revenue Assistance Program (SURE) – the "permanent disaster"

21 program intended to replace ad hoc agricultural disaster assistance legislation

22 **Surface Transportation Board** – a bipartisan, decisionally-independent adjudicatory

23 body organizationally housed within the U.S. Department of Transportation. The Board

24 provides a forum for the resolution of surface-transportation disputes and other

25 matters within its jurisdiction. It has the authority to limit or remove regulatory

26 requirements where appropriate

Sustainable – an integrated system of plant and animal production practices having a
 site-specific application that will, over the long term:

- Satisfy human food and fiber needs;
- Enhance environmental quality and the natural resource base upon which the
 agricultural economy depends;

1	Make the most efficient use of nonrenewable resources and on-farm resources
2	and integrate, where appropriate, natural biological cycles and controls;
3	Sustain the economic viability of farm operations; and
4	• Enhance the quality of life for farmers and society as a whole.
5	Thorium – a naturally occurring, slightly radioactive metal found in most rocks and
6	soils
7	T-levels – a measure of the amount of soil loss that can occur each year (in tons of soil
8	per acre per year) and still allow production to continue at current levels
9	TMDL – total maximum daily load is a calculation of the maximum amount of a
10	pollutant that a body of water can receive and still meet water quality standards.
11	Commonly referred to as a "pollution diet"
12	U.S. Warehouse Act – authorizes the secretary of agriculture to license warehouse
13	operators who store agricultural products and meet specific standards
14	UF – ultra-filtered (milk products)
15	Unmanned aerial vehicle – an aircraft without a human pilot aboard, commonly
16	known as a drone
17	USTR – U.S. Trade Representative; responsible for developing and coordinating U.S.
18	international trade, commodity, and direct investment policy, and overseeing
19	negotiations with other countries
20	VAT – value-added tax
21	Vermiculture – the raising and production of earthworms and worm castings
22	Water spreading – the practice of using allocated water on undesignated acres
23	WFO – World Farmers Organization, of which NFU is a member
24	WHIP – USDA's Wildlife Habitat Incentives Program
25	World Food Summit – U.N. meeting where heads of state committed to reducing
26	hunger
27	WRP – USDA's Wetlands Reserve Program; voluntary program that offered landowners
28	the opportunity to protect, restore, and enhance wetlands on their property
29	WTO – World Trade Organization, a body created in the last major trade agreement that
30	handles disputes between signatory nations to the Uruguay Round of the General
31	Agreement on Tariffs and Trade

- 1 **WTO boxes** used to categorize programs based on their impact on trade. Amber box
- 2 programs are considered to be the most trade distorting. Blue box programs are less
- 3 trade distorting, and green box programs have no significant impact on trade

INDEX

1031 exchanges	
9	
911 emergency	
A	
actual production history (APH)	
Adjusted Gross Revenue (AGR)	
Aggie Bond	
AgJOBS	
Agriculture in the Classroom	
Agriculture Quarantine Inspections Program	
agri-terrorism	
agri-tourism	
air quality	
air transportation	
All-States Camp	
alternative crops	
alternative fuels	
Amtrak	
anaerobic digesters	
anhydrous ammonia	105, 137
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS)	32, 105, 196, 203

Animal Feeding Operation (AFO)	
animal welfare	
antibiotics	
antitrust	17, 54, 66, 67, 85, 204, 209, 211
aquaculture	
Army Corps of Engineers	
artificial growth hormone	
В	
bank regulation	
bankruptcy	
beginning farmer22	2, 24, 25, 52, 81, 143, 149, 194, 195, 202
best management practices (BMPs)	
biodiesel	128, 130, 132, 133, 134, 136, 137, 203
biofuel	21, 116, 128, 129, 130, 132, 133, 197
Biofuels Feedstock Reserve	
biomass	
biotechnology	
bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE)	
broadband	
brucellosis	
buffer zones	
С	
capital gains	

Capper-Volstead Act	
captive supply	
carbon credits	
carbon monoxide	
carbon sequestration	
Caribbean Basin Initiative	
casein	
cellulosic	129, 130, 132, 133, 197
census of agriculture	
checkoff	
chemical weapons	
Chesapeake Bay Program	
China	
CHS	
climate change	
cloned	
coal	
Colony Collapse Disorder	
Commodities Exchange Act	
Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC)	
commodity futures	
Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC)	
commodity loans	

Congressional Budget Office (CBO)......141, 204

conservation... 19, 21, 38, 47, 52, 53, 54, 65, 82, 90, 92, 94, 95, 96, 100, 108, 109, 110,

 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 119, 123, 125, 131, 141, 146, 189, 198, 199

 Conservation Reserve Program (CRP)

 Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP)

 Consumer Price Index

 19

 consumer protection

 29, 31, 76, 161, 179

 contamination

 30, 64, 92, 98, 120, 138, 196

 contract grower

 189, 205

cooperative . 17, 38, 46, 54, 59, 68, 71, 74, 75, 80, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 91, 94,

95, 106, 119, 123, 124, 125, 126, 128, 131, 134, 136, 137, 146, 149, 150, 152,

credit...... 22, 24, 28, 75, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 97, 110, 116, 124, 130, 131, 132, 135, 136,

140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 149, 160, 162, 166, 208

credit union	
crop insurance	
cross-contamination	
Cuba	
currency manipulation	
D	
dairy21	, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 39, 41, 47, 52, 59, 173, 200, 209
debt	
deregulation	
diesel	
disaster	21, 38, 43, 49, 50, 51, 53, 82, 115, 182, 193, 198
distillers grain	
drought	
Ε	
E85	
easement	
economic development	
education 1, 16, 17, 22, 25, 34, 38	, 44, 47, 51, 53, 55, 70, 78, 79, 81, 82, 85, 86, 90, 92,
98, 101, 104, 149, 158, 161, 16	55, 166, 167, 178, 185, 186, 187, 189
eggs	
election	

Emergency Food Assistance Program (TEFAP)	
eminent domain107	, 108, 125, 127, 144
employment	2, 161, 170, 172, 173
endangered species	114, 118, 119
end-use certificate	
energy16, 17, 21, 22, 42, 91, 94, 95, 96, 103, 109, 110, 120, 122,	, 123, 124, 125, 126,
128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 15	51, 152, 172, 189,
197, 199	
Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)	98, 112, 206
Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP)	24, 112
erosion	
estate tax	139, 143, 144
ethanol45, 123, 128, 130, 131	., 132, 133, 136, 197
excise tax	123, 131, 132, 151
export subsidies	72, 74
F	
family farm 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24, 26, 27, 28, 31, 36, 38, 4	9, 50, 53, 54, 56, 57,
58, 61, 66, 72, 80, 81, 83, 84, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 96, 98, 99,	101, 108, 111, 113,
114, 122, 128, 129, 141, 144, 145, 148, 149, 150, 151, 157, 16	60, 166, 168, 171,
177, 185, 189, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199	
Farm Credit System (FCS)	
Farm Service Agency (FSA)	43, 81, 83, 114, 207

Farmers Market Nutrition Program	
farmers markets	
Farmers Union Legislative Budget Fund	
farm-to-school	
fast-track	
federal budget	
Federal Communications Commission (FCC)	
Federal Trade Commission (FTC)	
feedstock	
fertilizer	
firefighters	
fishing	16, 26, 36, 37, 38, 39, 91, 122, 145
flex pumps	
flex-fuel vehicles	
flood control	
flood plain	
floriculture	
food aid	
Food and Drug Administration (FDA)	
food assistance	
food banks	
food imports	
food inspection	

food safety	31, 62, 63, 64, 73, 74, 75, 76, 152, 167, 175, 176, 177
food security	
Foot-and-Mouth Disease (FMD)
forest	
fossil fuel	
fruits	
fuel storage tank	
G	
generally regarded as safe (GR	AS)
generic drugs	
genetically modified organism	(GMO)62, 207
grains	
grazing allotments	
grazing fees	
Grazing Lands Conservation In	itiative (GLCI)95, 210
greenhouse gas	
groundwater	
Н	
hay	
hazardous substances	
hazardous waste	
health care	
heavy metals	

hemp	
highly erodible land	
honey	
horse	
horticulture	
hospital	
housing	
hunger	
hunting	
hydroelectric	
hydrology	
Ι	
import quota	
income tax	
Indian reservation	
industrial development bonds	
inspection standards	
interstate commerce	
invasive species	
irradiation	
irrigation	
J	
Johne's Disease	

Justice Department (DOJ) 6	6
----------------------------	---

L

labeling. 27, 28, 29, 31, 32, 35, 36, 39, 40, 41, 44,	61, 74, 105, 123, 164, 175, 179, 191
labor	, 72, 74, 75, 170, 171, 173, 179, 189
land stewardship	
land transfer	
land value	
land-grant	93, 104, 165, 167, 168, 169, 199
Livestock Compensation Program	
Livestock Forage Disaster Program (LFP)	
Livestock Indemnity Program (LIP)	
Μ	
mandatory arbitration	
manure	
market access	
Market Access Program (MAP)	
market order	
McGovern-Dole International Food for Education	and Child Nutrition program78,
209	
Medicaid	
medical research	
membership	60, 86, 88, 89, 188
milk protein concentrate (MPC)	

mineral lease 1	107
Ν	
National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS)	210
National Animal Identification	, 40
National Buffer Strip Initiative 1	115
National Farmers Union Political Action Committee (NATFARMPAC) 180, 2	210
National Organic Program (NOP)62, 1	177
National Organic Standards Board (NOSB)	. 62
National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) 101, 2	211
National School Lunch Program	.26
Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS)	211
nitrogen 1	102
non-recourse	, 43
noxious75, 1	106
nuclear	125
nursery crops	.46
nutrient management1	102
nutrition	198

oilseed		34, 193
organic	19, 41, 51, 52, 61, 62, 109, 114, 138, 17	77, 211
Organizations Concerned with Rural	Education (OCRE)	166

parity	
particulate matter	
payment limits	
peanut	
pest control	
pesticide	
petroleum	
pollinator	
pollution	
postal service	
poultry	
predator	
prescribed burning	
prescription drug	
price reporting	
price support	
private landowners	
producer liability	
producer referendum	
production tax credit (PTC)	
property rights	
public land	

Q	
quota limits	
R	
railroad), 134, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156
rangeland	
recombinant bovine somatotropin (rBST)	
recycling	
refineries	
renewable energy .94, 95, 103, 122, 124, 125, 126, 128	8, 129, 130, 131, 136, 140, 149,
199	
Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS)	
research 21, 25, 26, 31, 33, 34, 35, 38, 40, 44, 45, 47, 48	8, 58, 59, 60, 62, 63, 64, 65, 98,
99, 101, 104, 106, 109, 110, 113, 116, 120, 128, 129	9, 130, 131, 132, 134, 136,
147, 149, 160, 161, 162, 167, 168, 169, 170, 173, 17	75, 196, 199
Risk Management Agency (RMA)	
road	55, 95, 105, 106, 150, 152
Rochdale Principles	
rural development	24, 149, 150, 160
rural hospital	
Rural Utilities Service (RUS)	
S	
safety net19, 21, 43	3, 46, 49, 50, 74, 141, 163, 194

school23, 26, 29, 88, 102, 138, 150, 156, 158	3, 165, 166, 167, 173, 174, 175, 193
seafood	
senior	
sewage sludge	
shelterbelts	
shipping channels	
Small Business Administration (SBA)	
Social Security	
sod	
sodbuster	
solar124, 128, 130), 131, 135, 136, 138, 139, 140, 199
solid waste	
specialty crop	
Staggers Act	
storable commodities	
Strategic Petroleum Reserve	
sugar	
Surface Transportation Board (STB)	
swampbuster	
Т	
tariff	1, 43, 74, 75, 76, 128, 132, 133, 200
tariff rate quota	
taxes	

151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156,
61, 145, 156

value-added	18, 24, 48, 76, 82, 87, 88, 95, 132, 136, 149, 160
vegetable	
veterans	

W

warehouse	
waste management	
water quality	
wetland	
wheat	
wildlife	
wind	111, 124, 128, 130, 134, 135, 138, 139, 140, 199
wind turbine	
women	
wool	
Y	
youth	
Ζ	

BACKGROUND Parliamentary Procedure at a Glance

					mendable
TO DO THIS	YOU SAY THIS	MAY YOU INTERRUPT SPEAKER	MUST YOU BE SECONDED	IS THE MOTION DEBATABLE	WHAT VOTE IS REQUIRED
*Adjourn the meeting	"I move that we adjourn	NO	YES	NO	Majority
Recess the meeting	"I move that we recess until"	NO	YES	NO	Majority
*Complain about noise, room temperature, etc.	"Point of privilege"	YES	NO	NO	No Vote
*Suspend further consideration of something	"I move we table it"	NO	YES	NO	Majority
End debate	"I move the previous question"	NO	YES	NO	2/3 Vote
Postpone consideration of something	"I move we postpone this matter until"	NO	YES	YES	Majority
Have something studied further	"I move we refer this to a committee"	NO	YES	YES	Majority
Amend a motion	"I move to amend this motion"	NO	YES	YES	Majority
Introduce Business (a primary motion)	"I move that"	NO	YES	YES	Majority
*Object to procedure or to personal affront	"Point of order"	YES	NO	NO	No Vote Chair Decides
*Request information	"Point of information"	YES	NO	NO	N o Vote
*Ask for a vote count to verify a voice vote	"I call for a division of the house"	NO	NO	NO	No Vote
*Object to considering some matter	"I object to consideration of this"	YES	NO	NO	2/3 Vote
*Take up a matter previously tabled	"I move to take from the table"	NO	YES	NO	Majority
*Reconsider something already disposed of	"I move we reconsider action on"	YES	YES	YES	Majority
*Consider something not in scheduled order	"I move we suspend the rules and	NO	YES	NO	2/3 Vote
*Vote on a ruling by the chair	"I appeal the chair's decision"	YES	YES	YES	Majority

Reprint from "Building Better Boards" project, Colorado Mountain College, 1982.

2017 NFU Convention Delegate Instructions

- Per the NFU charter and bylaws, <u>delegates need to be registered by noon, Tuesday,</u> <u>March 7</u>.
- Please read through this draft of the NFU Policy carefully. Per NFU's 2017 Policy Committee, proposed additions are underlined and deletions have a line marked through them.
- Delegates may meet with the policy committee to offer amendments, additions or request deletions. The committee will meet in the Rousseau Center on the first floor. A sign-up sheet will be posted outside of the door. <u>Delegates may give 5-minute presentations to the policy committee on a first-come, first-served basis on Sunday, March 5, from 12:00 4:00 p.m. and Monday, March 6, from 9:00 11:00 a.m. and 1:00 3:00 p.m.
 </u>

Proposed Policy Changes - Presented to the Policy Committee

- Please have your proposed amendments, additions or special orders of business in writing or typed with 13 copies available for committee members. Please note the page and line number from the 2016 NFU Policy Book for any proposed policy changes. If you have a lengthy amendment, please provide an electronic copy when meeting with the committee.
- Computers, printers, and a photocopier are available for delegate use in the NFU workroom, Rousseau E&W on the first floor.

Proposed Policy Changes - Offered from the Floor Tuesday and Wednesday, March 7-8

- Amendments, additions or special orders of business that a delegate intends to
 offer should first be presented to the policy committee for consideration. If
 accepted by the committee, it will become part of the proposed draft considered
 for adoption and there is no need for the delegate to do anything further.
- If not accepted by the policy committee, the delegate can offer the policy change for consideration from the floor. Please seek NFU staff assistance to have 175 copies of your document(s) available for consideration by the delegates. Again, it is important to reference page and line number.
- Give your 175 copies to an NFU staff member in a timely manner to arrange for distribution among the delegates.