# Policy of the National Farmers Union Enacted by delegates to the $115^{\rm th}$ anniversary convention San Diego, CA March 5-8, 2017

#### National Farmers Union Mission and Vision Statements

Mission – To advocate for the economic and social well-being, and quality of life of family farmers, ranchers, fishermen and consumers and their communities through education, cooperation and legislation. National Farmers Union advocates for the sustainable production of food, fiber, feed and fuel.

Vision – National Farmers Union will continue to be the respected, influential and independent national voice and coalition leader that bridges family producers and consumers on behalf of a vibrant and growing grassroots membership.

# **CONTENTS**

Preamble	13
Article I – Agricultural Programs for the Family Farm	15
A. General Program Provisions	16
1. Measures of Economic Equity	16
2. Directing Benefit Protection to Family Farms	16
3. Providing a Safety Net	18
4. Farm Viability Programs for Family Farms	18
5. Local Food & Food Systems	19
6. Beginning Farmers and Ranchers (also see Article IV.A - Farm Service Agency (	FSA)
Credit Programs)	20
7. Urban Farming	21
B. Dairy, Livestock, Aquaculture and Fishing	22
1. Dairy Policy	22
i. Domestic Dairy Policy	22
ii. Market Order Reform	23
iii. Milk Protein Concentrate/Ultra-Filtered Milk Products (also see Article I.D	_
Labeling of Commodities and Commodity Products)	24
iv. Consumer Protection (also see Article I.D – Labeling of Commodities and	
Commodity Products)	25
v. Raw Dairy	25
2. Livestock Policy	26
i. Animal Welfare	26
ii. Livestock and Livestock Product Imports (also see Article III – Internationa	·1
Trade, Cooperation, and the Family Farm)	27

	ii	i. Consumer Protection (also see Article X.J – Food Safety and Article I.D – L	abeling
of Commodities and Commodity Products)		27	
	iv	v. Livestock Health	28
	V	. State Animal Identification	31
	V	i. National Animal Identification	31
	V	ii. Aquaculture, Fishing and Alternative Livestock	32
		1. Marine Aquaculture	33
		2. Sustainable Working Waterfronts and Fisheries	33
C.	L	abeling of Commodities and Commodity Products	35
	1.	Country-of-Origin Labeling	35
	2.	Labeling of Food Products	36
	3.	Nutrition Labeling	37
D.	С	ommodities	37
	1.	Wheat, Coarse Grains, Oilseeds, Rice, and Cotton	37
	2.	Other Program Commodities (wool, mohair, honey, pulse crops, etc.)	38
	3.	Tobacco	39
	4.	Peanuts	40
	5.	Sugar	40
(	6.	Tree Farms and Forestry	40
	7.	Specialty Crops	41
;	8.	Honey/Pollination	42
	9.	Value-Added and Minimally Processed Foods	43
	10.	Value-Added Uses of Farm Products	43
E.	A	gri-Tourism	43
F	P	risk Management	43

1.	Livestock Producer Assistance	43
2.	Crop Insurance	44
3.	National Food Liability Insurance Program	47
4.	Risk Management Education	47
G.	Farm Program Administration	48
1.	Farmer-Elected Committees	48
2.	Natural Resources Conservation Service	48
3.	Improved Marketing Mechanisms	49
H.	Special Agricultural Policies and Services	49
1.	Farm Labor	49
2.	Safety of Farmers and Farm Workers	50
3.	Storable Commodities Producer Protection	51
	i. Federal Warehouse Act	51
	ii. Ag Merchandiser or Supplier Bankruptcies and Receiverships	52
	iii. Commodity Basis	52
4.	Agricultural Census	52
5.	National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS)	52
6.	Commodity Research and Promotion Programs	53
7.	Grain Standards	55
8.	Grain Inspection	56
9.	USDA's Information Mandate	56
10	D. National Organic Standards (also see Article I.D. – Labeling of Commodities	and
Сс	ommodity Products)	56
11	1. Plant Breeding	57
12	Plant Cenetic Resources	58

Artic	le II – Family Farmers and Technology	59
A.	Genetically Modified Organisms and Biotechnology	59
B.	Agricultural Technology	61
C.	Information Collection and Protection	61
D.	Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (Drones)	62
Artic	le III – Agriculture Competition and Concentration	63
A.	Competition and Antitrust	63
B.	Livestock Market Reform	65
C.	Poultry Market Reform	65
D.	Production Contracting	66
E.	Profit-Taking by Manufacturers of Farm Inputs	67
Artic	le IV – International Trade, Cooperation, and the Family Farm	69
A.	Agricultural Trade Negotiations	69
B.	Trade Promotion Authority (Fast-Track)	72
C.	Fair and Transparent Trade Practices	73
D.	Health and Inspection Standards for Food and Fiber Imports	73
E.	China Trade	74
F.	International Food Assistance	75
G.	World Farmers Organization (WFO)	75
Н.	Policies Toward Developing Nations	76
I.	Farmers and Farm Youth Educational Exchange Programs	76
Artic	le V – Credit and the Family Farm	77
A.	Farm Service Agency (FSA) Credit Programs	78
B.	Farm Credit System	79
C	Cooperative Financing	81

Artic	le VI – Farm Cooperatives and the Family Farm	82
A.	Cooperative Law	82
B.	Rochdale Principles	82
C.	Additional Principles	83
D.	Teamwork of Farmers Union and Cooperatives	86
E.	CHS	86
Artic	le VII – Water and Land Policy, Conservation and the Family Farm	87
A.	Objectives of a Comprehensive Land Policy	87
В.	Prohibition of Foreign Ownership of Farmland, Commercial Fishing Privileges an	d
Dis	sclosure	88
C.	Land Transfer	88
D.	Public Lands	89
E.	National Grazing Lands Coalition	92
F.	Forest Health	92
G.	Water Quantity and Quality	92
1	I. Water Quantity Distribution	92
2	2. Water Quality Protection	94
3	3. Clean Water Act	96
4	4. Drought Monitoring	97
Н.	Air Quality	98
I.	Animal Feeding Operations (AFOs)	98
J.	Confined Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs)	98
K.	Pesticide Regulation	100
L.	Fertilizer Regulations	101
М	Invasive Species Control	102

N. Prescribed Burning	103
O. Landowner Rights	103
P. Eminent Domain (also see Article VIII.F – IRS Tax Code 1031 Exchanges)	104
Q. Zoning	105
R. Climate Change and Carbon Sequestration	106
S. Conservation	107
1. Land Retirement and Easement Programs	110
2. Conservation Reserve Program (CRP)	110
3. National Buffer Strip Initiative	112
4. Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP)	113
5. Sodbuster and Swampbuster Provisions	113
6. Wetlands	113
T. Sustainable Agriculture	115
U. Predator and Rodent Control	115
V. Endangered Species	115
W. Army Corps of Engineers	116
X. Nuclear, Radioactive and Toxic Waste	116
Y. Surface Mining	117
Article VIII – Energy and the Family Farm	118
A. Energy Objectives	118
B. Distribution	119
1. Petroleum Supply	119
2. Electricity and Deregulation	119
3. Economic Assistance	121
4. Public Ownership of Power Marketing Administration and Power Generato	rs 122

5.	Hydroelectric Power	122
6.	Pipelines	122
C.	Development of Renewable Energy and Fuels from the Farm	123
1.	Priorities	123
2.	Ethanol	127
3.	Renewable Fuel Standard	128
4.	Biodiesel	129
5.	Compressed Natural Gas	129
6.	Wind Energy	129
7.	Solar Energy	130
8.	Renewable Energy Storage	130
9.	Cooperative Demonstrations	130
D.	Environmental Precaution in Energy Production and Use	131
1.	Fuel Storage Tanks	131
2.	Waste Products	131
3.	Hydraulic Fracturing and Wastewater Injection	132
E.	Landowner Rights in Natural Resources Project Development (wind, solar, fra	cking,
oil, e	etc.)	132
Article	e IX – Economic Regulation and the Family Farm	135
A.	Federal Budget Reform	135
B.	Money and Credit Policy (also see Article IV - Credit and the Family Farm)	135
C.	Bank Regulation	136
D.	Credit Unions	136
E.	Estate and Gift Tax Policy	137
F.	IRS Tax Code 1031 Exchanges	137

G.	Income Tax Reforms	. 138
H.	Taxation	. 138
I.	Tax Credit	. 139
J.	Commodity Futures	. 140
Articl	e X – Rural Development and the Family Farm	. 142
A.	Rural Community Development	. 142
B.	Transportation	. 143
1	. U.S. Highway Trust Fund	. 144
2	. Truck Transportation	. 144
3	. Rail Transportation	. 145
	i. Rail Service	. 145
	ii. Certificates of Transportation (COT)	. 147
	iii. Safety	. 148
C.	Port Development, Shipping Policy	. 148
D.	Air Transportation	. 149
E.	Rural Utilities	. 149
1	. Telephone Services	. 149
2	. Electric Services	. 149
3	. Telecommunications	. 150
4	. Rural Access to Technology and Information	. 150
F.	Small Business Development	. 151
1	. Industrial Development Bonds	. 151
2		
3	·	
	e XI – Quality of Life in Rural America	153

A.	ŀ	Health Care	153
	1.	Health Care Coverage, Access and Care	153
,	2.	Prescription Drugs	155
	3.	Medicare and Medicaid	155
2	4.	Veterans' Rights	156
В.	E	Education	156
	1.	Public Research (also see Article VIII.J – Commodity Futures)	159
2	2.	National Institute of Food and Agriculture	160
C.	S	Social Security	160
D.	F	People with Disabilities	161
E.	E	Employment: A National Priority	161
F.	I	mmigration Policy	162
G.	E	Expanding Opportunities for Senior Citizens	163
Н.	F	Food and Nutrition Programs	164
	1.	Administration of Food and Nutrition Programs	164
2	2.	Food Assistance Programs	165
	3.	Child Nutrition Programs	166
I.	N	Nutrition Monitoring (also see Article I.D – Labeling of Commodities and Commod	ty
Pro	odi	ucts)	166
J.	F	Food Safety (also see Article III.D – Health and Inspection Standards for Food and	
Fik	er	Imports and Article I.D – Labeling of Commodities and Commodity Products)	167
-	1.	Regulatory Authority	167
2	2.	Food Safety Standards	167
	3.	Inspection of Perishable Commodities	169
,	1.	Agri-Terrorism	169

K.	Food Waste	170
L.	World Food Day	170
M.	Housing	170
N.	Liability Insurance	171
0.	Consumer Protection	171
P.	Campaign Finance and Elections	171
1	L. Campaign Finance	171
2	2. Elections and Elected Officials	172
Q.	U.S. Freedoms and Liberties	173
R.	Postal Service	173
S.	Rural Emergency Services and Management Planning	174
T.	Voting Districts	174
1	l. Redistricting	174
2	2. State Legislative Districts	175
Articl	le XII – Family Farmers and Their Organization	176
A.	Educational Activities	176
1	L. Seminars and Workshops	176
2	2. Farmers Union Youth Programs	177
3	3. Farmers Union Young Farmer Program	177
4	4. Farmers Union Education Center	178
B.	Communications	178
C.	Farmers Union Legislative Budget Fund	178
D.	Political Effectiveness	
E.	Membership Expansion	179
F.	Membership Budget Information	179

G.	Farmers Union Related Services	. 179
H.	Cooperation with Religious Organizations	. 180
I.	Cooperation with Other Organizations	. 180
Famil	ly Farming and Crisis Relief – 2017 Special Order of Business	. 181
Famil	ly Farming and Dairy – 2017 Special Order of Business	. 182
Famil	ly Farming and Farm Bills – 2017 Special Order of Business	. 184
Famil	ly Farming and Expanded Higher Ethanol Blend Utilization – 2017 Special Order o	f
Busin	ness	. 186
Famil	ly Farming and Leading the Way on Climate Change – 2017 Special Order of Busin	ess
		. 188
Famil	ly Farming and Trade Policy – 2017 Special Order of Business	. 189
Gloss	ary	. 190

INDEX 204

#### **PREAMBLE**

We, the members of National Farmers Union, in the following policy statement, strive to articulate the fundamental principles of a food, fiber and energy policy essential to our nation and today's world. This issue transcends every social, economic, environmental, and geographic boundary of our planet.

The goal of NFU is to protect and enhance the economic well-being and quality of life for family farmers, ranchers, fishers, and our local communities. Our experiences as family farmers, ranchers, fishers, and those concerned with the survival of productive family-oriented agriculture provide us with a unique and qualified perspective to make meaningful contributions to this issue.

The Farmers Union symbol, a triangle constructed with education at its base and completed with cooperation and legislation on its sides, represents a structure that takes on more importance than ever before. Education is not only for our youth, but also for adults, families and our seniors. It affords us the opportunity to share and learn from both young and old.

The educational process must extend beyond our farms and reach out to all consumers, as well as across borders and oceans. Sharing information is important, but sharing our values, concerns, and spirit is of greater significance.

The goals of Farmers Union have grown out of our understanding of God, nature, and the love of our country, with respect for the past and vision for the future. Our goals are nourished through the truth and rightness of the ideals expressed in the Declaration of Independence, the Constitution of the United States and its Bill of Rights. We affirm our faith in a benevolent God, whose grace has bestowed upon us immensely fertile resources, and whose justice demands stewardship.

Woven throughout this document is a sense of moral and ethical values that family farmers embrace as we assume the responsibilities that are part of every acre of ground, drop of water, animal, plant or any of the multitude of resources entrusted to our care. We are also very cognizant of the need to extend beyond our fence rows and townships to ensure these gifts are shared and not exploited or wasted.

We recognize the invaluable contributions of stewardship and expertise offered by indigenous people and multigenerational farmers as well as all farmers who embrace and perpetuate historically rooted and traditional approaches to farming and fishing.

The family farm system of agricultural production can provide opportunities for individual enterprise to all families in our society. This system achieves economic and social stability, as well as soil, water and environmental stewardship of our natural resources and unparalleled production efficiency. We cannot change or improve the past but will proactively advance, with a strong vision for the future, the best interests of today's family-oriented agriculture.

We acknowledge that family farmers employ a wide variety of philosophies and practices. Our responsibility is to be inclusive and serve all types of family farms in legislative, educational, and cooperative areas.

The loss of family farms and other independently owned businesses is not inevitable. We believe the accelerated march toward a vertically integrated production system must be reversed. This requires action to enforce and enhance antitrust and competition laws, strengthen the regulatory system and revitalize independently owned businesses and competitive markets.

Parity is the cornerstone of our policy. Our definition of parity expands beyond the simple economic definition. We believe that it should encompass a sense of balance that not only diminishes hunger, but also fosters peace and justice.

We remain wholly dedicated to the strengthening of the family farm system and farmer cooperatives. The essence of the cooperative movement – farmers working together for our mutual benefit – is the spirit that motivates Farmers Union activity. We view attempts to restrict farmer-owned and –controlled cooperatives as an assault on farmers themselves, and we will vigorously defend the Capper-Volstead Act. Family farmers have a valuable tool in working together in farmer-owned and –controlled cooperatives. They are essential to our economic well-being and success.

Cooperation also comes from knowledge as well as respect for other people and cultures. Our spirit of cooperation must continue to grow and not have limits. Our challenge is to take this knowledge and spirit and incorporate it into meaningful policy through legislation on local, state and national levels.

This document is not a collection of words, but an anthology of the spirit of the hundreds of thousands of family farmers, ranchers, fishers and their advocates that make up our organization. We dedicate this document, along with our energy and efforts, to bring about long-term meaningful policy that identifies our common thread and utilizes that energy for the common good.

## ARTICLE I - AGRICULTURAL PROGRAMS FOR THE FAMILY FARM

A family farm or structured family farm is operated by a family, with the family providing the base of the labor needed for the farming operation, assuming the economic risk, and making the management decisions.

The family farm is the keystone of a free, progressive, democratic national society, as well as a strong America, and is the basis of a safe, secure and stable food system. A vertically integrated and/or multinational grain and food conglomerate is not a family farm.

The future control and ownership of agriculture is a fundamental issue facing our nation and the world. National farm policy must provide direction with an emphasis on profitable farm commodity prices to ensure that control and responsibility of agriculture is vested within the family farm. The decline in the number of family-sized commercial farms must be reversed. The family farm system of agricultural production is truly sustainable. Sustainable agriculture integrates three main goals – environmental health, economic profitability and viability, and social economic equity. We believe farmers engaged in sustainable agriculture set out to protect the environment, improve their quality of life and enhance the communities in which they live. Programs that encourage sustainable agriculture through diversified production, improved marketing strategies, and enhanced value-added opportunities can be keys to reversing this trend. Another important aspect of reversing the decline in these farms is to encourage new farmers to enter the agriculture industry. Farms and consumers need stability and fairness in a farm program. Farmers, rural communities and consumers are at the mercy of a marketplace that is increasingly dominated by vertically integrated, multinational grain and food conglomerates.

Family farms have the right to a fair and competitive environment in their pursuit to be financially viable. We support plans that protect net farm income for family farmers.

We commit ourselves to being good stewards of the land and resources by working toward innovative approaches that move beyond the parameters of past farm programs and seek to bridge philosophical differences within the agricultural community and our nation's political structures.

At the core of our willingness to embrace new agricultural policy directions is the fundamental need to direct the benefits of federal agricultural policies to the production levels of family farm and ranch operations.

- Choice and diversity of agricultural practices have always been essential to the success and prosperity of agriculture in the United States. As advances continue to occur in all agricultural practices, NFU calls on all people affected by agriculture to be mindful of their neighbors and show mutual respect toward their farming practices and production choices. Six major goals to improve agricultural legislation for family farmers are:
  - 1. Profitability: to enhance and protect net family farm income and provide a safety net;
- Accountability: to reduce government costs and prevent activities that are counter-productive to the intent of the programs;
  - 3. Directed benefits: to direct benefits toward family farming operations;
- 4. Simplicity: to require less bureaucracy and red tape;
  - 5. Conservation: to maintain and enhance our natural resources; and
- 6. Diversity: to maintain opportunities for family farmers, regardless of crop and management choice.

## A. GENERAL PROGRAM PROVISIONS

# 1. MEASURES OF ECONOMIC EQUITY

For generations, farming and ranching income has lagged behind the overall prosperity of the nation. The national farm policy based on "parity" was intended to create tools that would balance this inequity of agricultural income compared to the average income in other segments of society. Like other economic measures such as the Consumer Price Index and the Cost of Living Index, an economic yardstick for agriculture is essential. "Parity" prices provide a reasonable standard for measuring the relative economic health of agriculture, and we urge continued support of the calculation of an "Agricultural Parity Index." NFU continues to be unique in its unwavering support for this measurement of economic equity.

We support indexing farm program support levels to reflect changes in the cost of production. We strongly support national farm policy providing a return of the cost of production plus an opportunity for reasonable profit for farmers and ranchers.

#### 2. Directing Benefit Protection to Family Farms

Characteristically, a family farm is owned and/or operated by a farm family with the family providing the base of the labor needed for the farming operation, assuming the economic risk, making most of the management decisions, and depending substantially on agriculture for a livelihood.

Family farms are at economic risk as crop and livestock production and land ownership are being concentrated into the hands of fewer and fewer larger-than-family-sized corporate farm units.

The primary objectives of national agricultural policy should be to enable farmers to significantly increase net farm income, improve the quality of rural life, and increase the number of family farmers, so farmers may continue to provide a reliable supply of food and fiber and serve as stewards of our nation's resources. Rural communities are richer and more viable with more farmers, even if there is the same amount of agricultural production.

We believe payment limits should be realistic and meaningful. This means:

- The definition of a person who is actively engaged in production agriculture needs to remain strong and require active personal management and active personal labor in the actual farming operation;
- ii. Payments be transparent and directly attributable to a person who meets the criteria of actively engaged; and
- iii. Price supports, together with realistic overall per-program and volume-based commodity program limitations, are effective tools to assist in directing benefits to family farm producers.

We support directing farm program benefits to the production levels of family farm operators in such a way as to reduce government costs while furthering the sustainability of our family farms, our rural communities, and our natural resources.

Directed benefit provisions should include:

- i. The family-sized farm should be protected from the cuts scheduled under the terms of any future budget acts; and
- ii. Prohibition of artificial subdivision of farms to avoid limits should be enforced.

While we oppose decoupling federal farm program payments from planting decisions and believe that production-oriented benefits are the most viable public policy alternatives available today to respond to the crisis facing family farm agriculture, we recognize some farmers, by virtue of their enterprise and choice of harvest, cannot benefit from payments unless harvest occurs mechanically. Therefore, we support the opportunity for all our members and producers to choose farm programs that best fit their operation.

We support future farm policy which recognizes our geographical differences and provides for flexibility regardless of the type of agriculture operation.

#### 1 3. Providing a Safety Net 2 NFU urges Congress to give the secretary of agriculture the authority to implement 3 commodity loan programs with loan rates set at a level that at least equals the regional cost 4 of production as measured by the Economic Research Service. 5 To provide a safety net, we must take action to: 6 i. Improve and fully fund a permanent disaster program; 7 Improve commodity loan rates, and extend the loan period from nine months to ii. 8 18 months. A producer should have the option to forfeit after nine months; 9 iii. Improve and expand risk management tools (see Article I.G.2 - Crop Insurance); 10 iv. Adequately fund livestock compensation programs to prevent the sell-off of base 11 breeding herds of the United States in the event of a disaster declaration; 12 v. Establish a regionalized cost of production floor under dairy prices; 13 vi. Establish a farmer-owned Strategic National Food, Feed and Biofuels Feedstock 14 Reserve; 15 vii. Expand the Food Security Commodity Reserve Program; 16 viii. Implement an effective inventory management program for all farm 17 commodities: 18 ix. Enhance a farm storage facility loan program; 19 Continue and support an effective Conservation Reserve Program (CRP); and 20 Limit the authority of the secretary of agriculture to take discretionary actions xi. 21 that would result in lower farm income. 22 4. FARM VIABILITY PROGRAMS FOR FAMILY FARMS 23 Congress should continue to support programs to improve the profitability of farms and 24 increase opportunities for family farmers and ranchers. We support revitalizing local and 25 regional food and energy systems, increasing wealth and asset-building in rural 26 communities and encouraging entrepreneurship and innovation in farming and ranching by 27 funding programs that address: 28 i. Market development and promotion; Product development/differentiation and promotion; 29 ii. 30 iii. Consumer education;

18

Alternative ownership models and structures;

31

32

iv.

v.

Business planning;

1	vi.	Local and regional infrastructure needs;
2	vii.	Local and regional food security needs;
3	viii.	Local and regional energy needs; and
4	ix.	Attracting new and beginning farmers.
5		5. Local Food & Food Systems
6	NFU s	upports all initiatives aimed at regionalizing our food system. This may include
7	encouragi	ng the development of regional food hubs, incentivizing those farms who commit
8	to growin	g non-commodity food crops and easing/simplifying the restrictions on small
9	meatpack	ers to process meat for retail sale, as well as the farmers who sell direct-to-
10	consumer	and institutions that purchase their products for resale, and educating
11	consumer	s. We support the expansion of agricultural operations in urban and peri-urban
12	areas.	
13	The lo	cal food movement has many benefits including:
14	i.	Enhanced markets for the products that many members' farms produce;
15	ii.	The ability for farmers to capture a larger share of the retail food dollar;
16	iii.	Opportunities to employ and engage more Americans, in more regions, in the
17		pursuit of agriculture;
18	iv.	Keeping consumer dollars circulating in each respective region, and to the family
19		farms therein;
20	v.	Reconnecting consumers to the food they eat and their families;
21	vi.	Reducing transportation costs;
22	vii.	Providing fresher, healthier food products, with a reduced need for
23		transportation, long-term storage, processing or treatment;
24	viii.	Food security: encouraging food production to be spread across the country; and
25	ix.	Allowing for more profitable and sustainable methods of agriculture to be
26		employed.
27	We su	pport:
28	i.	USDA's promotion of buying local and regional agricultural products, such as the
29		"Know Your Farmer, Know Your Food" initiative, as a means to provide new and
30		larger markets for smaller specialty crop farmers. Local will be defined by the
31		consumer to be the immediate area, state, or region, but must be U.Sproduced;
32	ii.	Promotion of farm-to-school and farm-to-institution programs;

1 iii. Promotion of farmers markets and community-supported agriculture (CSA); 2 Regional food hub distribution centers; iv. 3 v. The purchase of surplus fruits and vegetables for federal food aid programs; 4 Removal of barriers and incentives so that farmers markets and farm stands can vi. 5 redeem federal, state and local nutrition benefits; 6 vii. Geographically disadvantaged provisions for U.S. areas not included in main 7 farm programs: 8 viii. Local seed producers who produce regionally adapted seed; and 9 ix. Improved access to reasonably priced liability insurance for small local-market 10 and direct-marketing producers. 11 6. BEGINNING FARMERS AND RANCHERS (ALSO SEE ARTICLE IV.A - FARM SERVICE 12 AGENCY (FSA) CREDIT PROGRAMS) 13 We recognize that the average age of a U.S. farmer continues to increase and a majority 14 of the nation's farmland will change hands in the coming years due to the aging farmer 15 population. The ability of the next generation of family farmers to continue to produce food 16 and fiber is critical to the economy, health, and security of our nation and local 17 communities. In order to address this critical concern, the necessary programs must be in 18 place and funded in order to meet the unique needs and barriers facing beginning farmers 19 and ranchers, with special emphasis on returning military veterans, and ensure that they 20 can enter and sustain farming or ranching as a viable livelihood. 21 We support: 22 The Beginning Farmer and Rancher Individual Development Accounts program; i. 23 ii. The loan category within Farm Service Agency that directs operating loans to 24 provide flexible capital through operating microloans for beginning farmers and 25 ranchers: 26 iii. Maintaining the loan set-aside within the direct and guaranteed Farm Service 27 Agency loan program for beginning farmers and ranchers while reducing the 28 farm management experience requirement from 3 years to 2 years; 29 iv. Increasing the down payment loan program limit; 30 Incentives and/or tax credits to landowners to lease or sell to beginning farmers v.

or ranchers (also see Article VI.C - Land Transfer);

- vi. Cost-share differentials and funding set-asides for beginning farmers and ranchers in the Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP), Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP), and other programs;
- vii. Including a priority for projects benefiting beginning farmers and ranchers within Value-Added Grant Program and fostering new entrepreneurial opportunities for beginning farmers and ranchers within the Rural Development grants and loans programs;
- viii. Continuation and funding programs that provide education and training to beginning farmers and ranchers, including for agricultural rehabilitation and vocational training programs for military veterans;
- ix. The Risk Management Agency (RMA) ensuring fair access to crop and revenue insurance by beginning farmers and ranchers including an additional crop insurance subsidy;
- x. National Institute of Food and Agriculture supporting research, education, and extension on issues related to beginning farmers and ranchers, farm transition, and farm entry;
- xi. The reauthorization and full funding of programs previously administered by the National Sustainable Agriculture Information Service to serve as a resource for beginning farmers and ranchers;
- xii. The establishment of a funding priority for the federal Farm and Ranch Land
  Protection Program for easements conserving farmland for which there is a
  generational farm transfer plan, easements which include the use of an Option to
  Purchase at Agricultural Value or easements which occur in conjunction with the
  transfer of the conserved land to a beginning farmer; and
- xiii. Specific training and education for state and county FSA and NRCS staff on helping beginning farmers and ranchers access programs, with emphasis on specialty crops and alternative enterprises.

#### 7. Urban Farming

National Farmers Union recognizes urban agriculture as an important part of meeting rising food demands, supplying easier access to highly nutritious vegetables and fruits, reconnecting the food consumer with the farmer, storing carbon out of the earth's atmosphere and also as an important new market opportunity for emerging farmers.

#### 2 1. Dairy Policy 3 i. Domestic Dairy Policy 4 We urge Congress to develop a comprehensive dairy program to allow dairy 5 producers across the nation to receive a profitable return on their investment. 6 It should: 7 a. Encourage and enable producers to use sustainable environmental practices; 8 b. Provide a high-quality, stable supply of dairy products to consumers; 9 c. Assist new farmers entering into dairying; 10 d. Balance milk supply and demand through a long-term supply management 11 program; 12 e. Encourage voluntary producer assessments for an industry-managed 13 program that must clearly demonstrate they will stabilize and increase 14 producer prices; 15 f. Prohibit mandatory producer assessments unless it can clearly be 16 demonstrated that they substantially increase producer income; 17 g. Provide full funding of the School Milk Program and Special Milk Programs; 18 h. Include 100 percent real dairy products in the National School Lunch 19 Program as well as in school vending machines; 20 i. Utilize the Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) to mitigate low prices; 21 j. Provide transparency in milk price reporting; 22 k. Require mandatory participation of processors in an audited National 23 Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) survey; 24 l. Require mandatory participation of processors in an audited cold-storage 25 report; 26 m. Include development of a transparent pricing mechanism to replace the 27 inadequate Chicago Mercantile Exchange (CME) pricing system; 28 n. Ensure research and promotion activities are directed toward enhancing 29 income to family farmers; 30 o. Provide an incentive payment to domestic producers who agree to voluntary 31 limits. Funding should be provided by those producers who increase

B. DAIRY, LIVESTOCK, AQUACULTURE AND FISHING

1 production from the previous year and produce more than a level targeted to 2 family-sized farms; 3 p. Prohibit volume premiums; 4 q. Include market loss assistance during times of low prices, with capped 5 payments based on production. The cap levels should be targeted to family 6 farmers; 7 r. Prohibit federal legislation allowing dairy forward contracts that give 8 processors and marketers the ability to pay below the minimum market 9 order price; 10 s. Include a floor price policy for milk. The floor price should help producers in 11 all regions of the country and provide for supply management. The floor 12 price should be set at a level that allows producers to earn a fair return on 13 their milk from the marketplace; 14 t. Provide for minimum prices that are based on a formula that reflects what 15 consumers are paying for all dairy products; 16 u. Require plants to pay the minimum prices established by USDA for butter, 17 nonfat powder, and cheddar cheese; 18 v. Prohibit the use of fluid milk as a loss leader at the retail level; and 19 w. Require USDA and CME to standardize labeling and packaging of surplus 20 dairy products. 21 Any future dairy program should include: 22 1. Feed price adjuster based on regional feed prices; 23 2. Federal government assistance commensurate to levels consistent with 24 other commodities; and 25 3. A capacity management mechanism. 26 ii. Market Order Reform 27 A federal order system should be maintained and expanded to include all areas 28 within the continental United States. A national milk marketing order and pricing 29 reform should emphasize maximum return to producers. Transportation differentials, 30 quality premiums, and usage result in price disparities throughout the United States. A

revised national milk marketing order should include:

1	<ul> <li>a. A price discovery formula at the producer level allowing for variable market</li> </ul>
2	conditions;
3	b. A floor price on all classes of milk;
4	c. Price incentives that reflect the value of all milk components;
5	d. Tests for component pricing that are checked for accuracy by USDA;
6	e. A national make allowance that is adjustable to cover processing and
7	fortification. This allowance should be generated from the market, not
8	deducted from the established price through end-product pricing;
9	f. A base make allowance that is adjustable to reflect the difference between
10	milk prices and the producer's cost of production;
11	g. Elimination of bloc voting on market orders;
12	h. The continuation of the current order provisions following the defeat of a
13	proposed change. Orders should only be eliminated through a producer
14	referendum with no bloc voting; and
15	i. The establishment of a federal milk marketing order that includes California
16	so that California dairy producer prices are brought in line with prices paid
17	in the federal order, which will benefit all dairy producers nationwide.
18	iii. Milk Protein Concentrate/Ultra-Filtered Milk Products (also see Article I.D –
19	Labeling of Commodities and Commodity Products)
20	Imported casein, milk protein concentrate (MPC) and ultra-filtered (UF) milk
21	products, blends, and food preparations have benefited from a significant loophole
22	in U.S. dairy trade policy and have distorted the nation's dairy market. Under
23	current law, casein and MPC imports are not limited under the Harmonized Tariff
24	Schedules of the WTO. In addition, casein, MPC, and UF products are being used in
25	the current production of standardized cheeses, although they do not meet the U.S.
26	Food and Drug Administration's (FDA) definition of milk.
27	We support:
28	a. Maintaining FDA's current definition of milk to prevent casein and MPC from
29	being used in standardized cheese;
30	b. Actions that restrict the importation of casein, MPC, dairy blends, and food
31	preps;

1	c. Properly informing consumers about the use of casein, MPC/UF dairy blends
2	and food preps in food production, including labeling;
3	d. Immediate passage of legislation to subject casein, MPC, and other dairy
4	blends to a tariff rate quota;
5	e. Imposing strong penalties for the dairy plants using casein and/or MPC and
6	dairy blends in standardized cheese production;
7	f. Requiring an end-use certification on all imports of MPC, dairy blends and
8	food preps;
9	g. Bringing a trade action against nations that are dumping subsidized MPC,
10	dairy blends and food preps;
11	h. Disallowing MPC for human consumption until it meets the necessary
12	generally regarded as safe (GRAS) requirements; and
13	i. Prohibiting government subsidization of the production of MPC.
14	iv. Consumer Protection (also see Article I.D – Labeling of Commodities and
15	Commodity Products)
16	Rapidly changing technology within the production of dairy products has led to
17	consumers being uninformed about the true nature of all dairy products. We
18	support the following initiatives to ensure consumers can make informed purchase
19	decisions:
20	a. State and federal legislation to require all schools to use rBST-free milk;
21	b. Restricting the use of the Real Seal to domestically produced dairy products
22	and subsequent enforcement of its standards;
23	c. Educating and promoting the Real Seal program to consumers;
24	d. Increasing the federal standards for fat and solids in fluid milk to meet the
25	national average of the milk content produced on U.S. farms;
26	e. Requiring imports to meet the same high standards used for domestically
27	produced dairy products; and
28	f. Prohibiting the addition of artificial sweeteners, such as aspartame, to dairy
29	products. If allowed, they must be labeled as such.
30	v. Raw Dairy
31	We support:

1	a. The production and sale of raw/unpasteurized milk as it provides a market
2	niche for dairies. Because of the possible risks of cross-contamination, we
3	recommend that raw/unpasteurized milk be bottled as the product of a
4	single source and wherever possible at the physical location of that source.
5	Single-source bottling will keep intact the chain of responsibility and greatly
6	aid in tracking possible cases of contamination;
7	b. Policies, practices and standards for responsible raw/unpasteurized milk
8	production for dairy producers that choose to produce raw/unpasteurized
9	milk (or raw/unpasteurized dairy products) for human consumption;
10	c. Equal access to raw/unpasteurized milk (and/or raw dairy products) for
11	human consumption for all consumers that choose to consume
12	raw/unpasteurized milk; and
13	d. Producers conforming to Grade A production specifications.
14	2. LIVESTOCK POLICY
15	i. Animal Welfare
16	We support:
17	a. Producers' rights to own and raise livestock and have livestock and their
18	products recognized as personal property;
19	b. Promotion of animal welfare, as opposed to animal rights initiatives which
20	would limit production agriculture by imposing mandatory restrictions on
21	traditional methods of agricultural animal production;
22	c. Responsible care and management of animals to provide for the welfare of
23	herds and flocks;
24	d. Educating the public regarding the important use of animals for agricultural
25	and medical research. Any illegal actions taken by animal rights
26	organizations toward producers should be prosecuted to the fullest extent of
27	the law; and
28	e. The development of egg sexing technologies to eliminate the euthanasia of
29	male chicks hatched in egg laying operations.
30	We oppose a ban on the slaughter of horses and the criminalization of individuals
31	processing, shipping, transporting, purchasing, selling, delivering, or receiving any
32	horse, horseflesh, or carcass for the purpose of harvest.

1	We ca	ll for the reinstitution of facilities and USDA inspection to deal with the	
2	processing and humane disposal of horses.		
3	ii. Livestock and Livestock Product Imports (also see Article III – International		
4	Trade, Co	operation, and the Family Farm)	
5	The u	nrestricted importation of livestock and livestock products is causing	
6	serious damage to our domestic industry. Additionally, a lack of sufficient meat		
7	import inspection poses an increased potential of contaminated food reaching		
8	tables in the United States. We recommend that Congress incorporate the following		
9	steps to protect U.S. livestock producers from unfair trading practices:		
10	a.	Investigate the impact of foreign pricing practices on the U.S. market;	
11	b.	Impose countervailing duties to offset subsidies paid to foreign exporters;	
12	C.	Require the amount of imported meat and live animals intended for	
13		slaughter be reported weekly;	
14	d.	Re-establish Section 301, allowing the United States to impose trade	
15		sanctions against foreign countries that the USTR determines applicable;	
16	e.	Re-establish the Meat Import Act, which was replaced under the Uruguay	
17		Round, by replacing existing tariff rate quota system with an import quota	
18		system; and	
19	f.	Require end-use certificates to monitor the flow of livestock being imported.	
20	iii. Consume	er Protection (also see Article X.J – Food Safety and Article I.D – Labeling of	
21	Commodi	ties and Commodity Products)	
22	We su	pport:	
23	a.	Strengthening USDA and FDA safety standards to prevent bovine	
24		spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) contaminated products from being	
25		imported (also see Article I.C.2.iv - Livestock Health);	
26	b.	Requiring more vigorous inspection of imported meat processing	
27		facilities and imported meats to ensure foreign standards are equal to	
28		U.S. standards;	
29	C.	Banning meat and/or meat products from foreign countries that allow	
30		use of medications or additives not approved for use in the United States;	
31	d.	Prohibiting the importation of ground and shaved meat into the United	
32		States;	

1 e. Enforcing all existing quarantine requirements and health standards as 2 set forth by USDA/Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) 3 regarding imports of livestock and other commodities; 4 f. USDA ensuring a rigorous and enhanced meat inspection system, in order 5 to maintain consumer confidence in the safety and wholesomeness of 6 meat and poultry products; and 7 g. Expanding the coverage of the Livestock Indemnity Program to include 8 animals that die from pneumonia as a direct result of an eligible adverse 9 weather event. 10 We oppose: 11 a. The shipping of U.S. beef, pork, poultry, or fish to be processed in foreign 12 countries that is then returned to the U.S. for U.S. consumption; and 13 b. Any changes to slaughter inspection that moves inspection tasks away 14 from USDA inspectors. This includes any expansion of the HACCP-Based 15 Inspection Models Project or any other project that would privatize 16 inspection roles. 17 iv. Livestock Health Livestock health is critical to production agriculture and our nation's ability to 18 19 provide a safe food supply. Achieving the necessary means to ensure livestock health is 20 a priority for NFU. We support good animal husbandry practices as the primary means 21 of livestock health maintenance, as well as the following initiatives to ensure livestock 22 health: 23 a. We encourage all livestock producers to develop professional relationships 24 with their veterinarians to understand all aspects of the Veterinary Feed 25 Directive as implemented; 26 b. Continue to allow FDA to permit the use of therapeutic antibiotics approved 27 for use in livestock unless valid scientific evidence proves the product is 28 unsafe. NFU believes that antibiotic treatment should be reserved for clinical 29 treatment of illness and for judicious use in preventing illness during periods

subtherapeutic use of antibiotics;

of stress, and supports producers' right to treat his or her animals with

antibiotics to address herd health issues while opposing the constant

30

31

1	c. In order to	protect the continued and effective use of antibiotics for human
2	health car	e, we oppose the off-label use of antibiotics and/or arsenicals and
3	fluoroquir	nolones in animal production;
4	d. Fully fund	disease eradication programs, including testing of wildlife, non-
5	traditiona	l livestock species and imported livestock and livestock
6	byproduct	ts;
7	e. Ban livest	ock, animal protein products, and meat imports that would
8	jeopardize	e U.S. efforts to eradicate livestock diseases, including BSE and
9	Foot-and-	Mouth Disease (FMD);
10	f. Encourage	e congressional support for emergency economic assistance for
11	producers	who have suffered economic and market losses as a result of an
12	incident o	f livestock disease, as covered by the Animal Health Protection Act
13	(PL 108-4	98);
14	g. Establish	funding for a voluntary Johne's Disease testing program;
15	h. Increase r	esearch on transmission modes, vaccine regimens, and protocol for
16	vesicular s	stomatitis by federal officials and private organizations;
17	i. Re-evalua	te the quarantine restriction and reclassification of vesicular
18	stomatitis	from Class A to a Class B disease;
19	j. Prevent B	ovine Spongiform Encephalopathy (BSE) and Foot-and-Mouth
20	Disease (F	MD) through:
21	1. Inc	creasing federal and international research to understand and
22	pre	event BSE/FMD;
23	2. Co	ntinuing the site-specific ban on processing, blending, and shipping
24	of	meat from a plant where BSE has been found, until subsequent test
25	res	sults show that the plant is free of BSE;
26	3. Re	scinding the USDA rules that allow the import of livestock and
27	me	eat products from countries with active disease outbreaks and
28	ret	curning that authority back to Congress with producer and
29	COI	nsumer input;
30	4. Co	ntinuing the ban on livestock and meat imports from countries
31	wi	th BSE/FMD outbreaks until the disease is controlled and/or
32	era	adicated;

1 5. Banning all meat and feed imports from countries that have not 2 implemented and enforced a ruminant-to-ruminant feed ban; 3 6. Prohibiting the importation of animal protein products from 4 countries that cannot certify BSE- and transmissible spongiform 5 encephalopathies (TSE)-free products; 6 7. Prohibiting TSE-positive materials in non-ruminant feeds; and 7 8. Cross-referencing all regulations for complete consistency of 8 standards. 9 k. Develop a comprehensive strategy and work with electric providers to help 10 producers detect and eliminate stray voltage. The strategy should include 11 research on stray voltage, inspectors to detect the problem, and public 12 education about funding available to assist producers who have suffered 13 losses due to stray voltage; 14 l. Enforce Section 21 General Requirement Rule 215-B of the National Electric 15 Safety Code to prohibit using the ground as the sole conductor or return to 16 utilities substations: 17 m. Require user-fees for importers to implement inspections and disease 18 prevention; 19 n. Encourage Congress to continue funding research and prevention methods 20 for all harmful and life-threatening strains of influenza. USDA should develop 21 a strategic plan to help producers detect, monitor, and eradicate infected 22 animals. Vaccination compensation for mandatory culling should be directed 23 toward producers with limited finances to prevent the driving out of small 24 producers; and 25 o. Urge Congress to upgrade the Plum Island Animal Disease Center; however, 26 we oppose completion of the National Bio- and Agro-Defense research 27 facility in any location critical to food production in our nation. If completed, 28 rigorous standards of containment must be developed and the government 29 should assume complete liability should containment not be successful. 30 Funding must be full, adequate and continuous to meet the rigorous 31 standards of containment. To prevent any biosecurity risk, funding for this 32 facility should be exempt from any budgetary cuts.

p. Legislative efforts to amend the Minor Use and Minor Species Animal Health Act of 2004 to include language that would provide federal incentives, tax and others, for the development and labeling of much needed pharmaceuticals for minor species and minor uses.

# v. State Animal Identification

We support the USDA's action to leave animal identification for disease management to the states. We urge state programs to establish voluntary individual animal identification systems that recognize that the collected information is the sole, proprietary property of the producer and those authorized to use it. Any livestock database shall be housed at the state government level – not privately held. The data shall only be shared in the event of a disease outbreak and to the extent necessary for its control.

#### vi. National Animal Identification

NFU does not currently support a mandatory National Animal Identification System due to a vast array of issues not yet addressed:

- a. Costs of implementing the program remain uncertain. The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) has stated the necessity of a national animal I.D. program to combat terrorism; therefore, we urge Congress to provide the full funding necessary to create and maintain the database and provide compensation to producers for their costs of implementing the program;
- b. Any national animal I.D. program should be administered by USDA;
- c. Mitigate producer liability for contaminated food products. A seamless system should be provided at all retail levels that ensures the information gathered through an I.D. system is complementary with that provided through mandatory country-of-origin labeling;
- d. Use of the proprietary information should include clear limits to ensure protection under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). Access to producer information should be available only to relevant federal and state agencies and only in times of animal disease outbreaks or bioterrorism attacks;
- e. Ensured uniformity of identification system with tracking technology and database management; and

T	i. Control of the database needs to remain under the control of the federal		
2	government. The concerns we have with USDA's proposal to allow a		
3	privately managed database system include:		
4	1. It forces producers to bear the financial burden, which they cannot		
5	afford;		
6	2. This public database will create a revenue source for private entities		
7	seeking to make a profit;		
8	3. It does not contain oversight to protect confidential producer		
9	information;		
10	4. It does not mitigate producer liability;		
11	5. It will create opportunities for packers to condition the purchase of		
12	livestock upon participation in a voluntary I.D. program;		
13	6. It assumes coordination among a complex web of data with no		
14	guarantees of success; and		
15	7. It assumes all sectors of the livestock industry will agree upon the		
16	development and maintenance of a single entity to represent each		
17	species' interests.		
18	vii. Aquaculture, Fishing and Alternative Livestock		
19	The land-based aquaculture and alternative livestock industries represent		
20	opportunities for family farmers to establish new and/or more diversified farming		
21	enterprises.		
22	In order to ensure consumer confidence in the health and safety of these products,		
23	we support:		
24	a. The extension of federal, or equivalent state, food inspection services for		
25	these products and recommend that a uniform inspection fee system be		
26	adopted by the agency of jurisdiction;		
27	b. Recognizing farm-raised freshwater fish and shellfish production as a		
28	domesticated livestock under USDA definition and moving its inspection		
29	from FDA to USDA jurisdiction;		
30	c. Recognizing game farms as livestock operations and subjecting them to all		
31	livestock health regulations, as well as making them eligible for any benefits		
32	provided traditional livestock operations;		

1 d. Recognizing vermiculture as an agricultural practice and worms as an 2 alternative livestock; 3 e. Recognizing insect farming as an agricultural practice and insects as an 4 alternative livestock; and 5 f. USDA, utilizing state departments of agriculture where feasible, being the 6 agency that regulates all health issues for these farms and ranches, as well as 7 providing production, processing, and market development assistance for 8 these products. 9 1. Marine Aquaculture 10 The development of industrial-scale offshore finfish aquaculture is detrimental 11 to the family fishers and local economies of historic fishing communities. It should 12 not be allowed to proceed unless and until there is national legislation in place that 13 ensures it can be conducted without harming marine ecosystems and coastal fishing 14 communities. 15 We oppose: 16 a. Promotion of offshore aquaculture operations that displace or endanger 17 traditional fishing practices and onshore infrastructure; 18 b. Inefficient use of marine resources as feed within such operations; 19 c. Federal subsidies to promote, sustain or further develop such operations; 20 and 21 d. Marine fishing operations that are not community-based and do not 22 contribute to the promotion of locally and sustainably caught wild 23 seafood. 24 2. Sustainable Working Waterfronts and Fisheries 25 Small boat fishers face many of the same challenges as family farmers: an aging 26 population, consolidation of the fishing fleet, and high cost of production in fuel and 27 license costs make it hard to enter or sustain a fishing business. Overfishing of 28 forage fish habitat has led to a current economic crisis for many small boat fishers 29 and their coastal communities. Drastic declines in commercial and ground fish off of 30 all U.S. coasts will necessitate extreme cuts to fish harvest. The economic impact of 31 these reductions will be devastating for small boat fishers.

32

We support:

1	a.	Protecting our working waterfronts so that viable family fishing
2		businesses can thrive and grow;
3	b.	Disaster assistance for small boat fishers;
4	C.	Full assistance of USDA Risk Management Agency for small boat fishers;
5	d.	Development and support of cooperative marketing, processing and
6		branding models that provide a fair livelihood for fishing families,
7		healthy local food products, and sustainability of wild harvest fisheries;
8	e.	Extension of the full range of USDA Know Your Farmer, Know Your Food
9		(KYF) programs to support small boat fishers and provide access to
10		education and training for beginning fishers;
11	f.	Programs that provide regionally appropriate research and technical
12		assistance to improve profitability of sustainable fishing practices,
13		enhanced marketing and processing of sustainably caught fish;
14	g.	Programs that enhance the production and processing of local meat and
15		poultry should be extended to include fish;
16	h.	USDA conservation programs that support the development and
17		adoption of sustainable fishing practices and protect coastal ecosystems;
18	i.	Nutrition incentives should be extended to fresh fish;
19	j.	Catch limits as essential means of rebuilding fish stocks;
20	k.	Selective harvesting practices that allow healthy stocks to be targeted,
21		while at-risk and depleted stocks are avoided;
22	l.	Reviewing current practices as well as development of new fishing gear
23		or techniques that avoid depleted stocks;
24	m.	Forage fish populations being carefully managed to avoid overharvest
25		using science-based annual catch levels and a risk adverse management
26		strategy;
27	n.	Development of meaningful protections for sensitive sea floor habitat
28		essential for the needs of managed species based on best available
29		science; and
30	0.	Prohibiting fishing gears and/or practices that are not conducive to
31		protecting these important and vulnerable areas.

1 We oppose opening closed areas of the ocean fisheries in response to 2 recent declines in ground fish stocks. 3 C. LABELING OF COMMODITIES AND COMMODITY PRODUCTS 4 1. COUNTRY-OF-ORIGIN LABELING 5 We support reauthorization and full implementation of mandatory country-of-origin 6 labeling (COOL) for agricultural, aquaculture and wild-caught seafood products. Mandatory 7 COOL is a valuable marketing tool for producers, and it allows consumers to know where 8 their food is produced. U.S. producers have the right to distinguish their products from 9 those of other countries and U.S. products should not be categorized as a North American 10 product (also see Article II – Agriculture Competition and Concentration). 11 We support the following principles in the continued implementation of mandatory 12 COOL in order to qualify and be labeled as U.S.-produced: 13 i. Meat products must come from an animal born, raised, and slaughtered in the 14 **United States**; 15 ii. Fresh produce, honey, and nuts must be exclusively grown and processed in the 16 **United States:** 17 iii. Dairy products to be labeled to indicate country-of-origin; 18 iv. Farm-raised fish and shellfish must be hatched, raised, and processed in the 19 United States or wild fish and shellfish harvested and processed solely in the 20 United States or by a U.S.-flagged vessel; 21 v. USDA should prohibit any third-party compliance reviews and third parties 22 dictating what types of records producers need to keep and the manner in which 23 the records should be kept; 24 vi. USDA should perform any/all producer audits to determine compliance with the 25 law: 26 Allow those who solely produce U.S. products to self-verify that fact; vii. 27 viii. Allow producers, processors and retailers to maintain records in a manner of 28 their choosing, as long as the information is available and can be transferred to a 29 standardized format in the event of an audit by USDA; 30 In the absence of mandatory COOL, the same labeling standards outlined in ix. 31 mandatory COOL should be maintained to benefit producers and consumers in

any voluntary program; and

1 If a national animal identification program is implemented, the information X. 2 should include country-of-origin distinction for consumers of covered 3 commodities at all retail levels. 4 2. Labeling of Food Products 5 Thorough and accurate food labels are an important tool that helps consumers make 6 informed decisions and allows producers to differentiate their products. We support 7 conspicuous, mandatory, uniform, and federal labeling for food products throughout the 8 processing chain to include all ingredients, additives, and processes such as: 9 i. Artificial growth hormones; 10 Products derived from cloned animals: ii. 11 iii. The identity of the parent company; 12 iv. Carbon monoxide injected in meat and seafood or packaging for appearance or 13 shelf-life purposes; 14 Point of origin and producer-determined standards for geographic indicators, v. 15 including percentage coming from that origin; 16 vi. Date of kill, for meat and seafood; 17 Whether the meat was frozen: vii. 18 viii. The date/dates the meat was subsequently refrozen; 19 Irradiated products, and we call for further research on its long-term effects on ix. 20 human health: Maintaining and protecting the integrity of organic labeling; and 21 X. 22 xi. Labeling standards for the sale of organic products, while not limiting 23 opportunities to market other natural or sustainably produced food products. 24 xii. Requiring labeling of milk from cows injected with recombinant bovine 25 somatotropin (rBST), a hormone to stimulate milk production. In the absence of 26 federal labeling requirements, we encourage farmer-certified rBST-free labeled 27 products; 28 xiii. Requiring labeling of imitation and substitute dairy products; 29 xiv. Labeling the use of casein, MPC/UF dairy blends, and food preps in food 30 production; and 31 XV. Requiring the labeling of artificial sweeteners. 32 We oppose:

1 Labeling poultry chilled below 26 degrees Fahrenheit as fresh; and i. 2 USDA regulation that allows the addition of up to eight percent water-weight to ii. 3 poultry products without mandating that these products are so labeled. 4 3. NUTRITION LABELING 5 We support providing consumers with information on nutrients in food products to 6 help avoid misleading health claims. 7 D. COMMODITIES 8 1. WHEAT, COARSE GRAINS, OILSEEDS, RICE, AND COTTON 9 A farm program should recognize the market realities of the fundamentally unique 10 business of farming. Such a program should include these basic provisions: 11 i. Price support and income support mechanism for wheat, coarse grains, oilseeds, 12 rice, and cotton that establishes a floor under market prices and enables 13 producers to obtain their income from the marketplace; 14 Price and income supports should primarily be provided by CCC non-recourse, ii. 15 commodity loans; 16 iii. Loan maturity periods should be extended at the discretion of the producer, for 17 up to 18 months; 18 iv. Price support and CCC loan rates should be set at levels to ensure producers 19 have the opportunity to receive a fair return on their investment. The loan rate 20 should not be for less than the USDA national average cost of production. CCC 21 loan rates should be adjusted annually to reflect inflation and productivity; 22 Price supports and CCC loan rates should be annually balanced in an upward v. 23 manner, in order to prevent market and planting distortions; 24 vi. NFU strongly urges the establishment of a voluntary, farmer-owned market-25 driven inventory system that reduces volatility in agricultural commodity 26 markets. In order for such a system to succeed, we recommend the following: 27 1. USDA will offer per bushel storage rates for commodities when prices fall 28 below a designated loan rate, which is set near the midpoint between the 29 variable and full cost of production for each commodity. Enrollment in

the storage program will cease when prices recover;

1	2.	Farmers may enter any portion of their crop into storage, which must
2		remain enrolled in the program until the market price for the commodity
3		reaches the release price, or approximately 160 percent of the loan rate;
4	3.	Farmers must maintain the crop in proper condition while in storage;
5	4.	Economically sound inventory caps should be set on each commodity.
6		Should the inventory cap be reached for a commodity, a voluntary set-
7		aside program may be made available by USDA;
8	5.	When the release price is reached, the farmer may sell the commodity
9		into the market or hold the commodity, but storage rates will cease;
10	6.	Allowing the secretary of agriculture the authority to manage price-
11		depressing surpluses by providing producers incentives to plant
12		dedicated energy crops on acres which are now, or may be produced, in
13		surplus;
14	7.	Commodity support based on actual production history (APH), where
15		available. For cases without APH, the program yield cannot be lower than
16		county Farm Service Agency yields. Additionally, we urge the
17		establishment of APH floors to protect producers in the event of
18		successive crop failure brought on by natural disasters;
19	8.	Planting flexibility;
20	9.	Low-interest grain storage facility loans should be made available to
21		producers;
22	10.	Each farm operator and crop share landlord should be eligible for
23		maximum loan volume targeted to family-sized producers;
24	11.	Farmers should have the right to periodically update acreage bases and
25		proven yields on all crops for each farm; and
26	12.	Farmers should have the option to store forfeited grain and receive
27		storage payments until final sale is consummated.
28	NFU believes	cotton should be a covered commodity in any future farm bill. We support
29	the designation of	f cottonseed as an "other oilseed" for the purpose of the Agricultural Risk
30	Coverage (ARC) p	rogram and the Price Loss Coverage (PLC) program.
31	We oppose the	e growing, harvesting, or processing of castor beans.
32	2. 0 <sup>-</sup>	THER PROGRAM COMMODITIES (WOOL, MOHAIR, HONEY, PULSE CROPS, ETC.)

#### 1 We support: 2 The establishment of an economic safety net program for other eligible 3 commodities based on price income supports provided through Commodity 4 Credit Corporation (CCC) non-recourse, commodity loans in a manner 5 comparable to more traditional farm program crops. Other eligible commodities 6 include, but are not limited to: a) wool; b) mohair; c) honey; d) pulse crops; and 7 e) forage crops, if haved or grazed; 8 ii. Funding the Wool and Mohair Program from tariffs on sheep and wool imports; 9 and 10 iii. The Pulse Health Initiative, a focused effort to increase and leverage scientific 11 research on the advantages of pulse crops 12 3. Tobacco 13 We urge action by Congress and the administration to: 14 i. Provide for a plan and funding for economic development assistance to tobacco-15 dependent communities; 16 ii. Establish country-of-origin labeling for tobacco; 17 iii. Ensure that all imported tobacco meets the same standards of domestic leaf and 18 is monitored and tracked in a similar fashion as domestic leaf: 19 iv. To act to include provisions to continue the inspection of foreign tobacco for 20 U.S.-banned chemicals and pesticides; 21 v. Continue USDA research to develop new uses for tobacco plants, such as 22 development of any pesticidal properties and medical research; 23 vi. Support the president's Commission on Tobacco recommendations and the 24 efforts of the Alliance for Health Economic and Agricultural Development 25 (AHEAD); 26 vii. Establish a new chapter under the Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act for the fair and 27 effective regulation of all tobacco products in the way in which they are 28 manufactured, sold, labeled and marketed and ensure that growers and other 29 stakeholders have the opportunity to provide input and comments on any future 30 rules issued by FDA; 31 viii. Ensure that adequate funding is provided for grower compensation, as well as

tobacco control, education, and cessation programs; and

ix. Establish mechanisms by which tobacco and tobacco products can be monitored and tracked in interstate and foreign commerce to prevent the illegal manufacture, sale and distribution of tobacco products.

#### 4. PEANUTS

Historically, U.S. farm policy has recognized that for peanuts, the cost of requirements of the sector, such as specialty harvesting equipment and storage facilities would attract a limited number of equipment dealers, processors, and marketers. Therefore, family-sized farms would require particular attention to the orderly and honest marketing of such a crop.

## We support:

- i. Grower marketing associations and federal marketing and warehouse assistance to aid in the maintenance of fair and transparent market competition;
- ii. Increased research funding to develop alternative uses for peanuts;
- iii. Prohibiting the importation of peanuts or any peanut products from countries that produce no peanuts; and
- iv. Prohibiting the importation of peanuts or peanut products from countries that have plant disease problems, use unjust labor practices, or have less stringent pesticide regulations than the United States.

# 5. SUGAR

We support the continuation of the no-cost U.S. sugar program and encourage Congress to work with U.S. sugar producers to adopt a strong sugar program in future farm bills. Today's program has successfully provided consumers with stable, reliable supplies of sugar at reasonable prices, provided sugar producers with decent income and provided good employment opportunities in rural communities.

Program quota limits must be carefully safeguarded to protect domestic producers from the masking of sugar imports in the form of stuffed molasses and other stealth products capable of refinement.

We oppose ethanol produced from imported sugar receiving any taxpayer subsidies.

### 6. TREE FARMS AND FORESTRY

The economic well-being, as well as the independent existence of family-sized tree farmers, is threatened by regulatory uncertainty, over-regulation of wetlands, and restraining buffer zones. We support the family-sized tree farmers.

NFU encourages private landowners to adopt cooperative sustainable forest management practices, including but not limited to completion and implementation of forest stewardship management plans as recognized by USDA's Forest Service.

NFU encourages state and local governments to protect prime harvestable forestlands through local zoning ordinances.

#### 7. Specialty Crops

Specialty crops include but are not limited to fruits, vegetables, tree nuts, dried fruits, nursery crops, floriculture and horticulture including turf grass, sod, *Camellia sinensis* teas, coffee and herbal crops. Congress should provide mandatory funding for a safety net program, including risk management tools, to allow producers to earn the cost of production plus the opportunity for a reasonable profit from the marketplace.

## We support:

- Mandatory funding for the Specialty Crop Block Grant Program. Specialty crop block grants that are provided to states should be provided to producers and not supplant state budgets;
- ii. Collaboration with consumer, culinary and other food groups to promote U.S. specialty crops;
- iii. Protection for specialty crop producers from imports during the primary harvest season for perishable crops (window of harvest);
- iv. Incentives for all federal nutrition program beneficiaries to use their benefits at farmers markets, CSAs and other direct marketing outlets. Reauthorization and expansion of the SNAP-based Food Insecurity Nutrition Incentive Grant Program and the Child Nutrition Act with continued prioritization for the direct-to-consumer marketing and the local and regional sourcing of fruits and vegetables;
- v. Reclassification of industrial hemp as a non-controlled substance and adopting policy to allow American farmers to grow industrial hemp under state law without affecting eligibility for USDA benefits, and supporting legislation to allow for industrial hemp to become a crop in the U.S. again;
- vi. A state's right to make their own choice on medical and recreational marijuana by calling on Congress and U.S. DEA to reclassify marijuana as a schedule 2 or lower drug;
- vii. USDA designation of tree syrups as a specialty crop; and

viii. Both seed exchanges and seed libraries for the open exchange of heirloom and open-pollinated seed.

## 8. Honey/Pollination

Pollinators are vital to agriculture and in particular to the production of fruits and vegetables. Bee-pollinated forage and hay crops such as clover and alfalfa are also used to feed livestock and dairy animals. Introduced parasites have had a significant impact on honeybees in the United States. Undetermined factors have led to an observed collapse in the bee populations, commonly referred to as Colony Collapse Disorder.

## Therefore, we support:

- i. Agricultural research and education to encourage innovative approaches to protecting honeybee health and improve genetic stocks of honeybees;
- ii. Development and expanded research to enhance native pollinators;
- iii. Encouraging EPA to enforce its pesticide use labels to ensure proper application of pesticides;
- iv. Encouraging collaboration between the pesticide manufacturing and pollinator industries to educate applicators and producers about the potentially harmful effects of pesticides on pollinator populations;
- v. Continued monitoring of pest populations and pest control methods;
- vi. Continued monitoring of pollinator imports, accidental importation of pests and invasive species;
- vii. Ensuring that pollinator-beneficial habitat and best management practices are eligible for cost-sharing assistance and incentives in USDA conservation programs intended to assist producers;
- viii. Public research of effects of pesticides, such as neonicotinoids, on bee colonies, especially related to Colony Collapse Disorder;
- ix. The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) defining honey as a food product based on the Codex Alimentarius standards so that U.S. beekeepers have the ability to utilize trade and legal mechanisms when imported honey is adulterated. Until the FDA defines honey as a food product, we support efforts at the state level to authorize state departments of agriculture to define honey using the Codex Alimentarius standards as a guideline; and

1 The continued consideration of honeybees and hives for inclusion in livestock x. 2 compensation programs. 3 We oppose any attempt to classify sweet, white, or yellow clover as an invasive species or to prohibit the sale or planting of sweet clover seed because of its important role in 4 5 honey production. 6 9. VALUE-ADDED AND MINIMALLY PROCESSED FOODS 7 We commend and support artisan producers for their pioneering efforts in revitalizing 8 agriculture in their local communities. Their efforts have resulted in multiple benefits by 9 creating value-added revenue streams for themselves and their communities. 10 These products also supply a high quality, balanced diet for consumers. 11 We support policies and programs that encourage the further development of these 12 types of farm-based enterprises. 13 10. VALUE-ADDED USES OF FARM PRODUCTS 14 We support value-added uses of farm commodities that encourage the development of 15 bio-degradable consumer packaging as the standard choice for storage containers, 16 packaging and bags. 17 E. AGRI-TOURISM 18 We support: 19 1. The inclusion of agri-tourism, including on-farm bed and breakfasts, as part of the 20 definition of agriculture and encourage USDA to recognize the value of agri-tourism; 21 and 22 2. USDA developing and implementing a program to educate producers about 23 liabilities associated with agri-tourism operations. 24 F. RISK MANAGEMENT 25 We encourage: 26 1. The expansion of risk management tools to cover all commodities; and 27 2. The FSA to always consider prevented plant acres in revenue calculations not 28 limited to farm safety net programs, risk management programs and disaster 29 programs. 30 1. LIVESTOCK PRODUCER ASSISTANCE 31 We support:

Full and permanent funding for the livestock compensation programs;

32

i.

1 ii. Safeguards to assure that program benefits are targeted to family farmers and 2 ranchers; and 3 iii. Deferring the tax consequences of a forced liquidation of livestock if it is due to 4 severe weather conditions or other causes and if the animals are replaced within 5 a 5-year time frame. 6 2. Crop Insurance 7 Crop insurance and revenue coverage should not be considered a replacement for fair 8 market prices and an adequate price support program. 9 We support: 10 The continuation and improvement of the federal crop insurance program; i. 11 ii. A permanent disaster program, in addition to crop insurance that addresses 12 both catastrophic and shallow losses; 13 iii. Enhancing the affordability of coverage above 75 percent of actual production 14 history (APH); 15 A limitation on the cumulative value of all federal premium subsidies for the iv. 16 purchase of "buy-up" crop insurance coverage. In the event budget cuts result in 17 decreased "buy-up" premium subsidies, those cuts should be accomplished by 18 caps on per-individual subsidies. Crop insurance subsidies should be attributed 19 to individuals based on their share of ownership of insurable production and 20 entities they own; 21 v. Development of federal crop insurance policies that provide a dollar-per-acre, 22 multi-peril coverage option similar to policies that exist for single-peril hail 23 coverage; 24 vi. Development of federal crop insurance policies based on the regional average 25 cost of production for the insured commodity; 26 Development of new products that allow producers to protect their income in vii. 27 times of low prices and/or quality losses; 28 viii. Expanded production loss and revenue protection programs to cover more 29 crops and livestock in an equitable and comparable manner in all states; 30 Development of products that allow producers to better protect against ix.

livestock and livestock feed losses:

1 Directing the Risk Management Agency to further develop the Whole Farm х. 2 Revenue Protection Program; 3 xi. Legislative action to provide the RMA authority to allow nationwide crop and 4 revenue insurance pilot programs; 5 xii. Legislative or administrative action to increase the producer representation on 6 the Federal Crop Insurance Corporation (FCIC) board of directors and establish 7 a local appeals process including conflict resolution; 8 xiii. Providing family farm producers the opportunity to utilize all available disaster 9 programs without penalty; farmers relying on surface water for irrigation 10 should not be penalized by being forced to sign up crop as dry-land instead of 11 irrigated land due to an "act of God" resulting in lack of water because of 12 drought; 13 xiv. Reasonable funding for crop insurance agent and company reimbursement; 14 No reduction of APH for federal crop insurance purposes when production is XV. 15 reduced by natural disasters; 16 xvi. Offering an adequate, individual catastrophic coverage program to provide a 17 safety net against crop disasters with a graduated premium based on acres 18 rather than crops; 19 Signup requirements that contain enough flexibility including changes to xvii. 20 established planting dates to allow producers to respond to weather changes; 21 xviii. Being eligible to plant a "ghost" crop when a producer collects a payment for a 22 prevented planting; 23 The use of cover crops for stewardship purposes on prevented planted acres xix. 24 when feasible; 25 Replacement of cover crop rules tied to eligibility for crop insurance coverage XX. 26 with the established Good Farming Practices process; 27 xxi. Prevented planting provisions in insurance policies that can provide valuable 28 coverage when extreme weather conditions prevent expected plantings. To maintain the integrity of the program and avoid abuse, producers should make 29 30 planting decisions based on agronomically sound and well-documented crop 31 management practices. We encourage the RMA to develop guidelines that are 32 objective rather than subjective;

1	xxii.	Maintaining eligibility to receive prevented planting indemnity payments
2		regardless of the producer's planting history;
3	xxiii.	The inclusion of local quality and basis adjustments in revenue assurance (RA)
4		products;
5	xxiv.	The risk management program to recognize and accommodate the unique
6		production and actuarial experience of producers of certified organic
7		commodities;
8	XXV.	Not reducing established crop insurance during that crop year;
9	xxvi.	The development of an optional, supplemental crop insurance product to
10		expand production loss coverage by helping offset either catastrophic or modest
11		production losses in the event of weather-related or other insurable disaster
12		losses;
13	xxvii.	Requiring the RMA and the FSA to coordinate all definition, reporting
14		requirements and information technologies;
15	xxviii.	The creation of regional advisory committees composed of producers, insurance
16		agents and insurance company officials to work with RMA regional staff and
17		offices to establish appropriate policies, procedures and educational activities
18		for the individual RMA regions;
19	xxix.	The development and expansion of products that allow producers of non-
20		program commodities, small diversified farming operations of specialty and
21		minor crops to have equitable insurance coverage based on the market for
22		which it is produced. USDA should make whatever regulatory or operational
23		changes are necessary to remove barriers and ensure fair access to crop and
24		revenue insurance for beginning farmers and ranchers;
25	XXX.	The concept of a risk management account that would be a private, self-insured
26		policy for farmers that is similar to the current health savings account. This is
27		not a replacement for crop insurance; rather, it is an alternative tool for farmers;
28	xxxi.	Preventative planting losses including all weather contingencies, including
29		drought;
30	xxxii.	A crop insurance premium due date of December 1 with no interest charges to
31		the premium if the claim is unsettled;

1	xxxiii.	Conservation compliance to be eligible for federal crop insurance subsidies, but
2		recognize the need for the following specialized carve-out provisions:
3		a. Expedited land classification determinations by NRCS;
4		b. Expedited classification appeals;
5		c. Ability to waive penalties for "in good faith" or other minor errors;
6		d. Ability to mitigate before penalties are assessed;
7		e. Assessment of penalties on individuals tracts only, not whole farms;
8		f. Severability of penalties between landowners and tenants;
9		g. Penalties applying only going forward for "in good faith" errors;
10		h. Relaxed requirements for regions that have not received the benefit of
11		natural drainage in cooperation with NRCS;
12	xxxiv.	The continued development of risk management tools for organic-certified
13		crops; and
14	xxxv.	Additional crop insurance subsidies for farmers using proven and effective
15		conservation practices.
16	We oppose:	
17	i.	RMA allowing outside influence on crop insurance premium levels with regard
18		to specific crop inputs, practices or technologies;
19	ii.	The sale of crop insurance by ag lending institutions and other ag industries that
20		are able to coerce the producer, i.e. lenders discounting interest or requiring
21		purchase of the lender's own crop insurance product as condition of receiving a
22		loan;
23	iii.	The voiding of an entire crop insurance policy due to an error in a single line of
24		the policy; and
25	iv.	The sale of crop insurance by federal agencies.
26		3. National Food Liability Insurance Program
27	We su	pport a national food liability insurance program to assure at a national level that
28	unprocessed or less processed whole foods, fresh fruits, cheese and dairy products, meats	
29	and fresh	vegetables continue to remain accessible in the marketplace. Current U.S. food
30	liability c	ulture places the farmer in an unfair position and this must be addressed if we
31	intend to	have farm-fresh or less processed foods available to consumers.

4. RISK MANAGEMENT EDUCATION

1 We encourage the USDA to continue funding risk management education grants. 2 G. FARM PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION 3 We urge full implementation and funding of all provisions of the 2014 Farm Bill, consistent 4 with the intent of Congress. 5 1. FARMER-ELECTED COMMITTEES 6 We support: 7 The integrity and independence of farmer-elected committees in carrying out 8 farm programs; 9 ii. Sufficient funding so the committee members can be trained and can function 10 effectively: 11 iii. Appointees to state FSA committees, which administer farm programs, being 12 family farmers; 13 iv. Farmer-elected, county- or area-farmer committees; 14 Uniformity of interpretation of USDA programs to the maximum degree possible, v. 15 while still meeting local needs; 16 vi. Offering appeals at the local, state, and national levels; 17 vii. Continuing an independent appeals process and the producer's right to 18 mediation: 19 viii. Programs to educate producers and others about mediation processes; and 20 ix. Greater authority given to FSA county committees in determining the disaster 21 designations and the appropriate program applications. 22 We oppose selection of the county or area farmer committees by political appointment. 23 2. Natural Resources Conservation Service 24 The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) should be the service agency to 25 provide technical assistance working with local boards and local conditions whenever 26 possible. We support the following changes to NRCS: 27 i. Implementation of an appeals system; 28 Giving the soil conservation district boards the authority to hear farmer appeals ii. 29 on conservation land-use issues, including good, fair variances; 30 iii. Providing full funding for conservation technical assistance to implement

conservation programs;

1 Appointees to the NRCS state technical committees, which provide advice and iv. 2 counsel to state conservationists, being actively engaged in a family farm 3 operation; 4 NRCS state technical committees having voting power over the cost-share rates 5 and ranking procedures; and 6 vi. Funds designated to NRCS programs being used to hire local fishers and farmers 7 to implement conservation projects. 8 We oppose: 9 i. The privatization of the services of the NRCS and object to forcing farmers to pay 10 for the technical assistance, which should be provided by NRCS staff; and 11 ii. Any effort to eliminate NRCS or shift conservation services to some other agency 12 or branch of the USDA. 13 3. IMPROVED MARKETING MECHANISMS 14 NFU reaffirms its support for enabling legislation to establish a National Agricultural 15 Relations Board or separate board for single commodities or groups of closely related 16 commodities. Once established, this board should: 17 i. Bring farmers and farm cooperatives together with handlers and processors, for 18 the purpose of bargaining over prices received by agricultural producers. 19 Farmers need and are entitled to a firm legal procedure which will enable them 20 to manage the production and marketing of their products; and 21 ii. Help preserve the long-standing rights of farmers to participate in bargaining 22 associations and cooperatives without being subject to antitrust action. 23 H. SPECIAL AGRICULTURAL POLICIES AND SERVICES 24 1. FARM LABOR 25 We encourage Congress to continue funding existing programs and establish new grant 26 initiatives that aim to improve the supply, stability, and training of the agricultural labor 27 force. 28 The National Labor Relations Act should be extended to workers on corporate and 29

other farms that employ enough hired help to be subject to the federal minimum wage

provisions applicable to agricultural workers.

We support enforcement of the following labor standards:

30

1 i. Worker protection standards regarding wage rates, health, safety and housing 2 conditions for migrant, seasonal, minority and other farm laborers and for 3 education of their children; 4 ii. Allow the rights of workers to bargain collectively for fair wages; and 5 iii. Provide a livable minimum wage. 6 2. SAFETY OF FARMERS AND FARM WORKERS 7 Agriculture has been determined to be a hazardous occupation in the United States. 8 Farm machinery is not subject to federal safety regulations or recalls but is instead 9 manufactured according to voluntary standards set by the American Society of Agricultural 10 and Biological Engineers. 11 We recommend: 12 i. Farmers take advantage of training opportunities, including pesticide applicator 13 programs, as often as possible; 14 Farm equipment manufacturers be subject to rules requiring product safety, and ii. 15 that manufacturers be liable for damages suffered due to injuries caused by 16 faulty equipment; 17 iii. Developing incentives for the purchase of rollover protection for farm 18 equipment; 19 iv. Standardized hazard and caution lights and distinct turn signals on all farm 20 equipment that uses public roadways, and increased education of the public on 21 the need to respect them; 22 Discouraging the use of Slow Moving Vehicle emblems for purposes other than v. 23 their intended use: 24 vi. Farmers and their employees have access to information regarding hazardous 25 materials used on the farm; 26 vii. Farmers maintain adequate records on their transportation, use, storage, and 27 disposal of fertilizers and pesticides; 28 Farmers and small businesses have a voice in assuring that rules implementing viii. 29 the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970, established for the protection of 30 those employed by farmers and small businesspersons, are reasonable and

workable. This assures that OSHA requirements are within the reasonable

1	f	financial reach of farms and businesses affected, that the penalties are not
2	6	excessive, and that reasonable periods of time are allowed for compliance;
3	ix. (	Congress provide for continued exemption of small farms and businesses that
4	ł	nave 10 or fewer employees from the inspection provisions of the law;
5	x. I	Family farmers exercise reasonable care to promote the safety of themselves
6	6	and their families; and
7	xi. I	Developing International Labor Organization (ILO) standards that adequately
8	I	protect the health and safety of children.
9		3. STORABLE COMMODITIES PRODUCER PROTECTION
10	i. <b>Federa</b>	l Warehouse Act
11	We s	support the right of individual states to regulate the grain merchandising
12	activitie	s of warehouses licensed by the federal government. NFU will oppose federal
13	preemp	tion of state regulatory authority over grain merchandising unless:
14	ā	a. Federal regulation includes appropriate and effective oversight of federally
15		licensed warehouses and merchandising activities;
16	k	o. Modifications to federal warehouse and merchandising activities that may
17		have an impact on producers are proposed and adopted through public
18		rulemaking procedures rather than the annual licensing process;
19	C	c. Producers are provided a protection program funded by the warehouse and
20		merchandising industry against losses from warehouse and merchandising
21		company insolvencies and bankruptcies at no less than:
22		1. 100 percent in the case of warehouse receipted stored grain and
23		grain sold for payment within 30 days of delivery; and
24		2. \$3 million per producer for each commodity stored, delivered, or
25		contracted within 31-365 days of delivery
26	C	d. Limitations on the level of licensing flexibility provided to grain warehouses
27		and merchandisers are enacted to ensure it does not result in a reduction in
28		existing financial protections for producers; and
29	$\epsilon$	e. States' abilities to fund operations and inventory transactions, liquidity, and
30		maintain "weights-and-measures" regulations are protected.
31	We f	further urge that each state provide supplemental guarantees beyond any
32	federal i	maximum. Congress should take whatever action is necessary to ensure that

stored commodities remain the property of those persons who delivered them for storage. Warehouses should be required to issue negotiable warehouse receipts upon request, at a cost not to exceed the dump charge.

# ii. Ag Merchandiser or Supplier Bankruptcies and Receiverships

Farmers should be given first position priority in ag merchandiser or supplier bankruptcies and receiverships, including commodities prices under deferred price and delayed payment contracts.

## iii. Commodity Basis

Basis should reflect the cost of transportation and storage from the point of local delivery to the point of terminal delivery and it is not to be used as a risk mitigation tool for the grain merchandiser. We call for oversight by the appropriate federal agency and/or the Commodity Futures Trading Commission to investigate abuses of the basis levels.

#### 4. AGRICULTURAL CENSUS

Census data are useful in designing farm programs and defending and promoting the interests of family-sized farm operations. The census of agriculture provides data that show the trends in the agricultural economy of each county and state and for the nation. NFU encourages the following relative to the census of agriculture:

- i. Continue to be conducted every five years;
- ii. Opposition to efforts to increase the minimum financial criteria for classifying agricultural operations;
- iii. Continued collection of needed data relating to corporate involvement in agriculture and coordination with information collected on foreign involvement in the purchase of farmland and agricultural enterprises to more clearly reflect the pattern of ownership and management of U.S. agriculture; and
- iv. Opposition to USDA using information obtained in the census in a manner that is detrimental to family farmers and ranchers.

#### 5. NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL STATISTICS SERVICE (NASS)

We support adequate funding levels for National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) and recognize the importance of surveys. As USDA uses NASS information for multiple programs, NASS should work with the farmers to record the needed information instead of using another data source.

We encourage producers to recognize the importance of filling out National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) data, which is used by USDA to determine price and yield information for multiple USDA programs and grant opportunities within our states and county governments that call for such metrics.

## 6. COMMODITY RESEARCH AND PROMOTION PROGRAMS

We support a voluntary checkoff, with producer participation determined at the point of sale. Our support for producer-financed commodity research and promotion programs is determined by the extent to which producers who are actively involved in production agriculture control the programs.

NFU will support programs financed from the proceeds of sales by producers of agricultural commodities, only if the following criteria are met:

- Research and promotion programs are for the sole financial benefit of domestic family farmers;
- ii. Disbursement of funds collected is controlled solely by boards of non-processing domestic producers elected by the domestic producers assessed, and the operations of the program are solely controlled by those domestic producer boards;
- iii. Members of national producer-funded boards shall be nominated and elected by producers, with the election process supervised by FSA;
- iv. It shall be mandatory that all eligible producers be provided with a ballot for all elections and referendums;
- v. Each producer of an agricultural product to be covered under any multicommodity checkoff shall have one vote in any referendum to determine whether that checkoff program should be created and the board so created should adequately represent independent family farm producers;
- vi. Approval is by 60 percent of producers voting in a referendum prior to implementation of the order, with spouses allowed to vote individually, and no bloc voting allowed;
- vii. The outcome of producer referendums should be determined solely on the basis of one vote per person;

1 viii. Changes in levies and administrative and operational procedures should be 2 submitted to producers affected and subject to approval by a simple majority 3 vote: 4 Periodic review referendums should be financed and conducted by the federal ix. 5 government every fifth year, with no producer funds used to influence the 6 voters. A simple majority of producers voting in a referendum shall be able to 7 recall a commodity checkoff program. USDA shall make available the total 8 number of producers; 9 X. When an assessment is collected from U.S. producers of a commodity, an equal, 10 non-refundable fee should continue to be assessed on foreign imports of that 11 commodity, in either raw or manufactured form. Any special provisions 12 extended to U.S. regions, remote states or territories should not be extended to 13 imported products; 14 The assessment should also be collected from those integrators who are xi. 15 currently exempted by virtue of being in a vertically integrated operation; 16 xii. Periodic independent, outside evaluations and audits of all financial records 17 should be conducted to ensure that the benefits of the program outweigh the 18 costs to producers, with copies of the audits available to all who pay the 19 assessments: 20 Procedures should be provided to enable producers to immediately obtain the xiii. 21 refunds of the research and promotion funds they were assessed; 22 xiv. Research funds generated through producer assessments should not be used as 23 a substitute for publicly generated research funding; 24 XV. Farmers and ranchers have the right to designate the use of the checkoff dollars 25 he or she contributes for research, promotion, expanded cooperative 26 development, or nutrition programs and food banks; 27 xvi. Prohibiting the use of dairy producers' checkoff money to conduct research into 28 the use of casein and/or MPC's in the making of cheese and other dairy products, 29 or to promote anything other than U.S.-produced natural dairy products; 30 xvii. Mandatory producer assessments should not go to organizations that engage in

lobbying. No funds should be donated or contracts provided to organizations

that carry out political or lobbying activities or to their shared staff, even if

31

1		records are kept which separate their activity. No checkoff programs/events
2		shall be held in concert or conjunction with any policy organization's
3		programs/events. Severe criminal penalties should be assessed for using funds
4		for personal, political, or lobbying activities;
5	xviii.	The payment of a mandatory commodity checkoff must not constitute
6		membership in a producer organization; and
7	xix.	Producer-funded research should remain the property of the producers. Patents
8		granted as a result of the research should also belong to the producers. Royalties
9		collected should be returned to the producers' research fund.
10		7. Grain Standards
11	Our n	ation's grain standards fail to reward producers for production of clean, higher-
12	quality grain. The current standards provide a wide margin for manipulation by grain	
13	buyers and processors through blending and other devices. The grade limitations are	
14	arbitrary, permitting buyers to establish large discounts of factors that are not necessarily	
15	related to real differences in the value of a given commodity. Our grain standards also fail t	
16	identify many quality characteristics related to the actual end-use value of the commodity.	
17	We support:	
18	i.	Producers receiving a premium for higher quality grain;
19	ii.	Regulation or legislation that provides a consistent grading and moisture
20		discount scale that is monitored and enforced at the local elevator or mill; and
21	iii.	A periodic review of our nation's grain standards so our producers can more
22		effectively compete in world markets based on the quality of their production.
23	Revised standards should:	
24	i.	Reward positive actions taken by producers, such as genetic improvement and
25		sound grain-handling practices;
26	ii.	Establish grade and non-grade factors that can be commonly understood and
27		mutually determined by producers and end-users;
28	iii.	Adopt dry-matter grading by the grain trade as a better way of compensating the
29		producer for the grain delivered to the elevator;
30	iv.	Be implemented in such a manner that the grade determined at the time of the
31		initial sale is consistent with the grade received by the end user;
32	v.	Ensure testing standards that reflect actual grain quality; and

1	Vl.	Establish standardized tests that are accurate and reproducible.
2		8. Grain Inspection
3	We reaffirm our position for the high standards in grain inspection and support the	
4	weighing system as authorized under the original Federal Grain Inspection Act.	
5	To pr	otect and improve our reputation as exporters of U.S. commodities, we support
6	legislatio	n that would:
7	i.	Prohibit and penalize exporters adding foreign material or moisture to any
8		commodity for overseas shipment;
9	ii.	Require export customers to pay for shipments on a clean-grain basis, just as
10		farmers are paid on a clean-grain basis;
11	iii.	Provide grain inspection personnel to spot check U.S. grain at foreign ports to
12		determine whether it is of the same kind, class, quantity and condition that was
13		certified upon shipment;
14	iv.	Prohibit the imposition of user fees for the inspection and grading of agricultural
15		commodities. Federal inspection and grading of such commodities is in the
16		public interest and should not be charged to the producer;
17	v.	Continue to investigate grain companies as to the total pricing system and any
18		quality discounts such as those for protein schedules, test schedules, DON
19		(vomitoxin) levels, falling numbers and scab; and
20	vi.	Prohibit privatization of grain export inspections.
21		9. USDA'S INFORMATION MANDATE
22	USDA	should provide accurate income statistics for farmers and ranchers. Separation of
23	income le	vels for producers, landlords and integrators would permit more accurate net
24	farm inco	mes in USDA's farm projections.
25	Aeria	land maps are vital to producers for proximate land use, sale and productivity.
26	These ma	ps should be made available by USDA to the public for the gain of the producer.
27	We o <sub>l</sub>	ppose charging user fees for formerly free USDA reports and information or
28	supplying	them only on a paid basis by computer.
29		10. National Organic Standards (also see Article I.D. – Labeling of
30		Commodities and Commodity Products)

1 NFU recognizes the growing importance of organic family farming. Organic farming is a 2 management-intensive method of production designed to achieve a balance in the 3 agricultural and livestock system similar to that found in natural systems. 4 We support: 5 i. The enforcement and monitoring of the national organic standards promulgated 6 by USDA; 7 ii. Strict uniform enforcement of the USDA National Organic Program (NOP) "120 8 day pasture rule" for all certified organic dairy producers; 9 iii. Ensuring accreditation and certification costs do not discriminate against small 10 producers, including support and funding for the National Organic Certification 11 Cost-Share Program; 12 iv. Requiring USDA to maintain the role of the National Organic Standards Board 13 (NOSB) as the official source of developing policies and procedures to interpret 14 and implement the federal organic standards. Adequate staffing must be 15 provided to enable the NOSB to fulfill its obligation to organic producers; 16 Maintaining organic livestock production standards that are uniform and v. 17 account for feeding and animal health care practices for continuous or 18 transitional organic management; 19 vi. Prohibiting genetically modified organisms, irradiation, and the use of sewage 20 sludge that contains heavy metals; 21 vii. Protecting organic producers from chemical and/or genetic pollution and 22 provide reasonable redress for any damage cause by this drift; 23 USDA negotiating trade arrangements to eliminate the need for NOP-certified viii. 24 U.S. farmers to certify through multiple international agencies; 25 The continued development of risk management tools for organic-certified crops ix. 26 (also see Article I.G.2 - Crop Insurance and Article X.B.1 - Public Research); and 27 Requiring increased monitoring and testing of organic products originating X. 28 outside the United States to ensure those products comply with USDA organic 29 standards.

11. PLANT BREEDING

We support the modification of the Plant Variety Protection Act of 1994 in the area of royalty fees, taking into consideration a reasonable period of time for specific commodities and based on scientific methods.

We support immunity from legal action for grain handlers from consequences of the Plant Variety Protection Act when handling grain without a fee.

We support precision breeding innovations that do not move genetic material from one species to another.

## 12. PLANT GENETIC RESOURCES

# We support:

- i. Enhancing and diversifying the genome and plant genetic resources pools;
- Recognizing farmers' contributions to the development and conservation of plant genetic resources by protecting farmers' rights, including the right to save seed; and
- iii. Keeping public research and research results in the public domain and protected from acquisition by corporations or other private entities attempting to develop their own products derived from public research genetic pools.

## ARTICLE II - FAMILY FARMERS AND TECHNOLOGY

With the continued development of technology, we as an organization are invested in agriculture and excited about these opportunities. Technology will offer farmers, ranchers and fishers many advantages but there are possible risks that need to be considered.

#### A. GENETICALLY MODIFIED ORGANISMS AND BIOTECHNOLOGY

The rights of both genetically modified organism (GMO) producers and non-GMO producers should be respected as appropriate regulatory agencies continue to research and evaluate GMO concerns. All producers should have the right to accurately advertise, label and promote products.

We respect all nations' sovereignty and food policies and thus urge open dialogue, cooperation and understanding in trade negotiations relating to biotechnology.

## We support:

- 1. The release of new GMO traits after issues of cross-pollination, liability, commodity and seed stock segregation and market acceptance are objectively addressed and fairly resolved for the protection of all producers and consumers. While biotech traits are under patent, the patent holder should be prepared to indemnify its trait users against financial burdens caused by claims;
- Research conducted in an environmentally secure facility being exempt from the
  above requirements. Research conducted in open fields production should be
  subject to mandatory public disclosure of: persons or entities initiating the research,
  location of test sites, specific species and traits involved and the characteristics of
  the intended resultant genetically modified plant to be created;
- 3. Legislation to prohibit the patenting of heritage seed and animal and biological genetics;
- 4. Legislation to prohibit the release of terminator seed technology;
- 5. The right of farmers to plant seed derived from proprietary organisms on their own land;
- 6. New products involving GMOs being certified as safe by the FDA in testing done independently of the patent holder, before being allowed on the market. Such testing is to be done at the expense of the specific patent holders seeking to market such products;

7. Legislation requiring that patent holders or owners of GMO technology be held strictly liable for damages cause by genetic trespass including safety, health, economic, and environmental effects. Farmers are not to be held liable for food safety, human health or environmental problems, including cross-pollination, related to the use of GMOs as long as generally accepted crop production practices are followed:

- 8. Congressional action to regulate the biotech industry's technology agreements. Farmers should not have to sign away their fundamental rights, including but not limited to a jury of their peers in court, in exchange for the privilege of growing biotech crops. Grievances should be settled in the home state of the farmer, not the state of the biotech corporation;
- Any damages caused to farmers through lower prices, lost markets, or contamination shall be fully reimbursed to farmers, including legal fees, by the company producing the genetically modified product;
- 10. All data used in the analysis of the health and environmental effects of GMOs being public record, and that criminal penalties be established for the willful withholding or altering of such data;
- 11. Prohibiting government regulatory agencies from licensing genetically modified products that are not acceptable for both human consumption and animal feed;
- 12. USDA and FDA improving oversight and regulation of pharma crops. NFU does not endorse or support pharma farming based on economic, environmental, food safety, and liability risks to producers and consumers;
- 13. Requiring governmental regulatory agencies and input suppliers to ensure farmers are informed of all potential market risks and segregation requirements associated with planting any licensed genetically modified crop;
- 14. Requiring USDA to further investigate and research the effects of GMO feeds on livestock;
- 15. Government regulatory agencies considering domestic and foreign consumer acceptance of the product when licensing;
- 16. Requiring all GMO seed to be clearly labeled with the following information:
  - i. Markets (foreign or domestic) where the product is not accepted; and
  - ii. All planting restrictions;

1 17. Development of a verification system and a storage, transportation and marketing 2 plan to aid farmers with non-GMO grains; 3 18. Identity-preserved systems and insist they receive protection from cross-4 contamination: 5 19. The development and implementation of patent rules, legislation (i.e. the Hatch-6 Waxman Act for pharmaceuticals) or regulations, which promote and maintain free 7 market competition in regard to generic production; and 8 20. Prohibiting the sale of seed for pesticide resistant crops if the pesticides have not 9 received regulatory approval 10 B. AGRICULTURAL TECHNOLOGY 11 We support: 12 1. The Nebraska Tractor Test Laboratory, the only U.S.-approved Organization for 13 Economic Cooperation Development laboratory and the unbiased, third-party 14 testing information that it provides at little or no cost to U.S. farmers so they can 15 make informed buying decisions. 16 2. The first-sale doctrine, whereby an individual who knowingly purchases a 17 copyrighted work from the copyright holder receives the right to sell, display, or 18 otherwise dispose of that particular copy and protections not otherwise available 19 for licensees. 20 3. Fair Repair and Right to Repair legislation that would allow farmers and 21 independent mechanics access to diagnostic software, information, and other tools 22 in order to repair modern equipment. 23 C. Information Collection and Protection 24 Detailed field data should only be collected with the producer's consent in a manner so as 25 to: 26 1. Ensure data collected remain the property of the producer; 27 2. Protect privacy; 28 3. Avoid consolidation of market power; 29 4. Maintain competition; and 30 5. Prevent manipulating markets.

1	D.	Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (Drones)
2	We suppo	rt:
3	1.	The use of unmanned aerial vehicles (drones) for agricultural purposes, only
4		after landowner or land operator approval; and
5	2.	Opening up the National Airspace System to allow drones for agricultural
6		purposes
7	We oppos	e the use of drones for covert surveillance of agricultural operations

### ARTICLE III - AGRICULTURE COMPETITION AND CONCENTRATION

Inadequate market competition is one of the most pressing issues facing producers across the country. As evidenced by the sharp decline in the number of family farms in the past decade and the increasing trend toward horizontal and vertical concentration in the agriculture and food sector, independent producers cannot succeed in the absence of protection from unfair, anti-competitive practices. Competitive provisions should be established that ensure fairness, transparency, protection and bargaining rights for producers, and restore and enhance competition for agricultural markets.

Packers have always had the ability in most states to own animals for their company's personal use when the spot/open market for live animals from independent producers was higher than they wanted to pay. By slaughtering their own animals for days and even up to weeks, the supply of livestock from independent producers would escalate, causing the price on the spot/open market to drop. To further decrease the competition in the packing industry, the top four packers in 2015 controlled approximately 85 percent of cattle, 66 percent of hogs, 51 percent of broilers, and 57 percent of turkeys. Economists state any concentrated market power over 40 to 60 percent would demonstrate a lack of competition in commerce within the respected industry.

Farmers are often incorrectly blamed for rising food and fiber prices. Retail prices are more often determined by forces outside the control of farmers, ranchers, and fishers. We support efforts to increase the farmer's share of the consumer's dollar.

#### A. COMPETITION AND ANTITRUST

We support the following initiatives to achieve true competition for producers in the marketplace:

- Implementation of a temporary moratorium on large agricultural mergers to provide Congress with time to review and strengthen current laws as appropriate;
- 2. Requiring USDA to collect and publish concentration information;
- 3. Clarification of the Packers and Stockyards Act to allow individual producers to seek recourse for abuse of market power without having to prove competitive injury to the entire marketplace;

4. Requiring the Justice Department (DOJ), Federal Trade Commission (FTC), and the Surface Transportation Board (STB) where applicable to require firms to submit information on joint ventures and alliances between firms above a certain size. In many cases, firms that are participating in joint venture arrangements behave just like firms that have merged and should be subject to the same level of antitrust scrutiny as mergers. The disclosure requirement should be set at a threshold sufficient to include firms that account for a significant percentage of market share at a regional level;

- 5. Requiring the DOJ, FTC, or STB to publicly disclose why a merger subject to antitrust review is approved;
- 6. Expanding the role of USDA to initiate and/or participate in the review of proposed mergers in the agricultural sector;
- 7. Requiring economic and environmental impact statements detailing the impact of a proposed merger on farmers, ranchers, and consumers prior to approval;
- 8. Establishing an Office of Special Counsel on Competition within USDA to streamline and increase the effectiveness of USDA investigation and enforcement of competition laws;
- 9. Establishing a level of concentration that triggers a presumption of a violation of antitrust law to make it easier for the DOJ, FTC, or STB to prevent high levels of concentration;
- 10. The right of producers to hold retailers, distributors and manufacturers responsible for price gouging;
- 11. Prohibiting slotting fees that provide windfall profits to retailers and create a barrier for new firms and products;
- 12. A target price program on a limited volume of production as automatic compensation for livestock producers when a lack of antitrust enforcement or unfair imports damage their markets;
- 13. Congress repealing statutory provisions that exempt railroads from the antitrust injunctive actions, as well as the judicially developed Keogh doctrine that limits antitrust damage remedies;

1	14	Supporting state anti-corporate and contract producer protection legislation;
2		and
3	15	Providing strong protection to employees that report non-competitive
4		practices.
5	В.	LIVESTOCK MARKET REFORM
6	We su	pport the following initiatives to reduce livestock market concentration and
7	enhance c	ompetition:
8	1.	Restriction of all forms of direct and indirect ownership or control of
9		agricultural products by agribusinesses, including the prohibition of
10		ownership, control and feeding of livestock by packing companies;
11	2.	Encouraging development of farmer-owned cooperatives for marketing and
12		processing;
13	3.	Placing restrictions on the percentage of captive supply and that firm-bid
14		pricing be established in forward contracts as directed in the Captive Supply
15		Reform Act;
16	4.	Making permanent the mandatory price reporting law;
17	5.	Efforts to increase transparency of mandatory price reporting information,
18		including but not limited to:
19		i. Eliminating or lowering the kill capacity reporting exemption;
20		ii. Regular reporting after the fact, even when a proprietary exemption
21		precludes price and volume reporting on a daily basis; and
22		iii. Enhancing the reported date to be user friendly.
23	6.	Protecting the livestock producers from unfair competition and monopolistic
24		practices by strengthening the definition of the Packers and Stockyards Act.
25		All livestock producers should have equal access to markets that do not
26		discriminate against family-farm livestock producers; and
27	7.	Enacting legislation that clearly defines and prohibits volume-based price
28		discrimination in livestock markets
29	C.	POULTRY MARKET REFORM
30	We support enacting legislation to give USDA's Grain Inspection, Packers, and	
31	Stockyard	s Administration (GIPSA) full enforcement over poultry. We also recommend
32	for the pro	tection of poultry growers:

- 1 1. Extension of the protection of the Packers and Stockyards Act to producers 2 who grow and care for breeder hens, pullets and commercial eggs, not just 3 broilers: 4 2. Modifications to regulations under the Packers and Stockyards Act that 5 govern integrator fair-trade practices and strengthen the enforcement 6 mechanisms therein, including, but not limited to, regulations to: 7 i. Prohibit companies from retaliating against producers for speaking 8 out about problems in the industry or about their contracts, or for 9 attempting to organize other producers to negotiate as a group for 10 better contract terms: 11 ii. Prohibit companies from requiring producers to make unnecessary 12 upgrades to their facilities unless the company pays for the costs of 13 those upgrades; 14 Reform the system used to pay producer, i.e. the ranking system, to iii. 15 assure that producers are not penalized for inputs controlled by the 16 company, and that there is full transparency in the factors used to 17 calculate the producers' payment;
  - iv. Prohibit companies from cancelling a producer's contract or reducing the number of livestock units placed on their farm based solely on the failure of the producer to make equipment changes, so long as existing equipment is in good working order; and
  - v. Require the production contracts be long enough in term to allow producers to recoup their investments.
  - 3. Enactment of state legislation which better defines contract production for growing arrangements; and
  - 4. Requiring integrators to provide an accurate cash-flow analysis to new poultry contract operations.

#### D. PRODUCTION CONTRACTING

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

Current law falls short of ensuring fairness and protection for producers under contract. We support the following initiatives/legislation to enhance contract producer protection:

1 1. Strengthening the Agricultural Fair Practices Act to provide improved 2 protection for contract producers; 3 2. Implementation of all GIPSA provisions including disclosure of contract 4 clauses for farmers who contract, the right to discuss the contract with their 5 lawyer, financial advisor or family member; 6 3. Requiring contracts and contract rights to be written in plain language and 7 disclose risks to producers; 8 4. Providing contract producers three days to review and cancel production 9 contracts; 10 5. Providing producers with a first-priority lien for payments due under 11 contracts; 12 6. Protecting producers from contract termination or price reduction because 13 of: 14 i. Retaliation purposes, 15 ii. Inadequate or faulty inputs/services provided by contractor, and 16 iii. Denying opportunity to remediate problems related to production 17 specifications; 18 7. Making it an unfair practice for processors to retaliate or discriminate 19 against producers who exercise rights under the proposed legislation; 20 8. Authorizing producer bargaining to encourage contract producers to form 21 collective bargaining units to negotiate with integrators; 22 9. Prohibiting the use of mandatory arbitration clauses in livestock and poultry 23 contracts to assure that farmers have adequate access to justice in the event 24 of fraud, misrepresentation, breach of contract or other contract disputes 25 with a processor or integrator. Arbitration should be a voluntary mechanism 26 for dispute resolution agreed to by both parties after a dispute arises; 27 10. Prohibiting contracts involving ag producers from containing language that 28 prohibits a trial by jury; and 29 11. Publicizing and widely distributing educational materials regarding the 30 rights of contract producers.

E. PROFIT-TAKING BY MANUFACTURERS OF FARM INPUTS

- 1 We call for all farmers and member-driven and –controlled cooperatives to place
- 2 pressure and influence on manufacturers of farm inputs, whose level of profitability
- 3 comes at the expense of farmers.

# ARTICLE IV - INTERNATIONAL TRADE, COOPERATION, AND THE FAMILY FARM

Future trade agreements must be designed to promote rural livelihoods by ensuring fair market returns for producers and production of safe, quality food for consumers.

Thus, future trade agreements must not be limited to regulating domestic support levels, export subsidies, and market access. Rather, every future trade agreement must address differences in labor standards, environmental standards, health standards, and the trade-distorting effect of currency manipulation and cartelization of agriculture

The measure of the success of a trade agreement has to be its benefit to U.S. agriculture and specifically of its producers' net income. Vague promises of "market access" to foreign markets do not offset opening our borders for even larger amounts of foreign-produced goods to enter our markets. Market access does not equal market share. NFU supports the federal government conducting a formal and thorough analysis of current agricultural trade agreements to determine their success at meeting their promised goals before any new trade agreements are negotiated or proposed.

Companies who repeatedly send banned products to countries with specified requirements and standards for imports should be held liable for market losses by producers resulting from the shipment.

Our trade negotiators need to recognize that food security is non-negotiable for many trading partners, and that they will never agree to give us full market access.

## A. AGRICULTURAL TRADE NEGOTIATIONS

markets.

Fair trade, not free trade, holds the potential to increase family farm profitability and U.S. food security, but trade by itself is only one tool. In creating a fair agricultural trade environment, we support the inclusion of the following goals, objectives, and provisions in U.S. trade policy and in international trade negotiations and agreements that:

- Require all countries to meet health, environmental, food sovereignty, working conditions, and labor rights standards equal to those of producers in the United States;
- 2. Allow U.S. producers the right to distinguish their products from those of other countries. U.S. products should not be categorized just as North American products;

1 3. Call for a formal and thorough analysis of current agricultural trade 2 agreements to determine their success at meeting their stated goals before 3 any new bilateral or regional trade agreements are negotiated or approved; 4 4. Address domestic food safety, security, and inadequate economic returns to 5 producers resulting from market failure, lack of market competition, and an 6 imbalance in supply and demand; 7 5. Ensure global food security and safety, including the elimination of unilateral 8 sanctions on agricultural and pharmaceutical products; 9 6. Enhance producer returns, economic development, and individual standards 10 of living: 11 7. Foster the economic and resource sustainability and efficiency of food 12 production and distribution systems; 13 8. Achieve an equitable distribution and balance of the costs/benefits of 14 agricultural trade among all participants, including producers and 15 consumers; 16 9. Acknowledge and accommodate the multi-functionality of agriculture, 17 including non-economic considerations of value to producers and 18 consumers: 19 10. Diminish poverty and hunger; 20 11. Do not undermine U.S. laws, jurisdiction or sovereignty of a country and its 21 political subdivisions; 22 12. Are negotiated and enacted through a transparent democratic process; 23 13. Provide consumers with an adequate, high-quality, safe and affordable 24 supply; 25 14. Generate reasonable rates of return to agricultural producers by 26 coordinating efforts to reduce dumping, balance supply and demand, share 27 responsibility to provide nutrition assistance and maintain an optimal level 28 of buffer stocks for food security; 29 15. Allow the United States to impose trade remedies against nations using 30 currency manipulation to gain an unfair trade advantage;

16. Have a speedy and fair method of resolving disputes among trading

31

32

partners;

1 17. Advocate for the reform of the WTO adjudication process so that cases are 2 decided by an independent and unbiased judiciary; 3 18. Allow flexibility for individual nations to provide economic safety net 4 programs and address unforeseen production, market, and trade 5 circumstances; 6 19. Encourage a balance of increased and transparent market competition, limits 7 on the concentration of market power and coordinated public competition 8 policy to ensure the efficient and appropriate allocation of resources within 9 all agricultural sectors; 10 20. Maximize the opportunity for individual and cooperative participation in all 11 segments of agriculture; 12 21. Create an effective, efficient, timely and transparent implementation, 13 compliance, and dispute resolution process; 14 22. Prevent further conversion of rainforests to production agriculture to 15 preserve their essential biodiversity and their vital role in carbon 16 sequestration and the global climate system; 17 23. Publish meaningful, current, and standardized reports on imports of dairy 18 products, quantities and types, and a USDA report on the impact of the WTO 19 on dairy producers; 20 24. Establish tariffs on foreign imports of all dairy ingredients that displace 21 domestically produced milk usage including animal feed ingredients; 22 25. Use GSM-102 export credit guarantees; and 23 26. Further utilize the Market Access Program (MAP) and Foreign Market 24 Development (FMD) program. 25 We support the following current negotiating topics: 26 1. Unified, worldwide elimination of export subsidies; 27 2. Increased transparency and market disciplines of state trading enterprises; 28 3. Greater equity and balance in agricultural tariff and tariff rate quota regimes; 29 4. Development of an improved and more inclusive methodology for measuring 30 the level and impact of domestic support programs, including green box 31 supports and effective subsidies conveyed through monetary policy and 32 labor and environmental regulation;

1 5. The rights of countries to address the circumvention of tariffs and tariff rate 2 quotas by trading partners; 3 6. The need to provide consumer information (labeling) on agricultural 4 products as a means to address food safety concerns and enhance market 5 access; 6 7. National flexibility in the design and implementation of domestic support 7 programs within reasonable negotiated limits such as the Trade Adjustment 8 Assistance program; 9 8. Cooperative development, implementation and enforcement of competition 10 policies: 11 9. Utilization of end-use certificates to monitor the flow of all agricultural 12 imports; and 13 10. Allowing countries to restrict the import of agricultural commodities that are 14 contaminated or infected with disease or other toxic or noxious organisms 15 that threaten domestic production and/or food safety. 16 We oppose: 17 1. Elimination of tariffs, tariff rate quotas and domestic trade remedies utilized 18 to counter the effects of dumping and other unfair trade practices, including 19 the use of monetary, labor, and environmental regulations that create 20 competitive trade advantages; 21 2. Elimination of "credit" for supply management programs (blue box); 22 3. Requirements that domestic support programs be de-coupled; and 23 4. Importation of agricultural products from countries that do not grow or 24 produce such products. 25 B. TRADE PROMOTION AUTHORITY (FAST-TRACK) 26 We support the immediate repeal of Trade Promotion Authority (TPA). 27 We oppose: 28 1. Fast-track negotiating authority for the president; and 29 2. The fast-track system of ratification of trade agreements in which the entire 30 trade package must be approved without amendment or rejected in total by 31 Congress.

Congress should have full opportunity to review and amend provisions of a trade agreement, consistent with the authority and power endowed by the U.S. Constitution. Because agriculture is only one area considered in the trade agreement negotiation, fast-track could easily sweep agricultural concerns aside.

## C. FAIR AND TRANSPARENT TRADE PRACTICES

U.S. products entering into international trade are subject to various potential exclusionary mechanisms, impediments, and manipulations. These barriers to fair trade include tariffs, unnecessary phyto-sanitary requirements, arbitrarily adjusted exchange rates, prejudicially applied border and value-added taxes, as well as selectively applied local taxes and regulations specifically designed to prevent fair and equitable treatment of our products. These unfair trade practices are a significant economic burden on domestic producers. Therefore, we support:

- 1. Anti-dumping petitions on behalf of all U.S. producers;
- 2. Creation and implementation of a "green tariff" to be imposed on all imported goods and services produced or created under less restricting environmental constraints than those originating from U.S. sources;
- Conducting adequate supply chain audits in order to identify sources of, and seek solutions to, uncompetitive practices that influence price to the final consumer;
- 4. Re-establishment of the Byrd Amendment; and
- 5. The U.S. Trade Representative investigating countries for revocation of Generalized System of Preferences (GSP) benefits from countries that may no longer qualify for programming and supporting the U.S. Congress looking into the way that other countries subsidize agriculture and its detrimental effects on U.S. farmers.

## D. HEALTH AND INSPECTION STANDARDS FOR FOOD AND FIBER IMPORTS

We believe that food imports pose a much greater food safety threat to American consumers than domestic food. Only a minimal amount of food imports are physically inspected, and of those which are inspected, many are rejected for reasons ranging from mislabeling of residues to pesticides banned for use in this country. We support:

- Increased funding and number of inspectors for the Agriculture Quarantine
   Inspections Program and transfer inspectors back to USDA from Department
   of Homeland Security (DHS);
  - 2. Prohibiting the export of chemicals not registered for food and fiber uses in the United States for food and fiber uses in other countries;
  - 3. Strict monitoring of imports to prevent importation of residues of chemicals banned in the United States for food and fiber;
  - 4. Requiring all imported food, feed, fiber, milk protein concentrate (MPC) and animal products and by-products to meet the same food safety and inspection standards as those required for domestic products. Imports that do not meet these standards shall be appropriately labeled;
  - 5. Processing facilities for such imported products should be inspected at least annually. Food products from that facility should be labeled as such, even if the product originated in the U.S.;
  - Requiring inspection be continuous and thorough, not just an occasional, minor sampling. Products that fail inspection should be condemned and not allowed a second opportunity to enter our country;
  - 7. Expenses for all inspections coming from fees on the imported products paid by the exporter at the point of origin; and
  - 8. Implementing increased USDA, Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and customs inspection and regulation of casein, milk derivatives and milk adhesives imported for food use.

#### E. CHINA TRADE

We support annual reviews of the impact of the Permanent Normal Trade Relations (PNTR) for China to document its effect on U.S. farmers. Such reviews should also address whether China:

- 1. Ratifies and enforces all pending United Nations covenants on human rights;
- 2. Develops a history of actually complying with international trade agreements;
- 3. Enacts and enforces rules that protect individual rights, establishes appropriate environmental standards and fosters fair trade; and

1	4.	Enacts and enforces food and product safety standards equal to those
2		required of producers in the United States.
3	We op	pose trade provisions that pit the agricultural sector against the industrial or
4	manufacti	ıring sectors (also see Article III.A – Agricultural Trade Negotiations).
5	F.	International Food Assistance
6	Every	one should have the right to have access to safe and nutritional food. We
7	support th	ne following provisions to enhance international food assistance:
8	1.	Funding for food aid programs be used to purchase U.Sproduced food when
9		in-country local food is unavailable;
10	2.	Food and developmental aid for those here and abroad who are poor, and
11		certainly for those endangered by famine, to assure their survival and well-
12		being;
13	3.	Providing available means and agencies to supply the necessary food;
14	4.	Emphasizing distribution of food once it reaches recipients' country;
15	5.	Providing donations of high-quality commodities instead of using poverty-
16		stricken nations as a dumping ground for poor-quality grains;
17	6.	Adequate funding of the McGovern-Dole International Food for Education
18		and Child Nutrition program;
19	7.	Continued work with CARE and other hunger and agricultural organizations
20		to support economic development opportunities for people in less-
21		developed countries.
22	8.	Forfeited grain from Non-recourse Marketing Assistance Loans be reverted
23		back to the USDA to be used for overseas relief packages to third world
24		countries;
25	9.	Development of a world food/grain reserve that is structured as to not
26		depress prices or discourage food production in developing countries; and
27	10	. Continued support of P.L. 480 as long as food aid is not used for political
28		objectives.
29	We op	pose the monetization of U.S. food aid to purchase food commodities for
30	developin	g countries when adequate supplies of U.Sproduced foods are available.
31	G.	World Farmers Organization (WFO)

1	As a fo	unding member of the World Farmers Organization (WFO), we believe that
2	WFO plays	s a vital role in providing the world's farmers with a forum in which to
3	exchange	ideas and information, not only about farming techniques, but policies that
4	affect farn	ners' economic well-being and daily lives.
5	We ur	ge WFO to be an active advocate for the world's farmers.
6	Н.	Policies Toward Developing Nations
7	We su	pport:
8	1.	The use of the United States' economic strength, in cooperation with our
9		private sector and other nations, to promote the economic development of
10		less-developed nations;
11	2.	Ending the embargo and establishing fair trade relations with Cuba;
12	3.	Respecting the food sovereignty of developing countries by not undercutting
13		the price of local staples; and
14	4.	The adequate compensation of indigenous peoples for the consumption of
15		their resources.
16	We op	pose:
17	1.	The dumping of agricultural products in developing countries which puts
18		local farmers out of business and destabilizes local economies;
19	2.	The forced removal of indigenous peoples from their traditional homelands;
20		and
21	3.	The exploitation of developing countries through forcing them to abandon
22		their own domestic food security policies and/or indigenous seed stocks and
23		foods.
24	I.	FARMERS AND FARM YOUTH EDUCATIONAL EXCHANGE PROGRAMS
25	Since a	a better understanding of agriculture and trade can help promote a more
26	peaceful a	nd prosperous world, we believe that educational exchange programs
27	including	farmers, farm leaders, and farm youths should be encouraged.

### 1 ARTICLE V - CREDIT AND THE FAMILY FARM 2 We recognize that agricultural debt will continue to be a problem until there is a 3 genuine and lasting improvement in farm income opportunities. We support: 4 1. The efforts of the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau to monitor and act 5 on availability of loans and transparency of loan servicing activities; 6 2. Policies that ensure equal access to credit, regardless of gender, race, or age; 7 3. A farm credit policy that is adequately financed and that includes the use of 8 third-party lending agencies to help re-establish America's family farms and 9 provide special assistance to beginning and socially disadvantaged farmers; 10 4. The Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation (Farmer Mac) to continue as 11 a viable source of long-term, fixed-rate credit for family farmers. Farmer Mac 12 should be monitored to ensure that it follows the intent of Congress and 13 helps family farmers, rather than transferring the ownership of land to 14 corporate investors. Farmer Mac should not be used to weaken state 15 borrower protection laws or penalize borrowers who make prepayments. 16 Congress should amend the statute to streamline the operating structure and 17 eliminate provisions that reduce Farmer Mac's efficiency in providing loan 18 products with competitive interest rates to family farmers and ranchers; 19 5. The Federal Financing Bank, to expedite insured and guaranteed loan 20 programs and oppose any attempts to reduce the volume of the bank, which 21 would push borrowers into private sector credit; 22 6. Family farmers being eligible for Small Business Administration loans that 23 are available to other businesses at low interest rates: 7. Cooperative credit unions, initiatives to allow farmer investments into credit 24 25 unions and allowing farmers to obtain agricultural credit from credit unions; 26 8. Farmers Union organizations aggressively forming and furthering credit 27 unions and promoting legislation on their behalf; 28 9. "Aggie Bond" programs allowed under federal law being enacted by state

contract;

and local governments. These programs should not be used for loans for

contract production unless the contract is approved as a USDA model

77

29

30

10. The continuation, funding, and expansion of the Certified Agriculture Mediation Program (CAMP), and extension of the program authorizing matching grants to states with qualified mediation programs;

- 11. Maintaining Chapter 12 as part of permanent bankruptcy law, reforming the filing process and encouraging educational efforts to farmers about their rights under Chapter 12;
- 12. Tax amnesty for taxes imposed on family-sized farms and ranch operators who face tax liabilities after restructuring or forced sales; protecting the interests and rights of borrowers, lenders, and taxpayers through the proper and consistent implementation of existing legislation; prohibiting a debtor to reorganize into a larger-than-family-farm-size unit; and encouraging all options be made available for FSA borrowers with shared appreciation agreements, due to artificially inflated land values;
- 13. Special attention given to the credit needs of surviving spouses of farmers who want to continue farming; and
- 14. Requiring banks, credit unions, and other financial institutions to publish and identify, independently of interest rate, any additional discounts, whether additional points or credit rate decrease or increase based on other business with the institution, including crop insurance.

## A. FARM SERVICE AGENCY (FSA) CREDIT PROGRAMS

Guaranteed loan programs have not and cannot become a viable substitute for direct lending. We support:

- 1. Emphasizing adequate funding for direct-lending programs for farm ownership and operating expenses to beginning and socially-disadvantaged family farmers (also see Article I.B.5. Beginning Farmers and Ranchers);
- 2. Increasing the maximum loan amounts to reflect land values and provide opportunities for additional family farmers;
- 3. A consistent, sufficient funding mechanism ensuring loan funding allocations are available to all approved FSA loans in a timely fashion;
- 4. Increasing emergency funding so that it is available on a timely basis;
- 5. Encouraging the secretary of agriculture to seek the necessary authority to increase the maximum level of federal emergency farm operating loans that

1		may be made available to producers who have suffered multiple years of
2		weather-related disasters;
3	6.	Educating borrowers on sound farm management principles in an effort to
4		reduce foreclosure rates. Borrower training should be provided by existing
5		programs, including Farm Business Management and Cooperative Extension;
6	7.	Permitting the guarantee of loans for stock purchases in the farmer-owned,
7		value-added cooperative, as long as the cooperative can demonstrate its
8		feasibility;
9	8.	Allowing producers who have used debt restructuring to be eligible for all
10		federal loans, including FSA and emergency loans;
11	9.	Processing applications for credit and appeals in a timely manner to meet
12		production demands;
13	10	. Not garnishing federal farm program payments to pay delinquent farm credit
14		payments except in cases of delinquency in excess of 3 years without loan
15		restructuring;
16	11	. Streamlining and digitizing loan programs and/or appeals process;
17	12	. Implementing debt restructuring, including debt forgiveness, as equitably as
18		possible and allowing additional restructuring to be considered;
19	13	. Continuing to enable the USDA National Appeals Division (NAD) to be an
20		independent and fair forum for agricultural producers, as intended by
21		Congress, and be the final decision on producer appeals cases;
22	14	. Increasing consistency and education on the county and state appeals
23		process and FSA servicing responsibilities to the borrower;
24	15	. Prohibiting the use of private collection agencies and offsets of income tax
25		refunds to recover outstanding debt from borrowers who voluntarily
26		liquidate their assets;
27	16	. Elimination of term limits for non-delinquent borrowers;
28	17	. Prohibiting the imposition of long-term or permanent wildlife or
29		conservation easements on land acquired by FSA foreclosures; and
30	18	. Establishing a revolving loan pool for all Farm Service Agency (FSA) loans to
31		ensure loan repayment is credited to the FSA budget.

B. FARM CREDIT SYSTEM

1 The Farm Credit System (FCS) should follow its original purpose - keeping the 2 family farmer on the land - by actively providing credit to all family farms within their 3 district, regardless of size, and maintaining farmer-elected control of FCS boards. 4 We support: 5 1. Prohibiting differential interest rates for FCS member-borrowers because 6 they are contrary to cooperative principles; 7 2. An investigation of the discriminatory effects of differential interest rates; 8 3. Local control and participation of all FCS associations and banks while 9 remaining on the forefront of good governance practices to keep the system 10 viable for producers in the future; 11 4. All FCS directors, officers, and bondholders to take the lead in advocating 12 improved farm income as the basic means of repaying farm debt and 13 securing the FCS; 14 5. FCS enforcement of regulations governing the borrowers' rights sections of 15 the Agricultural Credit Act of 1987, including the use of cease-and-desist 16 powers when necessary; 17 6. Congress giving past and present FCS borrowers the right to pursue 18 litigation against FCS institutions they have done business with; 19 7. FCS continuing to be the primary source for financial services for farmer 20 cooperatives and their associated businesses. We call upon member 21 cooperatives to ensure that these institutions remain farmer-controlled; 22 8. Preventing FCS institutions from being sold to outside entities, thereby 23 exiting the system. The ability to exit the system negates the benefits of 24 farmer control and makes it difficult to replace the services mandated by the 25 Agricultural Credit Act; 26 9. Expanding FCS lending authority only to the extent it directly benefits family 27 farmers, ranchers, and rural communities. Expanded lending authority must 28 support domestic investments and operations; 29 10. FCS maximizing the patronage and dividend distribution to its borrower-30 members so they have access to the FCS capital they helped to create; 31 11. Full access to rural credit for farmers, ranchers, fishers, and the communities

in which they live. We are particularly concerned about the lack of available

1 credit in rural areas with high unemployment, including, but not limited to 2 Native American Reservations; 3 12. Competition in lending to allow credit options for our members; 4 13. Jurisdiction of the FCS remaining under the authority of the U.S. House and 5 Senate Agriculture Committees; and 6 14. Farmers and ranchers given first choice to purchase any foreclosed or 7 financially distressed farmland under FCS jurisdiction. 8 C. COOPERATIVE FINANCING 9 Cooperatives are special business entities, which are unique in nature and have 10 unique needs. Cooperative financing institutions need to provide financial services and 11 investment financing necessary to assist established cooperatives in re-tooling to meet

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

These cooperative financing institutions need to establish a program to assist farmers and ranchers and their rural communities by providing risk capital to start new cooperative ventures. The program should also provide financial grants to new cooperatives for in-depth training of their respective board of directors.

changing times in the markets, and to participate in new opportunities to service rural

communities and their family farmer and rancher owners.

The National Cooperative Bank is an important financial resource for rural and urban consumer cooperatives and we encourage the bank to place greater emphasis on rural lending.

## ARTICLE VI - FARM COOPERATIVES AND THE FAMILY FARM

Farmer-owned cooperatives are effective institutions through which the farmer can reduce costs of production, maintain a reliable source of inputs, and effectively market and process farm products.

NFU encourages its members and organizations to provide leadership in the patronage, direction, operation, and development of cooperative enterprises, and in the education of members and the public as to cooperative philosophy and principles.

#### A. COOPERATIVE LAW

Federal law provides a fundamental economic right to individual farmers, to join together in cooperatives associations for the purposes of pricing, processing, marketing, transporting, and selling their products, and bargaining with processors and handlers for prices and other terms of sale, without being subject to prosecution under antitrust laws. This is a right for farmers as individuals and not the cooperatives that they establish.

The basic cooperative authorities are set forth in the Clayton Act of 1914, the Capper-Volstead Act of 1922 (allowing agricultural producer associations), the Cooperative Marketing Agreement Act of 1926, the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1929, the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1937, and other statutes, including those that deal with the status of cooperatives under the federal tax system. These laws are vital.

Government policies and programs should help to better develop, protect, advance, and promote farmer cooperatives' role in assembling, processing, selling, marketing, and distributing farm commodities and services. We oppose any attempt to revise cooperative laws, administratively or legislatively, that would diminish or jeopardize the democratic nature of cooperatives, their unique governance structure, and ability to maintain financial and ethical integrity.

We oppose new state laws that allow supposed "cooperatives" to structure ventures with non-producers in ways that give the outsider voting control of the combined entity. We support the efforts of the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws (NCCUSL) to fashion a limited cooperative association act that, while allowing outside investment, still protects the democratic nature and interests of producers and consumers involved in the cooperative association.

#### B. ROCHDALE PRINCIPLES

1	We reaffirm our belief in the basic Rochdale Principles of cooperation that were		
2	designed to ensure democratic control of the business by its members and that the		
3	members	receive the primary benefits of their cooperative enterprise, including:	
4	1.	One vote per member, regardless of the volume of business done by the	
5		member, with no proxy voting;	
6	2.	Elimination of bloc voting;	
7	3.	Directors elected by active members;	
8	4.	Savings/earnings of the cooperative distributed back to the member-users in	
9		proportion to the members' patronage volume;	
10	5.	Limited interest/dividends on invested capital;	
11	6.	Sales at competitive prices, and trading normally conducted on a cash basis;	
12	7.	Open membership to all who share the common bond and objective of the	
13		co-op;	
14	8.	A continuous cooperative education program to teach cooperative	
15		philosophy, principles and operation, funded by five percent of a	
16		cooperative's net margin; and	
17	9.	Cooperatives working for the sustainable development of their communities	
18		through policies accepted by their members.	
19	C.	Additional Principles	
20	To fur	ther the cooperative movement, we support:	
21	1.	Cooperatives returning to the original intention of Capper-Volstead, which	
22		allows cooperatives to collectively process, prepare for the market, handle,	
23		and market in interstate commerce;	
24	2.	Prohibition of cooperatives engaging in domestic and foreign agricultural	
25		production activities, including land ownership in direct competition with	
26		agricultural producers;	
27	3.	The rights of cooperative members to organize and operate regional and	
28		interregional cooperatives (marketing agencies in common) or to merge	
29		with other cooperative associations and not be restricted by law or	
30		government regulation;	

- 1 4. Exhausting all options of maintaining local control, including merger or joint 2 ventures with a nearby cooperative, before a local co-op is absorbed by a 3 regional cooperative; 4 5. Encouraging local members or nearby cooperative associations to 5 repurchase a local facility that has been absorbed by a regional cooperative; 6 6. Encouraging regional boards to work with local cooperatives to help them 7 operate for the benefit of members: 8 7. Opposing mergers or joint ventures between regional cooperatives and 9 multinational corporations unless such a merger or joint venture would 10 benefit local cooperative members; 11 8. Requiring business entities to provide members with "due diligence" 12 information that is timely and adequate before voting on a merger between 13 two or more cooperatives; 14 9. Cooperative members giving serious consideration to the long-term 15 consequences of selling a cooperative to private entities for short-term 16 gains; 17 10. Individual Farmers Union members taking responsibility to be full 18 participants and patrons in the cooperative movement and to build closer 19 relationships between their farm organization and their cooperatives; 20 11. Encouraging cooperatives benefitting from nontraditional income in events, 21
  - such as litigation, to expeditiously distribute the proceeds to all relevant parties;

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

- 12. Discouraging the practice of allowing individuals, other than "at risk" producers, to acquire directional status in a producer cooperative. Status of such "other individuals" should be limited to non-voting and advisory roles;
- 13. Traditional farmer-owned cooperatives lending their experience and cooperation in building new value-added cooperatives that will enhance their local communities and increase the profitability of their farmer-owners;
- 14. Cooperatives working to return the cost of production and reasonable profit.

  In addition, they should support federal farm policy that enables producers to receive profitable farm prices;

15. Cooperatives being of sufficient size and strength to be effective in representing their farmer-members without competing with family farmers;16. Increased funding for Rural Business Cooperative Services (RBCS) to focus its primary efforts on working directly with farmers in the organization and

- its primary efforts on working directly with farmers in the organization and development of cooperatives, including providing on-the-ground services to producers such as feasibility studies and organizational assistance to farmers, as well as start-up and development grants;
- 17. Funding for the Rural Cooperative Development Grant (RCDG) Program;
  RCDG grants of up to three years should be given to centers that have
  previously received funding and have demonstrated success in starting
  businesses. Remaining funding should be made available for new centers to
  apply for annual grants;
- 18. Revisions in rules and regulations to allow loans to producers who choose to purchase stock in established agricultural processing cooperatives and new cooperatives formed for the purpose of adding value to agricultural commodities;
- 19. Deferral of capital gains taxes when a refining or processing facility is sold to a farmer-owned cooperative if the benefit is passed onto family farm cooperative members;
- 20. Strengthening the ability of rural citizens to establish new member-owned enterprises that enhance farm income and quality of life in rural America, by continuing our work with the network of cooperative development centers;
- 21. Focusing on a national school/training program that both identifies opportunities and trains cooperators in the formation and operation of value-added cooperatives. NFU could serve as the coordinator of such a project;
- 22. Rejection of government efforts to dictate the time and manner for returning cooperative patronage earnings;
- 23. Membership in state Farmers Union organizations being required of all Farmers Union cooperative board and company members; and
- 24. Prohibiting the use of net savings of a cooperative to be used to invest in production agricultural operations by the cooperative.

1 25. Either spouse should be able to represent the family farm in voting at 2 cooperative meetings. We encourage both spouses to become voting 3 members of cooperatives. 4

### D. TEAMWORK OF FARMERS UNION AND COOPERATIVES

The link between NFU, Farmers Union cooperatives and all other cooperatives strengthens all entities. The farm-income improvement measures and strategies advocated by Farmers Union deserve the interest and support of farm cooperatives genuinely concerned about the well-being of their member families.

#### E. CHS

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

We urge CHS not to lose sight of the fundamental cooperative principles upon which it was founded.

We oppose CHS owning farmland in other countries and producing crops that will be in direct competition with farmers in the United States.

We do not support:

- 1. Giving the CHS board of Directors the flexibility to approve non-member patronage arrangements; and
- 17 2. Modifying the CHS membership definition to allow non-producers the rights 18 granted to members currently.

1	ART	ICLE VII - WATER AND LAND POLICY, CONSERVATION AND THE FAMILY FARM
2	A.	OBJECTIVES OF A COMPREHENSIVE LAND POLICY
3	Family	farmers and ranchers have historically been our best soil and water
4	conservati	ionists when given the economic incentives and flexibility necessary to do so.
5	Our policy	encourages a well-balanced, sensible environmental policy that protects the
6	public and	the environment without unduly burdening family farmers through
7	excessive	regulation or economic hardship.
8	We cal	ll on all federal and state regulatory agencies to act in accordance with the
9	above prir	nciples and use the least intrusive, economically practical and scientifically-
10	based met	chods to accomplish sound environmental quality goals, with consideration
11	given to al	l of these factors and not any one exclusively. We support a national land
12	policy that	t:
13	1.	Recognizes multiple use values of public lands while striving for sustainable
14		use in all areas;
15	2.	Emphasizes agriculture as the most productive use of existing high-quality
16		farmland;
17	3.	Recognizes the right of private landowners to be compensated for
18		government land-use restrictions that amount to takings of property;
19	4.	Recognizes the value of independently owned and operated family farms to
20		stewardship of natural resources;
21	5.	Develops education and outreach materials for the public to achieve land use
22		goals for the benefit of future generations;
23	6.	Encourages entry into the business of farming or ownership of agricultural
24		lands by farm families, as opposed to non-farm interests or larger-than-
25		family-sized corporations;
26	7.	Allows states to prohibit corporate interests from circumventing corporate
27		farm laws by contracting with individual producers;
28	8.	Strengthens and enforces state laws to protect family-owned and –operated
29		farms;
30	9.	Prohibits concentration of farmland ownership by off-farm corporations,

associations, and interests. These laws must be closely monitored and

1 strengthened so they may continue to preserve production agriculture for 2 family farmers; 3 10. Discourages the concentration of land ownership and off-farm ownership via 4 state programs of graduated land taxes and similar disincentives or 5 limitations; 6 11. Recognizes the importance of wetlands (also see Article VI.T.6 - Wetlands); 7 and 8 12. Respects existing farming operations and practices, especially under the 9 pressures of urban encroachment. 10 B. Prohibition of Foreign Ownership of Farmland. Commercial Fishing 11 **PRIVILEGES AND DISCLOSURE** 12 Foreign interests, including those acting through U.S.-registered entities (except 13 families or individuals seeking U.S. citizenship), should be prohibited from acquiring 14 U.S. agricultural lands, holding federal grazing allotments or commercial fishing 15 privileges. We respect the right of other nations to put similar limitations on 16 agricultural lands in their nations. 17 Foreign individuals, corporations or governments should be required to disclose to 18 appropriate agencies their ownership of any U.S. business, financial, energy or real 19 estate assets, in a manner comparable to procedure in the Agricultural Foreign 20 Investment Disclosure Act of 1978. 21 Citizens of the United States have a right to know the extent of such ownership or 22 control so that remedial steps may be taken. 23 C. LAND TRANSFER 24 We support: 25 1. Establishing a joint federal-state cooperative effort to assist beginning and 26 socially disadvantaged or veteran farmers to acquire an economically viable 27 family farm enterprise; 28 2. Establishing income tax incentives for landowners and retiring farmers who 29 sell or lease farmland to beginning or socially disadvantaged farmers and 30 veterans. Incentives should be promoted to provide for the orderly transfer 31 of land, prior to the seller's death, and should be complementary to estate 32 planning and estate and gift tax policies;

- 3. Encouraging religious, educational, charitable and similar nonprofit institutions that obtain farmland to ensure that such lands are operated or sold in a manner which preserves and promotes family farm units and does not disrupt land values with reasonable time limits being placed on holdings in order to return the land to local tax rolls as quickly as possible;
- 4. Maintaining existing effective conservation practices when land is transferred;
- 5. Requiring FSA to hold public hearings before it is allowed to acquire land easements and automatically impose conservation easements; and
- 6. Holding the party responsible for contamination of private land liable, after environmental audits reveal conclusive scientific evidence of contamination, whether they are the current or former owners. Current or new individual family agricultural operators should not be held liable for contamination that did not occur during their ownership.

#### D. PUBLIC LANDS

We recommend that Congress maintain its commitment to the responsible multiple use of public lands. The federal land stewardship agencies should improve their land management techniques on all public lands.

Livestock production in the 17 western public lands states is highly dependent upon public land grazing and local government services. The health of wildlife habitat is dependent on well-managed livestock grazing. Government actions that drastically change the way livestock grazing is conducted on public lands could greatly damage the economy in rural America.

### We support:

- 1. The current Public Rangeland Improvement Act (PRIA) formula as a fair and equitable method for determining appropriate grazing fees. Grazing fees represent the dividends of stewardship of the federal land, not the value of the forage on the rangeland;
- 2. Utilization of the local Resource Advisory Council (RAC) to set standards and guidelines for grazing tailored to the local area;
- 3. Reinstatement of local grazing committees;

- 4. Public-land managers assisting public-land permittees to develop their own goals and grazing plans that would be utilized in managing their public-land grazing allotments;
- 5. Linking the holding of grazing permits with actual ownership of grazing animals by resident family farmers and ranchers;
- 6. A certified mediation service for all disputes regarding grazing with the U.S. Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management;
- 7. Public-land grazing legislation to require that in disputes between publicland managers and permittees, the permittees be advised of their rights under Section 8 of the PRIA, which authorizes land-grant university staff to provide assistance and advocacy services to permittees;
- 8. Efforts to establish grass banks on public lands;

- 9. Efforts to establish and preserve plantings of heirloom seeds and native plants on public lands for the purpose of ensuring biodiversity and the protection of native flora;
- 10. Allowing ranchers to travel by motorized vehicles, control predatory animal populations, continue range improvements and develop water resources;
- 11. Requiring the federal and state governments to pay the full amount of the "in lieu of property tax" (PILT) payments on property that it owns or acquires. If full payment is not made, there should be a moratorium on any further land acquisition by the agency that fails to meet its responsibility;
- 12. Requiring the Bureau of Land Management to follow through with the required annual surveys and management plans to control wild horse and burro populations in order to minimize damage to private and public lands. We also support the USDA-inspected horse and burro adoption program while opposing the release of non-adopted animals on public lands;
- 13. Reimbursing family farmers and ranchers by the appropriate government agency, at a fair market value, for expenses incurred in the acquisition, development and improvement of public grazing lands in the event permits are revoked;
- 14. Public access to federal and state lands being only on designated routes;

- 15. Encouraging public-land managers to support and facilitate the formation of locally owned cooperatives and businesses to harvest and process forest products;
  - 16. All federal agencies charged with wildlife management taking steps to eradicate diseases, such as brucellosis and chronic wasting disease, from all wildlife under their control on federal lands;
  - 17. Involving permittees in the process of revising, updating, creating and otherwise modifying plans that govern use of public lands in advance of public hearings, including draft plans;
  - 18. The use of public lands for the development of energy production and transmission with priority given to renewable energy except where existing livestock grazing permits are negatively impacted; and
  - 19. Decisions made by the administration that support input from livestock producers on best management practices and range conditions while achieving a strong balance between resources on public lands that include grazing.

#### We oppose:

- 1. Grazing regulations and legislation that require permittees to remove their livestock while they appeal a decision of a public-land manager;
- 2. Permitting non-grazers to acquire so-called "conservation allotments" that result in the bidding up of the price of an allotment, thus denying access of grazing permits to low- and moderate-income families;
- 3. Any swaps of public lands with private developers that would adversely impact the agricultural community. Hearings regarding such swaps must be held within 50 miles of the proposed land to be swapped. Cultural and economic impact studies must be done to assess the impact of such proposed swaps;
- 4. Taking private water rights and private structures on public lands;
- 5. Reintroduction of species detrimental to livestock production and agriculture;
- 6. The expansion of military reservations;
- 7. Legislation that would allow the buyout of grazing permits on federal lands;

1 8. Grazing of cattle not born and raised in the United States on public lands; and 2 9. The transfer of federal public lands to state control. 3 E. NATIONAL GRAZING LANDS COALITION 4 The National Grazing Lands Coalition (NatGLC), formerly known as the Grazing 5 Lands Conservation Initiative (GLCI), seeks to provide high-quality technical assistance 6 on privately owned grazing lands on a voluntary basis, and to increase awareness of the 7 importance of grazing land resources through a coalition of individuals and 8 organizations functioning at the local, state, regional and national levels. 9 We support: 10 1. Working cooperatively with the NatGLC to promote benefits of sustainable 11 grazing practices and their continued line-item funding; and 12 2. Restoring NRCS annual appropriations for conservation technical assistance 13 at the field office level to adequately meet the needs of America's farmers, 14 ranchers, and landowners. 15 F. FOREST HEALTH 16 We support: 17 1. Maintaining Categorical Exclusion capability in federal forest management 18 plans to address forest health issues, so the U.S. Forest Service can accelerate 19 the harvest of small timber stands in western U.S. forests: 20 2. Federal and state forests being accessible to the renewable energy industry 21 to allow the use of devastated wood product in environmentally beneficial 22 value-added products; and 23 3. Implementation and full funding of efforts to minimize the effects of the 24 insect epidemics on the national and state forests throughout the western 25 United States. Federal, state, and private programs must be approved 26 immediately to protect open space, wildlife habitat, watersheds, clean air 27 and other natural resources as well as infrastructure such as roads and 28 utility lines for public safety. 29 G. WATER QUANTITY AND QUALITY 30 1. WATER QUANTITY DISTRIBUTION 31 Laws impacting water distribution should not favor industrial, wildlife, and

recreational uses over those of agricultural producers.

#### 1 We support: 2 States recognizing domestic and livestock water usage in neighboring 3 states when issuing irrigation permits on shared tributaries; 4 ii. The use of interstate water compacts between federal, state, and tribal 5 governments for regulating water sharing between neighboring states; 6 iii. The use of water storage through impoundment structures and 7 conservation measures as a primary tool for water development; 8 Adoption of legislation to protect agricultural water rights through state iv. 9 water rights in order to prevent future power and energy plants from 10 consuming water to the detriment of agriculture; 11 Requiring new energy plants to return water to a level of quality capable v. 12 of use by agriculture; 13 vi. Subjecting new large enterprises that will use a significant quantity of 14 water to a permitting process to assess the environmental and 15 community impacts of the proposed use; 16 vii. Enforcement of the limitations on the size of farm operations eligible for 17 federally subsidized irrigation water; Deferred implementation of the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation regulation on 18 viii. 19 the use of federally developed water supplies and facilities and the 20 practice known as "water spreading." The economic impact of any policy 21 changes on family farmers and rural communities should be completed 22 before implementation: 23 a. Water leasing instead of buy and dry policies; and 24 b. The United Nations adding a 31st article to the 1948 Universal 25 Declaration of Human Rights, establishing access to clean and 26 potable water as a fundamental human right. 27 We oppose: 28 The movement of any water for the purpose of a coal slurry pipeline or i. 29 similar venture, unless a method can be developed to return water of 30 equal quantity and quality to the original area from which it was taken. 31 Prior to the exportation of any water, an environmental and economic

1 impact statement should be completed to determine its effect on 2 agriculture; 3 ii. Any efforts by the federal government through the usage of a national 4 water policy to usurp the rights and prerogatives of the individual states; 5 An outright ban on "water spreading," as currently defined which would iii. 6 have devastating impacts on agricultural producers in the Columbia 7 Basin and other Bureau of Reclamation irrigation projects throughout 8 the West; 9 iv. Producers bearing the cost of taking inventory of irrigated lands and any 10 mandated renegotiation of bureau contracts through their irrigation 11 district. We believe that in most cases, it is the development of new 12 equipment, technologies and methods which have dramatically increased 13 the efficiency of irrigation systems and provided a situation in which 14 more acres can be irrigated with the use of the same or smaller amount 15 of water. Agricultural producers should be credited, and not penalized, 16 for these increased efficiencies: and 17 The condemnation of agricultural water rights. v. 18 2. WATER QUALITY PROTECTION 19 The protection of our groundwater resources is critical not only to continuing 20 farm operations, but as a source of drinking water for the vast majority of rural 21 residents. 22 We support: 23 The creation of a national database on the extent of water quality i. 24 problems, including nationwide voluntary well-testing programs that 25 include cost sharing of landowners; 26 ii. Legislation or regulations affecting groundwater balancing these 27 interests in an effort to keep groundwater from becoming contaminated 28 in the first place, and to move quickly to clean up already contaminated 29 sources of drinking water; 30 iii. Continued implementation of the Safe Drinking Water Act; 31 iv. The uniform administration of Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

policies throughout the nation. Adding to the list of contaminants that

1 municipalities and rural water districts are required to test for, as 2 mandated by EPA, must be backed by science. We encourage EPA to stop 3 its practice of targeting specific regions with stricter standards than 4 applied in non-targeted regions. Failure to curb this practice will likely 5 result in exodus of sensitive industries, including family farms, thereby 6 jeopardizing the level of economic activity within the targeted region; 7 Requiring inspectors be public employees, rather than employees of the v. 8 companies who are being regulated; 9 vi. Information and education concerning the present condition of our 10 underground water supply and what we can and should do to prevent 11 any further contamination; 12 vii. Efforts in research that clarify the issue of point source pollution and 13 non-point source pollution; 14 viii. Programs to protect and improve the quality of surface waters, such as 15 the Chesapeake Bay Program, and urge that all who use the resource, 16 such as boaters and fishers, be included in the effort; 17 Producers participating in state watershed assessment programs; ix. 18 Confined Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs) being required to post the х. 19 appropriate bonds to cover the cost of cleaning up any contamination of 20 land and water resources. When posting these bonds, CAFOs should also 21 be required to develop and submit waste storage closure plans; 22 National minimum guidelines, or standards, that give primacy for хi. 23 implementation and flexibility in regional planning to the states; 24 xii. Encouraging states and localities to establish standards beyond the 25 federal minimums: 26 xiii. Cost-share provisions targeted to small and medium-sized farmers. 27 Responsibility for submitting a waste management plan and complying 28 with the waste management provisions should be shared by the owner of 29 the livestock and the operator of the facility; 30 xiv. The application of best management practices (BMP) established by 31 agencies of the USDA for disposal of poultry waste and animal manure, 32 and recommend such manure be classified as soil supplements and plant

1		food, and not toxic or hazardous waste. If BMP is subject to regulation,
2		we urge the appropriate state department to be assigned as the
3		regulator;
4	xv.	Research to determine the environmental impact of animal-feed content
5		on animal waste, which in turn affects the soil and groundwater;
6	xvi.	Family farmers being appointed to serve as advisers to any federal
7		agency when a national waste standard is developed; and
8	xvii.	Targeting water subsidies to family-sized farm operations to conserve
9		water and taxpayer dollars.
10	We op	ppose:
11	i.	The method of deep-well injection of hazardous waste because of the risk
12		of contaminating our water resources;
13	ii.	Legislation that restricts livestock grazing in pastures where running
L4		streams or ponds are located and mandatory fencing of rivers, streams
15		and ponds;
16	iii.	In situ leach mining;
L7	iv.	Any infrastructure or resource development that jeopardizes the health,
18		safety and quality of freshwater aquifer resources; and
19	v.	Any EPA policy directive that would mandate the testing and/or
20		certification of water or farmland unless a federal fund is established to
21		pay necessary costs of meeting certification requirements.
22		3. CLEAN WATER ACT
23	Clean	Water Act rulemaking requires Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) be
24	establishe	ed for 303(d) listed watershed, i.e., streams that are impacted by pollution.
25	Complian	ce with mandatory state and federal watershed TMDL regulations can
26	create a h	ardship on family agricultural producers for compliance with state and
27	federal wa	ater quality standards.
28	We su	pport:
29	i.	Voluntary compliance and incentive-based, cost-sharing programs
30		currently working to minimize production agriculture's impact on our
31		nation's water quality;

1	11.	Protecting the quality of our water and assuring its suitability for
2		beneficial uses;
3	iii.	Regulations for the process to identify waters protected under the Clean
4		Water Act (CWA) being made clearer, simpler, and faster;
5	iv.	Exemptions for normal agricultural activities being reaffirmed;
6	V.	Agricultural producers and representatives of local governmental
7		entities from all agricultural areas of the US being included in discussions
8		to address the issues concerning the proposed changes to expand
9		jurisdiction of the CWA, and its definitions of tributaries and adjacent
10		water;
11	vi.	Extensive monitoring of water quality to accurately assess progress
12		toward clear goals;
13	vii.	Proper appropriation of funding to fully implement clean water efforts;
14	viii.	Ongoing evaluation and recalibration of nutrient reduction and
15		conservation efforts; and
16	ix.	Employment of agronomic practices, conservation efforts and funds, with
17		a focus on those that have the largest positive impact toward reaching
18		clean water goals.
19	We op	ppose:
20	i.	Broadening the definition of what waters are considered jurisdictional
21		under the Clean Water Act;
22	ii.	The deletion of the word "navigable" waters from the federal Clean
23		Water Act which would expand the taking of private property rights and
24		provide additional intrusiveness;
25	iii.	Current rulemaking by EPA that would establish regulatory-based
26		TMDLs for family agricultural producers; and
27	iv.	The broadening of the federal government's jurisdiction over a group of
28		waters such as the entire prairie pothole region simply because the
29		bodies of water are near each other.
30		4. Drought Monitoring
31	We su	apport continued federal support of the National Drought Mitigation
32	Center	

### H. AIR QUALITY

Production agriculture should be exempt from the regulating of particulate matter. Agriculture should be a stakeholder in research and education about the role of agricultural production in particulate matter emissions. Agricultural producers should follow best management practices when practical to help reduce particulate matter and improve air quality.

# I. Animal Feeding Operations (AFOs)

NFU recognizes that family farm agriculture and good, sound environmental practices need to work together. Our policy encourages a well-balanced, sensible environmental policy that protects the public and the environment without unduly burdening family farmers through excessive regulation or economic hardship.

When properly managed, livestock waste is a valuable resource that provides essential nutrients to cropland and lessens the need for commercial fertilizer. We oppose the defining of animal manure, waste or nutrients as being considered hazardous and regulated under the federal "Superfund" law, the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) and the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA).

Animal feeding operations should be regulated beginning at the local level. Cost sharing for regulatory compliance should be made available and targeted to family-sized producers.

We support standards that are on a graduated system of at least three tiers: small, medium and large. They should be implemented with a sliding scale of standards that address each size of operation.

### J. CONFINED ANIMAL FEEDING OPERATIONS (CAFOS)

We support requiring permits for large-scale feeding operations, i.e., those confined feeding operations that require National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits as defined under the February 2003 EPA rule change. Under the rule change, all large CAFOs require permits and all other CAFOs that discharge pollutants into surface water require a permit. The issue of scale is critical in determining the level of risk associated with waste management. Therefore, we support the following standards:

Holding animal owners and/or contractors responsible for spills and manure
 disposal in contract feeding operations;

- 2. Using best available technology to reduce the environmental impact of waste and setting higher standards for waste storage, including open-air lagoons;
- 3. Requiring a CAFO to have a setback distance from an existing residence, business, church, school, public use area or riparian area;
- 4. Permit applications must prepare and submit a nutrient management plan containing detailed information regarding proposed methods of distribution. Aerial (irrigation) spraying of animal waste should be monitored by the states' departments of health and should adhere to the agronomically sound, best management practices adopted by the state in which the facility exists. No waste should be applied to ice, to highly erodible slopes, or where the ground is frozen;
- 5. Including sound, science-based guidelines for using phosphorus and nitrogen as limiting factors on the gallons of liquid livestock waste and tons of solid waste to be applied per acre as a part of the nutrient management plan;
- 6. Permit applications for a large-scale facility must serve notice in a timely fashion for public comment describing the type of facility to be constructed, including information on the type of waste to be generated;
- 7. Permit holders must disclose the number of animal units within a facility upon request by the appropriate regulatory agency;
- 8. A livestock owner whose permit has been revoked in one jurisdiction not being allowed a permit in another jurisdiction;
- 9. Facilities that close being required to use proper cleanup, including revegetation, within three years of closure;
- 10. Existing operations being required to comply with permit requirements at the time of permit approval;
- 11. Permits requiring financial assurance including proof of liability insurance, equity or adequate bonding;
- 12. Appropriate penalties for those who fail to comply with permitting requirements;

1 13. Implementing a temporary moratorium on the establishment of CAFOs 2 based on issues of health until local control is re-established; and 3 14. CAFOs processing animal waste nutrients into renewable energy resources. 4 We oppose efforts to restrict the property rights of family farmers and rural 5 residents by limiting their ability to regain compensation in court against a neighboring 6 CAFO nuisance caused by negligence or poor management. 7 K. PESTICIDE REGULATION 8 We support pesticide regulations that include: 9 1. Protection for producers of non-targeted crops that incur damage due to 10 drift from pesticides onto their property; 11 2. Liability insurance requirements for commercial licensed pesticide 12 applicators; 13 3. An exemption from liability through EPA for groundwater cleanup for 14 farmers who applied pesticides according to label directions; 15 4. Provisions emphasizing protection of uncontaminated groundwater and 16 providing means to keep residue levels from increasing; 17 5. Access to health and safety information for farmers, farm laborers and 18 emergency medical personnel; 19 6. Greater cooperation between EPA and USDA to help ensure that pesticide 20 regulations and disposal do not unnecessarily interfere with normal farming 21 practices and land transfers, such as requiring a mandatory buffer strip 22 around productive farmland; 23 7. Legislation designed to eliminate U.S. production of pesticides not registered 24 for use in the United States, or for which a pesticide residue tolerance has 25 not been set: 26 8. Restrictions on the import of food products produced with such pesticides, 27 with more frequent inspections at borders to protect consumers from unsafe 28 pesticide use; 29 9. The agriculture secretary developing regulations implementing authorized 30 programs for less chemically intensive farming practices. Regulations should

allow farmers to protect our natural resources without economic

1		disadvantage. Full funding for these farm programs and for pest-control
2		research initiatives should be included;
3	10.	Cooperation among farmers, chemical companies and governmental
4		agencies to reduce pollution hazards by using integrated pest management,
5		biological controls or other effective alternatives to pesticides when
6		available;
7	11.	The creation and implementation of safety standards protecting people from
8		exposure to pesticides;
9	12.	Continued prudent use of approved chemicals for crops, including expedited
10		registrations for minor use pesticides and requiring EPA to use peer-
11		reviewed, third-party science, such as land-grant universities, in their
12		registration review process;
13	13.	Chemical manufacturers increasing the use of reusable, pre-measured,
14		water-soluble and bulk containers;
15	14.	Federal and state assistance for recycling containers and in establishing
16		central locations for disposal of chemical containers;
17	15.	Standardization of farm chemical prices and regulations between the United
18		States and other countries;
19	16.	Fair pricing of chemicals and pesticides not tied to commodity prices;
20	17.	Full funding for the IR-4 minor crop pesticide registration program;
21	18.	The Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) as the primary
22		regulatory act for applications of pesticides by farmers and ranchers. Any
23		additional permitting required by EPA under court mandate should mirror
24		existing recordkeeping, education and training requirements and any
25		increases in training requirements should carry provisions for the expenses
26		associated with such training; and
27	19.	Extensive research on new technologies and their applications regarding
28		their impact on non-targeted organisms.
29	L.	FERTILIZER REGULATIONS
30	The fut	cure of agricultural producers worldwide depends to a great extent on
31	developing	g and implementing the best use of fertilizers.
32	We sup	pport:

1. No hazardous waste being included in fertilizers;

- 2. Manufacturers labeling all ingredients in fertilizers and providing notification to buyers;
  - 3. Anhydrous ammonia continuing to be classified as non-hazardous for the purposes of transporting nurse tanks on public roadways;
  - 4. Privately owned anhydrous ammonia facilities and equipment to meet the same safety requirements and standards as that of licensed dealers. We encourage private owners to attend anhydrous ammonia safety training classes;
  - 5. The federal government stepping up enforcement of the illegal production of methamphetamines and fully funding measures to prevent the theft of agricultural materials and cleanup of confiscated methamphetamine labs;
  - 6. Sludge generated from human waste should be spread or composted in a timely fashion with a nutrient and pathogen management plan. Farmers must be provided with a complete nutrient and contaminant analysis of the sludge; and
  - 7. Sufficient consideration being given before OSHA implements changes to the enforcement of Process Safety Management of Highly Hazardous Chemicals Standards for Retail Facilities.

### M. Invasive Species Control

Much of the current infestation problem is attributed to insect or other invasive species population growth on public lands. Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) needs increased funding so it can properly inspect all imported and interstate movements of agricultural products, monitor insect hatch and damage, and coordinate measures in states affected by infestations. Federal grants or cost sharing on both public and private lands should be made available for dealing with widespread infestation of insects.

Increased monitoring and inspection of domestic and foreign imported products to prevent introduction of invasive species. Vigorous control and eradication measures are needed to ensure that invasive species be eliminated.

Where needed and requested, the Agricultural Research Service (ARS) should provide adequate standing funding for practical, applied research and Integrated Pest

1 Management (IPM) programs to help farmers implement rapid, highly organized and 2 cooperative IPM responses to infestations. It is especially important that the additional 3 cost of such programs be wholly or partially underwritten for small-scale farms to 4 enable them to participate effectively in them. 5 Coordinated efforts and adequate funding are urgently needed for improved control 6 of noxious weeds on public lands and all road rights of way. We are concerned that 7 farmers are expected to control weeds on their land while nearby public land goes 8 without weed control. Whenever this responsibility is not being met, there should be a 9 moratorium on any further land acquisition by the appropriate agency. 10 N. Prescribed Burning 11 Prescribed burning is a viable farming practice. 12 We support: 13 1. The right to safe burning when necessary; and 14 2. Ongoing research to discover economically viable alternatives to burning. 15 O. LANDOWNER RIGHTS 16 We support: 17 1. Private property rights, including ownership of land and all its attributes 18 above, on, and below the surface, unless otherwise marketed; 19 2. Land lessees' rights; 20 3. Just compensation to farmers and ranchers who suffer losses as a result of 21 any state or federal agency ruling; 22 4. Local control: 23 5. The expiration (null and void) of any pipeline or utility right-of-way grant 24 five years after abandonment or period of non-use for the original purpose 25 or use of the grant; and 26 6. Trespassers being held liable for any damages done to private property, 27 including along public accesses. 28 We oppose: 29 1. The "big open concept," and the resulting confiscation of private property; 30 2. Any agency or individual entering into a mineral lease or granting a permit 31 for the extraction of minerals without the consent of the land surface owner,

including just compensation to the agricultural operation; and

3. Landowners being held liable for injuries obtained by a trespasser.

P. EMINENT DOMAIN (ALSO SEE ARTICLE VIII.F – IRS TAX CODE 1031 EXCHANGES)

Abuses of eminent domain occurring across the country raise serious concern to the rights of private property owners. While government entities are permitted to seize private property for public use via eminent domain, it is contrary to American values to seize property from one private owner and give to another for purposes of increasing tax revenue.

The rights of private property owners need to be protected against parties with condemnation rights and due process should be applied to all proceedings involving eminent domain. Every effort should be made to preserve farmer ownership.

In all public and private projects where eminent domain proceedings may eventually be used, we support:

- Individual notifications and public hearings being held prior to project implementation;
- 2. Public hearings held in the county in which the project is slated;
- 3. Negotiations between the property owner and utility to include yearly royalty fees to be paid to the owner for each occurrence of current or future use;
- Severance damages including payment for the diminution of remaining land values, increased expenses and inconvenience suffered by affected landowners and operators;
- 5. All initial court expenses, including attorney and appraiser fees, being borne by the constructing agency in condemnation proceedings;
- 6. Values being determined by appraisers agreed upon by all parties;
- 7. Easements for utilities being for the life of the utility only. If the new equipment is installed on an existing easement, the landowner should be compensated at fair market value of the land, and for surface damage, at the time of installation. The landowner should receive an ongoing cash payment from the project. If the entity is for profit it should not fall under the eminent domain law;
- 8. Owners being compensated with appropriate annual payments or a lump sum of no less than three times the appraised value;

1 9. Federal and/or state legislation that would strengthen private property 2 rights against the use of eminent domain to transfer property to any private 3 person, non-governmental entity or other public-private business entity; 4 10. Restricting the use of eminent domain by state and local governments for the 5 purpose of "economic development" when it involves taking private 6 property from one owner and giving it to another private entity; 7 11. Offering seized property back to the original property owner if property is 8 not used in a timely manner or if property is not used for its original public 9 use intent: and 10 12. The right of a landowner to receive a tax shelter, and/or 1031 like-kind 11 exchange against capital gains from the sale of properties taken by eminent 12 domain. 13 We oppose: 14 1. The use of eminent domain without the developer putting into place 15 environmental safeguards, maintaining conservation and drainage practices, 16 and assuming liability for damages; 17 2. The acquisition of productive farmland through use of the eminent domain 18 process to extend wildlife habitat; and 19 3. Granting eminent domain authority to any foreign entity. 20 O. ZONING 21 We support: 1. The preservation of farm units in any rezoning efforts. Any state and federal 22 23 legislation should recognize that agricultural land must be preserved for the 24 future. Family farmers should be represented in all relevant zoning 25 deliberations: 26 2. Farmland near areas already converted to urban or other uses, which may be 27 appreciating in speculative value, being taxed as agricultural land as long as 28 the land continues to be farmed by a family farmer; 29 3. Requiring economic and environmental studies to determine the effects on

future water supplies of changing land-use designations from agriculture to

30

31

some other use:

- 4. Federal and state funds for flood control projects to protect communities and agricultural land. We favor zoning laws prohibiting development of areas that flood frequently;
- 5. Legislation to strengthen the rights of local government units to protect the health, safety and welfare interests of their residents, including improved governance provisions for regulating concentrated feeding operations and future land use requirements; and
- 6. Zoning regulations describing borders, setbacks and bonding for reclamation.

### R. CLIMATE CHANGE AND CARBON SEQUESTRATION

NFU is concerned about the effects of climate change and believes further research and analysis is necessary to determine its actual and potential impacts. We acknowledge and accept the scientific evidence that clearly indicates that human activities are a contributing factor to climate change. We believe that human activity also has the potential to help mitigate climate change.

### We support:

- 1. Farmers and ranchers being consulted as the United States moves forward to reduce its emission of greenhouse gases;
- Carbon sequestration being an innovative way to enhance income for producers and protect our environment. Therefore, the trading of carbon credits with the inclusion of carbon sequestration as an agricultural conservation practice for fair and equitable carbon offset payments should be encouraged;
- 3. Carbon sequestration research not being biased toward a single practice, such as no-till, and instead encompassing all agricultural practices, including grazing lands, energy feedstock production, organic cropping, wood lots, the Conservation Reserve Program and other proven conservation methods;
- 4. The continuation and expansion of a carbon trading exchange as a way to compensate farmers and ranchers for sequestering carbon;
- 5. A national mandatory carbon emission tax or fee and dividend system to reduce non-farm greenhouse gas emissions that:

1	<ol> <li>Grants USDA control, verification and administration of the</li> </ol>
2	agriculture offset program, rather than EPA;
3	ii. Does not place an artificial cap on domestic offset allowance;
4	iii. Bases carbon sequestration rates upon science;
5	iv. Recognizes early actors; and
6	v. Allows producers to stack credits.
7	6. Agriculture being uncapped in any climate change legislation;
8	7. The inclusion of provisions that are advantageous to agriculture while
9	minimizing potential negative effects to agriculture and rural communitie
10	such as increased input costs, elevated electricity costs and decreased glol
11	competitiveness;
12	8. All nations participating to reduce carbon emissions, as climate change is
13	global responsibility;
14	9. Exempting small domestic refiners (producing 150,000 barrels per day or
15	less) from an emission cap;
16	10. Research and development of carbon capture and storage (CCS) facilities,
17	with the understanding that landowners will not share in the risks
18	associated with CCS;
19	11. Research and promotion of resilient farming practices, such as the NRCS S
20	Health Initiative, that mitigate and adapt to the potential effects of climate
21	change; and
22	12. Efforts to preserve rainforest land and convert cleared rainforest land bac
23	into diversified agroforestry.
24	We oppose:
25	1. Any attempt to regulate carbon by the EPA through Section 111(d) of the
26	Clean Air Act through state implementation plans that do not include
27	economic safety-valves to protect rural economies;
28	2. Any plan that does not cover carbon emissions from all sectors of the
29	economy; and
30	3. Considering international indirect land use changes when determining U.S
31	carbon and energy policy.
32	S. Conservation

Strong conservation efforts promote healthy soils. Conservation programs should be fully funded to benefit the environment, reward stewardship of land and water resources and marine habitat, discourage speculative development of fragile land resources, strengthen family farming, and enhance rural communities. Conservation assistance should be at a level designed to meet the needs as shown in the federal land conservation inventory, the appraisals under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, and other federal studies.

## We support:

- 1. The development of a one-stop conservation planning system for agriculture through the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) with conservation program decisions being made by locally elected conservation entities, where appropriate;
- 2. A single conservation plan jointly developed by the farm operator and approved by NRCS being established to fulfill the requirements as recommended. This conservation planning system should replace the existing sodbuster, swampbuster, Corps of Engineers flood plain and other regulations which affect agricultural lands. The plan should be supervised and approved by the USDA committee process, with the technical assistance of the NRCS;
- 3. The objectives of the conservation plan being used to reduce and control wind and water erosion, prevent non-point source pollution, and enhance the soil and water capacities of the land;
- 4. Designation of which highly erodible soils should not be tilled and which may be tilled with approved conservation practices;
- 5. Programs that promote soil health and sustainable farming practices;
- 6. Accurate mapping and documentation of both existing and drained wetlands, as well as any drains and channels. The plan should outline the conservation of wetlands, as well as the maintenance of drains and channels;
- 7. A payment system that moves toward an outcome-based approach where real changes and environmental benefits are tracked and rewarded;
- 8. Programs being based on voluntary continuous signup and precluding the use of a bidding system;

1 9. When a conservation plan is implemented, a producer should be deemed to 2 be in compliance with all federal agencies. Producers should be allowed to 3 remedy inadvertent or unavoidable failures to carry out conservation plan 4 practices without automatically being penalized. Loss of full federal farm 5 program benefits should be imposed only in cases of purposeful destruction 6 of conservation practices; 7 10. Federal financing to meet clean water and air standards of the 8 Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) being available to farmers from 9 funds appropriated by Congress for this purpose, and that such funds be 10 administered through the farmer-elected committees; 11 11. Adequate funding to enable the small watershed programs to provide for the 12 maintenance and rehabilitation of existing structures built under P.L. 534 13 and P.L. 566, as well as to build additional structures to provide flood 14 control, livestock water, irrigation water, and recreational benefits to rural 15 America; 16 12. State efforts to create cost-share programs for soil and water conservation 17 practices; 13. The continuation of NRCS snow surveys and SNOW TELEMETRY (SNOTEL) 18 19 measuring stations; and 20 14. The continuation and expansion of the Environmental Quality Incentives 21 Program (EQIP), which provides federal cost-share and technical assistance 22 to enable farmers to comply with environmental requirements, and urge full 23 appropriation of existing authorized funding and an increase in future 24 funding. 25 We support: 26 i. Appropriate caps on funding levels to assure funds are being 27 directed to family farmers and ranchers; 28 Preference and priority given to family farmers below a 1,000 ii. 29 animal waste unit threshold;

differences in farming and ranching operations;

The agriculture secretary prioritizing the use of EQIP funds for

family farmers and ranchers, taking into account the geographical

30

31

32

iii.

1	iv. EQIP program eligibility and cost-share levels being consistent		
2	with commodity program eligibility and payment limits; and		
3	v. USDA funding public and private research and development of		
4	composting and that composting be eligible for cost sharing		
5	under EQIP as an enhancement of pollution control.		
6	We oppose conservation program provisions which exclude or penalize producers		
7	who are early adopters of stewardship practices and those that penalize producers for		
8	non-compliance with program requirements resulting from "Acts of God" such as		
9	drought, fire, and floods.		
10	1. LAND RETIREMENT AND EASEMENT PROGRAMS		
11	We urge the full funding of the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) and the		
12	Agricultural Conservation Easement Program (ACEP).		
13	We support adjusting enrollment requirements to allow native prairie		
14	grasslands as an eligible class for ACEP or similar programs.		
15	Greater emphasis should be placed on improved farm management techniques.		
16	We believe that assisting farmers in becoming the best possible stewards of natural		
17	resources is a better long-term approach to sustainability than simple land		
18	retirement.		
19	We support a flexible short-term land idling program that compensates farmers		
20	for reduction in acreage for crop production.		
21	2. Conservation Reserve Program (CRP)		
22	We support:		
23	i. CRP contracts and contract extensions which maintain CRP lands in		
24	private ownership of resident family farm and ranch operators;		
25	ii. Program payments being competitive with local land values and/or		
26	rental rates so as not to discourage participation;		
27	iii. A 25-percent-per-county acreage limit for CRP, unless it is determined		
28	that lifting the cap would address local endangered species concerns and		
29	not have a negative economic impact on rural communities;		
30	iv. Adequate funding to ensure close monitoring by the Natural Resources		
31	Conservation Service (NRCS) and the Farm Service Agency (FSA) to		

1 enforce contract requirements for adequate weed, erosion, insect and 2 fire control; 3 v. Enrollees being allowed to manage permanent, vegetative cover to 4 enhance wildlife habitat and ecosystem health; 5 Landowners' rights to collect hunting or recreational use fees on land vi. 6 enrolled in the Conservation Reserve Program; 7 vii. The program being better focused to serve the needs of family farmers 8 and ranchers and to protect highly erodible land and other 9 environmentally sensitive lands; 10 viii. Land enrolled in CRP shall have an end-of-contract plan in place for use 11 of the land following the contract expiration date that takes into account 12 erodibility, soil type and conservation practices; 13 ix. Voluntary participation to transfer CRP lands that qualify for ACEP to 14 that program; 15 Evaluation of all CRP lands currently or previously enrolled in the X. 16 program for contract re-enrollment. The most environmentally sensitive 17 land should be given first opportunity for contract. Land enrolled in CRP 18 that is critical habitat for endangered species should be given an 19 extension of up to 15 years. CRP lands diverted into long-term timber 20 and forestry conservation projects should be given a high priority for 21 contract re-enrollment: 22 Financial and technical assistance being provided to producers in хi. 23 preparing CRP acreage for sustainable agricultural systems that will 24 meet established conservation standards: 25 xii. Land managed with appropriate organic standards while enrolled in CRP 26 being eligible for organic certification upon leaving the program; 27 xiii. Land that was farmed prior to being enrolled in CRP being eligible for 28 crop insurance upon contract expiration; 29 xiv. In times of extended drought conditions or weather disasters, 30 authorization of having or grazing on CRP acres to livestock producers 31 based on need, with up to one-third of CRP acres being used to replenish 32 feed supplies. Haying and grazing of CRP by a producer in a disaster

T		declared county should not be restricted to land in the disaster-declared
2		county or state. The FSA farmer-elected county committees should be
3		given the authority to set the date of harvest in order to maximize the
4		feed value of hay and forage. These regulations should be in place so the
5		procedures are known in advance. The maximum landowner income
6		from the haying and grazing should not exceed the annual CRP contract
7		amount from that farm;
8	XV.	No further reductions in total acres enrolled in CRP;
9	xvi.	The CRP Transition Incentives Program (TIP) that allows CRP payments
10		to continue to landowners that transfer the land to beginning, veteran
11		and/or socially disadvantaged farmers;
12	xvii.	TIP should require the base acres be reattached to the tract they were
13		removed from;
14	xviii.	Planting property to shelterbelts or other conservation measures being
15		encouraged through reduced property taxes on those acres. Producers
16		who destroy shelterbelts or wooded areas should establish the same
17		number of acres of new trees for a minimum of 10 years;
18	xix.	An expedited process to adopt rules and regulations to re-enroll or to
19		extend Conservation Reserve Program contracts; and
20	XX.	Allowing approved CRP conservation cover crops to be used for biomass
21		production in areas where needed until the biomass industry evolves to a
22		more economically viable level. CRP payments would be paid on these
23		acres in years where biomass is harvested.
24		3. NATIONAL BUFFER STRIP INITIATIVE
25	The m	ission of the National Buffer Strip Initiative is to support development and
26	adoption	of agricultural conservation systems in all U.S. watersheds.
27	We su	pport:
28	i.	Development of new markets for products of perennial systems, such as
29		biofuels and feedstock crops; and
30	ii.	Enterprise research to evaluate and develop new plant material
31		selections along with associated production, harvesting and processing

1		technologies to discover and develop new uses for products of perennial
2		systems.
3		4. Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP)
4	We su	pport:
5	i.	Full funding and implementation of the Conservation Stewardship
6		Program;
7	ii.	CSP acreage levels included in the 2008 Farm Bill;
8	iii.	Implementation of the CSP in a manner which recognizes conservation
9		practices appropriate to different climatic regions and provides for such
10		agricultural production practices to be adequately scored by the
11		Conservation Management Tool (CMT);
12	iv.	Allowing new conservation enhancements made to land in an existing
13		CSP contract to count as credit on subsequent CSP contracts as a way of
14		rewarding early-adapters; and
15	v.	Consistent interpretation of the rules and guidance documents among all
16		NRCS offices.
17		5. SODBUSTER AND SWAMPBUSTER PROVISIONS
18	We su	pport:
19	i.	Provisions that give the secretary of agriculture greater discretion in
20		handling sodbuster and swampbuster violations. FSA should be the
21		single regulatory agency;
22	ii.	The goal of soil conservation practices being to reduce soil losses to
23		tolerable levels, or "T-levels;"
24	iii.	Alternative conservation systems being used only in cases of financial
25		hardship, after recommendation of local conservation officials; and
26	iv.	A federal sodsaver provision, which disallows the payment of farm and
27		crop insurance subsidies for crops planted on land without any previous
28		cropping history.
29		6. WETLANDS
30	We su	pport:
31	i.	Producers being provided full opportunity to participate in the
32		development and review of a single coordinated approach to wetlands

1		protection. Requiring recertification of wetlands at 5-year intervals
2		creates a moving target for producers in compliance efforts;
3	ii.	Making the NRCS and FSA the lead agencies in wetlands delineation on
4		agricultural land, but oppose the use of special interest groups making
5		wetland determinations;
6	iii.	Any and all wetlands determinations throughout the United States
7		relying on the presence of all three of the following mandatory wetland
8		criteria simultaneously appearing on the same site year round:
9		a. Hydrology,
10		b. A predominance of hydric soil, and
11		c. A prevalence of hydrophytic vegetation;
12	iv.	The federal government consulting with the state and local governments
13		to develop a unified, mutually agreeable management program to protect
14		our nation's wetlands;
15	v.	Wetlands management programs balancing wetland values and the
16		needs of the various states and their political subdivisions and individual
17		property rights;
18	vi.	Any leaseholder, renter or owner being compensated equitably for the
19		taking of lands through the classification of wetlands;
20	vii.	The final interagency manual being revised with greater consideration
21		for the food and fiber producers of the United States;
22	viii.	Wetlands of less than one acre not falling under any jurisdiction of state
23		or federal agencies;
24	ix.	When dealing with farmland, consideration being given to the economic
25		and environmental impact on agriculture;
26	х.	In cases where adjacent landowners are not affected, landowners being
27		able to move water within the contiguous boundaries of their own
28		property without regulation, interference, or easements;
29	xi.	Water outside the boundary of a wetland being considered sheetwater
30		and not subject to jurisdiction by the state or federal agencies; and
31	xii.	NRCS and FSA cooperating with state and local agencies on wetland
32		mitigation.

### T. SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE

We support programs that promote soil health and sustainable farming practices as defined by the USDA definition of sustainable agriculture.

#### U. PREDATOR AND RODENT CONTROL

# We support:

- 1. Restoring the original intent of the 1931 Animal Damage Control Act (ADC), which mandates the federal government protect the livestock industry from predatory loss. To the extent that an adequate ADC program is not available to farmers, we recommend that a federally financed indemnity program be instituted to pay for crop and livestock losses;
- 2. Judicious use of control practices being continued on federal and state lands to control coyotes, feral hogs and other damaging species. Control practices on private lands must be done with landowners' permission;
- 3. Farmers and ranchers being allowed to defend against predatory animals, including those on the endangered species list, on their land by using the most effective, safe, economical and humane means; and
- 4. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service assuming full responsibility for any livestock losses resulting from the reintroduction of non-domesticated animals.

We oppose the reintroduction of predatory animals.

# V. ENDANGERED SPECIES

# We support:

- 1. All impacted industries and individuals being compensated for actual annual losses as a result of critical habitat designation;
- Federal policy establishing meaningful incentives for landowners in the business of farming and ranching who provide habitat for endangered species;
- 3. Requiring federal agencies to continue cooperative public/private efforts designed to increase the population of candidate species since such efforts have proven successful;
- Candidate conservation agreements as a tool to lessen impacts to agriculture producers from candidate species that are warranted-but-precluded or listed; and

1 5. Amending the law by specifying that no species may be listed as endangered 2 or threatened and no critical habitat may be so designated unless the 3 following conditions exist: 4 i. Site-specific studies have been done that find the species actually 5 occupies or has occupied within the last 15 years, habitat that is 6 declared critical: 7 ii. Cultural and economic impact studies have been done which 8 determine what impact the declaring habitat or listing of species will 9 have on rural economies and rural cultures; 10 iii. Treaty law has been observed by the development of mutual 11 agreements between federal agencies and tribes and other 12 indigenous peoples protected by treaties; 13 iv. Hearings are held within a 50-mile radius of the proposed critical 14 habitat, with all public land permittees provided written notice; and 15 Managing any species that are threatened or listed under the v. 16 Endangered Species Act (ESA) to prevent detrimental effects on 17 working agricultural crops, lands and livestock. 18 We oppose efforts to list the Greater Sage Grouse and Lesser Prairie Chicken as 19 endangered or threatened. 20 W. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS 21 We urge that the authority of the Army Corps of Engineers be limited to its historic 22 role of maintaining navigable waterways, dams and facilities on public lands. We urge 23 the corps to designate flood control and rebuilding levees destroyed by floods a priority. 24 X. NUCLEAR, RADIOACTIVE AND TOXIC WASTE 25 We support: 26 1. Enactment and vigorous enforcement of legislation, both federal and state, to 27 prohibit dumping of nuclear, radioactive, toxic and other hazardous wastes 28 without detoxification; 29 2. Development of hazardous waste encapsulation and disposal methods with 30 stricter enforcement of laws on transporting, handling, and disposal; 31 i. The current practices of disposing hazardous wastes in existing

landfills and surface mine sites, spreading hazardous wastes on land

1		surfaces, and injecting hazardous wastes in deep-well sites should be	
2		discontinued;	
3		ii. Alternative disposal sites should be identified which eliminate the	
4		risk of surface and groundwater contamination, protect the health	
5		and safety of citizens, and protect the soil and water of agricultural	
6		lands, from which the nation's food is produced;	
7		iii. Final decision-making authority for determining disposal sites and	
8		methods must take into consideration the citizens who are directly	
9		affected by the site;	
10	3.	Disposal of chemical weapons that insures the health of nearby communities,	
11		agricultural markets and the environment; and	
12	4.	Research into thorium or other fuels to replace uranium in nuclear plants.	
13	Y.	Surface Mining	
14	With proper enforcement of the law, energy and other resources can be provided		
15	without permanently damaging one of the nation's most important resources, namely		
16	agricultural land.		
17	We su	pport:	
18	1.	Strong enforcement of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act	
19		including hard rock;	
20	2.	Ensuring that land stripped to recover underground resources is returned to	
21		its original or better condition;	
22	3.	Public involvement in the monitoring and enforcement of the surface mining	
23		law;	
24	4.	Mandatory public disclosure of chemicals used in the mining process; and	
25	5.	Adequate bonding for post-mining reclamation.	

# 1 ARTICLE VIII - ENERGY AND THE FAMILY FARM 2 A. ENERGY OBJECTIVES 3 We support a balanced energy policy that seeks energy independence by 2025 for 4 the United States and, at the same time, protects our nation's environment and 5 recognizes the special energy needs of America's agricultural sector and its potential 6 contributions. 7 We urge Congress and the Administration to address additional elements of a 8 national energy policy including: 9 1. Making the development of renewable sources of energy our number one 10 priority in reducing our dependence on fossil fuels; 11 2. Ambitious mandates for renewable energy production; 12 3. Equitable distribution and efficient development of energy while assuring 13 appropriate production of food and fiber; 14 4. Incentives for environmentally safe domestic exploration, drilling and 15 development to assure a reasonable degree of self-sufficiency and to mitigate 16 all negative economic consequences incurred by farmers, fishers and fishing 17 businesses by such exploration and subsequent drilling resulting from said 18 exploration; 19 5. A balance of energy needs with a sustainable environment; 20 6. Concern for the survival of independent oil producers through the 21 elimination of the oil depletion allowance on all but domestic production; 22 7. Opposition to federal deregulation of the electric utilities industry; 23 8. Supporting the establishment of a stand-alone Energy Efficiency Resource 24 Standard that will achieve, by the end of 2020, electricity savings of 15 25 percent and natural gas savings of 10 percent (using 2010 levels as the 26 baseline), including savings from new building codes and equipment 27 efficiency standards; 9. The right of farmers and property owners to feed back into the commercial 28 29 energy system power produced in excess of farm needs, at equitable rates. 30 Farmers should be encouraged to utilize both agricultural crops and

agricultural byproducts in the production of energy;

1	10. 00	unitry-or-origin labeling for fuels, requiring the secretary of energy to	
2	im	plement country-of-origin disclosure requirements with respect to fuels;	
3	11. The impacts on our rural economy of eliminating existing power plants		
4	be	fore they achieve their maximum intended lifecycle while transitioning to	
5	ao	clean power economy;	
6	12. Ac	celeration of development of fusion energy technology; and	
7	13. Ab	oility of states using mass-based and rate-based emissions reduction	
8	sta	andards to trade carbon emissions.	
9	B. Di	STRIBUTION	
10		1. Petroleum Supply	
11	In ord	er to ensure an uninterrupted flow of petroleum products for priority	
12	uses, we o	all for:	
13	i.	The U.S. government to ensure a necessary level of refining to process	
<b>L</b> 4		the crude oil that would be available in a petroleum-supply emergency;	
15	ii.	Crude oil at competitive prices to be made available during an emergency	
16		to refineries so the resulting petroleum products will be provided to the	
17		agricultural sector;	
18	iii.	Strategic Petroleum Reserve supplies being allocated to cooperative and	
19		other independent domestic refineries at equitable prices at the early	
20		stages of any supply disruption;	
21	iv.	The reserve being used only in shortfall of stock, not price, to avoid price	
22		manipulation;	
23	v.	The creation of reserves in other fuel sources, including ethanol; and	
24	vi.	The support of the Corporate Average Fuel Efficiency (CAFE) standards	
25		as it has wide-ranging benefits resulting from less fuel consumption but	
26		not at the expense of vehicle power and performance.	
27	We op	pose:	
28	i.	Efforts to force conservation through excise taxes, and support a	
29		continuation of the farm-use exemption from such taxes;	
30	ii.	Excise taxes on gasoline for deficit reduction purposes; and	
31	iii.	Efforts to curtail or eliminate the Strategic Petroleum Reserve.	
22		2 FLECTRICITY AND DEDECHI ATION	

#### 1 We support: 2 Local regulation of power to ensure all U.S. residents have access to 3 affordable, high-quality electric service; 4 a. We urge the EPA to place more emphasis on preliminary planning 5 assessment with the states and tribes expanding the Visibility 6 Protection Program through the addition of the regional haze 7 concept and to propose a rule that addresses a more reasonable 8 and realistic approach to the issues of regional haze. 9 b. We encourage the EPA to use actual air quality monitoring data 10 and improved computer modeling to determine if a state is in 11 compliance with EPA to air quality requirements for Class 1 areas 12 such as national parks and other designated natural treasures. 13 ii. Federal policy that would provide nonprofit power groups with the 14 necessary credit and financial support to set up needed generating and 15 transmitting facilities; 16 iii. Electric utilities providing rate structures that offer an incentive to 17 consumers to use off-peak power; 18 iv. The development of wind, solar, and other alternative sources of energy 19 in community-based and individually owned systems where viable; 20 The development of a national electrical grid designed with the capacity v. 21 to carry renewable energy from the production source to the areas 22 where it is needed: 23 Federal and state electric distribution studies on rural electric vi. 24 cooperative (REC) and municipal utility lines and substations to identify 25 necessary improvements and available capacity for renewable energy 26 production; 27 vii. A national Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) of 25 percent by 2025 28 that includes a strong local ownership component; 29 viii. When siting lines "cross country," citizen input should be a first priority 30 in the siting process; 31 ix. When new transmission lines and substations are placed, a yearly rental 32 payment to the landowner should be established;

1 The use of the best available clean air technology when using fossil fuels X. 2 to even out the production of electricity from renewable sources. The use 3 of fossil fuels in conjunction with renewable energy sources is necessary 4 given today's level of technology to produce reliable and affordable 5 electricity; and 6 хi. Consideration and mitigation of the negative impacts on our economy of 7 eliminating coal-fired power plants before they achieve their maximum 8 intended lifecycle. 9 We oppose: 10 i. Infringement upon the existing service areas of RECs by investor-owned 11 companies; 12 ii. Federally mandated restructuring of the electric utilities industry; 13 iii. Development of local transmission lines, which do not ensure financing 14 for low-voltage upgrades, which will be needed for many community 15 energy projects to reach these larger grids; 16 NEPA studies on public lands for energy transmission corridors without iv. 17 local notification of landowners and local governments at the scoping 18 process; and 19 Granting eminent domain authority to or on behalf of foreign v. 20 corporations, state or federal governments for merchant transmission or 21 non-public utility projects, and any new nuclear plants in the United 22 States until safety issues are reviewed and confirmed. 23 3. ECONOMIC ASSISTANCE 24 We support: 25 Utilization and adequate funding of the USDA Rural Utilities Service i. 26 (RUS) to provide direct low-interest loans to rural electric cooperatives 27 and their members to carry out renewable energy projects and energy 28 conservation measures: 29 Expanding RUS's role to provide funding for financing renewable energy ii. 30 transmission and substations to community-based projects;

Assistance to landowner associations or groups to conduct renewable

energy resource assessments and environmental impacts studies; and

31

32

iii.

iv. Full disclosure of all classifications of subsidies received by private utilities.

# 4. Public Ownership of Power Marketing Administration and Power Generators

Public ownership has been an essential element in providing reasonably priced hydroelectric power to rural areas in the United States. Access to federally produced and subsidized power must be guaranteed to new and existing public or cooperative electric utilities. We oppose the sale of Power Marketing Administrations (PMA), the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA), state or tribally owned dams or other publicly owned power generators.

## 5. Hydroelectric Power

NFU recognizes that hydroelectric power is an important renewable energy source.

# We support:

- Continued development of hydroelectric power sites, where they are cost-effective and ecologically and environmentally compatible, and upgrading of current sites for better efficiency;
- ii. Amending the Federal Power Act to provide preference to rural electric cooperatives in the licensing and re-licensing of water power projects;
- iii. Inclusion of small hydro and existing hydro in national renewable energy legislation; and
- iv. Funds received from sale of public water that displaces hydro generation being returned to the generating authority.

# 6. PIPELINES

# We support:

i. An understandable process that clarifies when and how eminent domain can be used, who has what liability where there are damages from pipeline failure, siting standards and routing criteria, environmental considerations, and decommissioning expectations and costs. The process should provide for transparency in the planning and routing process including public input, fair compensation to landowners and a process to deal with landowner and public complaints and conflicts;

- ii. Pipeline developers being barred from using non-disclosure agreements prior to, during, and after contract negotiations;
- iii. Privately owned pipeline companies building and operating a pipeline maintaining insurance/bonds to cover liability for pipeline failure costs associated with environmental damage, health and public safety issues, infrastructure costs and maintenance, emergency response situations and costs if the pipeline developers are no longer in business or lack the financial resources to cover these liabilities, including in the case of bankruptcy;
- Regulations mandating that soil disturbed during pipeline construction be returned to its previous condition and farmland returned to its previous level of production; and
- v. Adequate compensation for landowners for loss in value of a farm crossed by a pipeline, for any future loss to land value associated with the pipeline or its construction.

## We oppose:

- i. The classification of a private, foreign-owned pipeline as a public utility;
- ii. The classification of a foreign-owned pipeline as a common carrier unless it can be demonstrated that the majority of the transported material is from domestic sources and that majority of the transported material is for domestic consumption;
- iii. Pipeline construction across farmland without permission of all affected landowners; and
- iv. Forcing additional rights of way or leases upon landowners where a lease or right of way currently exists, as this would further devalue the land for agricultural use.

#### C. DEVELOPMENT OF RENEWABLE ENERGY AND FUELS FROM THE FARM

## 1. PRIORITIES

NFU should promote, expand, and ensure localized farmer ownership to the fullest degree possible in renewable/alternative energy development including wind, solar, biofuels and other technologies. Policies that can achieve this goal are feed-in tariffs, incentives and mandates for locally owned facilities. We support the

efforts of "host" communities and municipalities to accurately calculate the incomegenerating capacity of potential renewable energy projects, regardless of ownership type, and to establish feeds, property tax provisions, or impact assessments to ensure that a reasonable amount of the economic benefits are shared by all of the local communities and residents without deterring smaller-scale, distributed, community-based and individually owned projects.

NFU should lead efforts to educate family farmers, ranchers and rural communities about how to adapt to the effects of climate change on their own respective operations, as well as the enormous economic benefits that renewable energy brings to our rural areas.

# We support:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

- i. Expanded research and development dollars and full funding for renewable energy programs and technology;
- ii. The development and promotion of renewable energy sources appropriate to their location and directed toward developing selfsufficient units suitable for farm, home, small industry and business use;
- iii. Farmer-owned cooperatives pursuing the development and marketing of renewable energy;
- iv. Adequate funding levels for feasibility studies under the Rural Energy for America Program should be reinstated and cover all pre-development activities involved, including thorough wildlife studies by public agencies;
- v. Incentives for local development and ownership of renewable energy production;
- vi. Development of new markets for cellulosic products from perennial systems;
- vii. Enterprise research to evaluate and develop new plant material selections along with associated production, harvesting and processing technologies to discover and develop new uses for products of perennial systems;
- viii. Research and development on utilizing biomass for energy of all forms;
- ix. The Biomass Crop Assistance Program and other such programs;

1	х.	Economic assistance for family farmers to make agriculture more self-
2		sufficient through increased application of alternative forms of energy
3		and energy efficiency;
4	xi.	Reversing the trend toward concentration of the ownership or control of
5		sources, production and distribution of energy;
6	xii.	Targeting funds to encourage diversified, community-based and
7		individually owned energy systems that create jobs and new wealth in
8		rural areas of our country;
9	xiii.	Eliminating the importation of foreign sources of biofuels;
10	xiv.	An import fee on non-renewable foreign-produced energy, to fund the
11		development of domestic renewable energy;
12	XV.	Creation of a Strategic Biofuels Feedstock Reserve;
13	xvi.	A program to tackle the lack of infrastructure in the expansion of
14		renewable energy. We support many increases in research and
15		development, particularly in the areas of:
16		a. Pipelines designated or altered for the transport of biofuels;
17		b. Solidifying the railroads in this country to move renewable fuels
18		to each coast;
19		c. Expanded use of flex/blender pumps;
20		d. Establishing E85 filling stations/pumps; and
21		e. Expanding and creating a network of transmission lines
22		throughout the country to aid in the movement of renewable
23		energy from sources such as wind and solar;
24	xvii.	Extension and expansion of renewable energy tax credits, incentives and
25		loan guarantee programs including a permanent renewable energy
26		production tax credit (PTC) that includes nonprofit organizations as
27		qualifying entities;
28	xviii.	The use of production tax credits to provide financial incentives for wind
29		and solar energy development. Such production tax credits should:
30		a. Be long-term, 20 years at minimum;
31		b. Include active, not just passive income tax credits;

1		c. Encourage local ownership of wind turbine manufacturing,
2		development and operations; and
3		d. Set the production and other tax credit levels for local community
4		and farmer-owned wind systems at higher levels and allow them
5		to be refundable;
6	xix.	Biodiesel and ethanol blenders' tax credits and the cellulosic production
7		loan guarantees;
8	XX.	Policies to create greater domestic production of biofuel facilities and
9		other renewable energy components, such as wind turbines and solar
10		panels;
11	xxi.	Fully funding the Biopreferred Program, as well as ensuring the federal
12		government is a leader in procuring these products;
13	xxii.	Expanded energy conservation research and development as well as
14		implementation of programs that encourage the conservation of energy
15		inputs by the agricultural and food sectors;
16	xxiii.	Working with landowners and other groups to develop a landowner's bill
17		of rights for renewable energy;
18	xxiv.	Research and development on the production of fuels and fertilizers from
19		renewable electricity;
20	XXV.	Expanding the utilization of anaerobic digesters in a complimentary
21		effort to produce energy, participate in carbon offset markets, and
22		manage waste on livestock operations;
23	xxvi.	Funding for research and technical advancement of energy storage
24		systems allowing for reliable and consistent availability of renewable
25		energy;
26	xxvii.	The government purchasing and using flex-fuel vehicles and fueling them
27		with renewable fuels;
28	xxviii.	A national net metering standard for systems up to 100kW in order to
29		help expand the use of smaller wind and solar energy systems; and
30	xxix.	The Community Based Energy Development (CBED) ownership model
31		created in Minnesota and Nebraska.
32	We op	pose:

1	i.	Efforts	by power utilities to discourage distributed solar and other
2		renew	able power generation, including but not limited to, raising base
3		month	ly rates, either specifically to individual renewable energy-
4		produ	cing customers or to all customers in general; and
5	ii.	Fuels t	hat are imported or derived from imported commodities being
6		eligible	e for any tax incentives or exemptions.
7		2. ET	HANOL
8	We su	pport:	
9	i.	An eth	anol program to include:
10		a.	Renewable resources that should be established through low-
11			interest federal loans to farmer-owned cooperatives;
12		b.	Further federal legislation to provide for conversion of farm
13			products and byproducts into ethanol emphasizing on-farm and
14			local cooperative site development;
15		c.	Federal legislation to extend permanently the federal gasoline
16			excise tax exemption on ethanol-enhanced fuels;
17		d.	State legislation granting tax credits on each gallon of ethanol-
18			enhanced fuel sold within each state;
19		e.	Promotion of research on usage and marketing of dry distillers
20			grain for feed and other value-added uses;
21		f.	An aggressive and ambitious cellulosic program, geared to rapidly
22			developing necessary technology, to compliment traditional
23			ethanol supplies of motor fuel;
24		g.	Expansion of available gasoline blends to E15 or higher, as
25			supported by scientific data;
26		h.	Flexibility for new fuel systems to accommodate advances in
27			ethanol blend utilization technology; and
28		i.	Research and production of engines designed to run solely on
29			ethanol.
30	ii.	The ex	tension of the ethanol fuel tax incentive to include the ethanol
31		portio	n of ethyl tertiary butyl ether (ETBE);

1	iii.	Allowing ETBE refiners the ability to claim the ethanol excise tax
2		exemption at the blend point;
3	iv.	The use of ethanol as a fuel additive for gasoline formulations to enhance
4		octane levels, with an expanded role of replacing aromatics, in
5		accordance with the Clean Air Act;
6	v.	Urging all vehicle manufacturers to actively produce and market flex-fue
7		options in all market classes; and
8	We op	ppose:
9	i.	Any future efforts to eliminate ethanol tax incentives;
10	ii.	Liability protection for MTBE producers; and
11	iii.	Any further use of methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE) in gasoline.
12		3. RENEWABLE FUEL STANDARD
13	We su	pport:
14	i.	Expanding the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) to set an ambitious
15		mandate for production of biofuels to make up one-third of the nation's
16		fuel supply as soon as possible, consistent with grain availability for
17		livestock production;
18	ii.	Separate mandates of production for each form of biofuel, including
19		cellulosic ethanol and biodiesel;
20	iii.	Full implementation of RFS legislation that will:
21		a. Supply clean-burning ethanol to reduce air pollution,;
22		b. Provide strict anti-backsliding requirements in the RFS;
23		c. Ensure that air quality gains from the reformulated gasoline
24		programs are preserved;
25		d. Provide incentives to expand use of other biofuels, and encourage
26		the use of eligible feedstocks to meet the requirements for other
27		advanced biofuels under the RFS; and
28		e. Establishing a separate requirement for a national RFS for
29		biodiesel use in transportation fuels;
30	iv.	Legislation to ensure that American farmers, not ethanol importers,
31		would benefit from the RFS: and

1	v.	Legislation that would amend the Caribbean Basin Initiative by limiting
2		ethanol imports that are only partially produced in the Caribbean Basin
3		and qualify for duty-free status.
4	We op	ppose:
5	i.	Ethanol import schemes that would thwart ethanol import tariffs;
6	ii.	The importation of renewable fuels; and
7	iii.	Changes to the RFS mandate that are not based on the best available
8		science and subject to public review.
9		4. BIODIESEL
10	We su	apport:
11	i.	Farmer-owned cooperatives and others providing higher blends of
12		biodiesel fuels to their customers and urge marinas to offer
13		biodegradable biodiesel fuels to their customers;
L4	ii.	Increased research funding to demonstrate the effectiveness of biodiesel
<b>L</b> 5		as a transportation fuel, for generating electricity, and as a replacement
16		for home heating oil;
L7	iii.	Research and development of oilseed crops and animal byproducts for
18		use as biodiesel alternative fuels;
19	iv.	DOE developing a rapid commercialization program for biodiesel;
20	v.	Legislation to provide for the recycling of used cooking oils and waste
21		greases generated at government facilities to be processed into biodiesel
22		for use in government vehicle fleets, wherever feasible; and
23	vi.	ASTM D6751 as the biodiesel industry's quality standards to meet the
24		requirements of the railroads, military and other heavy industry.
25		5. Compressed Natural Gas
26	We su	apport the development of infrastructure to permit use of compressed
27	natural ga	as as a transportation fuel.
28		6. WIND ENERGY
29	We su	apport:
30	i.	Development and distribution of electric generation from wind, including
31		a reasonable timeline for approval for interconnection to the electric
32		grid;

T	11.	Efforts to educate our farmers and ranchers about their while rights and
2		other related issues;
3	iii.	Federal legislation to require all utilities to allow community-based wind
4		projects access to the electric grid by actively pursuing power purchase
5		agreements;
6	iv.	Account balancing of small wind generators on an annual basis; and
7	v.	Creating a grant program for local RECs who will upgrade their system to
8		monitor the flow of energy both ways within their system to accept net-
9		metered energy produced by a local REC customer.
10		7. Solar Energy
11	We su	pport:
12	i.	The development of solar energy, including solar thermal;
13	ii.	Community solar garden models to allow for greater participation in
14		solar projects;
15	iii.	Educating our landowners about solar rights and other related issues;
16	iv.	Research into concentrated solar and other developing solar
17		technologies;
18	v.	Replacing fossil fuel-powered heating and drying applications with solar
19		powered systems; and
20	vi.	The development of community and commercial solar farms in areas
21		with no current value-added use.
22		8. Renewable Energy Storage
23	We su	pport development of storage technology for electricity produced by
24	intermitte	ent renewable sources, including but not limited to hydroelectric pump-
25	back, batt	ery technologies, fuel cell technology and others.
26		9. Cooperative Demonstrations
27	We su	pport:
28	i.	Consumer-owned utilities uniting to develop and demonstrate the
29		economic feasibility of renewable and other alternate energy systems.
30		The economic viability of those cooperative utilities must be taken into
31		consideration;

1	ii.	Cooperatives providing consumers price incentives for using ethanol- or
2		biodiesel enhanced fuels;
3	iii.	Continued federal funding for the Great Plains Synfuels Plant; and
4	iv.	Placing alternative marketing devices for alternative fuels such as
5		E85/biodiesel and flex/blender pumps at every cooperative retailer in
6		the nation.
7	D. EN	IVIRONMENTAL PRECAUTION IN ENERGY PRODUCTION AND USE
8	We support:	
9	1. Th	e Clean Air Act;
10	2. Re	gulations that emphasize achieving the greatest amount of pollution
11	со	ntrol through the most cost-effective measures available; and
12	3. Di	esel emissions being reduced in the most cost-effective manner possible,
13	со	nsistent with good fuel economy.
14		1. FUEL STORAGE TANKS
15	We support:	
16	i.	Rules for underground fuel storage tanks that provide cost sharing to
17		mitigate the financial impact of compliance on farmer-owned, locally
18		owned and cooperatively owned outlets;
19	ii.	An exemption for farm and ranch use in the EPA rule pertaining to
20		existing above-ground fuel storage tanks; and
21	iii.	Above-ground fuel storage tanks being monitored and replaced on an as-
22		needed basis as the condition of the tanks change and leaking becomes
23		detectable.
24		2. WASTE PRODUCTS
25	We support:	
26	i.	Recycling as a socially responsible activity;
27	ii.	Federal assistance to help establish an effective recycling system
28		throughout the country for agricultural, post-consumer and industrial
29		waste;
30	iii.	Only the environmentally safe use of solid wastes in the production of
31		energy; and

1 Composting of food and organic fiber waste, and the opening of markets iv. 2 for the sale and distribution of the composted products, especially for 3 schools and other public institutions. 4 3. HYDRAULIC FRACTURING AND WASTEWATER INJECTION 5 We support: 6 Holding energy companies that use the hydraulic fracturing process and 7 wastewater injection well owners liable for any and all expenses 8 resulting from environmental damage, earthquakes and health impacts 9 incurred as a result of the activity and handling of wastewater and other 10 byproducts associated with the extraction process; 11 ii. A complete Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), with assessments of 12 health and economic impacts, baseline water quality and quantity and 13 the effect of a diversion of water that may currently be assigned to 14 agricultural, private and municipal use or under contracted obligations; 15 iii. Local oversight and regulation of the fracking process and wastewater 16 disposal; 17 Mandatory disclosure of the chemicals, including percentages, used in iv. 18 fracking drilling fluids and wastewater injection. Such chemicals must be 19 identified as environmentally safe before use or injection; 20 Mandatory monitoring of possible groundwater contamination and v. 21 oversight of wastewater injection wells; and 22 Compliance with the Federal Right to Know Act (Emergency Planning vi. 23 and Community Right to Know Act). Oil and gas well operators must 24 report all hazardous chemicals in use or stored at drilling sites to the 25 State Emergency Response Commission (SERC). The SERC shall maintain 26 a current database with this information that is easily accessible to first 27 responders and the public. 28 E. LANDOWNER RIGHTS IN NATURAL RESOURCES PROJECT DEVELOPMENT (WIND, SOLAR, 29 FRACKING, OIL, ETC.) 30 We support a comprehensive policy that protects landowners from speculation and 31 unfair contracts in the development of natural resources. We support the following 32 landowners' rights:

1 1. Prohibition of non-disclosure or secrecy clauses in leases. Landowners 2 should be allowed to review leases with attorneys, lenders and other holders of leases to ascertain the relative value of a lease offer; 3 4 2. Establishment of a registry of current standard natural resources leases that 5 is accessible to the public; 6 3. Prohibiting mandatory arbitration clauses to ensure the right of civil 7 litigation for landowners in lease disputes and help balance the legal 8 interests of landowners and developers; 9 4. Limiting length of lease options to encourage the use of lease options for 10 actual development instead of speculation; wind and solar power leases to 11 terminate after five years if the project is not developed; 12 5. Private property rights, including ownership of land and all its attributes 13 above, on, and below the surface, unless otherwise marketed; 14 a. We support a regulatory process that ensures the ending spot and 15 direction of directional drilling, and 16 b. We urge legislation to apportion the present real estate tax between 17 the surface owner and the mineral owner; 18 6. Authorizing collective bargaining of leases to encourage fairness in the 19 application of lease terms among multiple landowners; 20 7. Bonding and reclamation protections to encourage responsible energy 21 development and transmission at outset of the lease by providing funds up 22 front for reclamation of land after equipment, tower or project life has 23 expired: 24 8. Prohibiting prior investment as a condition of lease or option of fulfillment; 25 9. Prohibiting farmland ownership by energy development or generation 26 companies to ensure that agricultural land remains in the hands of 27 producers and retains the agricultural value of the land used in energy 28 development; 29 10. Prohibiting right of first refusal by developers, which allows a developer to 30 tie up land, and/or reduce marketability of landowner's land without 31

11. Disclosure of actual lease payments in contracts;

purchasing an option;

1 12. Three day cooling-off period after a lease agreement is signed to allow a 2 landowner to have a window to reconsider if, for example, his or her 3 attorney has an objection to the contract language; 4 13. Ownership of wind, solar, and mineral rights should not be severed from 5 surface rights and ownership of land; 6 14. Ownership of wind rights should extend up to a minimum of 100 feet above 7 the height of the wind turbine; 8 15. Enacting a moratorium on industrial wind siting in federal waters until an 9 open public process is developed for siting industrial wind power 10 generation; 11 16. Land owners sharing in percentage of energy revenues transmitted through 12 transmission lines sited on their property; 13 17. Landowner models for developing transmission associations that will create 14 transmission corridors and receive compensation on an annual basis 15 through royalties rather than one-time payments; and 16 18. An annual tax credit for landowners with renewable energy transmission

based on value of land impacted by development.

2	A.	FEDERAL BUDGET REFORM	
3	The fe	deral budget process is not working effectively. We urge Congress to revise	
4	the entire budget process to prevent understating the true deficit problem and to		
5	provide realistic reduction goals.		
6	To cur	e the structural failings, we support:	
7	1.	Congress imposing the same Generally Accepted Accounting Principles	
8		(GAAP) on the federal government as it has imposed on private financial	
9		institutions;	
10	2.	Static scoring by the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) and Joint Committee	
11		on Taxation;	
12	3.	All long-term credit programs, permanent and long-term improvements,	
13		multipurpose conservation, dam and watershed projects, public buildings,	
14		highways and inland waterways, be placed in a "capital budget," distinct	
15		from the annual operation budget;	
16	4.	Farmers' assessments or trust fund revenues not being used for deficit	
17		reductions;	
18	5.	$Congress\ demonstrating\ fiscal\ responsibility\ by\ the\ mandatory\ review\ of\ all$	
19		currently implemented legislation, and new legislation containing	
20		mandatory sunset clauses to ensure timely review;	
21	6.	Programs that fail to meet their intended objectives to be reviewed and	
22		restructured or terminated; and	
23	7.	Not punishing the farm bill baseline budget for savings generated through	
24		the safety net.	
25	We oppose:		
26	1.	Using budget sequestration in an attempt to reduce the federal deficit;	
27	2.	Any proposed agricultural cuts to relieve the federal deficit;	
28	3.	Attempts to reduce funding for farm bill programs through budget	
29		reconciliation; and	
30	4.	Dynamic scoring.	
31	В.	Money and Credit Policy (ALSO SEE ARTICLE IV – CREDIT AND THE FAMILY FARM)	
32	We support:		

ARTICLE IX - ECONOMIC REGULATION AND THE FAMILY FARM

1 1. Consumer, farmer and small business representation on the Federal Reserve 2 Board (Fed); 3 2. Requiring the Fed to comply with provisions of the Humphrey-Hawkins Full 4 Employment and Balanced Growth Act of 1978: 5 3. Congress immediately authorizing a nonpolitical outside entity to conduct a 6 thorough audit and investigation of the Fed and its policies; and 7 4. Allowing cannabis and cannabis-related businesses that are state regulated 8 to have access to the normal banking system. 9 C. BANK REGULATION 10 From the beginnings of this country, public policy has favored a decentralized 11 banking system, avoiding the abuses that would come from a highly concentrated 12 financial structure. 13 We are concerned about recent trends in bank regulation that have accelerated the 14 loss of independent community banks and have decreased the banks' desire to service 15 agricultural credit needs. It has also reduced community reinvestment. 16 We support: 17 1. Re-regulation of the financial services industry and reinstating provisions of 18 the Glass-Steagall Act of 1933, which would prohibit commercial banks from 19 engaging in speculative investments using bank depositor funds; 20 2. The consumer protection provisions and easing the regulatory requirements 21 on small banks within Dodd-Frank; 22 3. Maintaining individual Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) 23 coverage of at least \$250,000, as gross sales transactions in agriculture have 24 increased over the past number of years; 25 4. Making all financial institutions aware that "too big to fail" will not be 26 tolerated in the future: 27 5. Vigorous investigation and prosecution of criminal activity in our financial 28 institutions: and 29 6. Reestablishment of small transaction fees to reduce the abuses of high 30 frequency trading. 31 **D.** CREDIT UNIONS

32

We support:

- 1 1. Maintaining the National Credit Union Share Insurance Fund as a separate 2 and independent agency from other federal deposit insurance systems; 3 2. The credit union movement in its efforts to combat the anti-competitive 4 regulatory tactics undertaken by other segments of the financial services 5 industry; and 6 3. The right of all Americans to choose how and where they deposit their 7 earnings and transact their personal financial business. 8 We oppose any proposal that seeks to curtail services by credit unions to their 9 members under the false guise of regulatory reform or financial soundness. Such 10 proposals are especially discriminatory against rural credit unions that provide 11 agricultural credit services. 12 E. ESTATE AND GIFT TAX POLICY 13 We support: 14 1. Estate tax relief for family-owned farms, ranches and small businesses in 15 order to facilitate the transfer of those enterprises to the next generation; 16 2. Creating policy that taxes agriculture estates based on production value and 17 not on potential development value so long as the estate and its property 18 remain in agriculture production; 19 3. Permanently maintaining the federal estate tax exemption per individual at 20 \$10 million, \$20 million per couple, indexed for inflation, with an additional 21 \$5 million exemption if the estate continues to be operated by a family 22 member or transfers to a beginning farmer; 23 4. Simplifying the exemption qualification rules and requirements; and 24 5. Implementing graduated rates with a base rate of 35 percent. 25 We oppose shifting tax liability from the estate tax to the capital gains tax through 26 the elimination of the "step-up" in basis provision. 27 F. IRS TAX CODE 1031 EXCHANGES 28 We support: 29 1. A study on the impact of IRS Tax Code 1031 Exchanges (Starker Exchange)
  - 2. The return to a stricter interpretation of like kind property exchanges, i.e. agricultural land for agricultural land; and

on farmland values:

30

31

1 3. Allowing property owners a minimum of 18 months to identify and complete 2 a 1031 exchange if the property was lost through eminent domain (also see 3 *Article VI.Q – Eminent Domain)* 4 G. INCOME TAX REFORMS 5 We support: 6 1. A more progressive tax structure and oppose a flat tax; 7 2. A simplified tax code: 8 3. The full deductibility for the individual payment of premiums for health, 9 disability and long-term care. Premiums on life insurance benefits up to 10 \$500,000, or as required to be carried by creditors, should also be 11 deductible; 12 4. A limited refundable federal income tax credit equal to all or a percentage of 13 the state and local real estate taxes paid by farmers and ranchers on 14 farmland utilized for commercial agriculture production; 15 5. Income from a farm sale being put into a tax-deferred individual retirement 16 account (IRA); 17 6. Annual gift tax limits of \$25,000 per individual; 18 7. The concept of family savings accounts, the saver's credit for low-income 19 families with net incomes of \$40,000 or less, and other state and federal 20 programs known as an Individual Deposit Account (IDA) that are targeted at 21 low-income savers: 22 8. Permanent tax legislation for deductions of expenses accrued on the farm and ranch under section 179 of the tax code of no less than \$500,000; and 23 24 9. Tax expensing tools that allow family farmers to elect to deduct part or all of 25 the cost of qualifying farm assets in the year they are placed in service. Such 26 tools include adequately high limits of the accelerated depreciation 27 deduction and bonus depreciation deductions. 28 H. TAXATION 29 We support: 30 1. Legislation that would hold multinational and off-shore corporations 31 responsible for their full tax burden, including user fees to cover the cost of

import inspections;

1 2. Closing tax loopholes for corporations and individuals to balance the tax 2 burden for funding the federal government; 3 3. The right of state governments to tax production of nonrenewable resources 4 such as coal, oil, natural gas and minerals; 5 4. Reforming U.S. capital gains tax laws to allow tax-free conversion of farm 6 assets in exchange for investments in all categories available to the general 7 public and other business entities: 8 5. A \$500,000 capital gains exemption tax on farm real estate sales comparable 9 to the current residential sales exemption; and 10 6. Studying the inclusion of a federal mechanism to equalize the effects of 11 foreign consumption taxes on trade. 12 We oppose: 13 1. Multinational corporations moving to tax havens to avoid tax liabilities; 14 2. Legislation and court action that would prohibit states from taxing 15 multinational corporations based on the volume of business done in the 16 state: 17 3. Any taxation for the use of the internet; 18 4. Enactment of a national sales tax: 19 5. Unfunded federal mandates being imposed on state and local jurisdictions; 20 6. Efforts to prohibit the cash method of income and expense accounting; and 21 7. A Border Adjustment Tax (BAT). 22 I. TAX CREDIT 23 A properly designed tax credit can encourage new enterprises in rural communities, 24 be a stimulus for encouraging new family farms and family fishing businesses and be an 25 opportunity for retiring farmers to sell their operations to beginning family farmers. 26 We support: 27 1. A tax credit, targeted to the seller of farm land, who sells to a beginning or 28 young farmer; 29 2. Credit being extended to a sale of land or a small business from one 30 generation to the next;

3. Continuation of the investment tax credit:

- 4. A federal tax credit for approved conservation practices for non-deductible expenses; and
  - 5. An investment in tax credit allowance to farmer-owned cooperatives that build facilities in rural America. The investment tax credit should be required to be allocated back to the patron-members of the co-op.

We oppose the forced sale of assets under receivership of bankruptcy resulting in tax liabilities that exceed the capacity of asset liquidation funds to meet those tax obligations.

# J. COMMODITY FUTURES

To ensure that the objectives of the commodity futures regulatory statutes are carried out, we support:

- 1. Oversight and authorization jurisdiction for the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) being maintained by the Senate and House Agriculture Committees; efforts to merge the CFTC with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) should be defeated;
- Increased farm owner-operator representation on exchange boards, specifically on those committees responsible for rulemaking relating to new agricultural commodity contracts;
- Establishing appropriate contract and aggregate position limits for all commodities in all price discovery markets with input from agricultural producers and commercial market users;
- 4. The collection and publishing of data on the total value of index funds and other passively held long-only positions in all markets;
- 5. Requiring over-the-counter (OTC) trades be cleared by a CFTC-regulated clearing organization and reported publicly;
- 6. Increased transparency between domestic and foreign boards of trade;
- 7. Clearly defining hedgers and the hedger exemption to only include those with a legitimate commercial interest in the physical commodity; and
- 8. Studying and developing new research revenue streams such as an assessment on agricultural commodity futures contracts.

1 We oppose the adoption of policies that shift agricultural risk to individual 2 producers and force producers to depend on the commodity exchanges for risk 3 management protection. 4 Accordingly, CFTC should: 5

1. Guard against insider trading by individuals or firms;

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 2. Examine and investigate the role of increasing market power of funds, the connections between funds and large commercial interests, the ability of these organizations to exchange information and the effects on the commodities market:
- 3. Ensure there are an adequate number of delivery points for hedging participants;
- 4. Work in cooperation with other federal and state securities enforcement agencies to investigate and prosecute violators of the Commodities Exchange Act;
- 5. Monitor with special vigilance any market movements that indicate a deliberate accumulation of excessive speculative positions that exceeds the limited number of positions an individual speculator may hold and to exercise, when necessary, the emergency powers granted by the Act;
- 6. Monitor and guard against proposals by the commodity futures exchanges impacting trading rules and trading limits that would increase market volatility to the detriment of agricultural producers;
- 7. Continue to monitor off-exchange-traded agricultural options and ensure they are operated in a manner that benefits family farmers;
- 8. Monitor activity on so-called "dark markets;" and
- 9. Have a minimum of three of the five commissioners with agricultural backgrounds.

## 1 ARTICLE X - RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND THE FAMILY FARM 2 A. RURAL COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 3 We support a comprehensive rural development policy that includes: 4 1. Coordination and cooperation of the various government agencies involved 5 to better utilize existing programs and funds; 6 2. Consideration of social needs, such as a living wage, health care, childcare, 7 education and human resource development, as well as venture capital, 8 transportation and telecommunications; 9 3. Research and development of additional and alternative uses for existing 10 agricultural and seafood commodities and new alternative crops and fish 11 stocks, with a commitment to marketing and processing these products; 12 4. Development of local expertise, to make the best use of available programs 13 and local talent: 14 5. Low-interest loan and grant programs that foster the development of value-15 added products; 16 6. Low-interest loan and grant programs that foster energy efficiency and 17 renewable energy production; 18 7. Creation of agricultural opportunities for a new generation of beginning 19 farmers as a central component of rural development; 20 8. The organization of cooperative enterprises that retain equity, control and 21 ownership within rural communities as a proven self-help, home-grown 22 rural development mechanism which builds needed economic infrastructure 23 for rural people; 24 9. Access to credit, technical expertise and markets as essential ingredients in 25 securing opportunities for rural and agricultural enterprises; 26 10. Competitive grants and producer opportunity payments to stimulate 27 research, education, market development and farm innovation that increase 28 the farm and ranch share of food system profit; 29 11. Revitalization of agricultural communities through entrepreneurship, 30 enhanced food security by offering consumers greater choice and access to a 31 diversity of agricultural products;

1 12. The approval, funding and construction of water projects and waste systems 2 for rural communities and Indian reservations; 3 13. The development of farmer-owned cooperative facilities; 4 14. Increased funding of loans and grants to rural communities for advanced 5 telecommunications to improve schools, medical facilities, judicial systems 6 and other essential services; 7 15. Increased funding for loans and grants for rural essential services 8 infrastructure; 9 16. Rural Utilities Service (RUS), Rural Business-Cooperative Service (RBS), and 10 Rural Housing Service (RHS) using local investment revolving funds, grants 11 and technical assistance; 12 17. The Resource Conservation and Development (RC&D) program to encourage 13 and improve the capability of volunteers, locally elected officials and civic 14 leaders; and 15 18. Incentives for rural business opportunities for veterans. 16 We oppose rural development grants that encourage the establishment or 17 expansion of larger non-family farms or open ocean aquaculture. 18 B. TRANSPORTATION 19 An integrated intermodal transportation system of waterways, railways and roads is 20 of crucial importance to America's farmers. Maintenance of a viable, competitive 21 transportation network within the United States ensures the free flow of farm products 22 to the market. 23 We support federal transportation policy that: 24 1. Fosters a balanced competition between all modes of transportation and 25 maintains protections for transportation users in those areas where such 26 competition does not exist; 27 2. Addresses deregulation of the nation's transportation system that has 28 reduced the quality of, or eliminated altogether, public transportation 29 services for small cities and rural communities. This trend has been evident

eliminated bus service:

in airline services for several years and is now being felt in reduced or

30

- 1 3. Extends the hazardous materials exemption for transportation of 2 agricultural production materials to family farmers and/or end-users, as it 3 relates to the Department of Transportation's HM-200 rule; 4 4. Exempts farm machinery operators from Commercial Driver's License 5 (CDL) requirements; 6 5. Exempts farm operations that are delivering their own product from CDL 7 requirements; and 8 6. Encourages development of alternative transportation systems including 9 electric, CNG, and other alternative fuels. 10 We oppose: 11 1. Federal budget cuts that could further damage the transportation services 12 remaining in our rural communities;
  - 2. Proposals to terminate funding or privatize Amtrak rail service; and
  - 3. The use of gas tax funds for deficit reduction. Federal, state and local support must be supplied to provide an integrated transportation system to serve America's farmers and other rural residents.

## 1. U.S. HIGHWAY TRUST FUND

Money raised by highway excise taxes and interest earned on such revenues accumulates in the Highway Trust Fund and can only be used for highway purposes, with the exception that Congress has allowed part of such funds to be diverted to mass transit construction and subsidies. We support using a portion of the trust fund to repair county and rural bridges that are structurally deficient.

# 2. TRUCK TRANSPORTATION

NFU believes that regulation of the trucking industry should focus on high quality, energy-efficient and reliable service for rural areas. Honest competition should be encouraged and rates should be regulated to prevent unfair practices by trucking companies.

#### We support:

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

- i. Trucking industry regulations that permit cooperative-owned trucks to haul up to 30 percent of non-member, general merchandise;
- ii. Review of backhaul restrictions on trucking, while maintaining an emphasis on food safety, to save energy and lower shipping costs;

- iii. Legislation to provide for uniform maximum gross truck weights and measures, along with harmonized safety, license and operational regulations across all states; grandfather provisions for the longer lengths and higher gross weights presently authorized in certain states should be maintained;
- iv. Exclusion of farm vehicles, used exclusively to transport products of the farmer owner-operator, from federal highway-use taxes collected by the IRS, and exemption from any unnecessary federal regulation regarding the transportation of hazardous substances being used by farmers in the course of their own farming operations. Farm machinery and farm vehicles used for off-road purposes should be exempt from using taxable highway fuel; and
- v. Increased law enforcement to ensure commerce shipping is subject to traffic law so that community residents enjoy adequate protection of their safety.

The above-listed regulations, as well as U.S. safety standards, must apply to all members of NAFTA.

We believe family-farm operations hauling their own commodities should be exempt from mileage limitations, commercial driver's licenses, and commercial truck licensing requirements, including International Fuel Tax Association (IFTA) tax requirements and issues relative to the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Act.

# 3. RAIL TRANSPORTATION

# i. Rail Service

Federal rail transportation policy should recognize that deregulation of railroads cannot be treated in the same manner as deregulation of other industries. Continuing rail mergers result in elimination of rail service to many communities and the establishment of a single railroad service to entire portions of the country. Federal policy must provide for government regulation in instances where there is no rail competition.

# We support:

a. The Surface Transportation Board (STB) addressing the problems of captive shippers, including:

1		1. Ensuring that common carrier obligations are adhered to;
2		2. Providing oversight of branch-line abandonment, in addition
3		to transferring jurisdiction of branch-line abandonment to
4		state regulatory agencies from the federal government;
5		3. Ensuring that reasonable notice of rate changes is continued;
6		4. Establishing trackage rights in order to encourage rail-to-rail
7		competition;
8		5. Establishing reciprocal switching within, and for an
9		appropriate distance outside of terminals in order to
10		encourage rail-to-rail competition;
11		6. Authorizing a maximum rate for a movement to a captive
12		shipper;
13		7. Authorizing, when petitioned, the removal of agreement
14		provisions that prevent short-line railroads from delivering
15		traffic to any railroad;
16		8. Enacting a policy that would hold railroads responsible for
17		losses due to delayed delivery of rail cars; and
18		9. Reasonable rates for less than unit train pricing;
19	b.	Taking action to avoid a rail car shortage for transporting grain and
20		other perishable commodities;
21	c.	Expansion of regional railroads where local residents deem
22		appropriate, using a route that spares productive farmland;
23	d.	Railroad Regulatory Reform Act of 1980 (Staggers Act); Legislation
24		that would provide adequate bulk commodity and intermodal
25		shipping facilities;
26	e.	Funds to finance a survey to determine the most desirable location of
27		subterminals as well as adequate financing of subterminals and
28		equipment, including rolling stock;
29	f.	Provisions to assure continued local control over the movement and
30		storage of farm commodities;
31	g.	Federal legislation that would create rural transportation
32		cooperatives and finance programs, patterned after the rural electric

1		cooperative program, for railroad cooperatives seeking to preserve
2		rail service in rural areas;
3	h.	Careful consideration of proposals to create utility corridors or
4		federalize the railroad beds, thereby improving railroad efficiency
5		and promoting more competition among conventional and
6		alternative railroads;
7	i.	"Unit train" loading that provides for pooling of grain shipments and
8		is not limited to one-stop terminal loading, in addition to stricter
9		regulations and better enforcement of laws to require companies to
10		provide proportionately equal service to elevators in the allocation of
11		rail cars;
12	j.	Rate regulations that incorporate provisions to protect smaller
13		shippers from rate discrimination;
14	k.	Continued regulation of freight rates and commodities shipped by
15		rail;
16	1.	Statutory provisions to govern mergers or reorganizations of railroad
17		lines facing financial difficulty to assure that such mergers do not
18		destroy competition or necessary service;
19	m.	Allowing adjacent landowners or existing businesses leasing the
20		property to be given first option to purchase abandoned railway
21		rights-of-way, including mineral acres, at fair appraisal value;
22	n.	Legislation to prevent companies or railroad property owners from
23		charging unreasonable prices for railroad property and lease sites;
24	0.	A moratorium on all rail-line abandonments;
25	p.	Prohibition of railroad companies from forming holding companies
26		or subsidiaries for the purpose of hiding assets originally received
27		from land grants; and
28	q.	The expansion of high-speed rail, including in rural areas.
29	We op	pose any merger between major railroad carriers.
30		ii. Certificates of Transportation (COT)
31	The Ce	ertificate of Transportation (COT) system is discriminatory, anti-
32	competitiv	ve, and violates the intent of Congress in its adoption of the Staggers

1 Act. NFU urges the STB to review the use of COTs and the impact on producers 2 and their local elevators. 3 iii. Safety 4 We support: 5 a. Continued improvements to the safety mechanisms on railcars and 6 railways to better protect our rural citizens, to include: 7 1. Requiring reflective stripes to be placed and maintained on all 8 railroad cars; 9 2. Enforcing lower speed limits going through communities; and 10 3. Requiring railroads to erect warning light and arm signals on 11 all crossings near schools; 12 b. The Surface Transportation Board enacting a policy that will force 13 railroads to upgrade the railway infrastructure as a first step in 14 protecting the public and ensuring the safe transport of commodities; 15 and 16 c. The rail authority being held liable for expenses incurred by local fire 17 and emergency response departments for updating equipment and 18 training to deal with potential rail accidents. 19 C. PORT DEVELOPMENT, SHIPPING POLICY 20 We support: 21 1. Adequate funding to improve our inland waterway transportation system, 22 including funding to repair our system of locks. We are particularly 23 concerned with needed lock renovation on the Mississippi River; 24 2. The lowest possible user fee for the use of locks on inland rivers; 25 3. Keeping the Great Lakes shipping channels, including the St. Lawrence 26 Seaway and the twin ports of Duluth and Superior, open while such lanes are 27 navigable, to maximize this nation's export capabilities; 28 4. Restricting the management of our nation's port system to U.S.-owned and -29 based companies; 30 5. Congress repealing the Jones Act; and 31 6. Efforts to deepen and maintain ports in the U.S., especially those that load 32 agricultural commodities.

1	We opp	ose:
2	1.	Legislation that requires agricultural interests to pay a disproportionate fee
3		for operation, maintenance and construction of deep-draft channels and
4		ocean and Great Lakes ports; and
5	2.	Any excessive increases in the inland Waterways Fuel Tax.
6	<b>D.</b>	Air Transportation
7	We sup	port:
8	1.	Maintaining feeder and commuter airline services to farm/rural
9		communities to ensure mail and passenger service;
10	2.	The continuation of federal regulation of all airline services to protect public
11		safety;
12	3.	Regulations that would assure that rural areas are not penalized in airline
13		rate structures; and
14	4.	Full funding of the Essential Air Service (EAS).
15	We opp	ose airline fees imposed at airports, after ticket purchase, such as a
16	surcharge f	for checked luggage.
17	E.	Rural Utilities
18	We sup	port:
19	1.	The RUS loans, loan guarantees, and economic development programs for
20		rural electric and rural telephone cooperatives; and
21	2.	Policies that allow for distributed generation that would enable family
22		farmers to provide electricity for themselves and their communities.
23	We opp	ose termination or privatization of RUS.
24		1. Telephone Services
25	We	support:
26	i.	The Universal Service Fund;
27	ii.	Continued access, maintenance and improvement to landline (including
28		metal wire) telephone service;
29	iii.	Reduced regulatory burdens for rural telephone providers; and
30	iv.	Enhanced 911 telephone services in rural areas.
31		2. ELECTRIC SERVICES

1	We su	pport the continuation of a low-interest-loan pool program for rural	
2	electric cooperatives.		
3	We op	ppose encroachment on rural electric cooperative service areas by private	
4	and muni	cipal power companies.	
5		3. Telecommunications	
6	Acces	s to information, education and entertainment programming in an	
7	informati	on age is increasingly important to the quality of life in rural communities.	
8	We su	pport:	
9	i.	A ban on cross-ownership of media that results in higher telephone and	
10		subscription television rates;	
11	ii.	The continuation of the e-rate program;	
12	iii.	The development of cooperative cable television systems to serve both	
13		rural and urban sectors;	
14	iv.	Adoption of FCC policies that encourage sound technical standards for	
15		rural radio and television service;	
16	v.	Legislation to allow citizens to participate in boards and committees via	
17		the use of telecommunications;	
18	vi.	Greater transparency in billing for telecommunications services; and	
19	vii.	Congress reinstating the Fairness Doctrine.	
20	We op	ppose:	
21	i.	Federal, state or local governments imposing taxes and fees on services	
22		such as subscription television or internet; and	
23	ii.	Proposals that would weaken or eliminate radio and television farm	
24		news, public service broadcast time and other services.	
25		4. RURAL ACCESS TO TECHNOLOGY AND INFORMATION	
26	We su	pport:	
27	i.	Efforts to ensure competitively priced, high-speed broadband and	
28		wireless access to the internet for rural America;	
29	ii.	Collaborative efforts that leverage internet-based technology and use the	
30		internet to improve communications,;	
31	iii	Net neutrality: and	

1	iv.	The further advancement of the cell tower and fiber optic networks to
2		cover all rural communities to support:
3		a. New technology in agriculture,
4		b. Faster, more improved emergency services, and
5		c. Access to emerging technologies.
6	F. SM	IALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT
7		1. INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT BONDS
8	We su	pport:
9	i.	Each state being allowed a minimum base allocation in addition to the
10		per-capita allocation allowed industrial development bonds, in order to
11		provide equity among states;
12	ii.	Judicious use of these bonds to ensure priority is given to long-term,
13		locally based economic development projects within the community; and
14	iii.	States using industrial development bonds to finance beginning or
15		socially disadvantaged farmer programs.
16		2. SMALL BUSINESS POLICY
17	We su	pport:
18	i.	Federal policy that protects small businesses from predatory
19		encroachment by monopolistic big business;
20	ii.	Small businesses being given a fair opportunity to bid on government
21		contracts;
22	iii.	Continuation of the Small Business Administration (SBA);
23	iv.	Small-business loan funds being available through the SBA to meet
24		credit-worthy applications; and
25	v.	Regulations and paperwork to be administered in ways that do not place
26		an undue burden on small businesses.
27		3. Enterprise Facilitation
28	We en	courage family farmers, ranchers and small boat fishers and their local
29	communi	ties to utilize various USDA Rural Development technical assistance and
30	funding p	rograms through the National Sustainable Agriculture Information Service
31	Rural Coo	perative Development Grants (RCDG), Value-Added Producer Grants

- 1 (VAPG), the Agricultural Marketing Resource Center (AgMRC), Agricultural
- 2 Innovation Centers (AIC), and regional food hubs, as well as others.

1		ARTICLE XI - QUALITY OF LIFE IN RURAL AMERICA
2	NFU urge	s the adoption of national policies that address the difficulties and greater
3	costs of provi	ding necessary health, education, consumer protection, public and
4	emergency se	rvices for our children, sick, needy, handicapped and elderly.
5	A. Hi	EALTH CARE
6	NFU stror	igly affirms the right of all Americans to have access to affordable, quality
7	health care, w	rith emphasis on disease prevention and access to nutritional food.
8		1. HEALTH CARE COVERAGE, ACCESS AND CARE
9	We su	pport:
10	i.	A continued effort toward an improved national comprehensive health
11		plan, which includes a public option that allows citizens to choose their
12		own doctors, that provides universal, affordable and accessible coverage
13		and elder care for all Americans;
14	ii.	Adoption of a single-payer national health insurance program with no
15		deductible and minimal co-pays that provides comprehensive health care
16		services. Government funds to operate such a system, similar to
17		Medicare, should be raised in a manner based on ability to pay;
18	iii.	Maintaining the mandated coverage for preventive care and retention of
19		choice of doctors;
20	iv.	Including health promotion and education in long-term policy and
21		planning;
22	v.	Consumer education with special emphasis on childhood education on:
23		a. The benefits of nutritionally sound foods;
24		b. Health dangers of harmful products; and
25		c. Education about the benefits and risks of immunization;
26	vi.	Increased funding for mental health and addiction treatment services;
27	vii.	Research and education, including increased publicly-funded research, to
28		prevent the spread of, and to find a cure for, life-threatening diseases;
29	viii.	The development, research and use of licensed alternative medicines and
30		practices. Such remedies should be eligible for reimbursement;
31	ix.	Expedited access to promising treatments for terminal illnesses,
32		including those in trial phase;

of, palliative care for patients in end-of-life
for advanced health care professionals to
vers, nurse practitioners, and physician's
d to allow improved access to home health
nealth maintenance in rural America;
are, and expanded in-home care coverage
sal coverage reform. In addition, asset
e increased;
Health Service Corps. Funds should also be
provide training and equipment for
g the same access to dependent care
lustries;
ling to provide care to their dependents,
ped persons and the elderly;
e program of federal aid for depended care,
its;
m that precludes consumers' ability to
ion for wrongful actions;
ding of the Farm and Ranch Stress
ent or benefit caps including but not
litions;
care residing with the physician and patient,
any or government;
formation Technology (HIT) systems in rural
formation Technology (HIT) systems in rural Health Clinics (RHCs) and Community-
Health Clinics (RHCs) and Community-

1	xxiv.	The reauthorization and expansion of the State Children's Health
2		Insurance Program (SCHIP); and
3	xxv.	The establishment of cooperative-based health insurance companies.
4		2. Prescription Drugs
5	We su	apport:
6	i.	Immediately addressing discriminatory pricing policies, the ability of
7		providers to negotiate the price of drugs, access to generic drugs and an
8		adequate prescription drug benefit for all Americans;
9	ii.	Providing rural access to prescription drugs and pharmacy services.
10		Retail pharmacies must have equal access to pharmaceutical
11		manufacturers' discounts, and state and federal legislative efforts are
12		encouraged to ensure equal access;
13	iii.	The clear labeling of all prescription drugs' purposes;
14	iv.	The general re-importation of prescription drugs from other countries
15		and repealing the federal law that prohibits it; and
16	v.	Consumer access to safe and proven generic prescription drugs and an
17		end to extended delays by drug companies or the FDA.
18		3. MEDICARE AND MEDICAID
19	We su	ipport:
20	i.	The ability to negotiate the price of drugs for a prescription drug
21		program;
22	ii.	Expediting Medicare reimbursement to health care providers. All health
23		care providers should be reimbursed at a rate no lower than the
24		provider's actual cost as determined by independent audit;
25	iii.	Extension of the Medicare program to include the treatment of long-term
26		illness as a covered benefit;
27	iv.	Reducing the Medicare entry age to 55;
28	v.	Elimination of the "donut hole" (coverage gap) in Medicare;
29	vi.	The reform of Medicare and Medicaid to enable and encourage doctors
30		and dentists to serve all Medicare and Medicaid patients, especially in
31		rural areas: and

1	vii.	Payment reform efforts and continued cost-based reimbursement for
2		rural hospitals and Critical Access Hospitals (CAH) for Medicare services.
3	We op	ppose:
4	i.	Any cuts to Medicare and Medicaid;
5	ii.	Privatizing Medicare;
6	iii.	Proposals to block-grant Medicaid and strip its status as an entitlement;
7		and
8	iv.	Increased use by hospitals of keeping people overnight for observation
9		care status instead of admitting patients to hospitals.
10		4. VETERANS' RIGHTS
11	We su	pport:
12	i.	Increases in the VA's medical care operating budget;
13	ii.	Redoubling efforts to ensure all veterans receive timely and adequate
14		care;
15	iii.	Adequate funding to ensure the preservation of veterans' rights and
16		benefits;
17	iv.	Rejecting any proposal that would close VA hospitals to balance the
18		federal budget;
19	v.	Continuation of federal and state funding for existing rural hospitals and
20		nursing homes to provide for the health needs of veterans;
21	vi.	Increasing emphasis of programs to provide assistance to individuals
22		suffering from post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and all mental
23		health issues; and
24	vii.	Agricultural rehabilitation and vocational training programs for military
25		veterans, such as the Farmer-Veteran Coalition.
26	B. Ed	DUCATION
27	We suppo	ort:
28	1. Ma	aintaining the U.S. Department of Education and establishing an assistant
29	se	cretary for rural education;
30	2. Co	ntinuation and full funding of the Perkins Act and that career technical
31	ed	ucation remains under the umbrella of the Department of Education and
32	со	ntinues to be treated as an educational entity;

1 3. A strong national educational set of standards designed to maintain 2 consistency when students transfer schools; 3 4. Congress to fully fund the federal mandated Individuals with Disabilities 4 Education Act to assist all districts (especially rural districts) in meeting the 5 needs of all students; 6 5. Ensuring that all youth and young adults are aware of the relevance of 7 agriculture, cooperatives and family farming in their society through 8 adequate funding for coursework and/or other activities required by 9 education institutions: 10 6. Voluntary Bible-reading and prayer in our public schools; 11 7. States finding more equitable ways other than property tax to fund public 12 education; 13 8. Continued involvement with, and active support of, Organizations Concerned 14 with Rural Education (OCRE); 15 9. Expansion of grants and lower "low-interest" student loans to assist students 16 of all ages with the cost of higher education; 17 10. The interest rate level on student loans should be equal to, or less than the 18 10-year Treasury rate that is enjoyed by the large banking institutions; 19 11. Revising financial aid forms to ensure a more equitable system for both 20 urban and rural farm students: 21 12. Establishment of a federal student loan forgiveness program for students 22 who attend college and subsequently return to rural communities, based on 23 years of service to those communities; 24 13. The inclusion of agricultural producers in a federal and/or state student loan 25 forgiveness program based on years of service to rural communities; 26 14. Rural schools being assured their fair share of both federal and state 27 resources and support;

15. Ensuring all adults have the opportunity to participate throughout life in

meaningful educational and vocational training programs;

16. The federal Qualified Zone Academy Bonds (QZAB) program;

28

29

1	17. Farmers Union members monitoring the implementation of the Vocational
2	Education Act in their local schools and state vocational technical programs
3	to be sure that the interests of agriculture are met;
4	18. Involvement in local, state and national 4-H, FFA, and other vocational
5	education organizations;
6	19. Unemployed workers having the opportunity for retraining and upgrading
7	their skills as part of their unemployment benefits;
8	20. The continuation of federal or state-funded retraining programs for
9	displaced farmers and ranchers and their spouses;
10	21. Teaching animal welfare, as opposed to animal rights, through efforts
11	including, but not limited to, the "Agriculture in the Classroom" program;
12	22. Adequate funding to enable public schools in rural areas to provide our
13	children with a well-rounded education that will enable them to be
14	productive citizens;
15	23. Schools that have developed courses in entrepreneurship as a means of
16	encouraging young people to stay in their rural communities;
17	24. Encouraging rural schools to explore all educational possibilities to enhance
18	the curriculum, such as distance-learning courses, which serve as an
19	alternative to school consolidation or closure;
20	25. The development and retention of remote learning centers to provide a
21	broader range of educational opportunities;
22	26. Consumer and regulator education on the benefits of healthy soils in relation
23	to our physical health, particularly as related to the Food Safety
24	Modernization Act (FSMA);
25	27. Funding of the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) while providing more
26	flexibility to state and local education agencies in implementation;
27	28. Funding for the Career and Technical Education Act (Perkins Act) at the
28	secondary and post-secondary level and drivers' education programs; and
29	29. The development and expansion of school garden programs.
30	We oppose:
31	1. Mandatory consolidation of rural schools;

1 2. Excessive testing requirements incumbent to such programs as "Common 2 Core"; 3 3. Unfunded mandates from state or federal governments on schools; 4 4. The use of vouchers: 5 5. Requirement of using credit ratings in determining loan eligibility; 6 6. The privatization of state and federal student loan programs; and 7 7. Cuts in student aid. 8 **1. Public Research** (ALSO SEE ARTICLE VIII.] – COMMODITY FUTURES) 9 NFU supports increased funding for public agricultural research. We are 10 concerned of the reductions in state and federal funds for crop and livestock 11 research. The resulting increase in private research has reduced the sharing of 12 information and increased costs of production inputs. Land-grant colleges and 13 universities helped create the technological revolution in agriculture. We support: 14 i. These institutions focusing on research to increase family farm net 15 income, specialty crops and commodity prices; 16 ii. Farmers Union state organizations scrutinizing relationships between 17 USDA grants and the land-grant colleges and universities and large 18 agribusiness corporations to ensure that research by those colleges and 19 universities is in the best interests of family farmers; 20 iii. Full financial disclosure of funding sources for agricultural research 21 projects being made public; 22 The continuation and additional funding for all federal formula iv. 23 allocations, such as Hatch Act and Smith-Lever Act funds: 24 Increased funding supporting land-grant colleges for research into v. 25 alternative agricultural technologies which would benefit small and 26 specialized family farmers by reducing input costs and by developing a 27 system of sustainable agriculture; 28 Targeted research specifically designed to explore innovative production, vi. 29 processing and marketing topics that enhance small or family-sized farm

30

operations;

1 vii. Amending the Internal Revenue Code to create a new type of 401(c)(3) 2 organization, as an agriculture research organization (ARO), to conduct 3 agricultural research and increase funding to advance agriculture; 4 viii. Publicly funded research, findings and by-products of the research 5 remaining in the public domain and benefiting family-sized farms; and 6 ix. Consideration to authorize the distribution of federal agriculture 7 research funds to both land-grant universities and other post-secondary 8 agricultural educational institutions. 9 2. NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF FOOD AND AGRICULTURE 10 The National Institute of Food and Agriculture (NIFA) was established with the 11 mandate to help the public learn about and apply to everyday activities, the latest 12 technology and management knowledge. This valuable rural information delivery 13 system's role must be reassessed and strengthened to meet the demands of a 14 rapidly changing, highly sophisticated technology delivery system now available in 15 this country. 16 We support: 17 i. Land-grant universities re-envisioning agricultural extension training so 18 that it respects and utilizes the experience of farmers and ranchers and 19 the significant role this experience plays in the science of agriculture; 20 ii. Farmers and ranchers being part of the research team; 21 iii. Extension training respecting and utilizing the agricultural practices of 22 traditional native peoples and acknowledge the significant role these 23 practices play in sustainable agriculture; 24 iv. Agricultural extension educators mentoring low- and moderate-income 25 families to improve agricultural economies by adding value to 26 agricultural products; and 27 No funds utilized by NIFA being used to carry out political or lobbying v. 28 activities. 29 C. SOCIAL SECURITY 30 We support: 31 1. Active participation in developing a plan to help ensure the solvency for

Social Security for future years;

2	3.	Social Security tax being applicable to all earnings by removal of the income
3		cap;
4	4.	Social Security being a mandatory, universal system to assure benefits in the
5		future;
6	5.	Continued strengthening and protecting of the Social Security program;
7	6.	Continuation of efforts made to correct an inequity in Social Security benefits
8		for recipients born during "notch" years, which results in reduced
9		entitlements for basically the same level of contributions;
10	7.	Congress changing laws so that a husband and wife who are equal business
11		partners in a farming operation are able to collect equally on the Social
12		Security tax that was paid as a result of that business; and
13	8.	Congress changing the eligibility requirements for individuals who haven't
14		worked off the farm long enough to qualify for benefits.
15	We op	pose:
16	1.	Using Social Security funds for anything other than their intended use;
17	2.	A freeze on the Social Security cost-of-living allowance for all recipients;
18	3.	Any part of Social Security being invested in non-government-insured
19		investments; and
20	4.	Proposals that would privatize the system.
21	D.	People with Disabilities
22	We su	pport:
23	1.	Public and private programs aimed at providing development, therapy and
24		rehabilitation of Americans with developmental, physical and mental
25		challenges; and
26	2.	Equal and gainful employment for individuals with disabilities and the
27		development of special supports for farmers who are disabled and who want
28		to continue to farm.
29	E.	EMPLOYMENT: A NATIONAL PRIORITY
30	We red	cognize the need to prepare a skilled workforce that will be required for a
31	healthy, co	ompetitive, full-employment economy.
32	We su	pport:

2. Preserving a major portion of any budget surplus for Social Security;

- Expanding present policy to further training and employment opportunities
   for all ages of men and women who want to work;
  - 2. Assistance being targeted to retraining dislocated workers and displaced farmers;
  - 3. A preference for training with agriculture or agricultural related industries;
  - 4. Directing special emphasis to stimulating economic growth and increasing research and development of technology that will generate productive jobs with fair wages and benefits; and
  - 5. The elimination of the Multiemployer Pension Reform Act of 2014 (MPRA).

#### F. IMMIGRATION POLICY

We believe the growing consequences of a broken immigration system must be addressed in a bipartisan effort that considers the following principles:

- Our immigration system must be flexible enough to address the needs of businesses while protecting the interests of workers;
- Immigration is a federal issue that should be addressed at the federal level.
   We therefore oppose programs such as E-Verify at the state level only;
- 3. We support adoption of a mandatory E-Verify program only in conjunction with a coherent and viably effective agricultural worker program. The E-Verify system must allow communication among federal agencies for determining status in order to protect the integrity of the worker and of the employer;
- 4. We support improvements to the H-2A program to help the agricultural community by allowing a more flexible and reliable visa program; An effective visa system responsive to geographic proximity and economic and cultural factors, which acknowledge the beneficial contributions immigrants make as workers, taxpayers and consumers;
- 5. As strong families are critical to developing successful individuals and cohesive communities, our immigration policies should prioritize keeping families together to most enable supportive home environments for all children;
- 6. Our immigration enforcement strategy should focus on public safety and consider consequences to businesses, workers and consumers. Furthermore,

- our broader immigration reform effort should include a path to reliably and affordably determine who is permitted to work, ensuring an adequate labor force for a growing economy;
  - 7. Immigrants are part of both our rural and urban communities. We must adapt to this reality and recognize the critical role immigration has played in our nation's history and economy;
  - 8. Immigration policies must provide a sensible path for those who are here without legal status, are of good character, pay taxes and are committed to becoming fully participating members of our society. The legalization of undocumented workers may occur after paying appropriate fines and incurring penalties for illegal entry; and
  - 9. Encouraging any people seeking permanent residence in the United States to apply for citizenship with all the rights and responsibilities that accompany it.

# We also support:

- 1. The DREAM Act;
- 2. A fast track toward U.S. citizenship for those immigrants of upstanding character who seek citizenship and serve with honor in a branch of the U.S. military service; and
- 3. A pathway to citizenship or legal residency for undocumented agricultural workers that does not restrict their employment to any specific farm or group of farms.
- We oppose the indentured servitude of a captive workforce.
- We oppose the mass deportation of any peoples from the United States.

## G. EXPANDING OPPORTUNITIES FOR SENIOR CITIZENS

In keeping with the traditional American concept of individual dignity in our democratic society, all older Americans are entitled to enjoy an active involvement in our society. The number of older Americans continues to increase, and efforts must be expanded to continue to make use of their experience, skills and energy to fill the needs of our society through citizen involvement, employment and volunteer activities.

We support:

1 1. The energies and talents of retired Farmers Union members and employees 2 being used to strengthen and expand our organization; 3 2. The Older Americans Act (OAA); 4 3. Experience Works, formerly Green Thumb, an Internal Revenue Code section 5 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization conceived by NFU because older Americans 6 who had the ability and desire to work were not given the opportunity to do 7 so; 8 4. The Senior Community Service Employment Program (SCSEP), providing full 9 funding at authorized levels and opposing block-granting; and 10 5. The development of policies to allow our seniors to age in place. 11 H. FOOD AND NUTRITION PROGRAMS 12 It is imperative that our national nutrition policy addresses both the quantity and 13 quality of food available to needy Americans. Nutrition programs should place an 14 emphasis on fresh and local foods to ensure that Americans of all income levels have 15 access to healthy, nutritious foods. 16 1. ADMINISTRATION OF FOOD AND NUTRITION PROGRAMS 17 We support: 18 i. Reauthorization and full funding of federal nutrition programs under 19 USDA: 20 ii. Expansion of nutrition programs to include farm-to-school, WIC and 21 Senior Farmers Market Nutrition Programs, programs that allow SNAP 22 and other federal nutrition program beneficiaries to double their benefits 23 at farmers markets, and others; 24 iii. Congress continuing federal responsibility for nutrition programs; 25 Maintaining federal standards as well as the USDA's authority for iv. 26 commodity donations to nutrition programs; 27 Requiring comprehensive and unbiased research precede any official v. 28 dietary advice regarding the relationship between diet and health;

164

The United States Dietary Guidelines to utilize the abundant and

thorough evidence suggesting that Americans should consume a

balanced diet rich in nutrients which includes lean meats and dairy

29

30

31

32

vi.

products;

1	vii.	All federally funded nutrition programs following the US Dietary
2		Guidelines;
3	viii.	Periodic reviews of federally funded nutrition programs to assess their
4		effectiveness; and
5	ix.	Expansion of nutrition feeding programs for the elderly, including the
6		distribution of excess commodities when available.
7	We op	ppose:
8	i.	The privatization of the administration of federally-funded nutrition
9		programs;
10	ii.	The shifting of nutrition programs to state block grants; and
11	iii.	Separating nutrition title programs from the Farm Bill.
12		2. FOOD ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS
13	We su	apport:
14	i.	The Food Stamp Act of 1964;
15	ii.	The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP);
16	iii.	Outreach efforts to extend services to the increasing number of hungry
17		people who should be served, particularly in rural areas where access is
18		a problem;
19	iv.	Exclusion of farm loans as income in determining eligibility for SNAP;
20	v.	Continued development of the Electronic Benefits Transfer (EBT)
21		Program;
22	vi.	Prohibiting efforts to substitute cash payment for SNAP;
23	vii.	Programs which allow SNAP users to purchase food directly from
24		farmers markets, community supported agriculture (CSAs) and local
25		producers;
26	viii.	Commodity distribution programs such as The Emergency Food
27		Assistance Program (TEFAP), the Commodity Supplemental Food
28		Program (CSFP) and child feeding programs;
29	ix.	USDA making healthy surplus foods readily available to food banks and
30		emergency kitchens, bearing the cost of transportation and storage; and
31	х.	Federal law that requires commodities distributed for nutrition
32		programs be domestically produced.

### 1 3. CHILD NUTRITION PROGRAMS 2 We support: 3 i. Full funding and expansion of the child nutrition programs such as the 4 School Lunch Program, School Breakfast Program, Child and Adult Care 5 Food Program (CACFP), Summer Food Service Program, the farm-to-6 school program, WIC, and other USDA nutrition assistance programs; 7 ii. Congress to redirect USDA to support a school meal program at the 8 federal level that provides flexibility and reduces the federal regulations 9 while encouraging a healthy diet, the use of local foods and local food 10 preparation; 11 iii. Free lunches under the School Lunch Program for all elementary 12 students; 13 iv. The Special Milk Program for children; 14 Congress to strengthen and expand farm-to-school programs by v. 15 supporting an increase of annual mandatory funding for the USDA Farm 16 to School Grant Program. We support expansion of the USDA Farm to 17 School Grant Program to include preschools, summer food service 18 program sites, and before and after school programs. We encourage farm 19 to school partnership to increase consumption of nutritious and/or 20 traditional foods while also supporting farmers and ranchers; 21 vi. Increased emphasis on the use of locally produced foods in all 22 government nutrition programs; and 23 vii. The removal of soda sales in public schools during lunch hours. 24 I. NUTRITION MONITORING (ALSO SEE ARTICLE I.D – LABELING OF COMMODITIES AND 25 COMMODITY PRODUCTS) 26 The National Nutrition Monitoring and Related Research Act creates a national 27

The National Nutrition Monitoring and Related Research Act creates a national system for monitoring the nutritional status of the U.S. population and, for this reason, is of great importance to those concerned with hunger, malnutrition and the broad planning for adequate food and farm policy. We urge continued effort to establish such mandated information collection as a basis for sound national policy.

28

29

1 I. FOOD SAFETY (ALSO SEE ARTICLE III.D – HEALTH AND INSPECTION STANDARDS FOR FOOD 2 AND FIBER IMPORTS AND ARTICLE I.D - LABELING OF COMMODITIES AND COMMODITY 3 PRODUCTS) 4 1. REGULATORY AUTHORITY 5 Current U.S. laws are not sufficient to address the complexities of our modern 6 food supply. As such, Congress should develop a new body to regulate food safety 7 that will oversee the U.S. food system and is adequately funded to carry out its 8 mandate. Therefore, we support: 9 i. The creation of a single food safety agency within USDA to regulate the 10 U.S. food supply as a whole, including imported and domestic food; 11 ii. Congress providing sufficient funding for safety regulation of the U.S. 12 food supply; and 13 iii. The authority of the regulatory agency to require a recall in the event of 14 an outbreak of unsafe food. 15 2. FOOD SAFETY STANDARDS 16 It is imperative that we maintain the high quality of our food supply. This means 17 ensuring high standards for production, processing and transportation. 18 We support: 19 i. Vigorous action by U.S. regulatory agencies to prevent the introduction of 20 bovine spongiform encephalopathy, tuberculosis, foot and mouth, and 21 other communicable diseases into the food system; 22 A moratorium on mechanical de-boning until the process can be ii. 23 improved to ensure that no undesired portions of the carcass are present 24 in the final product; 25 Opposition to the transportation of food in containers that have carried iii. 26 incompatible substances; 27 iv. Protecting our nation's food supply and the rigorous inspection of all

imported food, fiber, milk protein concentrate (MPC), animal products

and by-products to ensure they meet our nation's sanitary and phyto-

stamps/seals should be placed only on the individual items inspected;

sanitary standards including safe pesticide levels. USDA inspection

28

29

30

v. The development of fairly administered Good Agricultural Practices (GAPs) for field-grown vegetable crops which support the biodiversity of farming operations and which do not discriminate against smaller operations. These GAPs should be administered by the USDA, in cooperation with state departments of agriculture. Further, it should be recognized that the most effective method of preventing foodborne illnesses is for rigorous measures instituted at the time that field-grown crops enter processing, packaging and subsequent transportation and storage;

- vi. Permitting states to implement food safety regulations more stringent than comparable federal regulations where states deem consumer health and safety to be at risk or when individual agricultural producers strive to set a higher bar for the safety of food products destined for specialty or export markets;
- vii. National food safety policies that can and should protect consumers without limiting farmers, ranchers or small food processors who sell into local and regional markets. Regulations should be size- and risk-appropriate;
- viii. FDA ensuring Food Safety Modernization Act rules are science-based, have size-appropriate regulatory flexibility, and do not conflict with the National Organic Program;
  - ix. A continued evaluation of the regulations for the Food Safety
    Modernization Act (FSMA) to rationally address the practice of organic,
    natural and diversified farm operations vital to the local food movement,
    to scale requirements and fees with an understanding of the differences
    between corporate and family agriculture, and remove from the
    regulations the needless elements that serve the purposes of corporate
    agriculture at the expense of family farms, sovereign nations, growers of
    local foods, and consumers; and request that Congress provide
    appropriate funding to support the implementation of FSMA;

1 Allowing interstate shipment of state-inspected meat that complies with X. 2 federal standards and providing assistance to processors who wish to 3 participate in such a program; 4 Permitting cross-utilization of meat inspectors and meat graders in all xi. 5 federally and state-inspected meat processing plants which meet federal 6 inspection standards; and 7 When tracking foodborne illnesses, the utilization of the epidemiological xii. 8 model as pioneered by the University of Minnesota. 9 3. Inspection of Perishable Commodities 10 NFU recognizes the need for integrity and accountability in the federal 11 inspection services. Federal agencies must maintain food inspection credibility 12 without adding to grower or packer costs by implementing the following: 13 Checks and balances to discover and address infractions that interfere i. 14 with transaction fairness; 15 ii. Stiff penalties on violators; 16 Improved supervision and auditing; and iii. 17 Identification and prosecution of violators. iv. 4. AGRI-TERRORISM 18 19 With increased attention and focus on potential agri-terrorism attacks on our 20 nation's food chain, rural America must be educated, prepared and vigilant of all 21 potential circumstances. 22 We support: 23 The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) and USDA immediately i. 24 developing mechanisms to combat agri-terrorism with full funding 25 provided by DHS. Such mechanisms should ensure the safety of the 26 consumer and agricultural industry; 27 ii. Increased cooperation between USDA, DHS, Department of Health and 28 Human Services (HHS) and the Federal Emergency Management Agency 29 (FEMA) to establish, expand and continue to determine vulnerabilities 30 within the agricultural and food industries; 31 iii. Establishing a USDA public awareness and education campaign for

producers;

1 Providing federal guidance and funding to states and localities to develop iv. 2 and implement plants for agricultural disease prevention, recovery and 3 response, based upon already established state animal response 4 activities; and 5 A requirement of representatives of federal, state and county agencies to v. 6 notify landowners prior to non-emergency access of their private 7 property. Representatives and vehicles used for access should also 8 display appropriate agency signage and identification. 9 K. FOOD WASTE 10 We support: 11 1. The Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) food recovery hierarchy to 12 prioritize actions to prevent and divert food waste; 13 2. USDA's efforts to continue to measure and document food waste: 14 3. USDA's efforts to study techniques for reducing and/or recovering food 15 waste; 16 4. Policies that are designed to economically reduce, recover and recycle food 17 waste: and 18 5. The establishment of municipal or regional agricultural composting facilities 19 in order to provide a final opportunity to utilize food waste according to the 20 EPA's food recovery hierarchy eligible for support under USDA's 21 conservation programs. 22 L. WORLD FOOD DAY 23 NFU urges participation in World Food Day as proclaimed by the United Nations' 24 Food and Agriculture Organization. 25 M. Housing 26 We support: 27 1. Increased support for affordable housing, with allocation of units to rural 28 areas in proportion to need; 29 2. Development of housing options for senior citizens and disabled veterans to 30 allow them to continue living in or near their communities and families; 31 3. Acceleration of rural, cooperative, farm-labor housing programs, self-help,

and building-site programs; and

1	4.	Expansion, continuation and full federal commitments to Title V housing				
2		programs administered through the Rural Housing Service (RHS) of USDA.				
3	N.	LIABILITY INSURANCE				
4	We urg	ge a study into the rapid escalation of officers' and directors' liability				
5	insurance	ice costs, especially as they affect our farm cooperatives and nonprofit				
6	businesses	businesses.				
7	Because of the high costs to taxpayers and the reduced availability of liability					
8	insurance,	insurance, we urge that liability against all local units of government be limited to cases				
9	of gross ne	egligence.				
10	0.	CONSUMER PROTECTION				
1	As one	of the largest consumers of goods and services, farm producers are critically				
12	affected by legislation to protect consumers.					
13	We support:					
<b>L</b> 4	1.	Vigorous enforcement of consumer protection laws, including Truth-in-				
<b>L</b> 5		$Labeling, Truth-in-Lending, and \ Truth-in-Advertising, and \ oppose \ exempting$				
16		agricultural lending from Truth-in-Lending;				
17	2.	$Loan\ institutions\ carrying\ insurance\ to\ protect\ the\ borrower\ against\ failures$				
18		of the lending institutions; and				
19	3.	Continued cooperation with other consumers and organizations of				
20		consumers to protect our common interests.				
21	P.	CAMPAIGN FINANCE AND ELECTIONS				
22		1. CAMPAIGN FINANCE				
23	We	support comprehensive campaign finance reform, including:				
24	i	. Public financing;				
25	ii	. Caps on total spending;				
26	iii	. Caps on total contributions;				
27	iv	. Prohibition of unreported soft money;				
28	v	. Full reporting of all types of contributions;				
29	vi	. Eventual elimination of all political action committees;				
30	vii	. Elimination of "527" organizations;				

1	viii.	Legislation overturning the Supreme Court's decision in the Citizens
2		United v. Federal Election Commission case that allows corporations to
3		make unlimited campaign contributions; and
4	ix.	Full disclosure of contributors to political issues and candidate
5		campaigns
6	Until t	the time this is achieved, we support participation in the NFU Political
7	Action Co	mmittee (NATFARMPAC) as a means for our voice to be heard.
8		2. ELECTIONS AND ELECTED OFFICIALS
9	We su	pport:
10	i.	The federal government's assistance to local government units to offset
11		the cost of election voting machines that are mandated by the federal
12		government;
13	ii.	States adopting standards to avoid uncertainty in voting and counting
14		ballots and also to provide a paper trail that makes reviews and recounts
15		possible;
16	iii.	Barring TV and radio news reports of national elections and exit polls
17		until all voting stations are closed in the 48 contiguous states;
18	iv.	Programs that encourage youth involvement in the voting process;
19	v.	Legislation that states Congress shall pass no law that applies to a citizen
20		of the United States that it does not apply to itself as an institution or to
21		individual senators or representatives, or vice versa, except as it relates
22		to national security issues and/or their personal security;
23	vi.	Voting, vote counting, and post-election auditing conducted with
24		electronic tabulation equipment must ensure reported results reflect
25		votes cast, and not be reliant on proprietary software inaccessible to
26		bipartisan election oversight;
27	vii.	Legislation requiring political campaigns and issue-based interest groups
28		to adhere to the "do not call" list. Furthermore, this provision should roll
29		over into a "do not text" list; and
30	viii.	Broad enforcement of the equal-time rule.
31	We op	ppose:
32	i	Term limitations: and

1 ii. Practices that lower voter participation. We urge candidates who choose 2 to use negative campaigning be required to appear in the commercials 3 they authorize. 4 **O.** U.S. Freedoms and Liberties 5 Although much has been accomplished to ensure freedom and equal opportunity for 6 all citizens, regardless of race, gender, ethnicity, age, sexual orientation or religion, 7 much remains to be done. 8 We support: 9 1. Efforts to provide equality of rights for all in every aspect of life. These rights 10 shall not be denied or abridged by the United States or any state within; 11 2. Efforts to remedy historical inequities in access to farm programs and other 12 systemic barriers to succeeding in agriculture faced by socially 13 disadvantaged groups, especially farmers of color; 14 3. Vigorously defending the right of privacy; 15 4. Elimination of the abuse of federal agency powers and surveillance of law-16 abiding citizens; 17 5. The right of reporters to keep their news sources confidential as inherent in 18 the "citizens' right to know"; 19 6. Proper display and respect of the U.S. flag; 20 7. The usage of "God" on government buildings, legal documents and legal 21 tender and we oppose the removal of existing references; and 22 8. The men and women of the U.S. armed services for their contributions 23 around the world. 24 R. POSTAL SERVICE 25 It is the specific intent of Congress that effective postal service be assured to 26 residents of both urban and rural communities. Rural America has the right to expect 27 the U.S. Postal Service to adhere to the policy of the Postal Reorganization Act of 1971. 28 We support: 29 1. Raising First Class postage rates consistently and concurrently with bulk 30 mailing or Second Class rates;

Service by requiring excessive advance funding of pension funds;

2. Congress correcting the difficult situation it has imposed on the U.S. Postal

31

1	3.	Re-establishment of the Postal Savings Bank; and				
2	4.	Appointing a blue-ribbon panel to investigate the expansion and creation of				
3		new services offered by the USPS to facilitate economic growth and				
4		development in rural and inner city communities.				
5	We oppose:					
6	1.	Closing small post offices solely because they are operating at a deficit;				
7	2.	Changes in postal policy that will result in reduced, less frequent or				
8		insufficient mail services for rural areas including the elimination or				
9		reduction in parcel post delivery for rural areas or increased rural postal				
10		rates; and				
11	3.	Privatization of the U.S. postal system, including the establishment of				
12		contracted rural routes.				
13	S.	RURAL EMERGENCY SERVICES AND MANAGEMENT PLANNING				
14	Agricu	ltural communities face potential threats and emergencies (i.e. medical,				
15	biological,	natural and environmental disasters); therefore, we support:				
16	1.	The implementation of federal, state and local emergency management				
17		plans;				
18	2.	Opportunities for citizens to become informed about and implement				
19		preventative steps;				
20	3.	The dedicated volunteers who serve as emergency medical technicians,				
21		firefighters, and law enforcement reserves;				
22	4.	Training schedules that recognize the time commitments of the volunteers;				
23	5.	Current laws that allow pre-hospital providers to perform services under a				
24		doctor's written or verbal protocol;				
25	6.	Development, preservation and expansion of the rural 911 emergency				
26		response systems; and				
27	7.	Prohibiting cuts and the elimination of any useful programs that benefit first				
28		responders in rural areas.				
29	T.	VOTING DISTRICTS				
30		1. Redistricting				
31	We	e support:				
32		i. A nonpartisan redistricting process when voting districts are redrawn;				

1 ii. The establishment of a nonpartisan redistricting process by states that 2 utilizes computer software to generate a redistricting map that does not 3 take into account any political information; 4 iii. The removal of politics from any redistricting process; and 5 Voting districts being drawn according to the following criteria: iv. 6 a. Population equality, 7 b. Contiguity. 8 Unity of counties and municipalities, and 9 d. Compactness 10 We oppose: 11 i. Current legislators drawing district lines; and 12 ii. Gerrymandering of voting districts to dilute rural representation or give 13 advantage to any particular party. 14 2. STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS 15 We support efforts to allow for the apportionment of one of the two legislative 16 bodies of bicameral state legislatures based upon scientific geographical areas and 17 the other body apportioned based upon population. 18 The U.S. Congress follows this approach to determining the composition of the 19 Senate and the House of Representatives and the same method should be allowed 20 for state legislatures. This method would duplicate the system defined in the U.S. 21 Constitution for the Congress. 22 Since the Supreme Court ruling in Reynolds v. Sims in 1964, state legislatures 23 have been forced to apportion both bodies in a bicameral legislature based solely 24 upon population under the "one person, one vote" theory. This has led to a decline in 25 the ability of citizens in rural areas to be effectively represented in the state

legislatures. Declining rural populations have significantly changed the composition

of most state legislatures to the detriment of rural citizens, with the interests of

citizens in rural areas being underrepresented in the legislative process.

26

27

1	ARTICLE XII – FAMILY FARMERS AND THEIR ORGANIZATION					
2	Realization of democratic aims and ideals requires citizen participation in the					
3	processes of government as individuals and as members of people-oriented movement					
4	and organizations.					
5	NFU s	erves it	ts members by providing an organizational structure in which they can			
6	participate more effectively in the processes of our society. The objectives of the					
7	Farmers Union challenge us to make the organization strong and effective. To					
8	accomplish these goals, we must:					
9	1.	Requi	ire dedicated efforts of officers, leaders and grassroots members;			
10	2.	Encou	rage participation of family members in this effort;			
11	3.	Encou	rrage gender balance on all committees, boards and in all offices, from			
12		the lo	cal to the national level, within our organization;			
13	4.	Encou	rrage qualified individuals, regardless of race, gender, age, ethnicity,			
14		sexua	l orientation or religion to serve on all committees, boards, and in all			
15		office	s;			
16	5.	Urge	members to become more active in their own organization and local			
17		coope	eratives, and in state, regional and national public life. Active			
18		partic	cipation can best be inspired when attention is paid to:			
19		i.	The study of issues;			
20		ii.	Decision-making within the organization;			
21		iii.	Carrying out group efforts to implement policy;			
22		iv.	Attracting more members into the organization; and			
23		v.	Promoting the use of the business services associated with Farmers			
24			Union.			
25	NFU must take the lead on educating policymakers and the public on the real cost of					
26	corporate	welfar	e.			
27	NFU should initiate and work with other organizations to educate the public on the					
28	important issues of our National Farmers Union policy. NFU should allow for each state					
29	to continue teaching the history of National Farmers Union, their state Farmers Union					
30	and agriculture in their respective state.					
31	A.	EDUCA	ATIONAL ACTIVITIES			
32		1.	SEMINARS AND WORKSHOPS			

Special sessions should be held at all Farmers Union levels on issues that are of special concern at a particular time. Seminars should also be directed to special groups interested in farm cooperatives or particular farm commodities.

State organizations are encouraged to include among their educational activities: camps for youth and adults, legislative workshops, leadership training institutes, and other events, especially on timely issues.

## 2. FARMERS UNION YOUTH PROGRAMS

Its strong national and state youth programs make the Farmers Union unique among farm organizations. The highest priority and support should be given by national and state Farmers Union leadership to the Farmers Union youth programs. Farmers Union youth must be prepared to assume eventual leadership of our organization through the following methods:

- Greater encouragement for Farmers Union youth to attain positions of responsibility within the organization;
- ii. Special emphasis given to ongoing study programs, camps, All-StatesCamps and seminars;
- iii. Increased involvement of youth in the organization's decision-making process, group action and conventions;
- iv. Frequently updated Farmers Union educational materials and texts for youth leaders;
- v. Recognition of state and national youth leaders who have given of themselves in building the organization's youth programs as well as the youth that are involved in the organization's youth programs;
- vi. Action from state organizations to encourage young people to remain active in Farmers Union as they become adults; and
- vii. Formation of a collegiate Farmers Union chapter in each member state, and holding a national collegiate conference no less than once annually. We support more activities for young adults to inform them on involvements in Farmers Union.

# 3. FARMERS UNION YOUNG FARMER PROGRAM

Through its education program, the national organization, in conjunction with state Farmers Unions, should continue to develop its beginning farmer leadership

programs upon the principles of cooperation, education and legislation to assist those interested in family agriculture and to develop community.

## 4. FARMERS UNION EDUCATION CENTER

It is imperative that we maintain our ownership of real estate and water rights of the Farmers Union Education Center at Bailey, Colorado. We encourage upgrading the facility. All-States Camps at Bailey have not only been highlights of our youth program, but also represent the time that young adults have become true participants in NFU. We urge that every effort be made to continue this opportunity at the facilities.

#### **B.** COMMUNICATIONS

Communications within the organization, and with the media and public, are vital to promote and support Farmers Union activities and to call attention to the organization's goals. We encourage members and others to utilize all NFU communications tools.

## C. FARMERS UNION LEGISLATIVE BUDGET FUND

The NFU Legislative Budget Fund is an important source of support for the overall legislative staff effort. Individual Farmers Union members, local and county units, affiliated cooperatives and other interested groups should give increasing support to this cause.

### D. POLITICAL EFFECTIVENESS

Political education should be continuous.

Discussions of relevant political issues should be carried on throughout the organization with maximum opportunities for members to participate in efforts to influence political decisions.

The financial aspects of political action should not be ignored. Members should consider being more supportive of NATFARMPAC. Additionally, as a collective effort, we encourage state organizations to help collect a dollar per member per year. Candidates should be given support if, in the judgment of members, they regard Farmers Union recommendations favorable.

Members have a right to know the voting records of members of state legislatures and Congress on issues which Farmers Union has clearly indicated a position, and, therefore, the national organization and state Farmers Unions should continue to supply such information to their members.

In view of the steady decline in rural populations and of those directly involved in agriculture, it has become even more important for our national and state organizations to build alliances with consumer groups, other agricultural organizations, urban legislators, non-farmer rural residents and leaders so as to leverage our political effectiveness.

### E. MEMBERSHIP EXPANSION

Growing our organization should be our number one priority. The best hope for family agriculture is for producers to join together to build the Farmers Union.

We encourage the expansion of our organization and affiliate memberships for likeminded organizations and ventures. (Additional information can be found at <a href="https://www.nfu.org">www.nfu.org</a>)

We encourage individual state organizations to make membership growth a priority. Each state should establish a specific membership plan of action. Farmers Union members should be encouraged to sign up new members. A special emphasis should be made to ensure that all cooperative patrons and board members are members of Farmers Union.

We are encouraged by the efforts of national and state Farmers Union organizations in development of new programs and services. Special emphasis should be made to ensure that participants in these programs and services are members in their respective state Farmers Union organization.

#### F. MEMBERSHIP BUDGET INFORMATION

For information on the Farmers Union budget, members are encouraged to contact their state president. A complete comparative written financial report shall be given to delegates at the start of the NFU Convention.

# G. FARMERS UNION RELATED SERVICES

Farmers Union business services, whether in marketing or providing inputs and services, are important to the members and the organization. Cooperatives which work with the Farmers Union in its program for agriculture deserve the loyalty of the members in the areas served.

Expansion and improvement of the NFU insurances and business services will be important to agriculture in the time ahead. Therefore, close working relationships are essential.

The affiliation of Farmers Union cooperatives and insurances to the Farmers

Educational and Cooperative Union of America is paramount to any other affiliations

because such activities have been built by Farmers Union members as components of an organization of, by and for rural families.

# H. COOPERATION WITH RELIGIOUS ORGANIZATIONS

NFU has a long history of cooperation with religious organizations on many issues of common concern.

We believe our nation is strong because its many diverse religious bodies bring their moral viewpoints to bear on public policy. We pledge our continuing cooperation this common purpose.

# I. COOPERATION WITH OTHER ORGANIZATIONS

NFU should continue to seek and explore opportunities to work with other organizations at the local, state and national levels to advance the economic situation of family-scale operators.

We have worked aggressively to further build alliances and coalitions with diverse interests including commodity, rural interest, consumer, labor, minority, environmental, conservation, sustainable agriculture, health and nutrition, energy and other groups not typically involved in the nation's farm policy debate.

The information shared in those coalitions and alliances has shown that we often have much more in common with those primarily concerned with consumer, environmental and social matters than we have differences.

It is in the best interest of family farmers that NFU continues this practice of seeking allies among those who may not be directly associated with agriculture.

We support the development of both state and national contract grower associations to improve the welfare of contract growers. Benefits will be realized as a result of this mutually supportive relationship, including the establishment of a farreaching precedent likely to impact future contract production of poultry, hogs, cattle and other commodities.

### FAMILY FARMING AND CRISIS RELIEF 2017 SPECIAL ORDER OF BUSINESS

2017 is expected to be another year of declining farm incomes and worsening economic conditions. The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) has projected *net farm income* to decline by 8.7 percent this year, marking a 50 percent decline from 2013.

Additional indicators point to ongoing stress within our rural communities. These include: declining farm asset values, an increase in overall farm debt, and an erosion of farm sector equity. Lending from financial institutions to producers has declined 40 percent and the debt-to-asset measure is now above its average over the previous ten years. As debt increases and working capital declines, National Farmers Union (NFU) is extremely concerned about the financial health of our nation's farmers and ranchers.

NFU urges congress and the administration to take action in support of farmers and ranchers. Such support should include robust discretionary and mandatory funding for the Certified Agricultural Mediation Program. This program assists agricultural producers, their creditors, and other persons to resolve disputes thereby reducing the participant's cost associated with administrative appeals, litigation, and bankruptcy.

Congress must also move to reauthorize and fully fund the Farmer and Rancher Stress Assistance Network to aid in the mental health of individuals coping with financial stress. This program supports cooperative agreements between state cooperative extension services and nonprofit organizations to provide stress assistance programs to farmers, ranchers, and other agriculture-related occupations.

Finally, NFU urges congress to consider the additional layer of burdens that affect beginning farmers during difficult financial climates. These producers represent the future of agriculture, yet are the most vulnerable to the current economic climate. NFU calls on congress and the administration to provide additional support to ensure their continued participation in agriculture.

#### FAMILY FARMING AND DAIRY

#### **2017 SPECIAL ORDER OF BUSINESS**

U.S. dairy farms are an important segment of our nation's economy. As one of the world's largest dairy producing nations, the industry provides roughly \$140 billion in economic output, \$29 billion in household earnings, and more than 900,000 jobs.

The economics of the dairy sector over the last three years have forced producers to shutter dairy farms across the country. After reaching \$24 a hundredweight in 2014, dairy prices quickly eroded, falling to \$16 a hundredweight in 2016. In addition to prices well below the cost of production, volatility has continued to create challenges for producers. Cash receipts over the last three years demonstrate this volatility. In 2009, cash receipts were \$24.3 billion, shooting up to \$49.3 billion in 2014, and quickly falling to \$34.2 billion in 2016.

Exports increase and decrease by billions of dollars from year to year based on factors well beyond the control of producers, but which nonetheless greatly impact the financial health of their farms. Despite the unfavorable economic conditions faced by dairy producers, U.S. milk production increased for the seventh consecutive year and the dairy herd continues to expand.

The current dairy safety net, the Dairy Margin Protection Program (DMPP), has provided very little relief to struggling dairy producers. In 2016, about two thirds of milk production, or 140 billion pounds of production history, enrolled in DMPP, did not sign up for any buy-up coverage. It is widely recognized that the program has failed dairy producers. Looking forward there must be an acknowledgement, especially during the budget process, that producers paid millions of dollars into the program and did not receive assistance in troubling economic conditions.

An adequate safety net must remain the goal for federal dairy policy. Congress and the administration must act to protect more dairy farmers from going out of business. Relief for producers should include:

- A budget resolution to increase spending for an adequate dairy safety net;
- Programs that account for actual costs of production;

- An incentives-based inventory management program that recognizes the inelasticity of the dairy sector;
- Ad hoc disaster assistance; and
- Trade policies that don't disadvantage American dairy producers.

### FAMILY FARMING AND FARM BILLS

### 2017 Special Order of Business

The primary objectives of national agricultural policy are to enable farmers to protect net farm income in troubling times, improve the quality of rural life, and increase the number of family farmers, so that family farmers may continue to provide a reliable supply of food, fuel and fiber and serve as stewards of our nation's resources. National Farmers Union (NFU) believes the deal that was struck when passing the 2014 Farm Bill should be honored and will vehemently oppose any cuts until the next farm bill is passed. Over the last several years, producers have been subjected to dramatic reductions in commodity and livestock prices, raising the need for timely passage of a new farm bill. Future farm bills should contain provisions that reflect the best interest of U.S farmers and ranchers' ability to maintain a productive and profitable industry.

U.S. agriculture touches all Americans and others around the world. It is important that a strong farm bill brings together a coalition that reflects the diversity of the people and interests impacted by the farm bill.

National Farmers Union believes any new farm bill should contain:

- Provisions that enable family farmers to market their products locally and regionally;
- Incentives for farming practices that enhance soil health and productivity;
- Increases in reference prices for all commodities;
- An adequate income safety net that is based on the cost of production and not solely an insurance program;
- A revised dairy program to meet the needs of family dairies;
- Cotton as a covered commodity;
- Loan rates for all crops shall be no lower than the cost of production;
- Conservation programs to protect the environment;
- Food and nutrition programs to protect the less fortunate;
- Energy programs to provide energy independence;
- A strong competition title to allow U.S. producers to be competitive in the global market;

- Payments made to farmers to reduce crop acreage when prices fall below the cost of production;
- A permanent disaster program on a per county basis; Investments in rural development and agricultural research; and CCC-held reserves shall be implemented to control commodity prices, and the release price shall be 1.75 times the loan rate.

# FAMILY FARMING AND EXPANDED HIGHER ETHANOL BLEND UTILIZATION 2017 SPECIAL ORDER OF BUSINESS

For over four decades, National Farmers Union and many state Farmers Union organizations have provided the education, embraced the cooperation, and championed the national and state legislation needed to create the modern 'home-grown fuels from the farm' industry.

The modern biofuels industry has brought billions of dollars of capital investment, millions of dollars of new tax base, and many thousands of new good paying jobs with benefits to struggling rural communities. In contrast to decades of flat exports, the biofuels industry has provided 'home grown' domestic utilization for domestically grown corn that helps stabilize and support corn prices while dramatically reducing carbon emission and improving air quality.

At each step on the long road to biofuels development, family farmers have faced and overcome the powerful opposition of the oil industry. Their opposition to the expanded utilization of higher blend biofuels products in the retail marketplace continues.

At a time when rural America is facing a major financial crisis in the farm sector with three years of below-the-costs-of-production commodity prices that finds many family farmers struggling to get their farm operating loans renewed, the need to reduce the size of price depressing surplus of corn supplies through more aggressive retail use of higher blend ethanol is paramount.

The more higher-blend ethanol fuel products Americans purchase, the more farmers, rural communities, our environment, and our state and national economies benefit. Now is the time for our nation to find and pursue bold and innovative strategies to dramatically expand the use of 'home-grown fuels from the farm'.

Ethanol does not contain or produce gasoline's benzene or benzene-related known human carcinogens called polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons which are identical to those found in cigarette smoke. There is no safe level of exposure to benzene or benzene-related polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.

Research confirms non-flex and flex-fuel automobiles have the same engines and parts, except non-flex auto engine computer programming is more efficient for unleaded E10,

E30 blends than flex-fuel engine computer programming that must also adjust to blends near E85.

National Farmers Union will continue efforts to expand retail fuels infrastructure and support the RFS. NFU has filed legal comments through both law firms - Boyden Gray Associates and Larson and King LLP - with EPA exposing EPA's invalid, arbitrary and capricious overreach with interpreting Clean Air Act sections 211(f), 201(c) and 1974 Clean Air Act Memorandum 1a.

EPA and all government regulators should immediately reverse statements and policies that say or even indicate it is illegal for so-called non-flex fuel auto owners to fuel with blender (flex) pumps' E30.

# FAMILY FARMING AND LEADING THE WAY ON CLIMATE CHANGE 2017 SPECIAL ORDER OF BUSINESS

Climate change jeopardizes food security and the livelihoods of American family farmers, ranchers and rural residents. Producers and rural Americans have much to contribute to reducing the greenhouse gas emissions, which are exacerbating the negative consequences of climate change, through the development of renewable energy, implementation of carbon sequestration, climate-smart production and conservation practices. NFU will continue to lead in educating family farmers, ranchers and rural communities on climate change adaptation and mitigation.

NFU supports policies that expand renewable energy, especially assistance for rural power cooperatives.

NFU supports research funding for soil health and climate-smart production.

NFU supports the promotion of voluntary conservation practices that focus on water quality and quantity concerns.

NFU supports development of crop systems designed for biofuel and biomass production.

NFU should lead and support efforts for the advancement of carbon storage in the soils of family farmers, ranchers, and agro-foresters, with new agriculture-based markets that reward those that practice and implement conservation techniques scientifically proven to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

# FAMILY FARMING AND TRADE POLICY 2017 SPECIAL ORDER OF BUSINESS

Access to global markets is critical for family farm agriculture. U.S. farmers and ranchers rely heavily on strong export markets to maintain commodity prices and farm revenues. However, the objectives and enforcement of free trade agreements frequently fails to adequately protect family farmers and ranchers from unfair trade practices. The current attitude toward trade agreements does not prioritize the interest of family farmers.

Each year for the past forty years, the United States has amassed a huge trade deficit. Every \$1 billion increase in imports causes loss of over 4,500 jobs. In 2016, the total trade deficit totaled \$502.3 billion. Eliminating the trade deficit could create as many as 2.3 million new jobs across the United States.

Agriculture typically accounts for 7-10% of total U.S. exports, and the surplus in agricultural trade helps reduce the trade deficit. Negotiators often use agriculture as bait to achieve favorable trade agreements for other sectors of the economy. However, our deeply flawed trade agenda has opened domestic markets to cheap, often low-quality food, fuel and fiber products. The agricultural trade balance dropped over \$26 billion from 2011 to 2016.

International trade is an important part of successful family farming in the United States, but trade is not an end unto itself. Decreasing our national trade deficit will bring greater economic prosperity to U.S. agriculture and the broader economy. Current trade agreements do not appropriately prioritize eliminating the trade deficit and fail to address unfair practices, such as currency manipulation.

NFU encourages the federal government to conduct a formal and thorough analysis of current agricultural trade agreements to determine their success at meeting their promised goals. NFU urges prioritization of eliminating the trade deficit in future trade agreements. To that end, future trade negotiations should focus on increasing agricultural exports and ensure domestic sovereignty for farm programs while limiting imports of cheap, low-quality agricultural products, as well as removal of rules and regulations from trade agreements that limit domestic laws affecting agricultural commodity prices, quantities, or labeling.

### Glossary

**1031 exchange** – also known as a Starker exchange or a tax-deferred exchange; permits investment property owners to sell a property and defer tax payments by reinvesting the proceeds into a "like-kind" investment property or properties. A 1031 exchange is enabled by Section 1031 in the Internal Revenue Code

**527 organization** – tax-exempt organizations primarily utilized to influence political elections. The structure of a 527 allows unlimited financial contributions and are typically not regulated by the Federal Election Commission or state elections commissions

**AFO** – animal feeding operation; agricultural enterprise where animals are kept and raised in a confined situation. An AFO congregates animals, feed, manure and urine, dead animals, and production operations on a small land area

**Aggie Bonds** – state agriculture loan program based on the use of tax-exempt bonds to assist beginning farmers and first-time farmers

**AgJOBS** – legislation aimed at reforming labor and immigration laws specifically for agriculture

**AGR/AGR-Lite** – whole-farm crop insurance that provides producers with protection against low revenue from natural causes and market fluctuations; covers income from agricultural commodities, as well as income from animals, animal products and aquaculture species reared in a controlled environment

**Agricultural Conservation Easement Program (ACEP)** – Administered by NRCS, it provides financial and technical assistance to help conserve agricultural lands and wetlands and their related benefits

**American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM)** - An international standards organization that develops and publishes voluntary consensus technical standards for a wide range of materials, products, systems, and services

**AMS** – Agricultural Marketing Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture; administers programs that facilitate the efficient, fair marketing of U.S. agricultural products, including food, fiber and specialty crops

**APHIS** – Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture; a multi-faceted Agency with a broad mission area that includes protecting

and promoting U.S. agricultural health, regulating genetically engineered organisms, administering the Animal Welfare Act and carrying out wildlife damage management activities

**Average Crop Revenue Election Program (ACRE)** – implemented as a result of the 2008 Farm Bill, ACRE provides an option for farmers to forgo receiving direct and countercyclical payments in exchange for a state-level revenue guarantee. ACRE's funding expires in 2012

**Base** – labor that provides significant support, including material day-to-day operational support, for a family farm, business, etc.

**Basis** – in commodities, the difference between a local cash price and the relevant futures contract price for a specific time period

**BFP** – basic formula price

**Biobased Markets Program** – Authorized in the 2002 Farm Bill and expanded in the 2008 Farm Bill, it establishes a process for determining eligibility criteria for federal purchase of biobased products

**Biodiesel (ASTM D6751)** – the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM)-approved standard for biodiesel, which covers blends between 5 and 20 percent biodiesel by volume blended with petroleum diesel fuel; also known as B100

**Brucellosis** – livestock disease, which causes cows to abort calf

**BSE** – bovine spongiform encephalopathy; a progressive neurological disorder of cattle that results from infection by an unusual transmissible agent called a prion

**Byrd Amendment** – legislation that provides for the annual distribution of antidumping (AD) and countervailing duties (CVD). The distribution is available to "affected domestic producers for qualifying expenditures." An "affected domestic producer" is defined as a manufacturer, producer, farmer, rancher, or worker representative (including associations of such persons) that 1) was a petitioner or interested party in support of a petition with respect to which an AD or CVD order was in effect and 2) remains in operation. It was repealed in 2005

**CAFO** – concentrated (confined) animal feeding operation; an AFO that meets one of the EPA's regulatory definitions of large CAFOs, medium CAFOs, and small CAFOs

**Cap and trade** – a regulatory program that sets a cap level of permissible emissions and allows firms to buy credits if they exceed the limit and sell credits if they are under the limit

**Capper-Volstead Act** – the 1922 law, which allows producers to organize into cooperatives without violating antitrust laws

**Carbon sequestration** – the storage of carbon from the atmosphere in soil organic matter through agricultural practices. The producer/landowner is paid an amount of money for implementing this practice

**Casein/caseinates** – milk protein that is manufactured from skim milk and used in processed foods and in industrial products

**CBO** – Congressional Budget Office; produces independent analyses of budgetary and economic issues to support the Congressional budget process

**CBOT** – Chicago Board of Trade

**CCC** – Commodity Credit Corporation of the U.S. Department of Agriculture; government-owned and operated entity that was created to stabilize, support, and protect farm income and prices; also helps maintain balanced and adequate supplies of agricultural commodities and aids in their orderly distribution

**Certificate of Transportation (COT)** – a futures contract issued by railroads to grain customers as a guarantee to present empty covered hoppers for loading at a specific location with an option to lock in price

**CFTC** – Commodity Futures Trading Commission, which has regulatory oversight of U.S. futures trading

CHS – the forerunner of CHS Inc., Cenex Harvest States was formed in 1998 by a merger between two regional cooperatives, Centx Inc. and Harvest States Cooperative. Cenex Harvest States Cooperatives changed its legal name to CHS Inc. effective August 5, 2003 Circle of Poison – the circle created by chemicals produced in the United States, banned for use here, exported to other countries, and then returned as residue on imported food

**Codex Alimentarius** – a commission created in 1963 by FAO and WHO to develop food standards, guidelines and related texts such as codes of practice under the Joint FAO/WHO Food Standards Programme

Community-supported agriculture (CSA) – a farm in which members or "shareholders" of the farm or garden pledge in advance to cover the anticipated costs of the farm operation and farmer's salary and receive shares in the form of food in return Comprehensive Land Policy – a land policy that includes and considers all elements or aspects of land use and conservation methods, and which considers valid methods which can be observed, measured and evaluated in an objective manner

**Contract grower** – a person who will grow or raise a commodity owned by a processor or meatpacker. The grower provides the labor and facility; other production inputs including feed, medicine and the animals are provided by the integrator/processor/meatpacker

**Cooperative development centers** – regional centers set up to further the cooperative movement

**Countervailing duties (CVD)** – specific duties imposed on imports to offset the benefits of subsidies to producers or exporters in the exporting country. The executive branch of the U.S. government has been legally empowered since the 1890s to impose countervailing duties in amounts equal to any "bounties" or "grants" reflected in products imported into the United States

**CRP** – USDA's Conservation Reserve Program, administered by the FSA; the long-term goal of the program is to re-establish valuable land cover to help improve water quality, prevent soil erosion, and reduce loss of wildlife habitat

**CSP** – USDA's Conservation Stewardship Program; helps agricultural producers maintain and improve their existing conservation systems and adopt additional conservation activities to address priority resources concerns

**CSREES** – Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service **Dark market** – secretive, unregulated (though often technically legal)trading in commodity futures

**Decoupling** – the removal of the link between the receipt of a direct payment and the production of a specific product

**DFO** – direct farm ownership loans administered by FSA. Loans can be used to purchase farmland, construct or repair buildings and other fixtures, and promote soil and water conservation

**E15** – gasoline blend consisting of 15 percent ethanol, approved for use in 2001 model year and newer vehicles

**E85 filling station** – a station capable of handling up to an 85 percent ethanol blend **EPA** – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

**EQIP** – USDA's Environmental Quality Incentive Program; voluntary program that provides financial and technical assistance to agricultural producers through contracts up to a maximum term of ten years in length, that help plan and implement conservation practices

**ESA** – Endangered Species Act; administered by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Commerce Department's National Marine Fisheries Service, with the purpose of protecting and recovering imperiled species and the ecosystems upon which they depend

**ETBE** – ethyl tertiary butyl ether, renewable gasoline oxygenate which uses ethanol as a feedstock

Fairness Doctrine – a tenet of licensed broadcasting that ensures a reasonable opportunity for the airing of conflicting viewpoints on controversial issues

Farmer Mac – Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation; government-sponsored enterprise with the mission of providing a secondary market for agricultural real estate mortgage loans, rural housing mortgage loans, and rural utility cooperative loans

Farmers market – a public, recurring assembly of farmers or their representatives selling local agricultural products that they have grown, raised or produced, directly to the consumer. Such markets may include other products, which reasonably serve the public or enhance the market's diversity

FAS – Foreign Agricultural Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture; links U.S. agriculture to the world to enhance export opportunities and global food security

FCIC – Federal Crop Insurance Corporation – this has been reorganized to become the Risk Management Agency (RMA) of the U.S. Department of Agriculture

**FDIC** – Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation; independent agency created by the Congress to maintain stability and public confidence in the nation's financial system **Fed** – Federal Reserve Board

**FIFRA** – Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act, which provides for federal regulation of pesticide distribution, sale, and use

**Finfish** – a true fish, distinguished from shellfish

**Fishers** – a person who catches fish for a living or for sport

**Flex pump** – a filling station fuel pump that allows consumers to select the desired blend of gasoline and ethanol (also known as a blender pump)

**Flex-fuel vehicles** – vehicles which contain engines that are capable of running on either gasoline or fuel blends containing mostly ethanol like the E85 blend

**Fluoroquinolones** – a class of antimicrobials that kill bacteria or prevent their growth **Food hub** – a centrally located facility with a business management structure facilitating the aggregation, storage, processing, distribution, and/or marketing of locally/regionally produced food products

**Food waste (also known as food loss)** – the edible amount of food, post-harvest that is available for human consumption but is not consumed

**FSA** – Farm Service Agency of the U.S. Department of Agriculture

**GAO** –General Accounting Office

**Gender** – the socially constructed roles, behaviors, activities, and attributes that a given society considers appropriate for men and women

**Generalized System of Preferences (GSP)** – a program designed to promote economic growth in the developing world by providing preferential duty-free entry for about 4,800 products from 131 designated beneficiary countries and territories

**Genetically modified organism (GMO)** – an organism whose genetic material has been altered using genetic engineering techniques

**GIPSA** – Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration of the U.S. Department of Agriculture

**Glass-Steagall Act** – a law passed by Congress in 1933, which prohibited commercial banks from engaging in the investment business. The law was repealed by the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999

**Global climate change, aka global warming** – changes to the earth's climate that are caused by human activity

**Green Thumb** – a training and employment program established in 1965 by NFU for the purpose of providing jobs for low-income people who were age 55 or older and wanted to work; the program is authorized in the Older Americans Act and funded through the Department of Labor

**GRP** – USDA's Grasslands Reserve Program; voluntary conservation program that emphasized support for working grazing operations, enhancement of plant and animal biodiversity, and protection of grassland under threat of conversion to other uses **GSM-102** – short-term export credit guarantees. This commercial loan program, administered through the USDA's Commodity Credit Corporation, leverages financing from the private sector to support and encourage U.S. agricultural exports to foreign markets. On average, CCC's credit guarantee covers 95 percent of the combined principle and interest in GSM-102 transactions

**GSM-103** – intermediate-term (3-10 year) export credit guarantees

**Hatch Act** – limits certain political activities of most executive branch employees **Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (HACCP)** - A management system in which food safety is addressed through the analysis and control of biological, chemical, and physical hazards from raw material production, procurement and handling, to manufacturing, distribution and consumption of the finished product.

**Humphrey-Hawkins Full Employment Act** – an act of legislation that explicitly instructs the nation to strive toward four ultimate goals: full employment, growth in production, price stability, and balance of trade and budget, by setting requirements and goals for the federal government to attain

**Hydraulic fracturing** – the process by which a specially blended liquid is pumped down a well into a formation under pressure high enough to cause the formation to crack open, forming passages through which oil can flow into the well bore

**Integrator** – a processor or meatpacker that provides animals, feed, medicine and other production inputs to an individual as outlined in a production contract

**Ionophore** – feed additive that enhances feed efficiency in cattle by altering ruminal fermentation

IRA - Individual Retirement Account

**ITC** – Investment Tax Credit (not to be confused with the USITC, the U.S. International Trade Commission)

**Johne's Disease** – chronic disease affecting domestic animals, especially cattle and sheep, caused by bacterium

**Jones Act** – legislation that regulates maritime commerce between U.S. cities; requires that goods and passengers transported by water between U.S. ports be done in U.S.-made ships, owned by U.S. citizens and crewed by U.S. citizens

**Keogh Doctrine** – also known as the Filed Rate Doctrine; precludes an award of damages under the antitrust laws when a plaintiff seeks a recovery measured by payments made according to rates approved by a regulatory agency

**Land operator** – person who runs the farm, making day-to-day management decisions. The operator could be an owner, hired manager, cash tenant, share tenant and/or a partner

**Large-scale family farm** – defined by the USDA as annual gross cash farm income of \$1 million

**Leach mining** – the recovery, by chemical leaching, of the valuable components of an orebody without physical extraction of the ore from the ground

**Livestock** – in this policy document, the term includes cattle, swine, sheep, poultry, goats, horses, buffalo and farmed cervidae (deer family) that are produced for food, fiber or feed

**Livestock Compensation Program** – A suite of USDA programs that include: the Livestock Indemnity Program (LIP), the Livestock Forage Disaster Program (LFP), the Emergency Assistance for Livestock, Honeybees, and Farm-Raised Fish Program (ELAP) and the Tree Assistance Program (TAP)

**Make allowance** – a factor used in a formula for determining the price of milk. In the formula, an allowance is given for what it costs to turn raw milk into cheese and nonfat dry milk

**Margin Protection Program** – an insurance program run by the U.S. Department of Agriculture to protect dairy producers during times of low margins

**McGovern-Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition Program** – a global school feeding program that promotes education, child development, and food security for some of the world's poorest children, through donations of agricultural commodities and financial and technical assistance for school feeding and maternal and child nutrition projects in low-income countries

**MPC** – milk protein concentrate

NAFTA – North American Free Trade Agreement, an international trade agreement linking Mexico, the United States, and Canada; enacted in November of 1993

NASS – National Agricultural Statistics Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture NATFARMPAC – the National Farmers Union Political Action Committee

National Grazing Lands Coalition (NatGLC) - Formerly the Grazing Lands

Conservation Initiative or GLCI, the National Grazing Lands Coalition was founded to provide technical assistance on privately owned grazing lands on a voluntary basis and to increase the awareness of the importance of grazing land resources.

National Rural Utilities Cooperative Finance Corporation – a member-owned, nonprofit cooperative and the premier lender for electric cooperatives, including Rural Utilities Service (RUS) borrowers and non-RUS borrowers

National Sustainable Agriculture Information Service – the National Sustainable Agriculture Information Service, formerly known as Appropriate Technology Transfer to Rural Areas (ATTRA), which provides sustainable agriculture information to those engaged in or serving commercial agriculture

**Neonicotinoids** – a class of insecticides with a common mode of action that affects the central nervous system of insects, causing paralysis and death

**NEPA** – National Environmental Policy Act, which requires federal agencies to integrate environmental values into their decision-making processes by considering the environmental impacts of their proposed actions and reasonable alternatives to those actions

**Net neutrality** – a principle that states internet service providers may not discriminate between different kinds of content and applications online. It guarantees a level playing field for all websites and internet technologies

**NIFA** – National Institute of Food and Agriculture, formerly the Cooperative State Research, Education and Extension Service (CSREES); provides leadership and funding for programs that advance agriculture-related sciences

**Nonrecourse loan** – a commodity loan that gives the borrower the options of repaying the loan in cash or forfeiting the commodity (collateral) to the lender who accepts said collateral to satisfy payment of the loan, without recourse against the borrower

**NPDES** – National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit program authorized by the Clean Water Act to control water pollution by regulating point sources that discharge pollutants into waters of the United States

**NRCS** – Natural Resources Conservation Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture; provides America's farmers and ranchers with financial and technical assistance to voluntarily make conservation improvements

**OMB** – Office of Management and Budget; oversees the performance of federal agencies, and administers the federal budget

**Organic** – the use of organic claims shall be defined by USDA under the Organic Foods Production Act and the National Organic Program (NOP)

Packer Consent Decree of 1921 – an agreement struck between packers and the attorney general's office that allowed the packers to avoid antitrust prosecution in exchange for agreeing to: 1) sell holdings in stockyards, railroads, market newspapers and public warehouse, 2) abandon all retail meat business, 3) abandon control of transportation facilities, and 4) dissolve any conspiracies with other packers

Parity index – originally, the price per bushel, pound or bale that would be necessary for a bushel today to buy the same quantity of goods (from a standard list) that a bushel would have bought in the 1910-14 base period at the price then prevailing. In 1948, the parity price formula was revised to make parity prices dependent on the relationship of farm and nonfarm prices during the most recent 10-year period for non-basic commodities. Basic commodities, including wheat, corn, rice, peanuts, and cotton, use the higher of the historical formula or the new formula (referred to by USDA as the "prices paid index." Current information can be found at <a href="http://usda.mannlib.cornell.edu/MannUsda/viewDocumentInfo.do?documentID=1002">http://usda.mannlib.cornell.edu/MannUsda/viewDocumentInfo.do?documentID=1002</a>

**Particulate matter** – solid or liquid particles less than 10 microns in diameter suspended in the air

**Pesticide** – a chemical that is used to kill or control animals, insects, plants, or other organisms. The purpose of a pesticide is to reduce or eliminate damage to crops or livestock. Includes insecticides, herbicides, miticides, rodenticides, fungicides, etc. **Pharma crops** – crops grown specifically for use in pharmaceuticals such as vaccines and medicine

**PL-480** – law that authorizes the United States to provide food aid to needy in other countries

**Poultry** – any domesticated bird being processed for human consumption, according to the Poultry Products Inspection Act of 1957

**Pugh clause** – a clause added to an oil lease to limit holding non-producing lands or depths beyond the primary term of the lease

**Pulse crop** – legumes that are harvested exclusively for dry grain such as peas, lentils, beans, and chickpeas

**Raw** – any food in its natural state

**RBS** – Rural Business Cooperative Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture **rBST** – Recombinant bovine somatotropin, a synthetic hormone injected in dairy cows

to increase milk production

**REC** – rural electric cooperative

**Recourse loan** – a commodity loan that must be repaid in cash, plus interest **Retail wheeling** – allowing utilities to abandon current service territories and sell power in an open market to the highest bidder

**RMA** – Risk Management Agency of the U.S. Department of Agriculture **Rochdale Principles** – a set of guidelines for cooperatives, established by a group of artisans in Rochdale, England, who formed the first modern cooperative business in 1844. These principles are known today as: 1) voluntary and open membership; 2) democratic member control; 3) member economic participation; 4) autonomy and independence; 5) education, training and information; 6) cooperation among cooperatives; and 7) concern for community

**RPS** – Renewable Portfolio Standard, a policy that requires retail energy suppliers to provide energy from renewable sources as part of their electricity portfolio

**RUS** – Rural Utilities Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture; administers programs that provide much-needed infrastructure or infrastructure improvements to rural communities

**SBA** – Small Business Administration; delivers loans, loan guarantees, contracts, counseling sessions and other forms of assistance to small businesses

**Section 22** – a section of the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1933 (P.L. 73-10) that authorizes the president to restrict imports by imposing quotas or fees on imports that

interfere with federal price support programs or substantially reduce U.S. production of agricultural products

**Sexual orientation** – a person's sexual identity in relation to the gender to which they are attracted; the fact of being heterosexual, homosexual, or bisexual

**Slotting fee** – a fee charged to companies or manufacturers by retailers in order to have their products placed on shelves

**Small family farm** – defined by USDA's Economic Research Service as annual sales of less than \$250,000

**Small wind** – a residential wind turbine system, installed on top of a tall tower with 100kW capacity or less, which collects kinetic energy from the wind and converts it to electricity that is compatible with a home's electrical system. Extra power generated is typically sold to local utility

**Smith-Lever Act** – established a national Cooperative Extension Service that extended outreach programs through land-grant universities to educate rural Americans about advances in agricultural practices and technology

Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children (WIC) – a federally funded health and nutrition program that helps families by providing checks for buying healthy supplemental foods from WIC-authorized vendors, nutrition education, and help finding health care and other community services

Staggers Act – law that significantly deregulated the rail industry, passed in 1980

Star School/Medical Link – telecommunications used to link research hospitals to community hospitals

Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) – formerly known as food stamps; a federal aid program administered by the USDA that provides financial assistance for purchasing food to low- and no-income people living in the United States Supplemental Revenue Assistance Program (SURE) – the "permanent disaster" program intended to replace ad hoc agricultural disaster assistance legislation Surface Transportation Board – a bipartisan, decisionally-independent adjudicatory body organizationally housed within the U.S. Department of Transportation. The Board provides a forum for the resolution of surface-transportation disputes and other matters within its jurisdiction. It has the authority to limit or remove regulatory requirements where appropriate

**Sustainable** –an integrated system of plant and animal production practices having a site-specific application that will, over the long term:

- Satisfy human food and fiber needs;
- Enhance environmental quality and the natural resource base upon which the agricultural economy depends;
- Make the most efficient use of nonrenewable resources and on-farm resources and integrate, where appropriate, natural biological cycles and controls;
- Sustain the economic viability of farm operations; and
- Enhance the quality of life for farmers and society as a whole.

**Thorium** – a naturally occurring, slightly radioactive metal found in most rocks and soils

**T-levels** – a measure of the amount of soil loss that can occur each year (in tons of soil per acre per year) and still allow production to continue at current levels

**TMDL** – total maximum daily load is a calculation of the maximum amount of a pollutant that a body of water can receive and still meet water quality standards. Commonly referred to as a "pollution diet"

U.S. Warehouse Act – authorizes the secretary of agriculture to license warehouse operators who store agricultural products and meet specific standardsUF – ultra-filtered (milk products)

**Unmanned aerial vehicle** – an aircraft without a human pilot aboard, commonly known as a drone

**USTR** – U.S. Trade Representative; responsible for developing and coordinating U.S. international trade, commodity, and direct investment policy, and overseeing negotiations with other countries

**VAT** – value-added tax

Vermiculture – the raising and production of earthworms and worm castings

Water spreading – the practice of using allocated water on undesignated acres

WFO – World Farmers Organization, of which NFU is a member

WHIP - USDA's Wildlife Habitat Incentives Program

**World Food Summit** – U.N. meeting where heads of state committed to reducing hunger

WRP – USDA's Wetlands Reserve Program; voluntary program that offered landowners the opportunity to protect, restore, and enhance wetlands on their property
 WTO – World Trade Organization, a body created in the last major trade agreement that handles disputes between signatory nations to the Uruguay Round of the General

**WTO boxes** – used to categorize programs based on their impact on trade. Amber box programs are considered to be the most trade distorting. Blue box programs are less trade distorting, and green box programs have no significant impact on trade

Agreement on Tariffs and Trade

### **INDEX**

1	
1031 exchanges	107, 140, 141
9	
911 emergency	152, 177
A	
actual production history (APH)	41, 47, 48
Aggie Bond	80
Agriculture in the Classroom	161
Agriculture Quarantine Inspections Program	77
agri-terrorism	172
agri-tourism	46, 47
air quality	101, 123, 131
air transportation	152
All-States Camp	180, 181
alternative crops	145
alternative fuels	132, 134
Amtrak	147
anaerobic digesters	129
anhydrous ammonia	105
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS)	31, 105
Animal Feeding Operation (AFO)	101

animal welfare ......29, 161

antibiotics	32
antitrust	53, 66, 67, 68, 85
aquaculture	25, 35, 36, 38, 146
Army Corps of Engineers	119
artificial growth hormone	39
В	
bank regulation	139
bankruptcy	81, 126, 143
beginning farmer	22, 23, 24, 25, 50, 81, 140, 145
best management practices (BMPs)	46, 98, 99, 102
biodiesel	129, 131, 132, 134
biofuel	21, 115, 126, 128, 129, 131
Biofuels Feedstock Reserve	21, 128
biomass	115, 127
biotechnology	62, 63
bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE)	30, 32
broadband	153
brucellosis	94
buffer zones	44
С	
capital gains	88, 140, 142
Capper-Volstead Act	85, 86
captive supply	68

carbon credits	109
carbon monoxide	39
carbon sequestration	74, 109, 110
Caribbean Basin Initiative	132
casein	27, 28, 40, 58, 77
cellulosic	129, 130, 131
census of agriculture	55, 56
checkoff	56, 57, 58
chemical weapons	120
Chesapeake Bay Program	98
China	77
CHS	89
climate change	109, 110
cloned	39
coal	96, 142
Colony Collapse Disorder	45, 46
Commodities Exchange Act	144
Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC)	25, 42
commodity futures	143, 144, 162
Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC)	55, 143
commodity loans	40, 42
Community Based Energy Development (CBED)	129
competition38, 43, 64, 66, 67, 68, 73, 74, 75, 84, 86, 89, 14	6, 147, 148, 149, 150

Confined Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO)	98, 101, 102, 103
Congressional Budget Office (CBO)	138
conservation19, 37, 46, 50, 52, 61, 82, 90, 92, 94	4, 95, 96, 100, 108, 109, 110, 111,
112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 122, 124, 129, 138, 143	, 146, 183
Conservation Reserve Program (CRP)	21, 109, 113, 114, 115
Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP)	24, 116
Consumer Price Index	19
consumer protection	28, 30, 77, 156, 174
contamination	29, 63, 64, 92, 98, 120, 135
contract grower	183
contract producer	68, 70, 71
cooperative 37, 44, 52, 53, 58, 68, 71, 74, 80, 82	, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 91, 94,
106, 122, 123, 124, 125, 127, 130, 132, 133, 134	, 143, 145, 146, 147, 149, 150,
152, 153, 160, 173, 174, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183	
cooperative development	58, 75, 88
cooperative education	86
cooperative laws	85
cotton	40
country-of-origin labeling (COOL)	35, 38, 42, 122
coyotes	118
credit 23, 24, 74, 75, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 110, 116, 1	23, 128, 129, 130, 137, 138, 139,
140, 141, 142, 143, 145, 154, 157, 162	
credit union	80, 140

crop insurance	21, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 61, 81, 114, 116
cross-contamination	29, 64
Cuba	79
currency manipulation	72, 74
D	
dairy	21, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 38, 40, 45, 58, 74, 167
debt	80, 81, 82, 83
deregulation	
diesel	134
disaster21	, 37, 41, 47, 48, 49, 52, 82, 114, 115, 177, 195
distillers grain	130
drought	48, 50, 100, 114
E	
E85	128, 134
easement	24, 82, 92, 107, 113, 117
economic development	42, 73, 78, 79, 108, 154
education 22, 24, 25, 33, 37, 43, 45, 49, 5	51, 53, 71, 79, 81, 82, 85, 90, 92, 98, 101, 104,
145, 153, 156, 159, 160, 161, 163, 17	72, 179, 180, 181, 183
eggs	69
election	56, 174, 175
Emergency Food Assistance Program (T	EFAP)168
eminent domain	
employment	161, 164, 165, 166, 167

endangered species
end-use certificate28, 30, 75
energy 21, 22, 41, 91, 94, 95, 96, 103, 109, 110, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126,
127, 128, 129, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 145, 147, 166, 183
Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) 45, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 103, 104, 110, 112,
123, 134
Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP)24, 112, 113
erosion
estate tax
ethanol44, 122, 129, 130, 131, 132, 134
excise tax
export subsidies72, 74
F
family farm18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 26, 30, 35, 37, 47, 48, 51, 52, 54, 56, 57, 60, 66, 72, 80,
81, 83, 84, 85, 88, 90, 91, 92, 93, 96, 98, 99, 101, 108, 111, 112, 113, 114, 121,
128, 138, 141, 142, 144, 145, 146, 147, 152, 154, 160, 162, 179, 183
Farm Credit System (FCS)
Farm Service Agency (FSA) 23, 25, 47, 49, 51, 52, 56, 81, 82, 83, 92, 113, 115, 116,
117
farmer-elected committees51, 112
Farmers Market Nutrition Program
farmers markets
Farmers Union Center 181

Farmers Union Legislative Budget Fund	181
farm-to-school	23, 167
fast-track	75, 76
federal budget	138, 147, 159
Federal Communications Commission (FCC).	153
Federal Trade Commission (FTC)	67
feed grains	40
feedstock	109, 115, 131
fertilizer	54, 101, 104, 105, 129
firefighters	177
fishing	25, 35, 36, 37, 38, 91, 121, 142
flex pumps	128, 134
flex-fuel vehicles	129, 131
flood control	109, 112, 119
flood plain	111
floriculture	44
food aid	23, 78
Food and Drug Administration (FDA)	28, 30, 32, 43, 46, 62, 63, 77, 158, 171
food assistance	78, 168
food banks	58, 168
food imports	76
food inspection	36, 172
food safety	30, 63, 73, 75, 76, 147, 161, 170, 171

food security	21, 22, 72, 73, 79, 145
Foot-and-Mouth Disease (FMD)	32, 33
forest	44, 74, 93, 94, 95, 114
fossil fuel	121, 124, 133
fruits	23, 44, 45
fuel storage tank	134
G	
generally regarded as safe (GRAS)	28
generic drugs	158
genetically modified organism (GMO)	62, 63, 64
grains	40, 64, 78
grazing allotments	91, 93
grazing fees	92
Grazing Lands Conservation Initiative (GLCI)	95
greenhouse gas	109
groundwatergroundwater	97, 99, 103, 120, 135
Н	
hay	42, 45, 114, 115
hazardous substances	148
hazardous waste	99, 105, 119, 120
health care	32, 60, 145, 156, 157, 158
heavy metals	60
hemp	45

	114
honey	38, 42, 45, 46
horse	30
horticulture	44
hospital	159, 177
housing	53, 173, 174
hunger	73, 78, 169
hunting	114
hydroelectric	125
hydrology	117
I	
import quota	30
income tax	82, 91, 128, 141
Indian reservation	146
industrial development bonds	154
inspection standards	76, 77, 170, 172
interstate commerce	86
interstate commerceinvasive species	45, 46, 105
interstate commerceinvasive speciesirradiation	45, 46, 105
interstate commerceinvasive speciesirradiation	45, 46, 105
inspection standardsinterstate commerceinvasive speciesirradiationirrigation	45, 46, 1056048, 96, 97, 102, 112
interstate commerceinvasive speciesirradiationirrigation	

l <b>abeling</b> 27, 28, 30	, 38, 39, 40, 60, 75, 77, 105, 158, 169, 170, 174
labor	18, 20, 43, 53, 54, 72, 75, 103, 166, 173, 183
land stewardship	92
land transfer	24, 91, 103
land value	81, 92, 107, 113, 117, 126, 140
land-grant	93, 162, 163
Livestock Compensation Program	21, 47
М	
mandatory arbitration	70, 136
manure	98, 101, 102
market access	72, 75
Market Access Program (MAP)	74
market order	26, 27
McGovern-Dole International Food for	Education and Child Nutrition program78
Medicaid	158, 159
medical research	29, 42
membership	58, 86, 89, 182
milk protein concentrate (MPC)	27, 28, 40, 58, 77, 170
mineral lease	106
N	
National Agricultural Statistics Service	e (NASS)25

National Animal Identification	34, 39
National Buffer Strip Initiative	115
National Farmers Union Political Action Committee (NATFARMPAC)	175, 181
National Organic Program (NOP)	61, 171
National Organic Standards Board (NOSB)	60
National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)	101
National School Lunch Program	25, 169
Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS)25, 52, 95, 111,	113, 116, 117
nitrogen	102
non-recourse	40, 42
noxious	75, 106
nuclear	119, 120, 124
nursery crops	44
nutrient management	102, 105
nutrition23, 37, 40, 44, 58, 73, 78, 156, 167,	168, 169, 183
o	
oilseed	40, 132
organic39, 49, 50, 60, 61,	109, 114, 135
Organizations Concerned with Rural Education (OCRE)	160
P	
parity	19
particulate matter	101
payment limits	20. 113

peanut	43
pest control	45
pesticide	42, 43, 45, 46, 53, 54, 77, 103, 104, 170
petroleum	122
pollinator	45, 46
pollution	60, 98, 99, 104, 111, 113, 131, 134
postal service	176
poultry	31, 37, 40, 68, 69, 70, 98, 183
predator	
prescribed burning	106
prescription drug	158
price reporting	25, 68
price support	20, 40, 47
private landowners	44, 90
producer liability	34
producer referendum	27, 57
production tax credit (PTC)	128
property rights	
public land	90, 92, 93, 94, 95, 105, 106, 119, 124
Q	
quota limits	44
R	
railroad	68, 128, 132, 148, 149, 150, 151

rangeland	92
raw milk (for human consumption)	29
recombinant bovine somatotropin (rBST)28	3, 40
recycling104, 132,	134
refineries	.122
renewable energy 94, 95, 103, 121, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 137,	145
Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS)	.123
research21, 24, 26, 32, 33, 34, 37, 39, 42, 43, 45, 46, 56, 57, 58, 61, 62, 63, 98	1, 99,
101, 104, 105, 106, 109, 110, 113, 115, 120, 127, 128, 129, 130, 132, 133, 144	4,
145, 156, 162, 163, 165, 167, 169	
Risk Management Agency (RMA)24, 37, 48, 49	9, 50
road53, 95, 105, 106, 146,	148
Rochdale Principles	86
rural development	154
rural hospital	.159
Rural Utilities Service (RUS)	.124
S	
safety net19, 21, 42, 44, 47, 48, 74, 138,	157
school25, 28, 88, 102, 135, 151, 160, 161, 162,	169
seafood	145
senior166,	167
sewage sludge	60
shelterbelts	.115

shipping channels	151
Small Business Administration (SBA)	154
Social Security	163, 164
sod	44, 116
sodbuster	111, 116
solar	123, 126, 128, 129, 133, 135, 136, 137
solid waste	102, 134
specialty crop	23, 25, 43, 44, 45
Staggers Act	149, 151
storable commodities	54
Strategic Petroleum Reserve	122
sugar	43, 44
Surface Transportation Board (STB)	148, 151
swampbuster	111, 116
T	
tariff	27, 28, 30, 42, 74, 75, 76, 126, 132
tariff rate quota	28, 30, 74, 75
taxes76	, 81, 88, 91, 115, 122, 140, 142, 148, 153, 166
technical expertise	145
telecommunications	145, 153
Term limitations	175
therapeutic antibiotics	32
	95, 114

T-levels	116
tobacco	42, 43
Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL).	99, 100
Trade Adjustment Assistance	75
trade agreement	72, 73, 76, 77
transmission lines	
transportation 27, 54, 55, 64, 132,	145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 168, 170, 171
tree	44, 45, 115
truck	147, 148
Truth-in-Labeling	174
Truth-in-Lending	174
turf grass	44
U	
ultra-filtered (UF) milk	27, 28, 40
United Nations	77, 96, 173
user fee	59, 60, 142, 151
V	
value-added	18, 24, 46, 76, 82, 87, 88, 95, 130, 133, 145, 154
vegetable	23, 44, 45, 171
vertical integration	18, 57, 66
veterans	23, 24, 91, 146, 159

warehouse	43, 54, 55
waste management	98, 101, 102, 105, 129, 132, 134
water quality	97, 99, 100, 135
wetland	44, 91, 111, 116, 117
wheat	40
wildlife	82, 92, 94, 95, 108, 114, 118, 127
wind 111,	, 123, 126, 128, 129, 132, 133, 135, 136, 137
wind turbine	129, 137
women	
wool	42
Y	
youth	79, 160, 175, 180, 181
Z	
zoning	